QGT: Theory Highlights and Perspectives

- ★ Specialization in designing and using effective field theories, chiral perturbation theory, perturbative QCD, and models of QCD
- ★ Hadron structure-related investigations, e.g., QCD factorization, factorization breaking effects, lepton-nucleon interactions, light-front gauge topology





Bridge junior faculties

[SCET/Theory]: S. Fleming, T. Mehen, F. Ringer, I. Stewart; [Instanton]: E. Shuryak, I. Zahed; [ChEFT]: J. Goity, C. Weiss; [CPM]: P. Schweitzer; [Small-x]: A. Metz, F. Salazar, F. Yuan Other talks: + Z. Yu

Postdocs

- Fatma Aslan (JLab)
- Adam Freese (University of Washington, now JLab)
- □ Yuxun Guo (LBL)
- □ Jun-Young Kim (JLab)
- □ Kyle Lee (MIT)

Graduate students

- Sarah Blask (University of Arizona)
- Brean Maynard (University of Connecticut)
- Jinghong Yang (University of Maryland)
- Ignacio Castelli, Chris Cocuzza (Temple University)



Milestones

- [SCET] Analyze factorization for exclusive quarkonia production at leading power for all regions using SCET and NRQCD, including the large and small Q2 regions and quarkonia production at threshold
- [Instanton] Apply the light-front Hamiltonian method to compute the GPDs, explore the nucleon spin/mass sum rule, and help to unveil the parton correlation due to strong interaction non-perturbative physics

Year 2:

Year 1:

- [Small-x] Make quantitative connection of the GPD factorization formalism to the CGC/color-dipole formalism for various exclusive processes
- [CPM] Apply the Covariant Parton Model to the GPDs of quark and gluons, eventually the parton Wigner distributions

Year 3:

[SCET] Use SCET to investigate factorization at subleading power in DVCS, including hadron mass corrections and the factorization and resummation of potential endpoint singularities

Year 4:

[ChEFT] Perform large-Nc analysis of hard exclusive pion production with N->\Delta transitions and a combined chiral and 1/Nc analysis of nucleon energy-momentum tensor form factors

Work in progress

[Small-x] Quantitative study of hard diffractive dijet and di-hadron production at future EIC and explore novel processes to probe the quark/gluon Wigner distribution in the valence and moderate x region

Year 5:

rrrrr

[SCET] Study relativistic corrections and other subleading effects in heavy quarkonia production for cases where such corrections are likely to be important

Toward finishin









Quark counting, Drell-Yan-West, Pion Wave Function

Modern derivation of D-Y W relation between $\lim_{x\to 1} q(x)$ and $F(\Delta^2)$

- Much current interest in these properties for the pion, to be measured by JLab and EIC
- MA & GM did modern version of the relation new non-perturbative technique to derive model wave functions $\lim_{x \to 1} q(x) = (1 - x)^n \to F(\Delta^2) \sim \frac{\log(\Delta^2)}{(\Delta^2)^{(n+1)/2}}$ EIC projection

0.4

0.2

Alberg, Miller 2403.03356 (hep-ph)

Two models agree with existing data for low Δ^2 , disagree strongly at higher Δ^2 to be measured in future experiments

10

20

30



0.6 0.4

0.2

9/14/24

_____ Δ² (GeV²)

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5

40 Δ² (GeV²)



model study: chiral-odd GPDs of the nucleon $H_T^q(x,\xi,t)$, $E_T^q(x,\xi,t)$, $\tilde{H}_T^q(x,\xi,t)$, $\tilde{E}_T^q(x,\xi,t)$

- first model study in bag model: $H^q_T(x,\xi,t) \neq 0$ and others = 0 Scopetta, PRD72, 117502 (2005)
- but all 4 chiral-odd GPDs≠0 in lightfront constituent quark model (LFCQM) Pasquini et al (2005) and in all other quark models used since that. Why do quark models disagree so much?
- worth to investigate! General credibility of quark models at risk. Investigated in recent preprint:

K. Tezgin, B. Maynard, P. Schweitzer, "Chiral-odd GPDs in bag model," arXiv:2404.11563

• results:

in bag model all 4 chiral-odd GPDs \neq 0 !!



agreement with other models (LFCQM) at low scale $\mu_0 < 1 \text{ GeV}$ bag model satisfies $\int dx \, \tilde{E}_T^q(x,\xi,t) = 0$ (most models do not!) different quark models credible within $\pm 40\%$ (except \tilde{E}_T^q)



compare to lattice at $\mu = 2$ GeV but quasi GPDs at $P_z = 1.67$ GeV (limit $P_z \rightarrow \infty$ not yet possible) Alexandrou et al, PRD105 (2022)

consistent quark model picture emerges (valence x, small |t|)

 $\tilde{E}_T^q(x,\xi,t)$ difficult for models, and for lattice (small, node at valence-x)

chiral-odd GPDs difficult to measure (e.g. two-vector-meson-production) EIC(?)







Nonlocal chiral contributions to GPDs of the proton at nonzero skewness: arXiv:2406.03412v1 [hep-ph]; PRD in press

Z. Gao, F. He, C.-R. Ji, W. Melnitchouk, Y. Salamu, and P. Wang



- Nonlocal generalization of the effective Lagrangian provides systematic finite range regularization
- Nonzero skewness provides testing ground for the theory via polynomiality condition
- Extension to gravitational form factors, A, B and D=4C



Gluonic structure from topological fields

Instanton vacuum: Topological gauge fields \rightarrow chiral symmetry breaking \rightarrow nonperturbative gluonic structure. Effective description using semiclassical approx, parametric expansion. Complementary to LQCD

Instanton effects in twist-3 GPDs

Large instanton effects in twist-3 QCD operators. QCD gauge interaction converted to effective spin-flavor interaction. Numerous implications for hadron structure

J.-Y. Kim, C. Weiss, Phys. Lett. B 848 (2024) 138387 [INSPIRE]

Twist-3 spin-orbit correlations in nucleon

Large instanton effects in twist-3 spin-orbit correlations. Qualitiative change from quark model expectations

J.-Y. Kim, H.-Y. Won, H.-C. Kim, C. Weiss, arXiv:2403.07186

Trace anomaly and pion gravitational form factors

Trace anomaly from "topological compressibility" of QCD vacuum. Low-energy theorems from broken scale invariance

W.-Y. Liu, E. Shuryak, C. Weiss, I. Zahed, arXiv:2405.14026

Jun-Yong's talk

-1.5

-2.0

QCD equation-of-motion

relations preserved

0.2

 $\tilde{F}^{u+d}(t)[\operatorname{kin}]$

 $\tilde{F}^{u+d}(t)[\text{pot}]$

 $-\frac{1}{2}G_{E}^{u+d}(t)$

Christian's slide

Ismail's talk

Theory and Phenomenology









A New Look to Azimuthal Modulations and Extraction of GPDs in DVCS

Zhite Yu (Jefferson Lab, Theory Center)

In collaboration with Jianwei Qiu and Nobuo Sato

PRD 107 (2023) 014007 arXiv: 2409.06882 papers in preparation



Sep/13/2024 JLab CEBAF





Near-threshold Jpsi photo-production to probe GPDs



- Will be sensitive to the gluonic Compton form factors (gCFFs)
- We can then extract the GFFs from the gCFFs, utilizing the large skewness kinematics in the near-threshold region in the heavy quark limit.



Complementary to high energy scattering: Yuxun's talk





Gluon GPDs from exclusive J/ψ production Jyotirmoy Roy@Duke

• Matrix elements factorization: (1) photon transition to heavy quarkonium state, (2) gluon GPDs coupling to nucleon states

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dx}{x} \left[C_{\perp,g} \left(\xi/x, Q^2 \right) g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu} + C_{L,g} \left(\xi/x, Q^2 \right) l^{\mu\nu} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} + \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right] \left(\frac{\langle O_1 \rangle_V}{m^3} \right)^{1/2} \frac{F^g(x,\xi)}{x} +$$



- Calculation of (1) using NRQCD factorization to NLO [Ivanov et. al. (2004), Chen and Qiao (2019), Flett et. al. (2021)]
- Relativistic corrections can be large: ${}^{3}S_{1}$ decay to lepton pair > 100 % [Bodwin and Petelli (2002)], gluon fragmentation to spin-triplet ~ 40 % color-octet, ~ 50 % color-singlet [Bodwin and Lee (2004)], etc.

 Relativistic corrections in exclusive HVM production leads to double poles in the matching coefficient
 Earlier works: Hoodbhoy, 1998

$$C_{\perp,g}^{(0)}\left(\xi/x,Q^2\right) \sim \frac{1}{(x-\xi)(x+\xi)}, \qquad C_{\perp,g}^{(2)}\left(\xi/x,Q^2\right) \sim \frac{1}{(x-\xi)^2(x+\xi)^2} \quad \text{Lappi et al 2020}$$

• Principal value prescription applicable in the leading calculation fails for the relativistic correction. The region $x = \pm \xi$ is sensitive to soft partons and therefore the small light-cone components of the partons needs to be considered in this region



Explore New Opportunities



9/14/24



Transition GPD program

GPDs with N $\rightarrow \pi N$, Δ , N* transitions: Explore 3D structure of baryon resonances in QCD

Sampled in exclusive processes with N $\rightarrow \pi N$ transitions at JLab12, first results coming

White Paper produced with leadership and contributions from QGT members

C. Weiss (editor), M. Constantinou, Y. Guo, J.-Y. Kim et al., arXiv:2405.15386

Christian's slide



	(will be inserted by the editor)			
	Exploring Baryon Resonances Parton Distributions: Status a	with Transition Generalized nd Perspectives		
*	S. Dichl ^{17,44} , K. Jou ²⁶ , K. Jermenov, Than-Shansky ¹⁸ , C. Walas ¹⁶ , V. Brauel, ¹ W. C. Canagl, P. C. Handagano, ¹ M. Constantinova, ¹ Y. Cuu ⁵ , P. T. F. Hutanerule, ¹ HS. Jo ⁴ , A. Kine ⁷ , JY. Kine ¹ , P. Kerell ¹⁸ , S. Kumano ¹¹ , CH. Lee ¹⁷ , S. Luitl ¹⁸ , R. McNuthy ¹⁸ , HD. Son ¹⁶ , P. Sanajder ¹⁶ , A. Usman ¹⁷ , C. Van Hulse ¹⁸ , M. Vanderhæghen ¹⁵ , M. Winn ²⁶			
1 [hep-ph] 24 May 2024	 Janes Laking Universitä Ginken, 3390 Ginken, Gramang ²University of Construct, Sinsex (T Orong, USA ⁴Nyangayoo National University, Deepe 11566, Korea ⁴Naman Adirenson National Acolestor Perilik, Newyort News, VA 2006, USA ⁴Dantani differon National Acolestor Perilik, Newyort News, VA 2006, USA ⁴Dantani differon National Acolestor Perilik, Newyort News, VA 2006, USA ⁴Dantani differon National Acolestor Perilik, Newyort News, VA 2006, USA ⁴Navisala Science Division, Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory, Berkley CA 94720, USA ⁴Navisala Science Division, Lawrence Berkley National Laboratory, Berkley CA 94720, USA ⁴Dapartani ef Physics, Payang National University (PNNN), Banas 4051, Korea ⁴Dapartani ef Physics, Payang National University (PNNN), Banas 4051, Korea ⁴Dapartani ef Physics, Payang National University (PNNN), Banas 4051, Korea ⁴Dapartani ef Physics, Payana National University, Benkhey KKB, Thakaha, Banaka, 300-0001, Japa ⁴Dapartani ef Physics, Payana National University, Benkhey KKB, Thakaha, Banaka, 300-0001, Payan, Payana Physics, Deatamang, Takawang Yang, Casadortus et al. (National Science Science), Japas 400, Payang 100, Payan, Chasdortus et al. (National Views), Payang Markang Angel, PNNN, Banaka 4021, Korea and Ania Pacific Cater for Theoretical Physics, Payang 3073, Jorea ⁴Physics, Balawang Markaha, Jaborotang 100, Japas 401, Korea and Ania Pacific Cater for Theoretical Physics, Payang 3073, Jorea ⁴Physics, Physics, Payang Science, Japas 402, Jorea and Ania Pacific Cater for Theoretical Physics, Payang 3073, Jorea 40244, Jorea and Ania Pacific Cater for Theoretical Physics, Pasatang 402, Payangang 402, Payangang 402, Payanganganganganganganganganganganganganga			
5386v	¹⁰ Universitäda de Akala, 2880, Akala de Henares, Spain ¹⁰ Universitä, Kashan Kasha, Kashan Kashan, Kashan, Kashan Kashan, Kas			
arX1V:2405.1	Abstract QCD gives rise to a rich spectrum of excited baryon states. Understanding their internal structure is important for may areas of nuclear physics, such as nu- clear forces, dense matter, and neutrino-nucleus inter- actions. Generalized parton distributions (ICPb) are an established tool for characterizing the QCD stru- ture of the ground-state nucleon. They are used to cre- ate 3D tomographic images of the quark/gion struc- ture and quantify the mechanical properties such as the distribution of mass, angular momentum and forces in the system. Transitions GPDs extend these concepts to $N \rightarrow N^*$ transitions and can be used to characterize insafer scalarise decitorgrowtic norposesses with res- transfer excitory electroproduction processes with res-	on ance transitions $e+N \rightarrow e^++M+N^*$, such as deeply virtual Compton scattering $(M=\gamma)$ or meson production $(M=\pi)K_{cc}(\lambda)$, and in related photon/hadro tion $(M=\pi,K_{cc}(\lambda))$, and in related photon/hadro This White Paper describes a research program aim ing to explore baryon resonance structure with transition GPDs, this includes the properties and integration of the transition GPDs, theoretical methods for JLab 12 GeV, future measurements with existing arphaned facilities (JLab detector and energy upgued COMPASS/AMBER, EIC, EaC, J-PARC, LHC ultr peripheral collision), and the theoretical and experimental events for the method of a structure and prediction of the transition GPDs, theoretical and energy method events and energy upgrades and the structure and prediction of the transition of		
	*Editors ^b Corresponding author e-mail: stefan.dichl@exp2.physik.uni- dicesen.de. sdichl@ilab.org			



New avenue: semi-inclusive and inclusive diffractive DIS





Factorization: Kyle's talk Ha

lancu-Mueller-Triantafyllopoulos, 2112.06353; Hatta-Xiao-Yuan, 2205.08060, Hatta-Yuan, 2403.19609; Guo, Yuan, 2312.01008

- Flavor dependence in the diffractive PDFs
- TMD dependence can be measured and so as the correlation between k_⊥ and Δ_⊥



More handle on the GPD extractions





Compute the diffractive PDFs at small-x

 $\times \mathcal{F}_{x_{I\!P}}(k_{2\perp}, \Delta_{\perp}) \frac{N_c \beta}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{T}_q(k_{\perp}, k_{1\perp}, k_{2\perp})$

TOMOGRAPHY

COLLABORATION

Definition is similar to TMDs for inclusive processes
 Requires large rapidity gap/color-singlet exchange
 $x \frac{d f_q^D(\beta, k_\perp; x_{IP})}{dY_{IP} dt d\phi_\Delta} = \int d^2 k_{1\perp} d^2 k_{2\perp} \mathcal{F}_{x_{IP}}(k_{1\perp}, \Delta_\perp)$

9/14/24

Summarize the leading TMD DPDFs

Elliptic:

$$x \frac{df_{q\epsilon}^{D}(\beta, k_{\perp}; x_{IP})}{dY_{IP} dt d\phi_{\Delta}} = \frac{N_{c} \beta \Delta_{\perp}^{2}}{16(1-\beta)^{2}} \Gamma^{q} \Gamma_{\epsilon}^{q} \cos(2\phi_{k} - 2\phi_{\Delta})$$

Sivers:

$$x \frac{df_{1Tq}^{D\perp}(\beta, k_{\perp}; x_{I\!\!P})}{dY_{I\!\!P} dt} = \frac{\pi N_c \beta}{8(1-\beta)^2} \Gamma^q \Gamma_{S_{\perp}}^q$$

No linearly polarized gluon TMD DPDF!! contrast to the non-diffractive case (Metz-Zhou 2011)



9/14/24



Extend to the moderate and large-x



Transition between pert. to non-pert.

- At low transverse momentum, power corrections become important and will modify the transverse momentum dependence
- Applying GTMD will help transition from pert. to non-pert. region





9/14/24



Gluon Tomography from Different Perspective: Spinning gluon at the LHC



Guo, Liu, Yuan, Zhu, arXiv: 2406.05880 Guo, Liu, Yuan, arXiv: 2408.14693



22



9/14/24

Two simple examples: Higgs, Top pair

1.0

0.5

 $\cos(2\phi)$ asymmetries for Higgs and top pair at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

 $A_{\cos(2\phi)}^{\text{Top pair}}(\Delta y=0, p_T=0)$

 $A_{\cos{(2\phi)}}^{\mathrm{Higgs}}$

Higgs couples to the spinning gluon directly

$$\hat{\sigma}_2 = \hat{\sigma}_0 = \pi g_\phi^2 / 64$$

Top quark pair is different

Different story for jet production: a power counting rule



■ Helicity structure from QCD processes: (1) $cos(2\phi)$ vanishes for dijet at LO and NLO; (2) vanishes at the LO for three-jet; (3) for four-jet, it is a LO effect

Number of Jets	2	3	≥ 4	_
$\langle \cos(2\phi) \rangle$ asymmetry	$\mathcal{O}(lpha_s^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(lpha_s)$	$\mathcal{O}(1)$	_
9/14/24				- 2



Future looks bright: on track to finish milestones

- [SCET] Analyze factorization for exclusive quarkonia production at leading power for all regions using SCET and NRQCD, including the large and small Q2 regions and guarkonia production at threshold
- [Instanton] Apply the light-front Hamiltonian method to compute the GPDs, explore the nucleon spin/mass sum rule, and help to unveil the parton correlation due to strong interaction non-perturbative physics

Year 2:

Year 1:

- [Small-x] Make guantitative connection of the GPD factorization formalism to the CGC/color-dipole formalism for various exclusive processes
- [CPM] Apply the Covariant Parton Model to the GPDs of guark and gluons, eventually the parton Wigner distributions

Year 3:

[SCET] Use SCET to investigate factorization at subleading power in DVCS, including hadron mass corrections and the factorization and resummation of potential endpoint singularities

Year 4:

[ChEFT] Perform large-Nc analysis of hard exclusive pion production with N->\Delta transitions and a combined chiral and 1/Nc analysis of nucleon energy-momentum tensor form factors

Work in progress

[Small-x] Quantitative study of hard diffractive dijet and di-hadron production at future EIC and explore novel processes to probe the quark/gluon Wigner distribution in the valence and moderate x region

Year 5:

ererei

[SCET] Study relativistic corrections and other subleading effects in heavy guarkonia production for cases where such corrections are likely to be important

Toward finishin





