# SHERPA 3 for DIS and EIC physics POETIC 2025

**Daniel Reichelt** 

Funded by the European Union







### The SHERPA framework

- ME generators for hard process
  - Comix, Amegic
  - + interfaces to loop libraries
    (OpenLoops, Recola, MCFM)
- Parton Showers
  - CSShower, Dire
- Underlying Event/MPI model
- Hadronisation
  - Cluster Fragmentation, + interface to Pythia
- QED radiation via YFS resummation





### **SHERPA 3 — multi-purpose event generation**

- (Selected) Features:
  - Fixed Order
    - NLO QCD+EW,
    - **NNLO** QCD (selected  $\bullet$ processes)
  - Automated NLO (QCD) matching in S-MC@NLO
  - UN2LOPS matching to NNLO QCD
  - multi-jet merging in CKKW-L
  - Approximate **EW**corrections in matching & merging (EWvirt/EWSud)
  - **Photoproduction @ NLO** QCD + PS

radiation

- radiation from final state leptons
- initial state radiation at
- extended by  $\gamma \to ff$ splittings
- Polarised
  - beams
  - intermediate particles
- MPI/MinBias and fragmentation modelling, including color reconnection

**bold -** added/significantly updated in Sherpa 3 development, some back-ported to Sherpa 2

YFS resummation of photon

 $e^+e^-$  colliders

- **External Interfaces:** 
  - HepMC 3
  - UFO 2 (including form factors)
  - RIVET 3/4
  - LHAPDF + several explicit pdf interfaces including various photon pdfs
  - OpenLoops/ Recola/MCFM/ MadLoops/ BlackHat
  - Pythia 8 (string fragmentation)











### State of the art at the LHC



## Some highlights from the $\forall e^{\nu_e \nu_\mu} j j$

- current theory successes at LHC, traditional focus of SHERPA







![](_page_5_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Whats in the box

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

7

### The SHERPA framework

- ME generators for hard process
  - Comix, Amegic
  - + interfaces to loop libraries
    (OpenLoops, Recola, MCFM)
- Parton Showers
  - CSShower, Dire
- Underlying Event/MPI model
- Hadronisation
  - Cluster Fragmentation, + interface to Pythia
- QED radiation via YFS resummation

![](_page_7_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_11.jpeg)

### Matching

- Event simulation factorised into
  - Hard Process
  - Parton Shower

Standard for LHC SM pheno:

- matching to NLO QCD, 2 main schemes: Powheg [Nason '04] and MC@NLO [Frixione, Webber '02]
- concepts in general not collider
  dependent, but some recent DIS specific studies [Banfi, Ravasio, Jäger, Karlberg, Reichenbach '23], [Knobbe, DR, Schumann '23]

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

### MC@NLO ma

- - subtraction term

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Photoproduction

- low  $Q^2$  region will be important, real photon production at  $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$
- focus on photo production of jets

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Effective Photon Approximation (EPA), based on Weizsäcker-Williams formula

$$dn = \frac{\alpha_{\rm em}}{2\pi} \frac{dx}{x} \left[ \left( 1 + (1-x)^2 \right) \log \left( \frac{Q_{\rm max}^2}{Q_{\rm min}^2} \right) - 2m_e^2 x^2 \left( \frac{1}{Q_{\rm min}^2} - \frac{1}{Q_{\rm min}^2} \right) \right] \right]$$

### $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$

12

### **New Parton Showers - NLL accuracy**

- typical claim based on accuracy of splitting functions etc.
  - parton showers  $\sim$  NLL accurate if CMW scheme for strong coupling is used
- observation in [Dasgupta, Dreyer, Hamilton, Monni, Salam '18] (PanScales collaboration):
  - subtleties arise in distribution of recoil for subsequent emissions  $\Rightarrow$  phase space where accuracy is spoiled if soft gluon absorbs recoil
  - + in colour assignment
  - also: set of tests for shower accuracy [Dasgupta, Dreyer, Hamilton, Monni, Salam '20]
- Several solutions/re-evaluations of parton shower concepts:
  - [Dasgupta,Dreyer,Hamilton,Monni,Salam,Soyez '20], [vanBeekveld,Ferrario Ravasio,Hamilton,Salam,Soto-Ontoso, Soyez '22]
  - [Forshaw, Holguin, Plätzer '20]
  - [Nagy, Soper '11]
  - [Herren, Krauss, DR, Schönherr, Höche '22]

![](_page_12_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_14.jpeg)

### 0.1 Alaric at the $L^{+}$ $L^{+}$ $L^{+}$

• Alaric [Herren et. al. 22] for LHC applications [Höche, Krauss, DR 24]

 $K = \sum p, z_i \rightarrow z$ 

1.5

2

- analytical proof of NLL correctness + numerical validation for  $e^+e^- \rightarrow jj$
- LO merging available
- New view of treatment of coherence and split between soft/collinear correction CMS,  $\Delta \phi(Z, J1)$ ,  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV
  - $\Rightarrow$  preparing new view  $\delta h^{D}$
- Missing pieces for full réléase:
  - MC@NLO (subtraction terms known, need to validate and fix bugs...)
  - DIS implementation (i.e. treatmenset 0.8 0.7

0.5

0.6 0.5

![](_page_13_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Looking back to HERA

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Looking back to HERA

- Still (or again) active analyses of HERA data
- A lot of lessons learned since data taking
  - Experimental/Pheno side:
    - new observables, jet substructure techniques etc.
  - Theory side:

    - interest in tuning, prepare for EIC + clean environment for beam fragmentation (without massive UE/MPI contamination)

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

### general NLO matching/merging available now, challenge new tools

![](_page_15_Picture_14.jpeg)

# **Tuning** to

- "Traditional"
  - Tune FS a LHC data
- "Clean" env
  - No UE/M

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_6.jpeg)

# **Predictions for**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

- comparison agains se RAPGAP and current

GeV

0.7]

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Photoproduction

- New in Sherpa 3: Photoprodet ction
  processes including MC@NL<sup>1</sup>
  matching [Höche, Krauss, Meinzinger '23]
- photon spectrum in effective photon approximation
- photon either directly takes part in hard process or is "resolved" into quarks/hadrons
   photon either directly takes part in hard process or is
- photon pdf (i.e. partons in the photon) limit precision

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Hard Diffraction

 New Implementation based on Pomeron pdf approach [Meinzinger, Krauss '24]

$$\sigma^{(\text{DDIS})}\left(ep \to eXY\right) = \int_0^{x_{I\!\!P,\max}} \mathrm{d}x_{I\!\!P} \int_{t_{\text{cut}}}^{t_{\min}} \mathrm{d}t \int_0^1$$

- Matrix element calculate at NLO and matched in MC@NLO approach
- Also: diffractive photoproduction, connected to conceptual questions about factorisation

 $\mathrm{d}x_i f_i^D\left(x_i, \mu_F, x_{I\!\!P}, t\right) \,\hat{\sigma}\left(ei \to eXY\right)$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

0

 $d\sigma/dQ^2$  [pb/GeV<sup>2</sup>]

4.5
4
3.5
3
2.5
2
1.5
1
0.5
0 2
1.5
1
0.5

DIS
_
<u> </u>
-
-
_
<u> </u>
<b>—</b>
$\vdash$
<b>–</b>
-
<b>—</b>
_
$\vdash$
_
<u> </u>
<b>–</b>
<b>—</b>
F
-
_

# Summary & Outlook Preparing for the EIC

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

 As well as photo production, see [Meinzinger, Krauss '24]

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_8.jpeg)

[qd]

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

### (NLO) Merged CC/NC predictions for the EIC

- Study with EIC kinematics [Meinzinger, DR, Silvetti WIP]
- Including CC with MC@NLO and MEPS@NLO
- Uncertainty budget with NP uncertainties from replicas [Knobbe, DR, Schumann '23]

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Summary

- Sherpa 3 multi purpose event generator
  - Traditional focus on perturbative precision calculations applicable at high energy scales (e.g.  $Q^2$ ) matched to parton showers
  - merging of matrix elements with many jets
    - crucial for extrapolation to small  $Q^2$
  - Photoproduction for  $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$ , related ideas for hard diffractive process
  - Re-evaluating DIS simulation with HERA data and making first predictions for the EIC

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)