

Applications of Density Ratio Estimation in Experimental Hadron Spectroscopy

Richard Tyson, JLab Derek Glazier, University of Glasgow AI for Hadron Spectroscopy at JLab 5th of June 2025



Density Ratios

Often we require the ratio of two different distributions \Rightarrow Density Ratio.

Examples include efficiency calculations, weighting...

In ID (2D) we may just use 2 histograms and create a 3rd which is their ratio.

This becomes complicated with more dimensions, or low statistics...

Alternatively we can learn density ratios in N dimensions using binary classification.

Fast Simulations - arXiv:2207.11254

arxiv > physics > arXiv:2207.11254

Physics > Data Analysis, Statistics and Probability

[Submitted on 22 Jul 2022]

Machine Learned Particle Detector Simulations

D. Darulis, R. Tyson, D. G. Ireland, D. I. Glazier, B. McKinnon, P. Pauli

Training with sWeights - arXiv:2409.08183

BIXIV > physics > arXiv:2409.08183

Physics > Data Analysis, Statistics and Probability

[Submitted on 12 Sep 2024]

Converting sWeights to Probabilities with Density Ratios

D.I. Glazier, R. Tyson



Motivation: Fast Simulation

Simulations are key to any High Energy and Nuclear Physics experiment.

Simulations can be computationally expensive. Increased luminosities and detector complexity leads to increased computational overhead.

Instead we want to create ML-based fast MC that can accurately reproduce simulated data.

Two step process:

- Simulate Efficiency with density ratio estimation
- Simulate Resolution

Training :



Fast Simulation Scheme



Motivation: Training with sWeights

Often rely on simulated data to train ML algorithms, this relies on perfect agreement between simulation and experimental data.

To train ML algorithm with experimental data we need to separate contributions from different event sources.

The sPlot formalism aims to unfold the contributions of different event sources to the experimental data.

sWeights are a generalization of side-band subtraction weights to situations where there is no clear region of isolated background which can be used to subtract from the total event sample.



Motivation: Training with sWeights

Fit expected pdf to discriminating variables to obtain sWeights that allow to reconstruct distribution of control variables.

Negative weights are necessary to preserves the statistical properties of the dataset eg correct uncertainties and normalisation. Creates issues for ML training:

$$L(f(\mathbf{x})) = -\Sigma_i w_i (y_i \log f(x_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - f(x_i)))$$

If we recast the weights to positive definite probabilities we can use sWeights to train ML algorithms on experimental data.

This can be done using density ratio estimation.



Binary Classification for Density Ratios

Fast Simulation

The efficiency of a detector can be estimated from the ratio of the probability densities for all generated and accepted events:

 $\varepsilon(x) = \frac{D_a(x)}{D_{all}(x)}$

Create a training sample with all accepted events as class I and all events as class 0. Output for class 1:

$$f(x_i) = \frac{D_a(\mathbf{x})}{D_a(\mathbf{x}) + D_{all}(\mathbf{x})}$$
$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon(x) = \frac{f(x_i)}{1 - f(x_i)}$$

sWeights

sWeights for a given species are equivalent to the ratio of their probability density over the sum of probability densities of all species in the data:

$$W_{DR} = \frac{D_s(\mathbf{x})}{D_s(\mathbf{x}) + D_{bg}(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{D_s(\mathbf{x})}{D_{all}(\mathbf{x})}$$

Create a training sample with all events weighted by signal sWeights as class I and all events weighted by I as class 0:

$$W_{DR} = \frac{f(x_i)}{1 - f(x_i)}$$

Loss function is now:

$$L(f(\mathbf{x})) = -\Sigma_i (w_i y_i \log f(x_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - f(x_i)))$$



Binary Classification for Density Ratios

Two key takeaways are:

Creating the training sample with all events

as class 0 and signal events as class 1 allows

to learn density ratio of class 1 over class 0.

Creating the training sample in such a way

allows to use the binary cross-entropy loss

function even in the presence of negative

weights (and so long as the sum of weights is

less negative than the number of events).

Fast Simulation

The efficiency of a detector of the probability densitients:

 \Rightarrow

Create a training and all events as

sWeights

hts for a given species are equivalent to the ratio of ability density over the sum of probability densities in the data:

$$= \frac{D_s(\mathbf{x})}{D_s(\mathbf{x}) + D_{bg}(\mathbf{x})} = \frac{D_s(\mathbf{x})}{D_{all}(\mathbf{x})}$$

mple with all events weighted by signal and all events weighted by I as class 0:

$$W_{DR} = \frac{f(x_i)}{1 - f(x_i)}$$

is now:

$$f(x_i) = -\Sigma_i (w_i y_i \log f(x_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - f(x_i)))$$

Similar approach as: B. Nachman, J. Thaler, *Phys. Rev. D*, **102**, 076004 (2020).

sWeight Dataset

Create toy event generator to produce three dimensional events:

- mass such as an invariant mass as discriminatory variable
- azimuthal (φ) angular distribution
- $z = \cos \theta$.

Signal events were generated with a Gaussian distribution in mass and a cos 2ϕ modulation of amplitude 0.8. Can change eg the frequency

Background events were generated with a linear polynomial distribution in mass and a $\cos 2\phi$ modulation of amplitude -0.2.

The aim is to measure the signal amplitude in ϕ by unfolding the signal distribution in the control variables ϕ and z



sWeight Performance

Repeat test 50 times, obtain mean amplitude and uncertainty and standard deviation of the amplitude. The expectations are:

- Mean should be consistent with the nominal value of 0.8
- Mean uncertainty and standard deviation should be numerically similar i.e. the fluctuation of results is consistent with the calculated uncertainty

sWeighted uncertainty is calculated by taking the sum of the squared sWeights. \Rightarrow This doesn't work with converted weights. Either propagate sWeight uncertainty or convert it with density ratios

Fast training & prediction rates (order of 100s of kHz).

	Method (signal:bg)	Mean	σ	σ uncertainty
	sWeights (1:2) (1:9)	0.802 ± 0.0089 0.804 ± 0.0274	0.0082 0.0244	0.92 0.89
	drWeights (1:2) (1:9)	0.807 ± 0.0092 0.793 ± 0.0285	0.0093 0.0260	1.01 0.91





Bias

Learning algorithms introduce some bias. Typically seen as smoothing over of sharp features.

 \Rightarrow Increase the frequency of the modulation with a (1:9) signal to background ratio to get sharper features



Jefferson Lab

Correcting the Bias

We can apply the method as many times as we want, ie we correct the learned density ratios. If weights are similar, correcting weights should be I (or close to).

Class 0 has all events with weights produced by previous density ratio estimation, predicted weights are product of the weights obtained by all models.

Better performance but training is less stable.



Fast MC Dataset: CLASI2

Aim to reproduce simulation from dedicated Geant4 framework GEMC.

Forward Tagger has polar angle coverage of 2.5 to 4 degrees.

The Forward Detector has polar angle coverage of 5 to 35 degrees. Has six sectors in azimuthal angle

The Central Detector has polar angle coverage of 35 to 125 degrees.

Here I'm showing examples based on DVCS $ep \rightarrow e'\gamma p$ and $ep \rightarrow e'nK^+K^-\pi^+$ at CLAS12, also tested with a toy detector (see <u>github repo</u> for all examples).





Fast MC Efficiency

Efficiency Density Ratio estimation using first a neural network then correct with a gradient boosted decision tree.

In DVCS $(ep \rightarrow e'\gamma p)$ electron is detected in the forward detector, photon in the forward tagger and forward detector, proton in the central detector.

Training to reproduce GEMC (~1 Hz), achieve ~10 kHz prediction rate. GEMC FastMC 100

0.2

0.3

 $\gamma \theta$ [Radians]

0.4

0.5





What about Resolution?

If the detector measures $p_r = p_{true} + \delta p$, aim to learn the δp distribution (and on angles or other quantities).

Overfit decision trees, accurately produces δp but they produce one value of δp for any one point in the training feature space.

Add random input variables, complexifies input feature space. Now predict different values of δp .

Train N decision trees with different random inputs, ie N different predictions. Pick DT at random during prediction time.



From toy detector

Fast MC Efficiency and Resolution

0.5

0.4

/ θ[Radians]

0.1

0.0

Accurate multidimensional acceptance and resolution.

Able to predict any resolution distribution (eg non gaussian, tails etc).

 $\sim 10^4$ increase in prediction rate.

Simple algorithms: works out of the box, no further tuning required





GEMC

-100

-0

2000

1000

-0.05 -0.04 -0.03 -0.02 -0.01 0



0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05

-100

FastMC



Learning Efficiencies from Experimental Data

Can use both sWeight and Efficiency Density Ratio learning together.

Aim to learn neutron detection efficiency from ratio of $ep \rightarrow e'\pi^+n$ to $ep \rightarrow e'\pi^+(n)$ without relying on simulation.



CLAS12 Data

sWeighted Reconstructed

Neutron Signal



Conclusion

Density ratios can be used to model many common problems in hadron spectroscopy.

Density ratios can be accurately learned using binary classification algorithms.

Presented two use cases:

- Efficiency mapping for fast simulation
- Learning sWeights to produce training samples from experimental data

These two use cases can be combined to map detector efficiencies from exclusive reactions in experimental data.

Other applications will exist: eg converting weights to positive probabilities for likelihood fitting.

Any suggestions?



Back-Up Slides



Density Ratios

Often we require the ratio of two different distributions \Rightarrow Density Ratio.

Examples include efficiency calculations, weighting...

In ID (2D) we may just use 2 histograms and create a 3rd which is their ratio.

This becomes complicated with more dimensions, or low statistics...

Alternatively we can learn density ratios in N dimensions using binary classification.



Multiparticle effects

Naïve expectation is that:

 $A(p_1, p_2, p_3) = A(p_1) \cdot A(p_2) \cdot A(p_3)$

In reality we have multi particle effects:

$$A(p_1, p_2, p_3) = A(p_1|p_2, p_3) \cdot A(p_2|p_1, p_3) \cdot A(p_3|p_1, p_2)$$

Two solutions, first train acceptance particle per particle or event by event and a correction for multi particle effects:

$$A(p_1, p_2, p_3) \approx A'(p_1) \cdot A'(p_2) \cdot A'(p_3) \cdot C(p_1, p_2, p_3)$$

Or train with all final state particles to learn $A(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ directly.

First method exemplified here with $ep \rightarrow e'nK^+K^-\pi^+$

