Quantum anomalies & Generalized Parton Distributions



Shohini Bhattacharya

University of Connecticut 26 February 2025

Based on:

Arxiv: 2210.13419, 2305.09431

with: Yoshitaka Hatta (BNL) Werner Vogelsang (Tubingen U.)

Arxiv: 2411.07024

with: Yoshitaka Hatta (BNL) Jakob Schoenleber (BNL)

Physics Opportunities at an Electron-Ion Collider XI



Florida International University

Outline



Motivation: Chiral & trace anomalies & GPDs



Non-perturbative relations between GPDs mediated by anomalies

Outline



Motivation: Chiral & trace anomalies & GPDs



Non-perturbative relations between GPDs mediated by anomalies

Classical:

- U(1) axial symmetry: QCD Lagrangian invariant under global chiral rotation of fermionic fields
- Quantity conserved: Axial-vector current $J_5^{\mu} = \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \psi_f$



Classical:

- U(1) axial symmetry: QCD Lagrangian invariant under global chiral rotation of fermionic fields
- Quantity conserved: Axial-vector current $J_5^{\mu} = \sum_f \bar{\psi}_f \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \psi_f$

Consequence:



$$\langle P_2 | J_5^{\mu} | P_1 \rangle = \bar{u}(P_2) \left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 g_A(t) + \frac{l^{\mu} \gamma_5}{2M} g_P(t) \right] u(P_1)$$

Current conservation leads to (take $\partial_{\mu}J_{5}^{\mu}=0$):

$g_P(t) \sim$	$2Mg_A(0)$	$2M\Delta\Sigma$
2M ~	t	t

Pole at t=0 from massless η_0 exchange

Quantum mechanical:

U(1) axial symmetry is explicitly broken by chiral anomaly

Chiral anomaly equation:

$$\partial_{\mu}J_{5}^{\mu} = -\frac{n_{f}\alpha_{s}}{4\pi}F^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \qquad \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}$$

6



Quantum mechanical:

U(1) axial symmetry is explicitly broken by chiral anomaly

Chiral anomaly equation:

$$\partial_{\mu}J_{5}^{\mu} = -\frac{n_{f}\alpha_{s}}{4\pi}F^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \qquad \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F_{\rho\sigma}$$

Consequence: In real QCD, there is no massless pole in form factor due to pole cancellation

$$\frac{g_P(t)}{2M} = \frac{1}{t} \left[i \frac{\langle P_2 | \frac{n_f \alpha_s}{4\pi} F \tilde{F} | P_1 \rangle}{\bar{u}(P_2) \gamma_5 u(P_1)} - 2M g_A(t) \right] \sim \frac{1}{t - m_{\eta'}^2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t} \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{t} - \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{$$



Classical:

- Conformal symmetry: QCD Lagrangian invariant under scale transformation
- Quantity conserved: Dilatation current $D^{\mu} = \Theta^{\mu\nu} x_{\nu}$ $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$: Energy Momentum Tensor (EMT)



Classical:

- Conformal symmetry: QCD Lagrangian invariant under scale transformation
- Quantity conserved: Dilatation current $D^{\mu} = \Theta^{\mu\nu} x_{\nu}$ $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$: Energy Momentum Tensor (EMT)

Consequence:

$$P_1 \qquad P_2$$
$$t = (P_2 - P_1)^2 \equiv l^2$$

 $\langle P_2 | \Theta^{\alpha\beta} | P_1 \rangle = \bar{u}(P_2) \left[A(t) \frac{P^{\alpha} P^{\beta}}{M} + (A(t) + B(t)) \frac{P^{(\alpha} i \sigma^{\beta)\lambda} l_{\lambda}}{2M} + D(t) \frac{l^{\alpha} l^{\beta} - g^{\alpha\beta} t}{4M} \right] u(P_1)$

Current conservation leads to traceless EMT ($\Theta^{\alpha}_{\alpha}=0$):

 $\frac{3D(t)}{\Lambda} \approx \frac{M^2}{t}$ | Pole at t=0 from massless particle exchange

Quantum mechanical:

Conformal symmetry is explicitly broken by trace anomaly

Trace anomaly equation:







Quantum mechanical:

Conformal symmetry is explicitly broken by trace anomaly

Trace anomaly equation:

$$\Theta^{\mu}_{\mu} = \frac{\beta(g)}{2g} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$$

Consequence: In real QCD, there is no massless pole in form factor due to pole cancellation

Glueball mass generation

(Mamo, Zahed, 2021/ Fujita et al, 2022)



Anomalies in QCD



Outline



Motivation: Chiral & trace anomalies & GPDs



Non-perturbative relations between GPDs mediated by anomalies



THE ANOMALOUS GLUON CONTRIBUTION TO POLARIZED LEPTOPRODUCTION

G. ALTARELLI and G.G. ROSS¹ CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland

Received 29 June 1988

Gluonic contribution to g_1 and its relationship to the spin-dependent parton distributions

Geoffrey T. Bodwin and Jianwei Qiu*

THE ROLE OF THE AXIAL ANOMALY IN MEASURING SPIN-DEPENDENT PARTON DISTRIBUTIONS

R.D. CARLITZ Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15260, USA

J.C. COLLINS Department of Physics, Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL 60616, USA

and

A.H. MUELLER Department of Physics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

Received 22 August 1988

The role of chiral anomaly in polarized DIS is a well-known old story

See Tarasov's talk

THE g_1 PROBLEM: DEEP INELASTIC ELECTRON SCATTERING AND THE SPIN OF THE PROTON*

R.L. JAFFE and Aneesh MANOHAR**

Recent developments



Chiral and trace anomalies in Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering II: QCD factorization and beyond

First calculation

Shohini Bhattacharya,
1,* Yoshitaka Hatta,
2,1,† and Werner Vogelsang^3,‡

We explored the physics of anomaly in DVCS using Feynman-diagram approach



Chiral and trace anomalies in Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering II: QCD factorization and beyond

Shohini Bhattacharya,^{1, *} Yoshitaka Hatta,^{2, 1, †} and Werner Vogelsang^{3, ‡}

We explored the physics of anomaly in DVCS using Feynman-diagram approach





Chiral and trace anomalies in Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering II: QCD factorization and beyond

Shohini Bhattacharya,^{1, *} Yoshitaka Hatta,^{2, 1, †} and Werner Vogelsang^{3, ‡}

We explored the physics of anomaly in DVCS using Feynman-diagram approach







Perturbative calculations of box diagrams

Main findings:

We computed one-loop Compton amplitude in all channels (quark/gluon, polarized/unpolarized) using t as a regulator and find:

- Collinear logs (complete GPD evolution kernel)
- Anomaly poles
- Sudakov double poles

Demonstrated that all the singularities can be absorbed into GPDs by infrared matching

Beyond factorization: What happens to the anomaly poles within GPDs?



Perturbative calculations of box diagrams

Main findings:

We computed one-loop Compton amplitude in all channels (quark/gluon, polarized/unpolarized) using t as a regulator and find:

- Collinear logs (complete GPD evolution kernel)
- Anomaly poles
- Sudakov double poles

Demonstrated that all the singularities can be absorbed into GPDs by infrared matching

Beyond factorization: What happens to the anomaly poles within GPDs?

Chiral and trace anomalies in Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering : QCD factorization and beyond

hohini Bhattacharya,^{1,*} Yoshitaka Hatta,^{2,1,†} and Werner Vogelsang^{3,‡}

Ne explored the physics of anomaly in DVCS using Feynman-diagram approach





Polarized DVCS & chiral anomaly



Ji, Osborne; Belitsky, Mueller

Polarized DVCS & chiral anomaly











Perturbative calculations of box diagrams

Main findings:

We computed one-loop Compton amplitude in all channels (quark/gluon, polarized/unpolarized) using t as a regulator and find:

- Collinear logs (complete GPD evolution kernel)
- Anomaly poles
- Sudakov double poles

Demonstrated that all the singularities can be absorbed into GPDs by infrared matching

Beyond factorization: What happens to the anomaly poles within GPDs?



Fate of anomaly pole

Chiral anomaly pole in GPD $ilde{E}$:



Remarks:

• We absorbed the $\frac{1}{t}$ pole in GPD \tilde{E} . What does this mean physically?

The GPD \tilde{E} cannot have $\frac{1}{t}$ pole; instead, it should have $\frac{1}{t-m_{\eta'}^2}$. This shift $\frac{1}{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{t-m_{\eta'}^2}$ is well-known to occur in form factor $g_P(t)$. Can we discuss the same thing for GPD?



Fate of anomaly pole

Chiral anomaly pole in GPD $ilde{E}$:



Remarks:

• We absorbed the $\frac{1}{t}$ pole in GPD \tilde{E} . What does this mean physically?

The pole that we found exactly integrates to:





Fate of anomaly pole

Chiral anomaly pole in GPD $ilde{E}$:



Remarks:

• We absorbed the $\frac{1}{t}$ pole in GPD \tilde{E} . What does this mean physically?

The pole that we found exactly integrates to:



Can we make this correspondence more precise for GPD, nonperturbatively?



Fate of anomaly pole

Chiral anomaly pole in GPD $ilde{E}$:



Remarks:

- The GPD $ilde{E}$ cannot have $rac{1}{t}$ pole; instead, it should have $rac{1}{t-m_{n'}^2}$.
- Unlike the anomaly pole, the η_0 pole does not appear in perturbation theory. Instead, it can be addressed through an effective action approach (see Tarasov's talk), but later we will explicitly derive the η_0 pole and find that its residue consists of the polarized GPD \tilde{H} and twist-4 GPDs.

Fate of anomaly pole

Chiral anomaly pole in GPD $ilde{E}$:



Fate of anomaly pole:

Cancellation with non-perturbative pole arising due to η_0 exchange

(Witten-Veneziano scenario at the GPD level)





Fate of anomaly pole

Chiral anomaly pole in GPD $ilde{E}$:



Upon integrating, we exactly reproduce the pole-cancellation expression for the form factor

Fate of anomaly pole

Chiral anomaly pole in GPD $ilde{E}$:

The physics of anomalies present at the level of Form Factor is established for the first time at the level of GPDs

Unpolarized DVCS & trace anomaly

Trace anomaly pole in GPDs H & E :

$$H \sim -E \sim \frac{\alpha_s}{l^2} A \otimes \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ixP^+z^-} \frac{\langle P_2 | F^{\mu\nu}(-z^-/2) W F_{\mu\nu}(z^-/2) | P_1 \rangle}{\bar{u}(P_2)u(P_1)}$$
(Non-local) trace anomaly manifests itself in the GPD

Fate of anomaly pole:

Pole cancellation results in the generation of glueball mass



Chiral and trace anomalies in Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering : QCD factorization and beyond

hohini Bhattacharya,^{1, *} Yoshitaka Hatta,^{2,1,†} and Werner Vogelsang^{3,‡}

Ne explored the physics of anomaly in DVCS using Feynman-diagram approach









Example: Antisymmetric case

 $\sim \frac{1}{l^2} + \tilde{\kappa}_{qq}(\hat{x}, \hat{\xi}) \ln \frac{Q^2}{-l^2} + \delta \tilde{C}_1^q(\hat{x}, \hat{\xi})$

No pole!







Outline



Motivation: Chiral & trace anomalies & GPDs



Non-perturbative relations between GPDs mediated by anomalies



Nonlocal chiral anomaly and generalized parton distributions

Shohini Bhattacharya Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545, USA

Yoshitaka Hatta Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA and RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA

Jakob Schoenleber

RIKEN BNL Research Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA



Main findings:

• Derived η_0 pole of nonperturbative origin to cancel the 1/t anomaly pole:

$$\tilde{E}_{f}(x_{B}, l^{2}) + \tilde{E}_{f}(-x_{B}, l^{2}) = \underbrace{\tilde{E}_{f}^{\text{c.t.}}(x_{B}, l^{2}) + \tilde{E}_{f}^{\text{c.t.}}(-x_{B}, l^{2})}_{\eta_{0} \text{ pole}} + \underbrace{\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi} \frac{2M}{l^{2}}}_{\text{Anomaly pole}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(x_{B}, l^{2})$$



Main findings:

- Derived η_0 pole of nonperturbative origin to cancel the 1/t anomaly pole.
- The 1/t poles had been identified in partonic scattering amplitudes and GPDs were evaluated using partonic matrix elements. It had been argued that they must be 'promoted' to proton matrix elements in order to be consistent with form factor relation:

$$g_A(t) + \frac{t}{4M^2}g_P(t) = \frac{i}{2M}\frac{\langle p'|\frac{n_f\alpha_s}{4\pi}F\tilde{F}|p\rangle}{\bar{u}(p')\gamma_5u(p)}$$

We now derived the distributions as well as the 't' at the proton (not partonic) level.



Main findings

Non-local chiral anomaly equation at the operator level:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \left[\bar{\psi}(z_{2}^{-}) W \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \psi(z_{1}^{-}) \right] = O_{F}(z_{2}^{-}, z_{1}^{-}) - \frac{n_{f} \alpha_{s}}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \int_{0}^{1-\alpha} d\beta F^{\mu\nu}(z_{12}^{\beta-}) \tilde{W} \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \left(z_{21}^{\alpha-} \right) + \dots$$

$$\mathsf{Twist-4 \ GPD:} \quad O_{F}(z_{2}, z_{1}) \equiv i z^{\nu} \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha \bar{\psi}(z_{2}) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} W g F_{\mu\nu}(z_{21}^{\alpha}) W \psi(z_{1}),$$

$$z^{\mu} \equiv z_{1}^{\mu} - z_{2}^{\mu}, \qquad (z_{21}^{\alpha})^{\mu} \equiv \alpha z_{2}^{\mu} + (1-\alpha) z_{1}^{\mu}$$

- The full non-Abelian contribution of $F\tilde{F}$ and the Wilson line is included, unlike in perturbative calculations.
- Agreement with Mueller, Teryaev, 1997, but we further clarified the accuracy of this relation (leading log).



Main findings

Non-local chiral anomaly equation at the operator level:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\left[\bar{\psi}(z_{2}^{-})W\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi(z_{1}^{-})\right] = O_{F}(z_{2}^{-},z_{1}^{-}) - \frac{n_{f}\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{1}d\alpha\int_{0}^{1-\alpha}d\beta F^{\mu\nu}(z_{12}^{\beta-})\tilde{W}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}\left(z_{21}^{\alpha-}\right) + \dots$$

Byproduct:

In the **local limit**, one can make use of the following identity:

$$4z^{\nu}F_{\nu\mu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\rho}z_{\rho} = -z^2F^{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

This showed us that the symmetric limit procedure $\lim_{x\to 0} \frac{x^{\mu}x^{\nu}}{x^2} \to \frac{g^{\mu\nu}}{4}$ is actually unnecessary even in Peskin's textbook derivation of the chiral anomaly.



Main findings

Non-local chiral anomaly equation with proton matrix element:

$$\Delta_{\mu}P^{+}\int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi}e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}}\langle p'|\bar{\psi}(-z^{-}/2)W\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_{5}\psi(z^{-}/2)|p\rangle = \frac{n_{f}\alpha_{s}M}{2\pi}\tilde{C}^{anom}\otimes\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(x,\xi,t) + O_{F}(x,\xi,t)$$

$$iO_F(x,\xi,t) = P^+ \int \frac{dz^-}{2\pi} e^{ixP^+z^-} \langle p'|O_F(-z^-/2,z^-/2)|p\rangle$$



Main findings

1) Relations between the twist-2 and twist-4 GPDs of the proton mediated by the chiral anomaly:

$$\tilde{E} + \tilde{E}_4 = \frac{4M^2}{t} \left(\frac{n_f \alpha_s}{2\pi} \tilde{C}^{anom} \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_4) - \tilde{H} - \tilde{H}_4 + O_{2F} + O_{4F} \right), \qquad \begin{pmatrix} t = \frac{\mathsf{Hadron-level}}{\mathsf{level}} \\ \mathsf{Variable} \end{pmatrix}$$

This is the **anomaly pole** that has been identified in the partonic level calculations of the DVCS amplitude & GPDs (SB, Hatta, Vogelsang) & in (Tarasov, Vengugopalan) for polarized DIS



Main findings

1) Relations between the twist-2 and twist-4 GPDs of the proton mediated by the chiral anomaly:

$$\tilde{E} + \tilde{E}_4 = \underbrace{\frac{4M^2}{t}}_{0} \left(\frac{n_f \alpha_s}{2\pi} \tilde{C}^{anom} \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_4) - \tilde{H} - \tilde{H}_4 + O_{2F} + O_{4F} \right), \qquad \left(t = \frac{\text{Hadron-level}}{\text{Variable}} \right)$$

$$\eta_0 \text{ pole}$$

$$O_F(x, \xi, t) = \bar{u}(p') \left[\Delta^- \gamma^+ \gamma_5 O_{F2} + \Delta_i \gamma^i \gamma_5 O_{F3} + \Delta^+ \gamma^- \gamma_5 O_{F4} \right] u(p)$$

Thus, we have explicitly derived the
$$\eta_0$$
 pole and find that its residue consists of

the polarized GPD H and twist-four GPDs O_F



Main findings

1) Relations between the twist-2 and twist-4 GPDs of the proton mediated by the chiral anomaly:

$$\tilde{E} + \tilde{E}_4 = \frac{4M^2}{t} \left(\frac{n_f \alpha_s}{2\pi} \tilde{C}^{anom} \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_4) - \tilde{H} - \tilde{H}_4 + O_{2F} + O_{4F} \right), \qquad \begin{pmatrix} t = \begin{array}{c} \text{Hadron-level} \\ \text{level} \\ \text{Variable} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\int dx$$

$$g_A(t) + \frac{t}{4M^2} g_P(t) = \frac{i}{2M} \frac{\langle p' | \frac{n_f \alpha_s}{4\pi} F \tilde{F} | p \rangle}{\bar{u}(p') \gamma_5 u(p)}$$

Upon integrating, we exactly reproduce the pole-cancellation expression for the form factor



Main findings

1) Relations between the twist-2 and twist-4 GPDs of the proton mediated by the chiral anomaly:

$$\tilde{E} + \tilde{E}_4 = \frac{4M^2}{t} \left(\frac{n_f \alpha_s}{2\pi} \tilde{C}^{anom} \otimes (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2 + \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_4) - \tilde{H} - \tilde{H}_4 + O_{2F} + O_{4F} \right), \qquad \begin{pmatrix} t = \frac{\mathsf{Hadron-level}}{\mathsf{level}} \\ \mathsf{Variable} \end{pmatrix}$$

2) Relations between the twist-3 and twist-4 GPDs of the proton mediated by the chiral anomaly:

$$\tilde{E}_{3} = \frac{4M^{2}}{t} \left(\frac{n_{f}\alpha_{s}}{2\pi} \tilde{C}^{anom} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{3} - \tilde{H}_{3} + O_{F3} \right), \qquad \left(t = \begin{array}{c} \text{Hadron-level} \\ \text{level} \\ \text{Variable} \end{array} \right)$$

The result provides a nonperturbative foundation for the anomaly pole previously identified in perturbation theory, further strengthening all our conclusions.



How does turning on quark masses modify the results?



Remarks:

- As discussed, in perturbative calculations with **massless fermions**, the **anomaly manifests** as a pole at t = 0.
- When the **fermion has a finite mass**, the **anomaly pole disappears**. Instead of a pole, the singularity is replaced by a **branch cut** in the time like region (t > 0). This corresponds to the threshold for real fermion-antifermion pair production. (This is **relevant for QED**; see Adler, Bardeen, 69; Castelli, Freese, Lorcé, Metz, Pasquini, Rodini, 24.)



Remarks:

- As discussed, in perturbative calculations with massless fermions, the anomaly manifests as a pole at t = 0.
- When the **fermion has a finite mass**, the **anomaly pole disappears**. Instead of a pole, the singularity is replaced by a **branch cut** in the time like region (t > 0). This corresponds to the threshold for real fermion-antifermion pair production. (This is **relevant for QED**.)
- In QCD, a similar threshold for quark-antiquark pair production at $t > 4m_q^2$ cannot exist because of confinement.



Remarks:

- As discussed, in perturbative calculations with **massless fermions**, the **anomaly manifests** as a pole at t = 0.
- When the **fermion has a finite mass**, the **anomaly pole disappears**. Instead of a pole, the singularity is replaced by a **branch cut** in the time like region (t > 0). This corresponds to the threshold for real fermion-antifermion pair production. (This is relevant for QED.)
- In QCD, a similar threshold for quark-antiquark pair production at $t > 4m_a^2$ cannot exist because of confinement.
- So, what happens?

Nucleon isovector axial form factor & GPD: (Nambu, 1960; Penttinen, Polyakov, Goeke, 2000)

$$\begin{array}{l} g_P^{(3)}(t) \sim \frac{1}{t} \xrightarrow[(m_q \neq 0)]{\text{ quark mass }} \frac{1}{t - m_\pi^2} \\ \tilde{E}^{(3)}(t) \sim \frac{1}{t - m_\pi^2} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{Non-perturbative shift in pole:} \\ m_\pi^2 \propto m_q \\ \text{Still a pole!} \end{array}$$



Remarks:

- As discussed, in perturbative calculations with massless fermions, the anomaly manifests as a pole at t = 0.
- When the **fermion has a finite mass**, the **anomaly pole disappears**. Instead of a pole, the singularity is replaced by a **branch cut** in the time like region (t > 0). This corresponds to the threshold for real fermion-antifermion pair production. (This is **relevant for QED**.)
- In QCD, a similar threshold for quark-antiquark pair production at $t > 4m_q^2$ cannot exist because of confinement.
- So, what happens?

Nucleon singlet axial form factor & GPD:

(Witten Veneziano 1979; SB, Hatta, Schoenleber, 2024; Tarasov, Venugopalan, 2025)

$$g_P(t) \sim rac{1^{(m_q
eq 0)}}{t} rac{1}{t-m_\pi^2}$$

Still an anomaly pole!

57



58

How does turning on quark masses modify the results?

Remarks:

- As discussed, in perturbative calculations with **massless fermions**, the **anomaly manifests** as a pole at t = 0.
- When the **fermion has a finite mass**, the **anomaly pole disappears**. Instead of a pole, the singularity is replaced by a **branch cut** in the time like region (t > 0). This corresponds to the threshold for real fermion-antifermion pair production. (This is **relevant for QED**.)
- In QCD, a similar threshold for quark-antiquark pair production at $t > 4m_q^2$ cannot exist because of confinement.
- So, what happens?

Nucleon singlet axial form factor & GPD:

(Witten Veneziano 1979; SB, Hatta, Schoenleber, 2024; Tarasov, Venugopalan, 2025)

$$g_P(t) \sim \frac{1}{t} \stackrel{(m_q \neq 0)}{\to} \frac{1}{t - m_\pi^2} \stackrel{\text{Resummation}}{\to} \frac{1}{t - m_\pi^2 + \frac{4n_f}{f_{\eta'}^2} \chi} = \frac{1}{t - m_{\eta'}^2}$$
$$\tilde{E}(t) \sim \frac{1}{t - m_{\eta'}^2} \quad \text{Still an anomaly pole!} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Topological} \\ \text{susceptibility} \end{array}$$



- We calculated one-loop Compton amplitude in all channels (quark/gluon, polarized/unpolarized) using t as a regulator and demonstrated factorization
- 2) The physics of anomalies present at the level of Form Factor is established for the first time at the level of GPDs





Glueball mass generation:



GPDs encode profound aspects of QCD such as symmetry breakings and mass generations:

Reach out to a broader QCD community



Backup slides



$$\begin{split} \Delta^{-}P^{+} &\int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \langle p' | \bar{\psi}(-z/2) \gamma^{+} \gamma_{5} \psi(z/2) | p \rangle = \Delta^{-} \bar{u}(p') \left[\tilde{H} \gamma^{+} \gamma_{5} + \tilde{E} \frac{\Delta^{+}}{2M} \gamma_{5} \right] u(p), \\ \Delta_{i}P^{+} &\int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \langle p' | \bar{\psi}(-z/2) \gamma^{i} \gamma_{5} \psi(z/2) | p \rangle \\ &= \Delta_{i} \bar{u}(p') \left[\tilde{H}_{3} \gamma^{i} \gamma_{5} + \tilde{E}_{3} \frac{\Delta^{i}}{2M} \gamma_{5} + \tilde{G}_{3} \frac{\Delta^{i}}{P^{+}} \gamma^{+} \gamma_{5} + i \tilde{G}_{3}' \epsilon^{ij} \frac{\Delta_{j}}{P^{+}} \gamma^{+} \right] u(p), \\ \Delta^{+}P^{+} &\int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \langle p' | \bar{\psi}(-z/2) \gamma^{-} \gamma_{5} \psi(z/2) | p \rangle = \Delta^{+} \bar{u}(p') \left[\tilde{H}_{4} \gamma^{-} \gamma_{5} + \tilde{E}_{4} \frac{\Delta^{-}}{2M} \gamma_{5} \right] u(p). \end{split}$$

All the GPDs are functions of x, ξ and t (and the renormalization scale), $\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}(x, \xi, t)$, etc. Also, the summation over quark flavors is implied, $\tilde{H} = \sum_q \tilde{H}_q$, etc. The twist-3 GPDs are from [24] where we redefined $\tilde{H} + \tilde{G}_2 \to \tilde{H}_3$ and $\tilde{E} + \tilde{G}_1 \to \tilde{E}_3$. (We also redefined $\tilde{G}_4 \to \tilde{G}'_3$.) The twist-4 GPDs are parametrized differently from [25] but the two parametrizations are equivalent in the present frame $P^i = 0$. On the right hand side, the twist-4 pseudoscalar GPD (25) is parametrized as [11]

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(x,\xi,t) = \frac{1}{M}\bar{u}(p')\left[\Delta^{-}\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2} + \Delta_{i}\gamma^{i}\gamma_{5}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{3} + \Delta^{+}\gamma^{-}\gamma_{5}\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{4}\right]u(p).$$
(34)

For phenomenological purposes, one may implement various approximations. If one ignores the differences due to different twists, namely $\tilde{H}_{3,4} \approx \tilde{H}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{3,4} \approx \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2$, etc., from (32) one immediately obtains

$$\tilde{E}(x,\xi,t) \approx \frac{4M^2}{t} \left(\frac{n_f \alpha_s}{2\pi} \tilde{C}^{anom} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2 - \tilde{H} + O_{F2} \right).$$
(49)

(3: