HPS Overview

Tim Nelson - SLAC

HPS Collaboration Meeting - June 3, 2025









Stanford University



















What's new in dark photon searches? HPS analysis status and plans HPS ops and future run plans



つ



• LHCb recently released A' $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ results for full Runll dataset.

 \rightarrow actual reach much less than projected getting to zero background is hard!

• Seaquest running, hoping to add ECal

 \Rightarrow actual $\mu\mu$ reach appears likely much less than projected (trigger efficiency)

 \Rightarrow DarkQuest proposal (including ECal) for DMNI funding declined

... but still room for HPS to have a big impact with future runs!

from 2019







• LHCb recently released A' $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ results for full Runll dataset.

 \Rightarrow actual reach much less than projected getting to zero background is hard!

• Seaquest running, hoping to add ECal

 \rightarrow actual $\mu\mu$ reach appears likely much less than projected (trigger efficiency)

 \Rightarrow DarkQuest proposal (including ECal) for DMNI funding declined

... but still room for HPS to have a big impact with future runs!

from 2019

SLAC







• LHCb recently released A' $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ results for full Runll dataset.

 \rightarrow actual reach much less than projected getting to zero background is hard!

Seaquest running, hoping to add ECal

 \Rightarrow actual $\mu\mu$ reach appears likely much less than projected (trigger efficiency)

 \Rightarrow DarkQuest proposal (including ECal) for DMNI funding declined

... but still room for HPS to have a big impact with future runs!

from 2019







• LHCb recently released A' $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ results for full Runll dataset.

 \rightarrow actual reach much less than projected getting to zero background is hard!

• Seaquest running, hoping to add ECal

 \Rightarrow actual $\mu\mu$ reach appears likely much less than projected (trigger efficiency)

 \Rightarrow DarkQuest proposal (including ECal) for DMNI funding declined

... but still room for HPS to have a big impact with future runs!

from 2019







• LHCb recently released A' $\rightarrow \mu\mu$ results for full Runll dataset.

 \Rightarrow actual reach much less than projected getting to zero background is hard!

• Seaquest running, hoping to add ECal

 \Rightarrow actual $\mu\mu$ reach appears likely much less than projected (trigger efficiency)

 \Rightarrow DarkQuest proposal (including ECal) for DMNI funding declined

... but still room for HPS to have a big impact with future runs!

from 2019





HPS in the Bigger Picture

a) These are hard experiments b) These are difficult searches Where has there been progress? Where is progress likely soon?



4

Progress has been incremental. What happened?

Beam Dumps: NA64



SLAO







With help of private funding, FASER got a quick start. Near term focus seems to be turning to neutrino physics.

Beam Dumps: DarkQuest

Evolution of SeaQuest/SpinQuest experiment Awarded NSF MRI funding in 2023 to install decommissioned Phenix ECal

Recently operated test beam of ECal at FNAL



arXiv:2502.20590 [physics.ins-det]



DarkQuest Spectrometer: Top view (Bend plane) 120 GeV p^+ Station components: Drift chambers (6 planes) Hodoscopes Station Dark Photon Hodoscope Muon Prototubes EM Calorimete Visibly Decaying Dark Photon 10^{-} BNL Testbeam Results 10^{-3} 10^{-} FASER (2035) 10^{-1} ϵ ASER (2024 10^{-6} arkQuest (2/ 10° DarkQuest (2026

 10^{-8}

 10^{-2}

 $10^{-1} 2m_{\mu}$

 $m_{A'}$ [GeV]

 10^{0}



 10^{1}

Originally intended to search over a broad mass range: more challenging than expected XI7 gave the experiment a focal point Insignificant excess (p = 4%) in recent results have excited those with strong priors.



0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3



Resonance Searches APEX?, Mu3e?, XI7

APEX: Search using Hall A high-resolution



data in hand, lacking effort

These searches are deceptively difficult! Rafo will give us an update on X17 in Hall B, slated to run early next year. SLAC

 $m_{A'}$ [GeV] A very aggressive apparatus/experiment. First beam planned later this year.



Displaced Vertexing LHCb – Run 2 (2015-2018) and Run 3 (2022-2026)

Potential for reach in two mass ranges.

arXiv:1603.08926 [hep-ph]

Run 2 and Run 3 above dimuon threshold

$$A' \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$$

Unexpected long-lived backgrounds impacted reach.

Run 3 below the $D^{\star 0}$ - D^{0} mass difference

$$D^{\star 0} \rightarrow D^0 A'$$

$$A' \rightarrow e^+ e^-$$

Requires upgraded vertex detector (VELO) and triggerless readout = full recon in real time. Backgrounds still unknown.

A pressure failure rendered VELO inoperable early in Run 3. Replacement and restart occurred last April.





Concept for search with LHCb with beam-dump-like sensitivity

- •New "Downstream"algorithm for tracking without VELO hits
- •Backgrounds are largely unknown, but likely to be significant.

Take with a grain of salt.



Displaced Vertexing: Belle II



Most discussion on missing mass + displaced signature. Background for electrons will certainly be difficult.





Displaced Vertexing: Belle II



Most discussion on missing mass + displaced signature. Background for electrons will certainly be difficult.

10-3

10⁻⁵

10^{-⊳} ► 10⁻²







Actual vertex

Misreconstructed track Misreconstructed verte - Real track Actual vertex

Where were we a year ago? PAC52 Review



https://indico.jlab.org/event/838/contributions/15017/attachments/11463/17733/HPS_PAC52.pdf

We put our best foot forward, showing only our greatest hits. What did that look like and how have we progressed since then?

Summary

Thermal relic dark matter in the MeV-GeV range is motivating a worldwide search program for dark photons.

HPS has unique capabilities to search for dark photons with masses and couplings of particular interest for thermal relic dark matter, and has continued broadening these searches alongside theoretical developments.

Starting with opportunistic engineering runs in 2015 and 2016, HPS has used ~40% of its allocated running time refining the experiment, collecting data with discovery potential, developing the necessary analysis techniques, and publishing search results that demonstrate the sensitivity of the experiment.

The rest of the previously approved running time will provide sensitivity to dark photons over an ever-broadening range of masses and couplings and new scenarios for sub-GeV dark matter.



SLAC





Recent Results: PRD 108, 012015 (2023)

A thorough exposition focusing on final engineering run results incorporating many improvements to calibration, reconstruction, and analysis techniques.



from PAC52 talk (2024)





Recent Results: PRD 108, 012015 (2023)

A thorough exposition focusing on final engineering run results incorporating many improvements to calibration, reconstruction, and analysis techniques. Highlighted key areas for further development.



Background modeling uncertainty significantly impacts resonance search reach.

from PAC52 talk (2024)

SLAC

displaced vertex search

Displaced search very close to expectations, and also generated ideas for further analysis improvements.



Evolving Landscape in Dark Sector Theory

Increasing interest in exploring richer dark sectors coupled to dark photons

- Strongly Interacting Massive Particles: \Rightarrow resonant, displaced e^+e^- , E
- inelastic DM with large mass splittings: \Rightarrow non-resonant, displaced e^+e^- , E



from PAC52 talk (2024)



		Signal								
	Minimal A'	Minimal A'								
Signature	$\epsilon^2\gtrsim 10^{-7}$	$\epsilon^2 \lesssim 10^{-8}$		SIMPs		iDM				
$x = \frac{ p_{e^+} + p_{e^-} }{E_{\text{beam}}}$	high	high		low low		low				
resonance	yes	yes		yes		no				
prompt/displaced	prompt	displaced	d	lisplaced	d	isplaced				

HPS is sensitive to SIMPs, possibly also iDM.



Recent Analysis Progress: Resonance Search

Improved background modeling can make the prompt resonance search competitive.



from PAC52 talk (2024)







Recent Analysis Progress: low-PSum Displaced Search

Improved selection criteria expands reach for displaced searches.



from PAC52 talk (2024)



-SLAC



Analysis fundamentals are in place:



from PAC52 talk (2024)



	Signal				Background				
	Minimal A'	Minimal A'							
gnature	$\epsilon^2\gtrsim 10^{-7}$	$\epsilon^2 \lesssim 10^{-8}$	SIMPs	iDM	radiative	Bethe-Heitler	Conver		
$= \frac{ p_{e^+} + p_{e^-} }{E_{\text{beam}}}$	high	high	low	low	high	low	me		
sonance	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no			
ompt/displaced	prompt	displaced	displaced	displaced	prompt	prompt	pr		





do/dP [b / 0.03 GeV]

I. use PSUM = $|\vec{p}_{e^+} + \vec{p}_{e^-}|$ distribution to understand background components and determine yield of radiative tridents



from PAC52 talk (2024)



	Signal					Backgrou	ınd
	Minimal A'	Minimal A'					
Signature	$\epsilon^2 \gtrsim 10^{-7}$	$\epsilon^2 \lesssim 10^{-8}$	SIMPs	iDM	radiative	Bethe-Heitler	Conve
$x = \frac{ p_{e^+} + p_{e^-} }{E_{\text{beam}}}$	high	high	low	low	high	low	me
resonance	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	
prompt/displaced	prompt	displaced	displaced	displaced	prompt	prompt	pr



Analysis fundamentals are in place:

- I. use PSUM = $|\vec{p}_{e^+} + \vec{p}_{e^-}|$ distribution to understand background components and determine yield of radiative tridents
- 2. optimize/calibrate mass (momentum) resolution using scattered beam e^- and Møller e^-e^- events.



0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

a.u.

Si

re

from PAC52 talk (2024)

	Signal					Backgrou	und
	Minimal A'	Minimal A'					
gnature	$\epsilon^2 \gtrsim 10^{-7}$	$\epsilon^2 \lesssim 10^{-8}$	SIMPs	iDM	radiative	Bethe-Heitler	Conver
$= \frac{ p_{e^+} + p_{e^-} }{E_{\text{beam}}}$	high	high	low	low	high	low	me
sonance	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	
ompt/displaced	prompt	displaced	displaced	displaced	prompt	prompt	pr

Data/MC resolutions match as well or better in 2019 & 2021 in all detector regions







Analysis fundamentals are in place:

- I. use PSUM = $|\vec{p}_{\rho^+} + \vec{p}_{\rho^-}|$ distribution to understand background components and determine yield of radiative tridents
- 2. optimize/calibrate mass (momentum) resolution using scattered beam e^- and Møller e^-e^- events.
- 3. align SVT to optimize and calibrate vertex resolution using tridents





	Signal				Backgrour				
	Minimal A'	Minimal A'							
gnature	$\epsilon^2\gtrsim 10^{-7}$	$\epsilon^2 \lesssim 10^{-8}$	SIMPs	iDM	radiative	Bethe-Heitler	Conver		
$= \frac{ p_{e^+} + p_{e^-} }{E_{\text{beam}}}$	high	high	low	low	high	low	me		
sonance	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no			
ompt/displaced	prompt	displaced	displaced	displaced	prompt	prompt	pr		



2019 & 2021 show factor 2 resolution improvement expected from SVT upgrade

Analysis fundamentals are in place:

- I. use PSUM = $|\vec{p}_{\rho^+} + \vec{p}_{\rho^-}|$ distribution to understand background components and determine yield of radiative tridents
- 2. optimize/calibrate mass (momentum) resolution using scattered beam e^- and Møller e^-e^- events.
- 3. align SVT to optimize and calibrate vertex resolution using tridents

Calibration is mature enough to allow development of 2019/2021 signal selection requirements in parallel with ongoing reconstruction improvements.

Anticipate first results within a year.



		\mathbf{Sigr}	Backgroun					
	Minimal A'	Minimal A'						
Signature	$\epsilon^2\gtrsim 10^{-7}$	$\epsilon^2 \lesssim 10^{-8}$	SIMPs	iDM	radiative	Bethe-Heitler	Conver	
$x = \frac{ p_{e^+} + p_{e^-} }{E_{\text{beam}}}$	high	high	low	low	high	low	me	
resonance	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no		
prompt/displaced	prompt	displaced	displaced	displaced	prompt	prompt	pr	



2019 & 2021 show factor 2 resolution improvement expected from SVT upgrade

What progress have we made?

Alic and Tom did a great job^w¹⁰⁻¹ with our first SIMPs search. 10⁻⁵

We learned at lot, pushing our state of the art, and have a nice result.

The paper draft is shaping up nicely and I look forward 10-6 to seeing it published!

10⁻⁶

10-

10-8

_∾ 10⁻

10⁻⁵

10-

 10^{-8}

20

40

HPS





What progress have we made?

The road to results with 2019 and 2021 data:

- Improve reconstruction
- Establish calibrations
- Tune Monte Carlo
- Develop event selections
- Improve analysis techniques
- Turn the cranks

Each bullet represents a huge amount of work: I gave up trying to summarize all that has been achieved, where we have made significant advances in many areas. We are here to review the latest progress and consider what more should be done.







Use reach projections for displaced A' search based on techniques from PRD as benchmark

- existing data (75 days) opens up significant region of sensitivity
- future run plan (105 days) more than doubles this region

Optimized with the following assumptions:

- two more run periods with one PAC week commissioning each $\Rightarrow (105-14)/7 \approx 13$ weeks of useful luminosity
- Use existing detector models at 2.3 and 3.7 GeV to divide between operation with one-pass (\approx 2 GeV) and two-pass (\approx 4 GeV) beam

Optimum is ~7 weeks at ≈4 GeV and ~6 weeks at ≈2 GeV

• HPS has requested and is planning 60 PAC days of two-pass running, to be followed by a final one-pass run.

HPS is not close to saturating its sensitivity - sensitivity growing almost linearly still at end of approved time.

from PAC52 talk (2024)







Use reach projections for displaced A' search based on techniques from PRD as benchmark

- existing data (75 days) opens up significant region of sensitivity
- future run plan (105 days) more than doubles this region

Optimized with the following assumptions:

- two more run periods with one PAC week commissioning each $\Rightarrow (105-14)/7 \approx 13$ weeks of useful luminosity
- Use existing detector models at 2.3 and 3.7 GeV to divide between operation with one-pass (\approx 2 GeV) and two-pass (\approx 4 GeV) beam

Optimum is ~7 weeks at ≈4 GeV and ~6 weeks at ≈2 GeV

• HPS has requested and is planning 60 PAC days of two-pass running, to be followed by a final one-pass run.

HPS is not close to saturating its sensitivity - sensitivity growing almost linearly still at end of approved time.

from PAC52 talk (2024)





Use reach projections for displaced A' search based on techniques from PRD as benchmark

- existing data (75 days) opens up significant region of sensitivity
- future run plan (105 days) more than doubles this region

Optimized with the following assumptions:

- two more run periods with one PAC week commissioning each \Rightarrow (105-14)/7 \approx 13 weeks of useful luminosity
- Use existing detector models at 2.3 and 3.7 GeV to divide between operation with one-pass (≈ 2 GeV) and two-pass (≈ 4 GeV) beam

Optimum is ~7 weeks at \approx 4 GeV and ~6 weeks at \approx 2 GeV

• HPS has requested and is planning 60 PAC days of two-pass running, to be followed by a final one-pass run.

HPS is not close to saturating its sensitivity - sensitivity growing almost linearly still at end of approved time.

from PAC52 talk (2024)

Minimal A' Scenario

13 Total Weeks @ 2.3 and 3.7 GeV 122 Y 120 * log₁₀ 118 Excludable Area [MeV 16⊢ 114⊢ I12⊟ 110**-**108 106 12 2 6 10 Number of Weeks at 2.3 GeV

Use reach projections for displaced A' search based on techniques from PRD as benchmark

- existing data (75 days) opens up significant region of sensitivity
- future run plan (105 days) more than doubles this region

Optimized with the following assumptions:

- two more run periods with one PAC week commissioning each \Rightarrow (105-14)/7 \approx 13 weeks of useful luminosity
- Use existing detector models at 2.3 and 3.7 GeV to divide between operation with one-pass (≈ 2 GeV) and two-pass (≈ 4 GeV) beam

Optimum is ~7 weeks at \approx 4 GeV and ~6 weeks at \approx 2 GeV

• HPS has requested and is planning 60 PAC days of two-pass running, to be followed by a final one-pass run.

HPS is not close to saturating its sensitivity - sensitivity growing almost linearly still at end of approved time.

from PAC52 talk (2024)

Minimal A' Scenario

Excludable Area vs. Weeks at 3.7 GeV 140ı \mathbb{T} 135⊨ current Excludable Area [MeV * log₁₀ 130 125 120 plan for approved time 115 110 105 100 95 90 15 9 13 11 **Total Number of Weeks**

Preparing to Run Again

The lessons of 2021 define focal points in preparing for next run:

- SVT alignment needs every advantage possible
 - no detector holes
 - field off runs with acceptance in all layers
 - fully surveyed detector
- Some things need replacement and/or spares, in some cases with improvements
 - SVT FEBs latent design error
 - SVT data flange assembly error
 - SVT slim-edge modules (L1-2) poor sensor quality
 - SVT long modules (L5-7) ESD damage, possible overconstraint of module assemblies?
 - ECal HV frequent trips
 - ECal chiller underperformance of chiller
 - DAQ update to latest
 - Chicane servicing of Frascati magnet system

The lessons of 2021 define focal points in preparing for next run. More on Thursday.

We had a lot of challenges in 2019, learned from them, and made improvements where possible.

important results

significant and easily achievable improvements.

time allows.

- Dark sectors, and dark photons in particular, continue to be a hot topic, where progress is more difficult that people have imagined.
- HPS is well positioned in the current moment to deliver its most

- Time is of the essence: we should narrow our focus on the most
- While it could take some time to secure another run, there are many things to do to prepare, so work there should continue as

