

Dan Hackett (FNAL) Patrick Oare (BNL) Dimitra Pefkou (Berkeley) Phiala Shanahan (MIT)

Gravitational form factors on the lattice

2025 Summer Hall A/C Collaboration Meeting JLab

Jun 17, 2025

Outline

Gravitational structure of the nucleon Gravitational form factors (GFFs)? Why are GFFs interesting?

GFFs on the lattice

Overview of calculation

Results

Proton GFFs (w/ flavor decomp) Experimental comparison Mechanical densities & radii Pion GFFs Glueball GFFs (prelim)

2310.08484

Gravitational form factors of the proton from lattice QCD

Daniel C. Hackett,^{1,2} Dimitra A. Pefkou,^{3,2} and Phiala E. Shanahan²

¹Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, IL 60510, U.S.A. ²Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, U.S.A. ³Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, U.S.A

The gravitational form factors (GFFs) of a hadron encode fundamental aspects of its structure, including its shape and size as defined from e.g., its energy density. This work presents a determination of the flavor decomposition of the GFFs of the proton from lattice QCD, in the kinematic region $0 \le -t \le 2$ GeV². The decomposition into up-, down-, strange-quark, and gluon contributions provides first-principles constraints on the role of each constituent in generating key proton structure observables, such as its mechanical radius, mass radius, and *D*-term.

2307.11707

Gravitational form factors of the pion from lattice QCD

Daniel C. Hackett, Patrick R. Oare, Dimitra A. Pefkou, and Phiala E. Shanahan Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, U.S.A.

The two gravitational form factors of the pion, $A^{\pi}(t)$ and $D^{\pi}(t)$, are computed as functions of the momentum transfer squared t in the kinematic region $0 \leq -t < 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ on a lattice QCD ensemble with quark masses corresponding to a close-to-physical pion mass $m_{\pi} \approx 170$ MeV and $N_f = 2 + 1$ quark flavors. The flavor decomposition of these form factors into gluon, up/down light-quark, and strange quark contributions is presented in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme at energy scale $\mu = 2$ GeV, with renormalization factors computed non-perturbatively via the RI-MOM scheme. Using monopole and z-expansion fits to the gravitational form factors, we obtain estimates for the pion momentum fraction and D-term that are consistent with the momentum fraction sum rule and the next-toleading order chiral perturbation theory prediction for $D^{\pi}(0)$.

Gravitational structure of the nucleon

Gravitational form factors (GFFs)



GFFs are EMT form factors

$$T^{\{\mu\nu\}} = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[-G^{\alpha\mu}G^{\nu}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}G^{\alpha\beta}G_{\alpha\beta} \right] + \bar{q} \gamma^{\{\mu}i\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\nu\}}q$$

Nucleon:

$$\left\langle N(p') \left| T^{\{\mu\nu\}} \right| N(p) \right\rangle = \overline{U}(p') \left[A(t) \frac{P^{\{\mu} P^{\nu\}}}{M} + J(t) \frac{i P^{\{\mu} \sigma^{\nu\}\rho} \Delta_{\rho}}{2M} + D(t) \frac{\Delta^{\{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} - g^{\mu\nu} \Delta^2}{4M} \right] U(p)$$

Why are these interesting?

$$a^{\{\mu}b^{\nu\}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(a^{\mu}b^{\nu} + a^{\nu}b^{\mu})$$

$$\overrightarrow{D} = (\overrightarrow{D} - \overleftarrow{D})/2$$

$$U, \overline{U} = \text{Dirac spinors}$$

$$P = (p' + p)/2$$

$$\Delta = p' - p$$

$$t = \Delta^{2}$$

Global properties

$$\left\langle N(p') \left| T^{\{\mu\nu\}} \right| N(p) \right\rangle = \overline{U}(p') \left[A(t) \frac{P^{\{\mu} P^{\nu\}}}{M} + J(t) \frac{i P^{\{\mu} \sigma^{\nu\}\rho} \Delta_{\rho}}{2M} + D(t) \frac{\Delta^{\{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} - g^{\mu\nu} \Delta^2}{4M} \right] U(p)$$

 $\partial_{\mu}T^{\mu\nu} = 0 \rightarrow \text{GFFs}$ are scale- and scheme-independent Forward GFFs are fundamental, global properties:

$$\begin{array}{l} A(0) = 1 \iff \langle p | T^{tt} | p \rangle = M \\ J(0) = \frac{1}{2} = \text{Total spin} \\ B(0) = 2J(0) - A(0) = 0 \quad \text{"vanishing of the anomalous gravitomagnetic moment"} \\ D(0) = ???* \quad (\text{internal forces}) \end{array}$$

Flavor decomposition

Gluons
$$T_g^{\{\mu\nu\}} = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[-G^{\alpha\mu}G^{\nu}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}G^{\alpha\beta}G_{\alpha\beta} \right]$$
 Quarks $T_q^{\{\mu\nu\}} = \overline{q} \gamma^{\{\mu}i\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\nu\}}q$

$$\begin{split} \left\langle N(p') \left| T_{g,q}^{\{\mu\nu\}} \right| N(p) \right\rangle &= \bar{u}(p') \left[A_{g,q}(t) \frac{P^{\{\mu}P^{\nu\}}}{M} + J_{g,q}(t) \frac{i P^{\{\mu}\sigma^{\nu\}\rho}\Delta_{\rho}}{2M} + D_{g,q}(t) \frac{\Delta^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}} - g^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{2}}{4M} + \bar{c}_{g,q}(t) Mg^{\mu\nu} \right] u(p) \end{split}$$

Flavor decomposition

Gluons
$$T_g^{\{\mu\nu\}} = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[-G^{\alpha\mu}G^{\nu}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}G^{\alpha\beta}G_{\alpha\beta} \right]$$
 Quarks $T_q^{\{\mu\nu\}} = \bar{q} \gamma^{\{\mu}i\overleftrightarrow{D}^{\nu\}}q$

$$\left\langle N(p') \Big| T_{g,q}^{\{\mu\nu\}} \Big| N(p) \right\rangle = \bar{u}(p') \left[A_{g,q}(t) \frac{P^{\{\mu}P^{\nu\}}}{M} + J_{g,q}(t) \frac{i P^{\{\mu}\sigma^{\nu\}\rho}\Delta_{\rho}}{2M} + D_{g,q}(t) \frac{\Delta^{\{\mu}\Delta^{\nu\}} - g^{\mu\nu}\Delta^{2}}{4M} + \bar{c}_{g,q}(t) Mg^{\mu\nu} \right] u(p)$$

Not conserved $\sum_{q} \bar{c_q} + \bar{c_g} = 0$ Power-divergent mixing

Flavor decomposition Gluons $T_g^{\{\mu\nu\}} = 2 \operatorname{Tr} \left[-G^{\alpha\mu}G^{\nu}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}G^{\alpha\beta}G_{\alpha\beta} \right]$ Quarks $T_a^{\{\mu\nu\}} = \overline{q} \gamma^{\{\mu} i \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\nu\}} q$ Spin fraction Momentum fraction $J_{q}(0) + \sum_{q} J_{q}(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ $A_{q,q}(0) = \langle x \rangle_{a,a}$ $A_a(0) + \sum_a A_a(0) = 1$ $\left\langle N(p') \left| T_{g,q}^{\{\mu\nu\}} \right| N(p) \right\rangle = \bar{u}(p') \left| A_{g,q}(t) \frac{P^{\{\mu}P^{\nu\}}}{M} + J_{g,q}(t) \frac{i P^{\{\mu\sigma^{\nu\}}\rho}\Delta_{\rho}}{2M} \right|$ $+ D_{g,q}(t) \frac{\Delta^{\{\mu} \Delta^{\nu\}} - g^{\mu\nu} \Delta^2}{\Delta M} + \bar{c}_{g,q}(t) M g^{\mu\nu} \bigg] u(p)$ Not conserved $\sum_{a} \dot{c_a} + \dot{c_a} = 0$ Internal forces $D(0) = D_a(0) + \sum_a D_a(0)$ Power-divergent mixing





GFFs are Mellin moments of GPDs, e.g.

$$\int dx \, x \, H_q(x,\xi,t) = A_q(t) + \xi^2 D_q(t) \qquad \int dx \, H_g(x,\xi,t) = A_g(t) + \xi^2 D_g(t)$$
$$\int dx \, x \, E_q(x,\xi,t) = B_q(t) - \xi^2 D_q(t) \qquad \int dx \, E_g(x,\xi,t) = B_g(t) - \xi^2 D_g(t)$$

 \rightarrow relate to experiment via factorization

GFFs on the lattice

Prior art

Early work: Generalized FFs / GPD moments Quark only

Neglecting disconnected contributions (isovector ok) e.g. [LHPC 0705.4295, QCDSF/UKQCD hep-lat/0509133]

Momentum & spin fractions

Forward (t = 0) moments of GFFs Many, more recently including glue & disconnected

Previous determinations of gluon GFFs w/ unphysically heavy quark masses

$(M_{\pi} \approx 450 \text{ MeV})$

e.g. [Shanahan Detmold 1810.04626] (π, N)

e.g. [Pefkou Hackett Shanahan 2107.10368] (π , N, ho, Δ) –

This calculation:

Close-to-physical ($M_{\pi} \approx 170~{
m MeV}$) Full flavor decomposition



Overview of calculation

Need to compute:

1. Bare matrix elements for $f \in \{g, u, d, s\}$ to constrain bare GFFs

$$\langle p' | T_f^{\mathbf{b}}(\Delta) | p \rangle = c_A A_f^{\mathbf{b}}(t) + c_J J_f^{\mathbf{b}}(t) + c_D D_f^{\mathbf{b}}(t)$$

2. Isosinglet mixing matrix (+ non-singlet Z_{u+d-2s})

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_q^{\overline{MS}} \\ T_g^{\overline{MS}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{qq}^{\overline{MS}} & Z_{qg}^{\overline{MS}} \\ Z_{gq}^{\overline{MS}} & Z_{gg}^{\overline{MS}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_q^{\text{bare}} \\ T_g^{\text{bare}} \end{bmatrix}$$

→ Renormalized linear constraints on GFFs at different values of $t = \Delta^2 = (p' - p)^2$

 \rightarrow Fit to extract GFFs(t)



Ensembles

Gauge action: tadpole-improved Luscher-Weisz

Fermion action: 2 + 1 flavors, stout-smeared clover

Bare matrix elements

Glue: 2511 configs Quarks: 1381 configs (subset) ["a091m170" (JLab/W&M/MIT/LANL)]

Renormalization

Conn. quark: 240 configs Disco./glue: 20000 configs

Ensembles

Gauge action: tadpole-improved Luscher-Weisz

Fermion action: 2 + 1 flavors, stout-smeared clover

Bare matrix elements

Glue: 2511 configs Quarks: 1381 configs (subset) ["a091m170" (JLab/W&M/MIT/LANL)]

Renormalization

Conn. quark: 240 configs Disco./glue: 20000 configs

"Single"-ensemble calculation: can't quantify remaining artifacts due to discretization, unphysical quark masses, finite volume

Bare matrix elements from three-point functions

Can't compute matrix elements directly, must extract from

 $\langle \chi(p',t_f) T^{b}(\Delta,\tau) \bar{\chi}(p,0) \rangle \sim Z_{p'} Z_p \langle p' | T^{b}(\Delta) | p \rangle e^{-E'(t_f-\tau)-E\tau} + (\text{excited states})$

Bare matrix elements from three-point functions

Can't compute matrix elements directly, must extract from

 $\langle \chi(p',t_f) T^{b}(\Delta,\tau) \bar{\chi}(p,0) \rangle \sim Z_{p'} Z_p \langle p' | T^{b}(\Delta) | p \rangle e^{-E'(t_f-\tau)-E\tau} + (\text{excited states})$



Connected Quark (u, d)

Sequential source (thru sink)

- 3 sink momenta
- 1 spin channel
- Sources / cfg varies w/ t_f

$(p, t_0) \xrightarrow{(p, t_f)} \Delta, \tau$



Disconnected Quark (u = d, s)

- 1024 sources / cfg
- 4 spin channels
- Hierarchical probing w/ 512 Hadamard vectors
- $2Z_4$ noise shots / cfg

Glue (disconnected)

- 1024 sources / cfg
- 4 spin channels

Large-scale automated analysis – fit data to extract Analysis ~ 40k matrix elements for u, d, s, g channels (conn/disc)



Hall A/C

13

Renormalization

Assert RI-MOM conditions at scale $\mu^2 = p^2$

$$\left\langle q(p) T_f(0) \bar{q}(p) \right\rangle_{\text{lattice}} = Z_q R_{fq}^{\text{RI}} \left\langle q(p) T_f(0) \bar{q}(p) \right\rangle_{\text{tree}}$$
$$\left\langle A(p) T_f(0) A(p) \right\rangle_{\text{lattice}} = Z_g R_{fg}^{\text{RI}} \left\langle A(p) T_f(0) A(p) \right\rangle_{\text{tree}}$$

...in Landau gauge

...flow T_g to $t/a^2 = 1.2$ to match operator in bare matrix elements

Apply perturbative matching to \overline{MS} and run to $\mu = 2 \text{ GeV}$

$$\left(Z_{u-d}^{\overline{MS}}\right)^{-1}(\mu^2) = C_{u-d}^{\mathrm{RI}/\overline{MS}}(\mu^2, \mu_R^2) R_{u-d}^{\mathrm{RI}}(\mu_R^2)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z_{qq}^{\overline{MS}} & Z_{qg}^{\overline{MS}} \\ Z_{gq}^{\overline{MS}} & Z_{gg}^{\overline{MS}} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} (\mu^2) = \begin{bmatrix} R_{qq}^{RI} & R_{qg}^{RI} \\ R_{gq}^{RI} & R_{gg}^{RI} \end{bmatrix} (\mu_R^2) \begin{bmatrix} C_{qq}^{RI/\overline{MS}} & C_{qg}^{RI/\overline{MS}} \\ C_{gq}^{RI/\overline{MS}} & C_{gg}^{RI/\overline{MS}} \end{bmatrix} (\mu^2, \mu_R^2)$$

Model and fit residual $(ap)^2$ dependence in each of product $R^{RI} C^{RI/MS}$

Renormalization: removing discretization artifacts



Hall A/C Meeting - Dan Hackett - 6/17/25

Renormalization: removing discretization artifacts

Model discretization artifacts as polynomials, inverse polynomials

+ logs for nonperturbative⁻² effects -4



Results



| | Dipole | | | z-expansion | | |
|-------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | A_i | J_i | D_i | A_i | J_i | D_i |
| u | 0.3255(92) | 0.2213(85) | -0.56(17) | 0.349(11) | 0.238(18) | -0.56(17) |
| d | 0.1590(92) | 0.0197(85) | -0.57(17) | 0.171(11) | 0.033(18) | -0.56(17) |
| s | 0.0257(95) | 0.0097(82) | -0.18(17) | 0.032(12) | 0.014(19) | -0.08(17) |
| u+d+s | 0.510(25) | 0.251(21) | -1.30(49) | 0.552(31) | 0.286(48) | -1.20(48) |
| g | 0.501(27) | 0.255(13) | -2.57(84) | 0.526(31) | 0.234(27) | -2.15(32) |
| Total | 1.011(37) | 0.506(25) | -3.87(97) | 1.079(44) | 0.520(55) | -3.35(58) |

| | Dipole | | | z-expansion | | |
|----------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | A_i | J_i | D_i | A_i | J_i | D_i |
| \overline{u} | 0.3255(92) | 0.2213(85) | -0.56(17) | 0.349(11) | 0.238(18) | -0.56(17) |
| d | 0.1590(92) | 0.0197(85) | -0.57(17) | 0.171(11) | 0.033(18) | -0.56(17) |
| S | 0.0257(95) | 0.0097(82) | -0.18(17) | 0.032(12) | 0.014(19) | -0.08(17) |
| u+d+s | 0.510(25) | 0.251(21) | -1.30(49) | 0.552(31) | 0.286(48) | -1.20(48) |
| g | 0.501(27) | 0.255(13) | -2.57(84) | 0.526(31) | 0.234(27) | -2.15(32) |
| Total | 1.011(37) | 0.506(25) | -3.87(97) | 1.079(44) | 0.520(55) | -3.35(58) |
| | | | / | | | |

Sum rules (consistency check)

| | Dipole | | | z-expansion | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| | A_i | J_i | D_i | A_i | J_i | D_i |
| \overline{u} | 0.3255(92) | 0.2213(85) | -0.56(17) | 0.349(11) | 0.238(18) | -0.56(17) |
| d | 0.1590(92) | 0.0197(85) | -0.57(17) | 0.171(11) | 0.033(18) | -0.56(17) |
| s | 0.0257(95) | 0.0097(82) | -0.18(17) | 0.032(12) | 0.014(19) | -0.08(17) |
| u+d+s | 0.510(25) | 0.251(21) | -1.30(49) | 0.552(31) | 0.286(48) | -1.20(48) |
| g | 0.501(27) | 0.255(13) | -2.57(84) | 0.526(31) | 0.234(27) | -2.15(32) |
| Total | 1.011(37) | 0.506(25) | -3.87(97) | 1.079(44) | 0.520(55) | -3.35(58) |
| | | Sum | rules (consis | stency check) | | |
| | \langle | 5411 | | cency encerty | | |
| cf. glo | obal fit result | | | | | |
| $A_g($ | (0) = 0.414(8) | | | | | |
| [Hou et al. 1912.10053] | | | | | | |

| | Dipole | | | z-expansion | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|---|-----------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | A_i | J_i | D_i | A_i | J_i | D_i | |
| u | 0.3255(92) | 0.2213(85) | -0.56(17) | 0.349(11) | 0.238(18) | -0.56(17) | |
| d | 0.1590(92) | 0.0197(85) | -0.57(17) | 0.171(11) | 0.033(18) | -0.56(17) | |
| 8 | 0.0257(95) | 0.0097(82) | -0.18(17) | 0.032(12) | 0.014(19) | -0.08(17) | |
| u+d+s | 0.510(25) | 0.251(21) | -1.30(49) | 0.552(31) | 0.286(48) | -1.20(48) | |
| g | 0.501(27) | 0.255(13) | -2.57(84) | 0.526(31) | 0.234(27) | -2.15(32) | |
| Total | 1.011(37) | 0.506(25) | -3.87(97) | 1.079(44) | 0.520(55) | -3.35(58) | |
| | | Sum | n rules (consis | stency check) | | | |
| cf global fit result | | | | | \bigvee | | |
| (0) = 0.414(0) | | First determination! | | | | | |
| $A_g(0) = 0.414(8)$ | | Satisfies χ PT bound | | | | | |
| [Hou et al. 1912.10053] | | $D(0)/M \le -1.1(1) \mathrm{GeV^{-1}}$ | | | | | |

Nucleon vs. experiment





(G)FFs and Tomography

Fourier-transformed form factors provide information about spatial densities

Example: electric charge density in the neutron from G_E^n

[Atac, Constantinou, Meziani, Paolone, Sparveris 2103.10840]



Applies also for GFFs \rightarrow mechanical densities

Mechanical densities from GFFs

$$T_{\mu\nu}(r) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{tt}(r) & T_{tj}(r) \\ T_{it}(r) & T_{ij}(r) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(r) \\ \left(\frac{r_i r_j}{r^2} - \frac{1}{d}\delta_{ij}\right) s(r) + \delta_{ij} p(r) \end{bmatrix}$$

Mechanical densities from GFFs $T_{\mu\nu}(r) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{tt}(r) & T_{tj}(r) \\ T_{it}(r) & T_{ij}(r) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(r) \\ \left(\frac{r_i r_j}{r^2} - \frac{1}{d} \delta_{ij}\right) s(r) + \delta_{ij} p(r) \end{bmatrix}$

1. Parametrize with GFFs (choose kinematics)

 $T_{\mu\nu}(t) \sim A(t) k^{A}_{\mu\nu}(t) + J(t) k^{J}_{\mu\nu}(t) + D(t) k^{D}_{\mu\nu}(t)$

Mechanical densities from GFFs $T_{\mu\nu}(r) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{tt}(r) & T_{tj}(r) \\ T_{it}(r) & T_{ij}(r) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(r) \\ \left(\frac{r_i r_j}{r^2} - \frac{1}{d} \delta_{ij}\right) s(r) + \delta_{ij} p(r) \end{bmatrix}$

1. Parametrize with GFFs (choose kinematics)

 $T_{\mu\nu}(t) \sim A(t) k^{A}_{\mu\nu}(t) + J(t) k^{J}_{\mu\nu}(t) + D(t) k^{D}_{\mu\nu}(t)$

2. Fourier transform $T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rightarrow T_{\mu\nu}(r)$

$$[f(t)]_{\rm FT} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{\Delta}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\mathbf{\Delta} \cdot \mathbf{r}} f(t)$$

Mechanical densities from GFFs $T_{\mu\nu}(r) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{tt}(r) & T_{tj}(r) \\ T_{it}(r) & T_{ij}(r) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(r) \\ \left(\frac{r_i r_j}{r^2} - \frac{1}{d} \delta_{ij}\right) s(r) + \delta_{ij} p(r) \end{bmatrix}$

1. Parametrize with GFFs (choose kinematics)

 $T_{\mu\nu}(t) \sim A(t) k^{A}_{\mu\nu}(t) + J(t) k^{J}_{\mu\nu}(t) + D(t) k^{D}_{\mu\nu}(t)$

3. Identify components → Spatial densities (3D Breit frame)

energy
$$\epsilon(r) = M \left[A(t) - \frac{t}{4M^2} \left(D(t) + A(t) - 2J(t) \right) \right]_{FT}$$

pressure $p(r) = \frac{1}{6M} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{d}{dr} [D(t)]_{FT}$ shear forces $s(r) = -\frac{1}{4M} r \frac{d}{dr} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} [D(t)]_{FT}$

longitudinal force $F^{\parallel}(r) = p(r) + 2s(r)/3$

Hall A/C Meeting - Dan Hackett - 6/17/25

2. Fourier transform $T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rightarrow T_{\mu\nu}(r)$

$$[f(t)]_{\rm FT} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{\Delta}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\mathbf{\Delta} \cdot \mathbf{r}} f(t)$$

Mechanical densities from GFFs

$$T_{\mu\nu}(r) = \begin{bmatrix} T_{tt}(r) & T_{tj}(r) \\ T_{it}(r) & T_{ij}(r) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon(r) \\ \left(\frac{r_i r_j}{r^2} - \frac{1}{d} \delta_{ij}\right) s(r) + \delta_{ij} p(r) \end{bmatrix}$$

1. Parametrize with GFFs (choose kinematics)

 $T_{\mu\nu}(t) \sim A(t) k^A_{\mu\nu}(t) + J(t) k^J_{\mu\nu}(t) + D(t) k^D_{\mu\nu}(t)$

3. Identify components \rightarrow Spatial densities (3D Breit frame)

energy
$$\epsilon(r) = M \left[A(t) - \frac{t}{4M^2} \left(D(t) + A(t) - 2J(t) \right) \right]_{FT}$$

pressure $p(r) = \frac{1}{6M} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left[D(t) \right]_{FT}$

shear forces s(r)

$$D = -\frac{1}{4M} r \frac{d}{dr} \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} [D(t)]_{FT}$$

longitudinal force $F^{\parallel}(r) = p(r) + 2s(r)/3$

2. Fourier transform $T_{\mu\nu}(t) \rightarrow T_{\mu\nu}(r)$

$$[f(t)]_{\rm FT} = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{\Delta}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\mathbf{\Delta}\cdot\mathbf{r}} f(t)$$

Caveat: physical significance of these analogies is under debate!



How big is a proton?



[Hackett Oare Pefkou Shanahan 2307.11707]

Pion GFFs (flavor decomp)

Hatched bands: monopole Solid bands: *z*-expansion



Pion GFFs (total)

Error on χ PT estimate due to different estimates for LECs [Donaghue Leutwyler 1991]



Scalar Glueball GFFs (in Yang-Mills)

[Abbott Hackett Pefkou Romero-Lopez Shanahan 2410.02706]

Glueball structure: new observables to discriminate among candidate observations?

Other hadrons from [Pefkou DH Shanahan 2107.10368]: $a \approx 0.11$ fm, $M_{\pi} \approx 450$ MeV Normalized to match glueball GFFs at A(0), D(0)



Conclusion

First lattice calculation of:

- complete flavor decomposition of nucleon GFFs
- *total* GFFs → *physical* (i.e. RGI) densities, radii
- D(0)

New first-principles descriptions of size and shape of nucleon

Towards a precision calculation, need:

- Multiple ensembles to take continuum & physicalmass limits
- Improved renormalization (GIRS? Flow? Sum rules?)
- Better methods to fully control excited state effects



Backup

Two-point functions

Compute on 2511 configs, 1024 srcs/cfg (2x offset $4^3 \times 8$ grids)

Note: only one interpolating operator; both diagonal spin channels

Relativistic dispersion obeyed at $\sim \%$ level

 \rightarrow Neglect errors in $aM_{\pi} = 0.0779$ and $aM_N = 0.4169$



Extracting bare matrix elements

1. Construct ratios

$$R(p,p';\tau,t_f) = \frac{C^{3\text{pt}}(p,p';t_f,\tau)}{C^{2\text{pt}}(p';t_f)} \sqrt{\frac{C^{2\text{pt}}(p;t_f-\tau)}{C^{2\text{pt}}(p';t_f-\tau)}} \frac{C^{2\text{pt}}(p';t_f)}{C^{2\text{pt}}(p;t_f)} \frac{C^{2\text{pt}}(p';\tau)}{C^{2\text{pt}}(p;\tau)}$$
$$= \# \langle p'|T^b(\Delta)|p \rangle + O\left(e^{-\Delta E \tau - \Delta E'(t_f-\tau)}\right)$$
Number of distinct ratios

2. Bin ratios together w/ same kinematic coeffs
 3. Fit using "summation method"

$$\Sigma(t_f) = \sum_{\tau=\tau_{\text{cut}}}^{t_f-\tau_{\text{cut}}} R(\tau, t_f) = (\text{const}) + \# \langle p' | T^b(\Delta) | p \rangle t_f + O(e^{-\delta E t_f})$$

... w/ Bayesian model averaging over fit ranges, $au_{
m cut}$

Example pion ratios: $\tau_1^{(3)}$





Nucleon: bare disconnected GFFs



Pion: bare disconnected GFFs



Hall A/C

42

Hall A/C

Pion: split irreps

Nucleon: split irreps

