Proton GPDs from Lattice QCD at Leading Twist and Beyond

Martha Constantinou





OUTLINE

A. Methods to access GPDs from lattice QCD

B. New results for proton GPDs

- twist-2 transversity GPFs
- twist-3 GPDs
- additional physical information

C. Synergy with phenomenology

D. Concluding remarks



OUTLINE

A. Methods to access GPDs from lattice QCD

- **B.** New results for proton GPDs
 - twist-2 transversity GPFs
 - twist-3 GPDs
 - additional physical information

- **C.** Synergy with phenomenology
- **D.** Concluding remarks









Generalized Parton Distributions

★ GPDs may be accessed via exclusive reactions (DVCS, DVMP) ★ exclusive pion-nucleon diffractive production of a γ pair of high p_{\perp}



[X.-D. Ji, PRD 55, 7114 (1997)]



[J. Qiu et al, arXiv:2205.07846]

- GPDs are not well-constrained experimentally:
 - x-dependence extraction is not direct. DVCS amplitude: $\mathcal{H} =$

$$\frac{1}{1} \frac{H(x,\xi,t)}{x-\xi+i\epsilon} dx$$

(SDHEP [J. Qiu et al, arXiv:2205.07846] gives access to x)

- independent measurements to disentangle GPDs
- GPDs phenomenology more complicated than PDFs (multi-dimensionality)
- and more challenges ...

See Monday afternoon parallel sessions (I & II)



Generalized Parton Distributions

★ GPDs may be accessed via exclusive reactions (DVCS, DVMP) ★ exclusive pion-nucleon diffractive production of a γ pair of high p_{\perp}



[X.-D. Ji, PRD 55, 7114 (1997)]



[J. Qiu et al, arXiv:2205.07846]

- GPDs are not well-constrained experimentally:
 - x-dependence extraction is not direct. DVCS amplitude: $\mathcal{H} =$

$$\int_{-1}^{-1} \frac{H(x,\xi,t)}{x-\xi+i\epsilon} dx$$

(SDHEP [J. Qiu et al, arXiv:2205.07846] gives access to x)

- independent measurements to disentangle GPDs
- GPDs phenomenology more complicated than PDFs (multi-dimensionality)
- and more challenges ...

See Monday afternoon parallel sessions (I & II)

- Essential to complement the knowledge on GPD from lattice QCD
- **★** Lattice data may be incorporated in global analysis of experimental data and may influence parametrization of t and ξ dependence

★ Parton model: physical picture valid for infinite momentum frame

[R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1415 (1969)]

★ PDFs via matrix elements of nonlocal light-cone operators ($-t^2 + \vec{r}^2 = 0$) $f(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dy^- e^{-ixP^+y^-} \langle P, S | \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^+ W \psi_f | P, S \rangle$

★ Light-cone correlations inaccessible from Euclidean lattices ($\tau^2 + \vec{r}^2 = 0$)



★ Parton model: physical picture valid for infinite momentum frame

[R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1415 (1969)]

- ★ PDFs via matrix elements of nonlocal light-cone operators ($-t^2 + \vec{r}^2 = 0$) $f(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dy^- e^{-ixP^+y^-} \langle P, S | \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^+ W \psi_f | P, S \rangle$
- **t** Light-cone correlations inaccessible from Euclidean lattices ($\tau^2 + \vec{r}^2 = 0$)





★ Parton model: physical picture valid for infinite momentum frame

[R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1415 (1969)]

- ★ PDFs via matrix elements of nonlocal light-cone operators ($-t^2 + \vec{r}^2 = 0$) $f(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dy^- e^{-ixP^+y^-} \langle P, S | \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^+ W \psi_f | P, S \rangle$
- **t** Light-cone correlations inaccessible from Euclidean lattices ($\tau^2 + \vec{r}^2 = 0$)





★ Parton model: physical picture valid for infinite momentum frame

[R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1415 (1969)]

- ★ PDFs via matrix elements of nonlocal light-cone operators ($-t^2 + \vec{r}^2 = 0$) $f(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int dy^- e^{-ixP^+y^-} \langle P, S | \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^+ W \psi_f | P, S \rangle$
- **t** Light-cone correlations inaccessible from Euclidean lattices ($\tau^2 + \vec{r}^2 = 0$)







B. Matrix elements of nonlocal operators (quasi-GPDs, pseudo-GPDs)

 $\langle N(P_f) | \bar{\Psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{W}(z,0) \Psi(0) | N(P_i) \rangle_{\mu}$

Nonlocal operator with Wilson line

 $\langle N(P')|O_V^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\nu}}{2m_N}E(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$ $\langle N(P')|O_A^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 \widetilde{H}(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma_5 \Delta^{\mu}}{2m_N} \widetilde{E}(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$ $\langle N(P')|O_T^{\mu\nu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ i\sigma^{\mu\nu}H_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{2m_N}E_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\overline{P}^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m_N^2} \widetilde{H}_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\overline{P}^{\nu]}}{m_N} \widetilde{E}_T(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$



B. Matrix elements of nonlocal operators (quasi-GPDs, pseudo-GPDs)

 $\langle N(P_f) | \bar{\Psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{W}(z,0) \Psi(0) | N(P_i) \rangle_{\mu}$

Nonlocal operator with Wilson line

 $\langle N(P')|O_V^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\nu}}{2m_N}E(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht}, \\ \langle N(P')|O_A^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 \widetilde{H}(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma_5 \Delta^{\mu}}{2m_N} \widetilde{E}(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht}, \\ \langle N(P')|O_T^{\mu\nu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ i\sigma^{\mu\nu}H_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{2m_N}E_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\overline{P}^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m_N^2} \widetilde{H}_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\overline{P}^{\nu]}}{m_N} \widetilde{E}_T(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$



B. Matrix elements of nonlocal operators (quasi-GPDs, pseudo-GPDs)

 $\langle N(P_f) | \bar{\Psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{W}(z,0) \Psi(0) | N(P_i) \rangle_{\mu}$

This talk

Nonlocal operator with Wilson line

 $\langle N(P')|O_V^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\nu}}{2m_N}E(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht}, \\ \langle N(P')|O_A^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 \widetilde{H}(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma_5 \Delta^{\mu}}{2m_N} \widetilde{E}(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht}, \\ \langle N(P')|O_T^{\mu\nu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ i\sigma^{\mu\nu}H_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{2m_N}E_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\overline{P}^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m_N^2} \widetilde{H}_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\overline{P}^{\nu]}}{m_N} \widetilde{E}_T(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$



B. Matrix elements of nonlocal operators (quasi-GPDs, pseudo-GPDs)

 $\langle N(P_f) | \bar{\Psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{W}(z,0) \Psi(0) | N(P_i) \rangle_{\mu}$

This talk

Nonlocal operator with Wilson line

 $\langle N(P')|O_V^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\nu}}{2m_N}E(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$ $\langle N(P')|O_A^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 \widetilde{H}(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma_5\Delta^{\mu}}{2m_N}\widetilde{E}(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$ $\langle N(P')|O_T^{\mu\nu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ i\sigma^{\mu\nu}H_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{2m_N}E_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\overline{P}^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m_N^2}\widetilde{H}_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\overline{P}^{\nu]}}{m_N}\widetilde{E}_T(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$

Calculation challenges

- Standard definition of GPDs in symmetric frame separate calculations at each t
- Statistical noise increases with P₃, t
 Projection:
 billions of core-hours for physical point at P₃ = 3 GeV





B. Matrix elements of nonlocal operators (quasi-GPDs, pseudo-GPDs)

 $\langle N(P_f) | \bar{\Psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{W}(z,0) \Psi(0) | N(P_i) \rangle_{\mu}$

This talk

Nonlocal operator with Wilson line

 $\langle N(P')|O_V^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\nu}}{2m_N}E(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$ $\langle N(P')|O_A^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ \gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5 \widetilde{H}(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma_5\Delta^{\mu}}{2m_N}\widetilde{E}(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$ $\langle N(P')|O_T^{\mu\nu}(x)|N(P)\rangle = \overline{U}(P') \left\{ i\sigma^{\mu\nu}H_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{2m_N}E_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\overline{P}^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m_N^2}\widetilde{H}_T(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma^{[\mu}\overline{P}^{\nu]}}{m_N}\widetilde{E}_T(x,\xi,t) \right\} U(P) + \text{ht},$

Calculation challenges

- Standard definition of GPDs in symmetric frame separate calculations at each t
- Statistical noise increases with P₃, t
 Projection:
 billions of core-hours for physical point at P₃ = 3 GeV



C. Other methods

See next slide



Reviews of methods and applications

- A guide to light-cone PDFs from Lattice QCD: an overview of approaches, techniques and results K. Cichy & M. Constantinou (invited review) Advances in HEP 2019, 3036904, arXiv:1811.07248
- Large Momentum Effective Theory X. Ji, Y.-S. Liu, Y. Liu, J.-H. Zhang, and Y. Zhao (2020), 2004.03543
- The x-dependence of hadronic parton distributions: A review on the progress of lattice QCD M. Constantinou (invited review) Eur. Phys. J. A 57 (2021) 2, 77, arXiv:2010.02445



Matrix elements of non-local operators (space-like separated fields) with boosted hadrons

 $\mathcal{M}(P_f, P_i, z) = \langle N(P_f) | \bar{\Psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{W}(z, 0) \Psi(0) | N(P_i) \rangle_{\mu}$





Matrix elements of non-local operators (space-like separated fields) with boosted hadrons

 $M(P, P, z) = \langle N(P_z) | \bar{\Psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{M}(z, 0) \Psi(0) | N(P_z) \rangle$

$$\tilde{q}_{\Gamma}^{\text{GPD}}(x,t,\xi,P_3,\mu) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{-ixP_3 z} \mathcal{M}(P_f,P_i,z)$$

$$\mathfrak{M}(\nu,\xi,t;z_3^2) \equiv \frac{\mathscr{M}(\nu,\xi,t;z_3^2)}{\mathscr{M}(0,0,0;z^2)} \qquad (\nu = z \cdot p)$$





Matrix elements of non-local operators (space-like separated fields) with boosted hadrons

$$\mathcal{M}(P_{f}, P_{i}, z) = \langle N(P_{f}) | \Psi(z) \Gamma \mathcal{M}(z, 0) \Psi(0) | N(P_{i}) \rangle_{\mu}$$

[X. Ji, Sci. China Phys. M.A. 57 (2014) 1407]

$$\tilde{q}_{1}^{\text{GPD}}(x, t, \xi, P_{3}, \mu) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{-ixP_{3}z} \mathcal{M}(P_{f}, P_{i}, z)$$

Matching in momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the momentum space (Large Momentum Effective Theory)

Matching in the mome

M. Constantinou, QCD Evolutions 2025

Matrix elements of non-local operators (space-like separated fields) with boosted hadrons

A new approach to GPDs from lattice QCD

(leading twist)



$\bigstar \quad \text{Off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators} \\ F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ik \cdot z} \langle p';\lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) \gamma^+ \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p;\lambda \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0,\vec{z}_\perp=\vec{0}_\perp}$

★ Parametrization in two leading twist GPDs

$$F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^+ H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{+\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$



$\bigstar \quad \text{Off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators} \\ F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ik \cdot z} \langle p';\lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) \gamma^+ \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p;\lambda \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0,\vec{z}_\perp=\vec{0}_\perp}$

★ Parametrization in two leading twist GPDs

$$F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^+ H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{+\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

How can one define GPDs on a Euclidean lattice?



$\bigstar \quad \text{Off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators} \\ F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ik \cdot z} \langle p';\lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) \gamma^+ \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p;\lambda \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0,\vec{z}_\perp=\vec{0}_\perp}$

★ Parametrization in two leading twist GPDs

$$F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^+ H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{+\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

How can one define GPDs on a Euclidean lattice?

\star Potential parametrization (γ^+ inspired)

$$F^{[\gamma^3]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^3) = \frac{1}{2P^0} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^3 H_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^3) + \frac{i\sigma^{3\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^3) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

$$F^{[\gamma^0]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^3) = \frac{1}{2P^0} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^0 H_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^3) + \frac{i\sigma^{0\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^3) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$



$\bigstar \quad \text{Off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators} \\ F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ik \cdot z} \langle p';\lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) \gamma^+ \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p;\lambda \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0,\vec{z}_\perp=\vec{0}_\perp}$

★ Parametrization in two leading twist GPDs

$$F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^+ H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{+\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

How can one define GPDs on a Euclidean lattice?

\star Potential parametrization (γ^+ inspired)

$$F^{[\gamma^0]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^3) = \frac{1}{2P^0} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^0 H_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^3) + \frac{i\sigma^{0\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^3) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

$\bigstar \quad \text{Off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators} \\ F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ik \cdot z} \langle p';\lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) \gamma^+ \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p;\lambda \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0,\vec{z}_\perp=\vec{0}_\perp}$

★ Parametrization in two leading twist GPDs

$$F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^+ H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{+\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

How can one define GPDs on a Euclidean lattice?

\star Potential parametrization (γ^+ inspired)

$$F^{[\gamma^3]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^3) = \frac{1}{2P^0} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\frac{1}{2P^0} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\frac{1}{2P^0} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') + \frac{i\sigma^{3\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^3) \right] u(p,\lambda) \right]$$

finite mixing with scalar [Constantinou & Panagopoulos (2017)]

$$F^{[\gamma^0]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^3) = \frac{1}{2P^0} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^0 H_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^3) + \frac{i\sigma^{0\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^3) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

reduction of power corrections in fwd limit [Radyushkin, PLB 767, 314, 2017]



$\bigstar \quad \text{Off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators} \\ F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ik \cdot z} \langle p';\lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) \gamma^+ \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p;\lambda \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0,\vec{z}_\perp=\vec{0}_\perp}$

★ Parametrization in two leading twist GPDs

$$F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^+ H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{+\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

How can one define GPDs on a Euclidean lattice?

\star Potential parametrization (γ^+ inspired)

$$F^{[\gamma^{3}]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^{3}) = \frac{1}{2P^{0}}\bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\left(\bigvee_{1} p',\lambda' \right) + \frac{i\sigma^{3\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^{3}) \right] u(p,\lambda) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{finite mixing with scalar} \\ \text{[Constantinou \& Panagopoulos (2017)]} \end{array}$$

$$F^{[\gamma^{0}]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^{3}) = \left(\bigvee_{1} p' H_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^{3}) + \frac{i\sigma^{0\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^{3}) \right] u(p,\lambda) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{reduction of power} \\ \text{corrections in fwd limit} \\ \text{[Radyushkin, PLB 767, 314, 2017]} \end{array}$$

$\bigstar \quad \text{Off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators} \\ F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ik \cdot z} \langle p';\lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) \gamma^+ \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p;\lambda \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0,\vec{z}_\perp=\vec{0}_\perp}$

★ Parametrization in two leading twist GPDs

$$F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^+ H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{+\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

How can one define GPDs on a Euclidean lattice?

\star Potential parametrization (γ^+ inspired)

$$F^{[\gamma^{3}]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^{3}) = \frac{1}{2P^{0}}\bar{u}(p',\lambda') \Big[\left(\int \varphi (p',\lambda') \left[\left(\int \varphi (p',\lambda') \right] (p',\lambda') + \frac{i\sigma^{3\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^{3}) \right] u(p,\lambda) \rightarrow finite mixing with scalar [Constantinou & Panagopoulos (2017)] \\ F^{[\gamma^{0}]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^{3}) = \left(\int \varphi^{0} H_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^{3}) + \left(\int \varphi^{0} (p',\xi,t;P^{3}) \right] u(p,\lambda) \rightarrow reduction of power corrections in fwd limit [Radyushkin, PLB 767, 314, 2017] \\ \gamma^{0} ideal for PDFs \qquad \gamma^{0} parametrization is prohibitively expensive$$

$\bigstar \quad \text{Off-forward matrix elements of non-local light-cone operators} \\ F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ik \cdot z} \langle p';\lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) \gamma^+ \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p;\lambda \rangle \Big|_{z^+=0,\vec{z}_\perp=\vec{0}_\perp}$

★ Parametrization in two leading twist GPDs

$$F^{[\gamma^+]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda') = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{u}(p',\lambda') \left[\gamma^+ H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{+\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] u(p,\lambda)$$

How can one define GPDs on a Euclidean lattice?

\star Potential parametrization (γ^+ inspired)

$$F^{[\gamma^{3}]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^{3}) = \frac{1}{2P^{0}}\bar{u}(p',\lambda') \Big[\left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi & \varphi \\ \varphi & \varphi \\ \end{array} \right) + \frac{i\sigma^{3\mu}\Delta_{\mu}}{2M} E_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^{3}) \Big] u(p,\lambda) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{finite mixing with scalar} \\ \text{[Constantinou \& Panagopoulos (2017)]} \end{array}$$

$$F^{[\gamma^{0}]}(x,\Delta;\lambda,\lambda';P^{3}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi & \varphi \\ \varphi & \varphi \\ \end{array} \right) \Big[\gamma^{0}H_{Q(0)}(x,\xi,t;P^{3}) + \left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi & \varphi \\ \varphi & \varphi \\ \end{array} \right) \Big] u(p,\lambda) \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{reduction of power} \\ \text{corrections in fwd limit} \\ \text{[Radyushkin, PLB 767, 314, 2017]} \end{array}$$

$$\gamma^{0} \text{ ideal for PDFs} \qquad \gamma^{0} \text{ parametrization is prohibitively expensive}$$

Let's rethink calculation of GPDs !

★ Parametrization of matrix elements in Lorentz invariant amplitudes

Vector [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 106 (2022) 11, 114512]

Axial [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 109 (2024) 3, 034508]



★ Parametrization of matrix elements in Lorentz invariant amplitudes

Vector [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 106 (2022) 11, 114512] [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 109 (2024) 3, 034508]

Tensor $F^{[i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5]}(z,P,\Delta) \equiv \langle p_f; \lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p_i; \lambda \rangle$ [S. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2505.11288]

$$= \bar{u}(p_{f},\lambda') \bigg[P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T1} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}\gamma_{5}A_{T2} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T3} + \gamma^{[\mu}\bigg(\frac{P^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T4} + mz^{\nu]}A_{T5} + \frac{\Delta^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T6}\bigg)\gamma_{5} \\ + m\notz\gamma_{5}\bigg(P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}A_{T7} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}A_{T8} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}A_{T9}\bigg) + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_{5}A_{T10} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu Pz}A_{T11} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu z\Delta}A_{T12}\bigg]u(p_{i},\lambda)$$



★ Parametrization of matrix elements in Lorentz invariant amplitudes

Vector [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 106 (2022) 11, 114512] [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 109 (2024) 3, 034508]

Tensor $F^{[i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5]}(z,P,\Delta) \equiv \langle p_f; \lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p_i; \lambda \rangle$ [S. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2505.11288]

$$= \bar{u}(p_{f},\lambda') \bigg[P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T1} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}\gamma_{5}A_{T2} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T3} + \gamma^{[\mu}\bigg(\frac{P^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T4} + mz^{\nu]}A_{T5} + \frac{\Delta^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T6}\bigg)\gamma_{5}$$
$$+ m \not z\gamma_{5}\bigg(P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}A_{T7} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}A_{T8} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}A_{T9}\bigg) + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_{5}A_{T10} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu Pz}A_{T11} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu z\Delta}A_{T12}\bigg]u(p_{i},\lambda)$$



★ Parametrization of matrix elements in Lorentz invariant amplitudes

Vector [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 106 (2022) 11, 114512] [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 109 (2024) 3, 034508]

Tensor $F^{[i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5]}(z,P,\Delta) \equiv \langle p_f; \lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p_i; \lambda \rangle$ [S. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2505.11288]

$$= \bar{u}(p_{f},\lambda') \left[P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T1} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}\gamma_{5}A_{T2} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T3} + \gamma^{[\mu}\left(\frac{P^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T4} + mz^{\nu]}A_{T5} + \frac{\Delta^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T6}\right)\gamma_{5} + m \not z\gamma_{5}\left(P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}A_{T7} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}A_{T8} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}A_{T9}\right) + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_{5}A_{T10} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu Pz}A_{T11} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu z\Delta}A_{T12} \right] u(p_{i},\lambda)$$

Advantages

- Applicable to any kinematic frame and have definite symmetries
- Lorentz invariant amplitudes A_i can be related to the standard GPDs
- Quasi GPDs may be redefined (Lorentz covariant) to eliminate $1/P_3$ contributions



★ Parametrization of matrix elements in Lorentz invariant amplitudes

Vector [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 106 (2022) 11, 114512] [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 109 (2024) 3, 034508]

Tensor $F^{[i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5]}(z,P,\Delta) \equiv \langle p_f; \lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p_i; \lambda \rangle$ [S. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2505.11288]

$$= \bar{u}(p_{f},\lambda') \bigg[P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T1} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}\gamma_{5}A_{T2} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T3} + \gamma^{[\mu}\bigg(\frac{P^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T4} + mz^{\nu]}A_{T5} + \frac{\Delta^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T6}\bigg)\gamma_{5} \\ + m\notz\gamma_{5}\bigg(P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}A_{T7} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}A_{T8} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}A_{T9}\bigg) + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_{5}A_{T10} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu Pz}A_{T11} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu z\Delta}A_{T12}\bigg]u(p_{i},\lambda)$$

Advantages

- Applicable to any kinematic frame and have definite symmetries
- Lorentz invariant amplitudes A_i can be related to the standard GPDs
- Quasi GPDs may be redefined (Lorentz covariant) to eliminate $1/P_3$ contributions

Goals

- Extraction of standard GPDs using A_i obtained from any frame
- quasi-GPDs may be redefined (Lorentz covariant) inspired by light-cone



★ Parametrization of matrix elements in Lorentz invariant amplitudes

Vector [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 106 (2022) 11, 114512] [S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 109 (2024) 3, 034508]

Tensor $F^{[i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5]}(z,P,\Delta) \equiv \langle p_f; \lambda' | \bar{\psi}(-\frac{z}{2}) i \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \mathcal{W}(-\frac{z}{2},\frac{z}{2}) \psi(\frac{z}{2}) | p_i; \lambda \rangle$ [S. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:2505.11288]

$$= \bar{u}(p_{f},\lambda') \bigg[P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T1} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}\gamma_{5}A_{T2} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}\gamma_{5}A_{T3} + \gamma^{[\mu}\bigg(\frac{P^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T4} + mz^{\nu]}A_{T5} + \frac{\Delta^{\nu]}}{m}A_{T6}\bigg)\gamma_{5} \\ + m\notz\gamma_{5}\bigg(P^{[\mu}z^{\nu]}A_{T7} + \frac{P^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}}{m^{2}}A_{T8} + z^{[\mu}\Delta^{\nu]}A_{T9}\bigg) + i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_{5}A_{T10} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu Pz}A_{T11} + i\epsilon^{\mu\nu z\Delta}A_{T12}\bigg]u(p_{i},\lambda)$$

Advantages

- Applicable to any kinematic frame and have definite symmetries
- Lorentz invariant amplitudes A_i can be related to the standard GPDs
- Quasi GPDs may be redefined (Lorentz covariant) to eliminate $1/P_3$ contributions

Goals

- Extraction of standard GPDs using A_i obtained from any frame
- quasi-GPDs may be redefined (Lorentz covariant) inspired by light-cone

Light-cone GPDs using lattice correlators in non-symmetric frames
Proof of Concept Calculation

Twisted-mass fermions & clover

Name	β	N_{f}	$L^3 \times T$	$a~[{ m fm}]$	M_{π}	$m_{\pi}L$
cA211.32	1.726	u,d,s,c	$32^3 \times 64$	0.093	$260 { m MeV}$	4

zla

Test at zero skewness

- $\vec{p}_f^s = \vec{P} + \vec{Q}/2$, $\vec{p}_i^s = \vec{P} \vec{Q}/2$ $-t^s = \vec{Q}^2 = 0.69 \, GeV^2$ - symmetric frame:
- asymmetric frame:

 $\vec{p}_f^a = \vec{P}$,

 $\vec{p}_i^{\,a} = \vec{P} - \vec{Q}$ $t^a = -\vec{Q}^2 + (E_f - E_i)^2 = 0.65 \, GeV^2$



Dominant magnitude



'זנ'

z/a

Proof of Concept Calculation

Twisted-mass fermions & clover

Name	β	N_{f}	$L^3 \times T$	$a~[{ m fm}]$	M_{π}	$m_{\pi}L$
cA211.32	1.726	u,d,s,c	$32^3 \times 64$	0.093	$260 { m MeV}$	4

Test at zero skewness

- $\vec{p}_f^s = \vec{P} + \vec{Q}/2, \quad \vec{p}_i^s = \vec{P} \vec{Q}/2 \quad -t^s = \vec{Q}^2 = 0.69 \, GeV^2$ - symmetric frame:
- asymmetric frame:

 $\vec{p}_f^a = \vec{P}$,

 $\vec{p}_i^{\,a} = \vec{P} - \vec{Q}$ $t^a = -\vec{Q}^2 + (E_f - E_i)^2 = 0.65 \, GeV^2$

zla



Indeed frame independence

z/a

Smaller magnitude

'זנ'

Proof of Concept Calculation

Twisted-mass fermions & clover

Name	β	N_{f}	$L^3 \times T$	$a~[{ m fm}]$	M_{π}	$m_{\pi}L$
cA211.32	1.726	u,d,s,c	$32^3 \times 64$	0.093	$260 { m MeV}$	4

Test at zero skewness

- symmetric frame: $\vec{p}_f^s = \vec{P} + \vec{Q}/2$, $\vec{p}_i^s = \vec{P} \vec{Q}/2$ $-t^s = \vec{Q}^2 = 0.69 \, GeV^2$
- asymmetric frame:

ר'

 $\vec{p}_f^a = \vec{P}, \qquad \vec{p}_i^a = \vec{P} - \vec{Q}$

$$t^{a} = -\vec{Q}^{2} + (E_{f} - E_{i})^{2} = 0.65 \, GeV^{2}$$



Indeed frame independence

Beyond Exploration

★ Symm. frame: separate calculation for each \overrightarrow{Q}

Asymm. frame: Two classes of \overrightarrow{Q} : $(Q_x, 0, 0), (Q_x, Q_y, 0)$

frame	$P_3 \; [{ m GeV}]$	$\mathbf{\Delta}\left[rac{2\pi}{L} ight]$	$-t \; [\text{GeV}^2]$	ξ	N_{ME}	$N_{ m confs}$	$N_{ m src}$	$N_{ m tot}$
N/A	± 1.25	(0,0,0)	0	0	2	731	16	23392
symm	± 0.83	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.69	0	8	67	8	4288
symm	± 1.25	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.69	0	8	249	8	15936
symm	± 1.67	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.69	0	8	294	32	75264
symm	± 1.25	$(\pm 2,\pm 2,0)$	1.39	0	16	224	8	28672
symm	± 1.25	$(\pm 4,0,0), (0,\pm 4,0)$	2.76	0	8	329	32	84224
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 1,0,0), (0,\pm 1,0)$	0.17	0	8	429	8	27456
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 1,\pm 1,0)$	0.33	0	16	194	8	12416
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.64	0	8	429	8	27456
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 1,\pm 2,0), (\pm 2,\pm 1,0)$	0.80	0	16	194	8	12416
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 2,\pm 2,0)$	1.16	0	16	194	8	24832
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 3,0,0), (0,\pm 3,0)$	1.37	0	8	429	8	27456
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 1, \pm 3, 0), \ (\pm 3, \pm 1, 0)$	1.50	0	16	194	8	12416
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 4,0,0), (0,\pm 4,0)$	2.26	0	8	429	8	27456



T

Momentum transfer range is very optimistic (some values have enhanced systematic uncertainties)

Beyond Exploration

T

★ Symm. frame: separate calculation for each \vec{Q}

Asymm. frame: Two classes of \vec{Q} : $(Q_x, 0, 0), (Q_x, Q_y, 0)$

frame	$P_3 \; [{ m GeV}]$	$\mathbf{\Delta}\left[rac{2\pi}{L} ight]$	$-t \; [{ m GeV}^2]$	ξ	$N_{\rm ME}$	$N_{ m confs}$	$N_{ m src}$	$N_{ m tot}$
N/A	± 1.25	(0,0,0)	0	0	2	731	16	23392
symm	± 0.83	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.69	0	8	67	8	4288
symm	± 1.25	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.69	0	8	249	8	15936
symm	± 1.67	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.69	0	8	294	32	75264
symm	± 1.25	$(\pm 2,\pm 2,0)$	1.39	0	16	224	8	28672
symm	± 1.25	$(\pm 4,0,0), (0,\pm 4,0)$	2.76	0	8	329	32	84224
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 1,0,0), (0,\pm 1,0)$	0.17	0	8	429	8	27456
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 1,\pm 1,0)$	0.33	0	16	194	8	12416
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 2,0,0), (0,\pm 2,0)$	0.64	0	8	429	8	27456
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 1,\pm 2,0), (\pm 2,\pm 1,0)$) 0.80	0	16	194	8	12416
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 2,\pm 2,0)$	1.16	0	16	194	8	24832
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 3,0,0), (0,\pm 3,0)$	1.37	0	8	429	8	27456
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 1, \pm 3, 0), (\pm 3, \pm 1, 0)$) 1.50	0	16	194	8	12416
asymm	± 1.25	$(\pm 4,0,0), (0,\pm 4,0)$	2.26	0	8	429	8	27456



Momentum transfer range is very optimistic (some values have enhanced systematic uncertainties)

M. Constantinou, QCD Evolutions 2025

asymmetric frame

Beyond Exploration

asymmetric frame





Momentum transfer range is very optimistic X (some values have enhanced systematic uncertainties) T

Lorentz invariant definition

$$\mathcal{H}_T = -2A_{T2}\left(1 + \frac{P^2}{M^2}\right) + A_{T4} + A_{T10}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_T = 2A_{T2} - A_{T4}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_T \;=\; -A_{T2}$$

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_T = -2A_{T6} - 2P_3 \, z A_{T8}$

Standard definition (σ^{3j})

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{T}^{s} &= -2A_{T2} \left(1 + \frac{P^{2}}{M^{2}} \right) + A_{T4} - zA_{T8} \left(\frac{E_{f}^{2} - E_{i}^{2}}{2P_{3}} \right) + A_{T10} \\ \mathcal{E}_{T}^{s} &= 2A_{T2} - A_{T4} + zA_{T8} \left(\frac{E_{f}^{2} - E_{i}^{2}}{2P_{3}} \right) \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{T}^{s} &= -A_{T2} - zA_{T12} \frac{M^{2}}{P_{3}} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T}^{s} &= -2A_{T6} - zA_{T8} \frac{(E_{f} + E_{i})^{2}}{2P_{3}} \end{aligned}$$



Lorentz invariant definition

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{T} &= -2A_{T2} \left(1 + \frac{P^{2}}{M^{2}} \right) + A_{T4} + A_{T10} \\ \mathcal{E}_{T} &= 2A_{T2} - A_{T4} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{T} &= -A_{T2} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T} &= -2A_{T6} - 2P_{3} z A_{T8} \end{aligned}$$

Standard definition (
$$\sigma^{3j}$$
)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{T}^{s} &= -2A_{T2} \left(1 + \frac{P^{2}}{M^{2}} \right) + A_{T4} - zA_{T8} \left(\frac{E_{f}^{2} - E_{i}^{2}}{2P_{3}} \right) + A_{T10} \\ \mathcal{E}_{T}^{s} &= 2A_{T2} - A_{T4} + zA_{T8} \left(\frac{E_{f}^{2} - E_{i}^{2}}{2P_{3}} \right) \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{T}^{s} &= -A_{T2} - zA_{T12} \frac{M^{2}}{P_{3}} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T}^{s} &= -2A_{T6} - zA_{T8} \frac{(E_{f} + E_{i})^{2}}{2P_{3}} \end{aligned}$$

★ Definitions for H_T, E_T identical between frames at ξ = 0
 ★ $\widetilde{E}_T(\xi = 0) = 0$, $(A_{T6} = A_{T8} = 0 \text{ at } \xi = 0)$ ★ Definitions for \widetilde{H}_T have small numerical differences



Lorentz invariant definition

$$\mathcal{H}_T = -2A_{T2}\left(1 + \frac{P^2}{M^2}\right) + A_{T4} + A_{T10}$$
$$\mathcal{E}_T = 2A_{T2} - A_{T4}$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_T = -A_{T2}$$

Standard definition (
$$\sigma^{3j}$$
)

$$\mathcal{H}_{T}^{s} = -2A_{T2}\left(1 + \frac{P^{2}}{M^{2}}\right) + A_{T4} - zA_{T8}\left(\frac{E_{f}^{2} - E_{i}^{2}}{2P_{3}}\right) + A_{T10}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{T}^{s} \;=\; 2A_{T2} - A_{T4} + z A_{T8} igg(rac{E_{f}^{2} - E_{i}^{2}}{2P_{3}} igg)$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_T^s = -A_{T2} - zA_{T12} \frac{M^2}{P_3}$$

- ★ Definitions for H_T , E_T identical between frames at $\xi = 0$ ★ $\widetilde{E}_T(\xi = 0) = 0$, $(A_{T6} = A_{T8} = 0 \text{ at } \xi = 0)$
- **\star** Definitions for \widetilde{H}_T have small numerical differences



Lorentz invariant definition

$$\mathcal{H}_T = -2A_{T2} \left(1 + \frac{P^2}{M^2} \right) + A_{T4} + A_{T10}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_T = 2A_{T2} - A_{T4}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_T = -A_{T2}$$

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_T = -2A_{T6} - 2P_3 z A_{T8}$

Standard definition (
$$\sigma^{3j}$$
)

$$\mathcal{H}_{T}^{s} = -2A_{T2}\left(1 + \frac{P^{2}}{M^{2}}\right) + A_{T4} - zA_{T8}\left(\frac{E_{f}^{2} - E_{i}^{2}}{2P_{3}}\right) + A_{T10}$$

$${\cal E}_T^s \;=\; 2A_{T2} - A_{T4} + z A_{T8} igg(rac{E_f^2 - E_i^2}{2P_3} igg)$$

- ★ Definitions for H_T , E_T identical between frames at $\xi = 0$ ★ $\widetilde{E}_T(\xi = 0) = 0$, $(A_{T6} = A_{T8} = 0 \text{ at } \xi = 0)$
- **\star** Definitions for H_T have small numerical differences

 $\mathcal{E}_T = 2A_{T2} - A_{T4}$

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_T = -2A_{T6} - 2P_3 z A_{T8}$

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_T = -A_{T2}$

T

Lorentz invariant definition

$$\mathcal{H}_T = -2A_{T2}\left(1 + \frac{P^2}{M^2}\right) + A_{T4} + A_{T10}$$

Standard definition (
$$\sigma^{3j}$$
)

$$\mathcal{H}_{T}^{s} = -2A_{T2}\left(1 + \frac{P^{2}}{M^{2}}\right) + A_{T4} - zA_{T8}\left(\frac{E_{f}^{2} - E_{i}^{2}}{2P_{3}}\right) + A_{T10}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{T}^{s} = 2A_{T2} - A_{T4} + z A_{T8} \left(\frac{E_{f}^{2} - E_{i}^{2}}{2P_{3}} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{T}^{s} &= -A_{T2} - z A_{T12} \frac{M^{2}}{P_{3}} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T}^{s} &= -2A_{T6} - z A_{T8} \frac{(E_{f} + E_{i})^{2}}{2P_{3}} \end{aligned}$$

★ Definitions for H_T , E_T identical between frames at $\xi = 0$ ★ $\widetilde{E}_T(\xi = 0) = 0$, $(A_{T6} = A_{T8} = 0 \text{ at } \xi = 0)$ ↓ Definitions for \widetilde{H} base small numerical differences

\star Definitions for H_T have small numerical differences



M. Constantinou, QCD Evolutions 2025

Final Results







- \star +x (-x) region: quarks (anti-quarks)
- anti-quark region susceptible to more systematic uncertainties
- small- and large-x region not reliably extracted
- ★ large -t values unreliable but free

Final Results







- \star +x (-x) region: quarks (anti-quarks)
- anti-quark region susceptible to more systematic uncertainties
- small- and large-x region not reliably extracted
 - $\operatorname{Re}[\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_T]$ $\operatorname{Re}[\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{T}^{s}]$ 0.04 $\widetilde{E}_T(\xi=0)=0$ 0.02 0.00 -0.02-0.04Ó 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18 20 zla
- ★ large -t values unreliable but free

Reminder: Unpolarized & Helicity GPDs



Physical Interpretation



- \star $E_T + 2H_T$ related to transverse spin structure of the proton
- Impact parameter space: describes the deformation in the distribution of \star transversely polarized quarks within an unpolarized proton.

★ $k_T = \int dx \left(E_T(x,0,0) + 2\widetilde{H}_T(x,0,0) \right)$: size of dipole moment given by distribution $E_T + 2\widetilde{H}_T = -A_4$ (good signal)

Physical Interpretation



★ $E_T + 2\widetilde{H}_T$ related to transverse spin structure of the proton

★ Impact parameter space: describes the deformation in the distribution of transversely polarized quarks within an unpolarized proton.

★ $k_T = \int dx \left(E_T(x,0,0) + 2\widetilde{H}_T(x,0,0) \right)$: size of dipole moment given by distribution ★ $E_T + 2\widetilde{H}_T = -A_4$ (good signal) M. Constantinou, QCD Evolutions 2025

Physical Interpretation



★ $E_T + 2\widetilde{H}_T$ related to transverse spin structure of the proton

★ Impact parameter space: describes the deformation in the distribution of transversely polarized quarks within an unpolarized proton.

★ $k_T = \int dx \left(E_T(x,0,0) + 2\widetilde{H}_T(x,0,0) \right)$: size of dipole moment given by distribution ★ $E_T + 2\widetilde{H}_T = -A_4$ (good signal) M. Constantinou, QCD Evolutions 2025

From Raw Data to Rich Insights



Alternative approach: pseudo-ITD

Example: unpolarized GPDs case



[Battacharya et al., PRD 110 (2024) 5, 054502]

Different steps between approaches:

- renormalization
- *x*-dependence reconstruction
- matching formalism



Alternative approach: pseudo-ITD

Example: unpolarized GPDs case

Т



[Battacharya et al., PRD 110 (2024) 5, 054502]

Different steps between approaches:

- renormalization
- *x*-dependence reconstruction
- matching formalism

Comparison between methods helps assess systematic effects



Comparison only includes systematic uncertainties

Example: unpolarized GPDs case Leading-twist factorization formula

$$\mathcal{M}(z, P, \Delta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{F}(z, P, \Delta)}{\mathcal{F}(z, P = 0, \Delta = 0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-izP)^n}{n!} \frac{C_n^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)}{C_0^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)} \langle x^n \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 z^2)$$

Avoid power-divergent mixing of multi-derivative operators

- ★ Wilson coefficients known to NLO (or NNLO)
- Both isovector and isoscalar (ignores disconnected; found tiny) [C. Alexandrou et al., PRD 104 (2021) 5, 054503]



Example: unpolarized GPDs case Leading-twist factorization formula

$$\mathcal{M}(z,P,\Delta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{F}(z,P,\Delta)}{\mathcal{F}(z,P=0,\Delta=0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-izP)^n}{n!} \frac{C_n^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)}{C_0^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)} \langle x^n \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2 z^2)$$

 \star Avoid power-divergent mixing of multi-derivative operators

★ Wilson coefficients known to NLO (or NNLO)

Both isovector and isoscalar (ignores disconnected; found tiny) PRD 104 (2021) 5, 054503]





Example: unpolarized GPDs case Leading-twist factorization formula

$$\mathcal{M}(z,P,\Delta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{F}(z,P,\Delta)}{\mathcal{F}(z,P=0,\Delta=0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-izP)^n}{n!} \frac{C_n^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)}{C_0^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)} \langle x^n \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2 z^2)$$

- **Avoid power-divergent mixing of multi-derivative operators**
- Wilson coefficients known to NLO (or NNLO)

[C. Alexandrou et al., Both isovector and isoscalar (ignores disconnected; found tiny) PRD 104 (2021) 5, 0545031





Example: unpolarized GPDs case Leading-twist factorization formula

$$\mathcal{M}(z,P,\Delta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{F}(z,P,\Delta)}{\mathcal{F}(z,P=0,\Delta=0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-izP)^n}{n!} \frac{C_n^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)}{C_0^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)} \langle x^n \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2 z^2)$$

Avoid power-divergent mixing of multi-derivative operators

Wilson coefficients known to NLO (or NNLO)

[C. Alexandrou et al., Both isovector and isoscalar (ignores disconnected; found tiny) PRD 104 (2021) 5. X 0545031





Example: unpolarized GPDs case Leading-twist factorization formula

$$\mathcal{M}(z,P,\Delta) \equiv \frac{\mathcal{F}(z,P,\Delta)}{\mathcal{F}(z,P=0,\Delta=0)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-izP)^n}{n!} \frac{C_n^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)}{C_0^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu^2 z^2)} \langle x^n \rangle + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2 z^2)$$

- **Avoid power-divergent mixing of multi-derivative operators**
- Wilson coefficients known to NLO (or NNLO)

[C. Alexandrou et al., Both isovector and isoscalar (ignores disconnected; found tiny) PRD 104 (2021) 5. X 0545031



Beyond leading twist

- ★ Lack density interpretation, but have physical interpretation
- ★ Contain information about quark-gluon correlations inside hadrons
- ★ Sensitive to soft dynamics
- ★ Appear in QCD factorization theorems for various observables
- Challenging to probe experimentally and isolate from leading-twist [Defurne et al., PRL 117, 26 (2016); Defurne et al., Nature Commun. 8, 1 (2017)]
- ★ Can be as sizable as leading twist



Beyond leading twist

- ★ Lack density interpretation, but have physical interpretation
- ★ Contain information about quark-gluon correlations inside hadrons
- ★ Sensitive to soft dynamics
- ★ Appear in QCD factorization theorems for various observables
- Challenging to probe experimentally and isolate from leading-twist [Defurne et al., PRL 117, 26 (2016); Defurne et al., Nature Commun. 8, 1 (2017)]
- ★ Can be as sizable as leading twist

[S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 102 (2020) 11 (Editors Selection)]





Beyond leading twist

- ★ Lack density interpretation, but have physical interpretation
- ★ Contain information about quark-gluon correlations inside hadrons
- ★ Sensitive to soft dynamics
- ★ Appear in QCD factorization theorems for various observables
- Challenging to probe experimentally and isolate from leading-twist [Defurne et al., PRL 117, 26 (2016); Defurne et al., Nature Commun. 8, 1 (2017)]
- ★ Can be as sizable as leading twist



Mixing with q-g-q correlators; matching: [V. Braun et al., JHEP 05 (2021) 086; JHEP 10 (2021) 087]



 Kinematic twist-3 contributions to pseudo & quasi GPDs to restore translation invariance
 [V. Braun et al., JHEP 10 (2023) 134]





[S. Bhattacharya et al., PRD 102 (2020) 11 (Editors Selection)]

Amplitude decomposition



Example: axial twist-3 GPDs



Amplitude decomposition







Parametrization, gives info, e.g., access to \widetilde{E} -GPD even at zero skewness



$$\int_{-1}^1 dx\,\widetilde{E}(x,\xi,t)=G_P(t)\qquad \int_{-1}^1 dx\,\widetilde{G}_i(x,\xi,t)=0\,,$$



Amplitude decomposition



Example: axial twist-3 GPDs

Parametrization, gives info, e.g., access to \widetilde{E} -GPD even at zero skewness



$$\int_{-1}^1 dx \, \widetilde{E}(x,\xi,t) = G_P(t) \qquad \int_{-1}^1 dx \, \widetilde{G}_i(x,\xi,t) = 0 \,,$$



Similar methodology for tensor twist-3 GPDs (chiral odd) $F^{[\sigma^{+-}\gamma_{5}]} = \bar{u}(p') \left(\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5} \tilde{H}'_{2} + \frac{P^{+}\gamma_{5}}{M} \tilde{E}'_{2}\right) u(p)$

[Meissner et al., JHEP 08 (2009) 056]

★ Fwd limit (h_L) may be accessed via:
 - double-polarized Drell-Yan process
 [R. Jaffe, PRL 67 (1991) 552-555; Y. Koike et al., PLB 668 (2008) 286]

- di-hadron single spin asymmetries (CLAS) [Gliske et al., PRD 90 (2014) 11, 114027; A. Vossen, CIPANP2018, arXiv: 1810.02435]

- single-inclusive particle production

'זנ'

in proton-proton collisions [Y. Koike et al., PLB 759 (2016) 75]

Synergy/Complementarity of lattice and phenomenology







Toward synergy for GPDs

[K. Cichy et al., PRD 110 (2024) 11, 114025]

Example: unpolarized GPDs

T



- Good agreement for up quark; reasonable agreement for down quark
- Further study needed on how to combine lattice results with data



How to lattice QCD data fit into the overall effort for hadron tomography



How to lattice QCD data fit into the overall effort for hadron tomography

★ Lattice data may be incorporated in global analysis of experimental data and may influence parametrization of t and ξ dependence

How to lattice QCD data fit into the overall effort for hadron tomography

★ Lattice data may be incorporated in global analysis of experimental data and may influence parametrization of t and ξ dependence



- 1. Theoretical studies of high-momentum transfer processes using perturbative QCD methods and study of GPDs properties
- 2. Lattice QCD calculations of GPDs and related structures
- 3. Global analysis of GPDs based on experimental data using modern data analysis techniques for inference and uncertainty quantification


How to lattice QCD data fit into the overall effort for hadron tomography

★ Lattice data may be incorporated in global analysis of experimental data and may influence parametrization of t and ξ dependence



- 1. Theoretical studies of high-momentum transfer processes using perturbative QCD methods and study of GPDs properties
- 2. Lattice QCD calculations of GPDs and related structures
- 3. Global analysis of GPDs based on experimental data using modern data analysis techniques for inference and uncertainty quantification

Other GPD global analysis efforts:

- Gepard [https://gepard.phy.hr/]
- PARTONS [https://partons.cea.fr]
- EXCLAIM [https://exclaimcollab.github.io/web.github.io/#/]



Concluding Remarks

- ★ New developments in several promising directions
- **★** Extensive program in extracting GPDs from lattice QCD
- ★ New methods can optimize computational resources
- ★ Access to higher-twist GPDs feasible from lattice QCD
- Synergy with phenomenology has the potential to enhance the impact of lattice QCD data and complement data sets



DOE Early Career Award Grant No. DE-SC0020405 & Grant No. DE-SC0025218



 Office of Science
 QUARK-GLUON TOMOGRAPHY COLLABORATION
 Award Number: DE-SC0023646







Join us at EINN 2025

https://2025.einnconference.org/

28 October – 01 November, 2025

Frontiers and Careers Workshops: 26 - 27 October, 2025

Organizers:

- M. Constantinou (Chair)
- A. Denig (Vice-Chair)
- C. Alexandrou
- A. Deshpande
- B. Pasquini





Abstract submission is Open!

Other topics relevant to EINN

Poster

Talk in workshop 1 "Non-perturbative approaches for hadron struct Talk in workshop 2: "AI & ML in nuclear science: starting with design,







DOE Early Career Award (NP) Grant No. DE-SC0020405 & Grant No. DE-SC0025218









T



QUARK-GLUON TOMOGRAPHY COLLABORATION

Award Number: DE-SC0023646

Toward synergy for GPDs

★ Forming ratios of GPDs seems to suppress systematic uncertainties

[K. Cichy et al., PRD 110 (2024) 11, 114025]





- VGG (dashed curve)
- Good agreement for up quark
- Reasonable agreement for down quark
- Further study
 needed on how to
 combine lattice
 results with data



M. Constantinou, QCD Evolutions 2025