Muon Detection: *Its Significance and Strategy for the* DDVCS Experiment at Hall C

Debaditya Biswas¹ ¹Postdoctoral Research Associate, Virginia Tech

Hall C Collaboration Meeting, Jefferson Lab Jan 13-14, 2025



1	
- Contraction	GPDs
l	

DDVCS

EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

Muon Detector

PLAN TO MOVE FORWARD

Accessing GPDs through exclusive reactions



Biswas<u>, D. (VT)</u>

GPDs

Hall C 2025

3

DDVCS



Fig1: DDVCS Source : M, Boer. et.al. Eur. Phys. J. A (2015) 51:

WHY
$$\mu^+ + \mu^-$$
 final state ?

 $eN \rightarrow e'N'l^+l^-$

Due to anti-symmetrization and beam electrons : final state electrons are indistinguishable from the beam electrons

So, we rely on the muons at the final state

WHY DDVCS?

- 1. The incoming and outgoing photon virtualities : $Q^2 = -q^2$, $Q'^2 = -q'^2$
- 2. The four momentum transfer to nucleon : $\Delta = q q'$

3. $t = \Delta^2$

- 4. Decomposition of the skewness variables in terms of virtualities and $\Delta \xi = \frac{Q^2 Q^2 + (\Delta^2/2)}{2(Q^2/x_B) Q^2 Q'^2 + \Delta^2}$, $\xi' = -\frac{Q^2 + Q'^2}{2(Q^2/x_B) Q^2 Q'^2 + \Delta^2}$
- 5. $Q^{'2}$ dependence of the numerators enables us to access the off diagonal Phase space , in the contrary for TCS and DVCS $\xi = \xi'$

WHY HALL C?

- High luminosity helps in obtaining precise DDVCS measurement
- 2. Cross sections can be measure (not only asymmetry) with sufficient resolution ->helps to examine the GPD evolution
- 3. All these together help to deconvolute the kinematic variables ->essential for the proton's tomographic picture

Experimental Setup : Di-Lepton Spectrometer

- 1. Current Hall C setup is not suitable for this kind of measurement
- 2. To do an exclusive measurement a new di-lepton spectrometer is needed
- The same detector design can be adopted as shown in the previous talk for unpolarized TCS measurements if a muon detector is added to the setup
- 4. A dipole magnet is placed right after the scattering chamber to spatially separate μ^+ and μ^-
- 5. Detectors are placed in 4 quadrants
 - 1. Trackers (e.g. GEMs)
 - 2. Hodoscope (e.g. Scintillators)
 - 3. Calorimeter (e.g. NPS)
 - 4. Muon Detectors



Scattering Chamber & Target

Calorimeter



Geant4 simulation of scattering chamber and target

- 1. Scattering chamber inner diameter = 41 inches
- 2. Scattering chamber outer diameter = 45 inches
- 3. Angular range : horizontal HMS : 3.2 to 77.0 degrees
- 4. Angular range : SHMS : 3.2 to 47.0 degrees
- 5. Vertical angular range : ± 17.3 degrees
- 6. Target thickness of Entrance and exit cap = 0.1778 cm
- Target cell wall thickness = 0.0254 cm



Geant4 simulation calorimeter

- 1. e^-, e^+, P detection and PID
- 2. Clones of the NPS calorimeter at Hall C
- 3. 2x2x20 cm² PBWO4 scintillator crystal
- 4. Expected energy resolution $\frac{2.5\%}{\sqrt{E}} + 1\%$
- 5. Coordinate resolution $\sim 3 \text{ mm at } 1 \text{ GeV}$
- 6. Fly's eye assembly of 23x23 matrix of total 2116 modules

Biswas, D. (VT)

Traget & Calorimeter

Hall C 2025

Magnet : Separate the outgoing particles



CAD Drawing for Super Bigbite Magnet Source : <u>https://userweb.jlab.org/~bogdanw/SBS-general.pdf</u>

1. The field integral is 2.0 Tesla-meter with 1.2 m long pole



Geant4 simulation of simple magnet geometry

GEM Tracker

Hodoscopes

- 1. GEM tracker will be used to track e^- , e^+ , P
- 2. Coordinate reconstruction accuracy ~80 µm
- 3. Background rate tolerance up to 106 Hz/ mm²
- 4. Minimum material thickness along particle pass
- 5. Big size manufacturing Use at Jlab: SBS, SoLID DDVCS, Prad



- 1. For recoil proton detection and and PID
- 2. To provide dE/dX signal from low momentum recoil protons
- 2x2x5 cm³ scintillators arranged in "Fly's eye" hodoscopic construction



Muon Energy Distribution

How to Detect Muons?

- 1. World Wide Experiments
 - 1. Belle Experiment K_L^0 and Muon Subsystem
 - 2. CLEOII
 - 3. EIC KLM Proposal
 - 4. CPP experiment at Hall D etc...
- 2. Main theme of any muon detector : multiple layers of background absorber and active material (to pick up signal) placed alternatively
- 3. For example : The Hall D muon detector is composed of six layers of MWPC (U-V layers) and five layer of absorbers arranged in this order : 5 cm Pb , U-V layer, 10 cm steel, U-V layer, 15 cm steel, U-V layer, 35 cm steel, U-V layer, 35 cm steel, U-V layer, U-V layer.
- 4. Hall C :
 - 1. Large pion background, di-lepton spectrometer is a open geometry model, no shielding around
 - Comparable mass of muon (105.7 MeV) and pion (139.570 MeV) makes it harder for traditional SHMS/ HMS PID (e.g. cannot tune Cherenkov to one particle and not for the other)
 - 3. Space constraint : No space for large detector array
 - 4. Engineering constraint : How to hold bulky detectors in four quadrants
 - 5. Money constraint : Can't be too expensive



Source : https://halldweb.jlab.org/DocDB/0049/004903/002/ CPP_ERR_Eng_Feb_2021_v4.pdf

GlueX Experiment Document 4903-v2, by Timothy Whittch

Pion signal w and w/o an absorber in front of scintillator



Multiple absorber-scintillator



- Next step : From simple 1 absorber and 1 scintillator model to 4 absorber (iron / lead) - 4 scintillator model
- Different combinations of absorber widths were tried, e.g. 20 cm-20cm-20cm-20cm; 40cm-20cm-20cm-20cm; 40cm-40cm-20cm-20cm
- 3. 10,000 Pions and muons were shot from a particle gun
- 4. Total number of interactions from only pions / muons were counted in each of the scintillator
- 40cm-40cm-20cm-20cm turns out to be the most effective in blocking pions

40 cm iron -scint 1 - 40 cm iron - scint 2 -

20 cm iron - scint 3 - 20 cm iron - Scint 4

hits in each lay	er of scintillator			4 GeV
particle	scint 1	scint 2	scint 3	scint 4
mu-	9998	9998	9998	9998
pi+	3088	452	132	48
hits in each lay	er of scintillator			6 GeV
hits in each lay particle	er of scintillator scint 1	scint 2	scint 3	6 GeV scint 4
hits in each lay particle mu-	er of scintillator scint 1 9997	scint 2 9996	scint 3 9996	6 GeV scint 4 9996

40 cm lead -scint 1 - 40 cm lead - scint 2 -20 cm lead - scint 3 - 20 cm lead - Scint 4

hits in each lay	er of scintillator			4 GeV
particle	scint 1	scint 2	scint 3	scint 4
mu-	10000	10000	9999	9997
pi+	2028	245	66	18
hits in each lay	er of scintillator			6 GeV
particle	scint 1	scint 2	scint 3	scint 4
mu-	10000	9997	9996	9994
pi+	3001	417	146	50

- 1. Of course this is 1st (or even 0th) order of study
- 2. Interactions below some threshold will not be detected
- 3. Multiple scattering of same particle within a time interval of O(10 ns) cannot be resolved
- 4. Comprehensive study of the DDVCS background is needed with more realistic Geant4 simulation

Pulse Shape Discrimination : e^{-}/π PID



ADC values (mV) [pedestal subtracted] vs time (ns)



SHMS Calorimeter : shower counter F-101 type lead glass blocks

- 1. FADC250 mode 10 full waveform data
- 2. Records the ADC value in every 4 nS

 $I_1 = Full wave integral (bin_{max} - 5 : bin_{max} + 20)$ $I_2 = Prompt wave integral (bin_{max} - 5 : bin_{max} + n)$ N = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5PSD Parameter : R = (I_2-I_1)/I_1

Biswas, D. (VT)

13

Pulse Shape Discrimination : e^{-}/π PID



PSD parameter vs full wave integral



PSD parameter vs full wave integral







PSD parameter vs full wave integral



- 1. No separation is seen along R direction
- 2. So, PSD is not very useful for SHMS calorimeter data
- 3. Does not produce much scintillation light component
- 4. Other detectors :
 - 1. BigByte?
 - 2. Gluex?
 - 3. Class12?
 - 4. NPS Lead Tungstate (PbWO₄) : RG1 data not useful for e^{-}/π separation, as only neutral particles reach the spectrometer. Probably γ/π^0 separation can be checked.
 - 5. LAD Scintillator detector : If some data were taken in FADC250 mode 10 , it will be useful for PSD analysis

Prototype

- 1. No Geant4 simulation can100% mimic the experimental reality
- 2. So, at some point we should think of making and testing a prototype in real hall environment
- 3. Making a prototype is comparatively easy , testing is not !
- 4. Data taking with the prototype need to be non invasive to current Hall setup
- 5. The test run should be parasitic to other approved experiments
- 6. Placing the prototype anywhere on the hall floor is not an option :
 - Then no control over the particles going into the detector
 - 2. Cannot determine the momentum / energy of the particle
 - 3. Will flood the detector with huge background
- 7. Can we think of any platform behind the SHMS (or another existing spectrometer in Hall A or C) to place the prototype ?
 - In that case most of the backgrounds will be shielded by the spectrometer
 - 2. Particle momentum will be known using the SHMS magnet , data will be interpretable
 - 3. Then we can think of optimizing the Geant4 simulation for this conditions





Hall C 2025

Plan for moving forward



Biswas, D. (VT)

Plan Forward

Hall C 2025

Summary

- 1. DDVCS is important to access the off diagonal terms of GPDs
- 2. The muon channel is need to be investigated for DDVCS reaction
- 3. The di-lepton spectrometer design for unpol. TCS program can be adopted if we add a Muon Detector
- 4. Muon Detector : Constrains :
 - 1. Large pion background
 - 2. Space Constraint to use large shielding materials
 - 3. Budget
- 5. To Do List :
 - 1. Full DDVCS background study
 - 2. Finalize the Geant4 Simulation
 - 3. Building the prototype and testing
 - 4. Finding other PID methods (e.g. PSD)
 - 5. How AI/ML can help in the design