**RG-D: PID and Contamination Studies** 

# CLAS Collaboration Meeting July 10<sup>th</sup>, 2025



Suman Shrestha Temple University





# Outline

- **1. Ongoing Particle Identification Refinement** 
  - a. Event Builder PID
  - b. Charged Hadrons PID
- 2. Contamination Studies
- 3. Summary and Outlook
- 4. Acknowledgement





# Ongoing PID Refinement

S.N.	Detectors	Functions
1	HTCC	Separates electrons (positrons) < 4.9 GeV from pions, kaons, protons
2	LTCC	Detects charged pions > 3.5 GeV
3	RICH	Identifies charged kaons (3–8 GeV)
4	ECAL	Used primarily to identify electrons, photons, and neutrons
5	FT	Detects electrons and photons at polar angles between 2.5° and 4.5°
6	FTOF	Measures time-of-flight of charged particles
7	CND	Detects neutrons in the momentum range 0.2–1.0 GeV
8	СТОГ	Identifies charged particles in the momentum range 0.3–1.25 GeV
9	BAND	Detects backward neutrons with momenta between 0.25–0.7 GeV

### P.S.: FT and BAND are not used in RG-D



### **Event Builder PID**

### **<u>PID assignment in Event Builder (From Coatjava):</u> Step 1: Select the Trigger Particle (Electron)**

Electron is identified first, as it serves as the trigger particle (If the particle's status is -ve). The selection is based on:

- 1. Charge Check  $\rightarrow$  Must be negative
- 2. HTCC  $\rightarrow$  nphe> 2 ensures the presence of a Cherenkov signal.
- 3. Energy Deposit in PCAL  $\rightarrow E_{PCAL} > 0.06 \text{ GeV}$
- 4. Sampling Fraction (E/P) vs.  $E \rightarrow$

Total energy:  $E = E_{PCAL} + E_{ECAL}$ 

The SF should be within  $\pm 5\sigma$  of the expected electron band.

### If a particle passes all these conditions, PID= 11 is assigned and set the event start time using it.

### **Step 2: Assign PID to Other Charged Particles (Hadrons)**

Once the trigger electron is selected, the remaining particles are processed:

- 1. Check Charge
  - a. Positive particles: Can be  $\pi^+$  (211), K<sup>+</sup> (321), p (2212), deuteron (45).
  - b. Negative particles: Can be  $\pi^-$  (-211), K<sup>-</sup> (-321), etc.

### 2. Timing-Based Identification

- a. Compare measured vs. expected vertex time for different hadron hypotheses.
- b. Use FTOF (Time-of-Flight Detectors) for timing information.
- c. Compute timing difference  $(\Delta t)$  for each hadron type.
- d. Assign the PID of the hadron with the smallest  $\Delta t$  (best match).
- 3. Fallback to Signal Checks (HTCC & LTCC):
  - a. If timing does not match well, htccSignalCheck: nphe>2 & htccPionThreshold: P> 4.9 GeV → Assign Pion
  - b. If the timing matches and is a kaon, but ltccSignalCheck: nphe>2 & ltccPionThreshold: P> 3 GeV → Assign Pion
  - c. If the timing matches and is a proton, but ltccSignalCheck: nphe>2 & ltccPionThreshold: P> 3 GeV → Assign Pion



# **Charged Hadrons PID**

The time difference ( $\Delta t$ ) is calculated assuming the pion mass (pion hypothesis)

$$\Delta t = \left[ t_{FTOF} - \frac{L}{\beta_h(p) \times c} \right] - t_{startTime} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_h(p) = \frac{p}{\sqrt{p^2 + m_h^2}},$$

where, h: hadron, m: mass, p: momentum, c: speed of light, L: path length,  $t_{FTOF}$ : time of flight, and  $t_{startTime}$ : particle start time, while, L and  $t_{FTOF}$  are measured from the beam–target interaction point to the FTOF detector



# Charged Hadrons PID (Cont'd)

- Data Selection for this Analysis:
  - 1. RG-D Data
  - 2. Target: Dual carbon foils (CxC)
  - 3. Even selection :
    - a. Trigger electron Cut
      - i. EB PID: 11
      - ii. status < 0
      - iii. Forward Detector
      - iv. |chi2pid| < 5, vz: [-10.56, 5] cm
  - 4.  $\pi^+$  sample selection
    - a. charge > 0
    - b. Forward Detector
    - c.  $3\sigma$  cut for ' $\Delta$ t'

See Mathieu Ouillon talk for ongoing RG-D Vz study and cuts



# Charged Hadrons PID (Cont'd)



 $\Delta t$  for all positively charged particles under the pion hypothesis

Selection of the +ve pions candidates



CLAS collaboration meeting, July 2025

### **Contamination Studies**

- Two methods have been used to study K<sup>+</sup> and proton contamination in the  $\pi^+$  sample:
  - 1. Data-driven (experimental)
  - 2. Simulation-based

### **Data-driven (experimental)**



Beta vs. momentum for all positively charged particles



Beta region to investigate the contamination: [0.95, 1.03]



### **Data-Driven Contamination**

- 1. All positively charged particles  $\beta$  is plotted for each momentum bin.
- 2. After applying a  $3\sigma \Delta t$  cut based on the pion hypothesis,  $\beta$  is re-plotted for the surviving positive candidates.
- 3. All positive particles are fitted with a total fit function composed of:
  - a. A double Crystal Ball function describing the pion and proton peak regions
  - b. A single Crystal Ball function describing the kaon peak region
- 4. Therefore, the contamination is evaluated as

 $K^+$  (or proton contamination) =



p: [3.1-3.4) GeV/c

The integral of K<sup>+</sup> (or protons)under the  $\pi^+$ 's area The integral of  $\pi^+$ 's area



# Data-Driven Contamination (Cont'd)





### Data-Driven Contamination (Cont'd)





Suman Shrestha

CLAS collaboration meeting, July 2025

### **Contamination based on Simulation**

- Two Monte Carlo (MC) banks have been used:
  - 1. MC::Particle Generated (or True) Particles
  - 2. REC::Particle Reconstructed Particles
- The reconstructed particles have been matched to the generated particles by requiring
  - a. matching charge, and
  - b.  $\Delta \theta < 1^{\circ} \text{ and } \Delta \phi < 3^{\circ}$
- The contamination is evaluated as

 $K^{+} \text{ (or proton contamination)} = \frac{MC \text{ matched } K^{+} \text{ (or protons ) reconstructed as } \pi^{+}}{All \text{ reconstructed } \pi^{+}}$ 



### **Preliminary Contamination Results**





### Summary and Outlook

- ✓ K<sup>+</sup> and proton contamination in the  $\pi^+$  sample has been studied using timing cuts from the FTOF detector with both experimental and simulated data
- ✓ Next steps: Cherenkov information from the LTCC detector will be explored for particle PIDs and further contamination studies to finalize them



### Acknowledgement









This work is supported by the US DOE award #: DE-SC0016577



# Thank







CLAS collaboration meeting, July 2025

















