Simultaneous Global Analysis of Dihadron Fragmentation Functions and Transversity PDFs

Christopher Cocuzza

www.jlab.org/theory/jam

February 2?, 2025

1. Introduction
2. Extraction of DiFFs
3. Extraction of Transversity PDFs
4. Extraction of Tensor Charges
5. Future Extraction w/ TMDs
6. Conclusions and Outlook





T. Bayes



JAM Collaboration

3-dimensional structure of nucleons:

- Parton distribution functions (PDFs)
- Fragmentation functions (FFs)
- Transverse momentum dependent distributions (TMDs)
- Generalized parton distributions (GPDs)



JAM Collaboration

3-dimensional structure of nucleons:

- Parton distribution functions (PDFs)
- Fragmentation functions (FFs)
- Transverse momentum dependent distributions (TMDs)
- Generalized parton distributions (GPDs)

- Collinear factorization in perturbative QCD
- Simultaneous determinations of PDFs, FFs, etc.
- Monte Carlo methods for Bayesian inference



Hadron Structure

Global QCD Analysis

Introduction

Hadron Structure

Global QCD Analysis

Introduction

Hadron Structure

Global QCD Analysis

Introduction

Hadron Structure

Global QCD Analysis

Introduction

Hadron Structure

Global QCD Analysis

USQCD

Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration

Hadron Structure

Global QCD Analysis

USQCD

Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration

Hadron Structure

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,\ln(\mu^2)} f_i(x,\mu) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ij}(z,\mu) f_j(\frac{x}{z},\mu)$$

Param. + Evolve + Factorization

$$\sigma = \sum_{i,j} H_{ij} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j$$

Global QCD Analysis

USQCD

Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration

Introduction

$$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{i,e}\left(\frac{d_{i,e} - \sum_k r_e^k \beta_{i,e}^k - T_{i,e}(\boldsymbol{a})/N_e}{\alpha_{i,e}}\right)^2 + \sum_k \left(r_e^k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1-N_e}{\delta N_e}\right)^2$$

χ^2 Minimization



$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data})\right)$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{a}|\text{data}) \sim \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data})\,\pi(\boldsymbol{a})$$

Hadron Structure

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,\ln(\mu^2)} f_i(x,\mu) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ij}(z,\mu) f_j\left(\frac{x}{z},\mu\right)$$

Param. + Evolve + Factorization

$$\sigma = \sum_{i,j} H_{ij} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j$$

Global QCD Analysis

USQCD

Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration

$$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{i,e}\left(\frac{d_{i,e} - \sum_k r_e^k \beta_{i,e}^k - T_{i,e}(\boldsymbol{a})/N_e}{\alpha_{i,e}}\right)^2 + \sum_k \left(r_e^k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1-N_e}{\delta N_e}\right)^2$$

χ^2 Minimization

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data})\right)$$

$$\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{a}|\text{data}) \sim \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data})\,\pi(\boldsymbol{a})$$



Hadron Structure

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,\ln(\mu^2)} f_i(x,\mu) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ij}(z,\mu) f_j\left(\frac{x}{z},\mu\right)$$

Param. + Evolve + Factorization

$$\sigma = \sum_{i,j} H_{ij} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j$$

Global QCD Analysis



Data Resampling

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + N(0,1)\,\alpha$$

USQCD

Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration

Approaches to Extract Transversity

Approaches to Extract Transversity

Dihadron Frag.

- Radici + Bacchetta (RB18)
- Benel + Courtoy + Ferro-Hernandez (2020)



M. Radici and A. Bacchetta,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, no. 19, 192001 (2018)

Approaches to Extract Transversity

Dihadron Frag.

- Radici + Bacchetta (RB18)
- Benel + Courtoy + Ferro-Hernandez (2020)



M. Radici and A. Bacchetta,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, no. 19, 192001 (2018)

TMD + Collinear Twist-3

- JAM3D



L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no. 3, 034014 (2022)

Approaches to Extract Transversity

Dihadron Frag.

- Radici + Bacchetta (RB18)
- Benel + Courtoy + Ferro-Hernandez (2020)



M. Radici and A. Bacchetta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, no. 19, 192001 (2018)

TMD + Collinear Twist-3

- JAM3D



L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no. 3, 034014 (2022)

Lattice QCD

- ETMC Collaboration
- PNDME Collaboration
- LHPC Collaboration



C. Alexandrou *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **104**, no. 5, 054503 (2021)

JAM Global Analysis in the collinear DiFF Approach

First s*imultaneous* extraction of $\pi^+\pi^-$ DiFFs (D_1^q), IFFs ($H_1^{\sphericalangle,q}$), and transversity PDFs (h_1^q) at LO

Semi-Inclusive Annihilation



R. Seidl *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 3, 032005 (2017)

Semi-Inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering



C. Adolph *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **713**, 10-16 (2012)

Proton-Proton Collisions



L. Adamczyk *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 242501 (2015)

Tensor Charges

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

Tensor Charges

Figure taken from D. Pitonyak

Tensor Charges

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

QCD Pheno for Transversity

Tensor Charges

Anselmino, *et al.* (2007, 2009, 2013, 2015);
Goldstein, *et al.* (2014);
Kang, *et al.* (2016);
D'Alesio, *et al.* (2020);
Cammarota, *et al.* (2020);
Gamberg, *et al.* (2022);
Zheng, *et al.* (2024);
Boglione, *et al.* (2024)

Radici, *et al.* (2013, 2015, 2018);
Benel, *et al.* (2020);
Cocuzza, *et al.* (2023)

Figure taken from D. Pitonyak

Tensor Charges

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$



Anselmino, *et al.* (2007, 2009, 2013, 2015);
Goldstein, *et al.* (2014);
Kang, *et al.* (2016);
D'Alesio, *et al.* (2020);
Cammarota, *et al.* (2020);
Gamberg, *et al.* (2022);
Zheng, *et al.* (2024);
Boglione, *et al.* (2024)

Radici, *et al.* (2013, 2015, 2018);
Benel, *et al.* (2020);
Cocuzza, *et al.* (2023)

He, Ji (1995);
Barone, *et al.* (1997);
Schweitzer, *et al.* (2001);
Gamberg, Goldstein (2001);
Pasquini, *et al.* (2005);
Wakamatsu (2007);
Lorce (2009);
Gupta, *et al.* (2018);
Yamanaka, *et al.* (2018);
Hasan, *et al.* (2019);
Alexandrou, *et al.* (2019, 2023);
Yamanaka, *et al.* (2013);
Pitschmann, *et al.* (2015);
Xu, *et al.* (2015);
Wang, *et al.* (2018);
Liu, *et al.* (2019);
Gao, *et al.* (2023);

Figure taken from D. Pitonyak

Tensor Charges

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$



Anselmino, *et al.* (2007, 2009, 2013, 2015);
Goldstein, *et al.* (2014);
Kang, *et al.* (2016);
D'Alesio, *et al.* (2020);
Cammarota, *et al.* (2020);
Gamberg, *et al.* (2022);
Zheng, *et al.* (2024);
Boglione, *et al.* (2024)

Radici, *et al.* (2013, 2015, 2018);
Benel, *et al.* (2020);
Cocuzza, *et al.* (2023)

He, Ji (1995);
Barone, *et al.* (1997);
Schweitzer, *et al.* (2001);
Gamberg, Goldstein (2001);
Pasquini, *et al.* (2005);
Wakamatsu (2007);
Lorce (2009);
Gupta, *et al.* (2018);
Yamanaka, *et al.* (2018);
Hasan, *et al.* (2019);
Alexandrou, *et al.* (2019, 2023);
Yamanaka, *et al.* (2013);
Pitschmann, *et al.* (2015);
Xu, *et al.* (2015);
Wang, *et al.* (2018);
Liu, *et al.* (2019);
Gao, *et al.* (2023);

Herczeg (2001);
Erler, Ramsey-Musolf (2005);
Pospelov, Ritz (2005);
Severijns, *et al.* (2006);
Cirigliano, *et al.* (2013);
Courtoy, *et al.* (2015);
Yamanaka, *et al.* (2017);
Liu, *et al.* (2018);
Gonzalez-Alonso, *et al.* (2019)

Figure taken from D. Pitonyak

The Transverse Spin Puzzle?

L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no. 3, 034014 (2022)



The Transverse Spin Puzzle?

L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no. 3, 034014 (2022)

RB18



The Transverse Spin Puzzle?

L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no. 3, 034014 (2022)



JAM3D (no LQCD)

RB18

The Transverse Spin Puzzle?

L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no. 3, 034014 (2022)



RB18

JAM3D (no LQCD)

JAM3D (w/ LQCD)

The Transverse Spin Puzzle?

L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no. 3, 034014 (2022)



JAM3D (no LQCD)

JAM3D (w/ LQCD)

RB18

Lattice (ETMC)

The Transverse Spin Puzzle?

L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, no. 3, 034014 (2022)



JAM3D (no LQCD)

JAM3D (w/ LQCD)

RB18

Lattice (ETMC)

Large disagreements between three approaches…
Can this be solved?

1. Introduction
2. Extraction of DiFFs
3. Extraction of Transversity PDFs
4. Extraction of Tensor Charges
5. Future Extraction w/ TMDs
6. Conclusions and Outlook



First simultaneous global QCD analysis of dihadron fragmentation functions and transversity parton distribution functions

Jefferson Lab Angular Momentum (JAM) Collaboration • C. Cocuzza (Temple U.) Show All(6)

Aug 28, 2023

34 pages

Published in: *Phys.Rev.D* 109 (2024) 3, 034024

Published: Feb 1, 2024

Observables for DiFFs

SIA Cross Section



R. Seidl *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 3, 032005 (2017)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z\,\mathrm{d}M_h} = \frac{4\pi\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^2}{s}\sum_q e_q^2\, D_1^q(z, M_h)$$

Observables for DiFFs

SIA Cross Section



R. Seidl *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no. 3, 032005 (2017)

SIA Artru-Collins Asymmetry



A. Vossen *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 072004 (2011)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z\,\mathrm{d}M_h} = \frac{4\pi\alpha_{\mathrm{em}}^2}{s}\sum_q e_q^2\, D_1^q(z, M_h)$$

$$A^{e^+e^-}(z, M_h, \bar{z}, \overline{M}_h) = \frac{\sin^2\theta \sum_q e_q^2\, H_1^{\sphericalangle,q}(z, M_h) H_1^{\sphericalangle,\bar{q}}(\bar{z}, \overline{M}_h)}{(1+\cos^2\theta)\sum_q e_q^2\, D_1^q(z, M_h) D_1^{\bar{q}}(\bar{z}, \overline{M}_h)}$$

Data for DiFFs

SIA cross section	Belle	1094 points
SIA Artru-Collins	Belle	183 points



Data for DiFFs

SIA cross section	Belle	1094 points
SIA Artru-Collins	Belle	183 points



$\pi^+\pi^-$ DiFFs

$$D_1^u = D_1^d = D_1^{\bar{u}} = D_1^{\bar{d}},$$

$$D_1^s = D_1^{\bar{s}}, \quad D_1^c = D_1^{\bar{c}}, \quad D_1^b = D_1^{\bar{b}},$$

5 independent functions (w/ D_1^g)
[supplement with PYTHIA data]

Data for DiFFs

SIA cross section	Belle	1094 points
SIA Artru-Collins	Belle	183 points



$\pi^+\pi^-$ DiFFs

$$D_1^u = D_1^d = D_1^{\bar{u}} = D_1^{\bar{d}},$$
$$D_1^s = D_1^{\bar{s}}, \quad D_1^c = D_1^{\bar{c}}, \quad D_1^b = D_1^{\bar{b}},$$

5 independent functions (w/ D_1^g)
[supplement with PYTHIA data]

$$H_1^{\sphericalangle,u} = -H_1^{\sphericalangle,d} = -H_1^{\sphericalangle,\bar{u}} = H_1^{\sphericalangle,\bar{d}},$$
$$H_1^{\sphericalangle,s} = -H_1^{\sphericalangle,\bar{s}} = H_1^{\sphericalangle,c} = -H_1^{\sphericalangle,\bar{c}} = 0,$$

1 independent function

A. Courtoy et al., Phys. Rev. D **85**, 114023 (2012)

Quality of Fit (Unpolarized Cross Section)



R. Seidl *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 032005 (2017)

Quality of Fit (Artru-Collins Asymmetry)

(z, M_h) binning

$(M_h, \overline{M}_h)$ binning

$(z, \bar{z})$ binning



A. Vossen *et al.*,
Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 072004 (2011)

Extracted DiFFs



Bound: $D_1^q > 0$

A. Bacchetta and M. Radici, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 094002 (2003)

Extracted DiFFs (3D)



Extracted IFFs



Bound:

$$|H_1^{\sphericalangle,q}| < D_1^q$$

A. Bacchetta and M. Radici, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 094002 (2003)

Extracted IFFs (3D)



1. Introduction
2. Extraction of DiFFs
3. Extraction of Transversity PDFs
4. Extraction of Tensor Charges
5. Future Extraction w/ TMDs
6. Conclusions and Outlook



Transversity Distributions and Tensor Charges of the Nucleon: Extraction from Dihadron Production and Their Universal Nature

JAM Collaboration • C. Cocuzza (Temple U.) Show All(6)

Jun 22, 2023

7 pages

Published in: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 132 (2024) 9, 091901

Published: Feb 27, 2024

Observables for Transversity PDFs

SIDIS asymmetry (p and D)



$$A_{UT}^{\text{SIDIS}} = c(y) \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \, h_1^q(x) \, H_1^{\sphericalangle,q}(z, M_h)}{\sum_q e_q^2 \, f_1^q(x) \, D_1^q(z, M_h)}$$

C. Adolph *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **713**, 10-16 (2012)

Observables for Transversity PDFs

SIDIS asymmetry (p and D)



$$A_{UT}^{\text{SIDIS}} = c(y)\frac{\sum_q e_q^2\, h_1^q(x)\, H_1^{\sphericalangle,q}(z, M_h)}{\sum_q e_q^2\, f_1^q(x)\, D_1^q(z, M_h)}$$

C. Adolph *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **713**, 10-16 (2012)

pp Asymmetry



L. Adamczyk *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 242501 (2015)

$$A_{UT}^{pp} = \frac{\mathscr{H}(M_h, P_{hT}, \eta)}{\mathscr{D}(M_h, P_{hT}, \eta)}$$

$$\mathscr{H}(M_h, P_{hT}, \eta) = 2P_{hT}\sum_i\sum_{a,b,c}\int_{x_a^{\min}}^1 \mathrm{d}x_a \int_{x_b^{\min}}^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}x_b}{z} f_1^a(x_a)\, h_1^b(x_b)\frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta\hat{\sigma}_{ab^\uparrow\to c^\uparrow d}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{t}}\, H_1^{\sphericalangle,c}(z, M_h)$$

$$\mathscr{D}(M_h, P_{hT}, \eta) = 2P_{hT}\sum_i\sum_{a,b,c}\int_{x_a^{\min}}^1 \mathrm{d}x_a \int_{x_b^{\min}}^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}x_b}{z} f_1^a(x_a) f_1^b(x_b)\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\sigma}_{ab\to cd}}{\mathrm{d}\hat{t}}\, D_1^c(z, M_h)$$

Data for PDFs

Process	Collaborations	Points
SIDIS (p, D)	COMPASS, HERMES	64
Proton-Proton	STAR	269



Data for PDFs

Process	Collaborations	Points
SIDIS (p, D)	COMPASS, HERMES	64
Proton-Proton	STAR	269



Parameterization Choices

3 independent observables
3 independent functions

$$h_1^{u_v}$$
$$h_1^{d_v}$$
$$h_1^{\bar{u}} = -\,h_1^{\bar{d}}$$

Data for PDFs

Process	Collaborations	Points
SIDIS (p, D)	COMPASS, HERMES	64
Proton-Proton	STAR	269



Parameterization Choices

3 independent observables
3 independent functions

$$h_1^{u_v}$$
$$h_1^{d_v}$$
$$h_1^{\bar{u}} = -\, h_1^{\bar{d}}$$

Prediction from large-N_c limit

P. V. Pobylitsa, arXiv:hep-ph/0301236 (2003)

Quality of Fit

Experiment	N_{dat}	χ^2_{red} w/ LQCD	χ^2_{red} no LQCD
Belle (cross section) [63]	1094	1.01	1.01
Belle (Artru-Collins) [92]	183	0.74	0.73
HERMES [72]	12	1.13	1.10
COMPASS (p) [71]	26	1.24	0.75
COMPASS (D) [71]	26	0.78	0.76
STAR (2015) [94]	24	1.47	1.67
STAR (2018) [64]	106	1.20	1.04
ETMC δu [28]	1	0.71	—
ETMC δd [28]	1	1.02	—
PNDME δu [25]	1	8.68	—
PNDME δd [25]	1	0.04	—
Total χ^2_{red} (N_{dat})		**1.01** (1475)	**0.98** (1471)

Quality of Fit (SIDIS)



A. Airapetian *et al.*, JHEP **06**, 017 (2008)

COMPASS, arXiv:hep-ph/2301.02013 (2023)

Quality of Fit (STAR $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV)





L. Adamczyk *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 24501 (2015)

Quality of Fit (STAR $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV)





L. Adamczyk *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **780**, 332-339 (2018)

Transversity PDFs



Transversity PDFs

Soffer Bound: $|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}[f_1^q + g_1^q]$

J. Soffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1292-1294 (1995)



Transversity PDFs

JAM3D* = JAM3D-22 (no LQCD)
+ Antiquarks w/ $\bar{u} = -\bar{d}$
+ small-x constraint (see slide 23)

Soffer Bound: $|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}[f_1^q + g_1^q]$

J. Soffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1292-1294 (1995)



Transversity PDFs

JAM3D* = JAM3D-22 (no LQCD)
+ Antiquarks w/ $\bar{u} = -\bar{d}$
+ small-x constraint (see slide 23)

Agreement between all three analyses within errors

Soffer Bound: $|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}[f_1^q + g_1^q]$

J. Soffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1292-1294 (1995)







Controlling Extrapolation



$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

Controlling Extrapolation



$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

Measured Region

Controlling Extrapolation



Measured Region

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

Large $x \gtrsim 0.3$

Soffer Bound: $|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}[f_1^q + g_1^q]$

J. Soffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1292-1294 (1995)

Controlling Extrapolation



Measured Region

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

Large $x \gtrsim 0.3$

Soffer Bound: $|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}\left[f_1^q + g_1^q\right]$

J. Soffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1292-1294 (1995)

Small $x \lesssim 0.005$

$$h_1^q \underset{x\to 0}{\longrightarrow} x^{\alpha_q} \qquad \alpha_q = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}} \approx 0.17 \pm 0.085$$

Y. V. Kovchegov and M. D. Sievert, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 054033 (2019)

Tensor Charges



Tensor Charges

LQCD



R. Gupta *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 091501 (2018)

C. Alexandrou *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 054517 (2020)

Tensor Charges



LQCD

R. Gupta *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 091501 (2018)

C. Alexandrou *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **102**, 054517 (2020)

Consistent with RB18 and JAM3D* (no LQCD).
What happens if we include LQCD in the fit?

Experiment + Lattice + Theory

EXPERIMENT
(measured region)



LATTICE
(full moments)

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

THEORY
(unmeasured regions)

$$|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}\left[f_1^q + g_1^q\right]$$

$$\alpha_q = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}}$$

Experiment + Lattice + Theory

EXPERIMENT
(measured region)



THEORY
(unmeasured regions)

$$|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}\left[f_1^q + g_1^q\right]$$

$$\alpha_q = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}}$$

LATTICE
(full moments)

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

Presently, trivial to find compatibility between any two

Experiment + Lattice + Theory

EXPERIMENT
(measured region)



THEORY
(unmeasured regions)

$$|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}\left[f_1^q + g_1^q\right]$$

$$\alpha_q = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}}$$

Presently, trivial to find compatibility between any two

Experiment + Lattice + Theory

EXPERIMENT
(measured region)



Presently, trivial to find compatibility between any two

LATTICE
(full moments)

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

Experiment + Lattice + Theory

THEORY
(unmeasured regions)

$$|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}\left[f_1^q + g_1^q\right]$$

$$\alpha_q = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}}$$

LATTICE
(full moments)

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

Presently, trivial to find compatibility between any two

Experiment + Lattice + Theory

EXPERIMENT
(measured region)



THEORY
(unmeasured regions)

$$|h_1^q| < \frac{1}{2}\left[f_1^q + g_1^q\right]$$

$$\alpha_q = 1 - 2\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi}}$$

LATTICE
(full moments)

$$\delta u \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^u - h_1^{\bar{u}}),$$

$$\delta d \equiv \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x (h_1^d - h_1^{\bar{d}}),$$

$$g_T \equiv \delta u - \delta d,$$

Presently, trivial to find compatibility between any two

Only meaningful when all three are included

Quality of Fit

Experiment	N_{dat}	χ^2_{red} w/ LQCD	χ^2_{red} no LQCD
Belle (cross section) [63]	1094	1.01	1.01
Belle (Artru-Collins) [92]	183	0.74	0.73
HERMES [72]	12	1.13	1.10
COMPASS (p) [71]	26	1.24	0.75
COMPASS (D) [71]	26	0.78	0.76
STAR (2015) [94]	24	1.47	1.67
STAR (2018) [64]	106	1.20	1.04
ETMC δu [28]	1	0.71	—
ETMC δd [28]	1	1.02	—
PNDME δu [25]	1	8.68	—
PNDME δd [25]	1	0.04	—
Total χ^2_{red} (N_{dat})		**1.01** (1475)	**0.98** (1471)

Quality of Fit

Physical Pion Mass
$N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$
Use δu and δd instead of g_T

Experiment	N_{dat}	χ^2_{red} w/ LQCD	χ^2_{red} no LQCD
Belle (cross section) [63]	1094	1.01	1.01
Belle (Artru-Collins) [92]	183	0.74	0.73
HERMES [72]	12	1.13	1.10
COMPASS (p) [71]	26	1.24	0.75
COMPASS (D) [71]	26	0.78	0.76
STAR (2015) [94]	24	1.47	1.67
STAR (2018) [64]	106	1.20	1.04
ETMC δu [28]	1	0.71	—
ETMC δd [28]	1	1.02	—
PNDME δu [25]	1	8.68	—
PNDME δd [25]	1	0.04	—
Total χ^2_{red} (N_{dat})		**1.01** (1475)	**0.98** (1471)

Transversity PDFs (w/ LQCD)



Transversity PDFs (w/ LQCD)



JAM3D* = JAM3D-22 (w/ LQCD)
+ Antiquarks w/ $\bar{u} = -\bar{d}$
+ small-x constraint (see slide 23)
+ $\delta u, \delta d$ from ETMC & PNDME
(instead of g_T from ETMC)

Transversity PDFs (w/ LQCD)



JAM3D* = JAM3D-22 (w/ LQCD)
+ Antiquarks w/ $\bar{u} = -\bar{d}$
+ small-x constraint (see slide 23)
+ $\delta u, \delta d$ from ETMC & PNDME
(instead of g_T from ETMC)

JAMDiFF (w/ LQCD) and JAM3D* (w/ LQCD) largely agree

Tensor Charges (w/ LQCD)



Tensor Charges (w/ LQCD)



Noticeable shift from including lattice data

Tensor Charges (w/ LQCD)



Likelihood function $\mathscr{L} = \exp(-\chi^2/2)$ does not guarantee that errors overlap when using Monte Carlo method

Noticeable shift from including lattice data

Tensor Charges (w/ LQCD)



Likelihood function $\mathscr{L} = \exp(-\chi^2/2)$ does not guarantee that errors overlap when using Monte Carlo method

M.N. Constantini *et al.*, JHEP **12**, 064 (2024)

N.T. Hunt-Smith *et al.*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **296**, 109059 (2024)

N. T. Hunt-Smith *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 036003 (2022)

Noticeable shift from including lattice data

Currently looking into Markov Chain Monte Carlo to better assess uncertainties.

1. Introduction
2. Extraction of DiFFs
3. Extraction of Transversity PDFs
4. Extraction of Tensor Charges
5. Future Extraction w/ TMDs
6. Conclusions and Outlook



JAM3D +
JAM**DiFF**
=
JAM3**DiFF**

Kinematics and Functions



Process	Collaborations	Points
SIA	BaBaR, Belle, BESIII	176
SIDIS Asym.	COMPASS, HERMES	525
DY	COMPASS	15
W/Z	STAR	17
pp AN	STAR, AnDY	44
Hadron-in-jet	STAR	708

PRELIMINARY

Kinematics and Functions



Process	Collaborations	Points
SIA	BaBaR, Belle, BESIII	176
SIDIS Asym.	COMPASS, HERMES	525
DY	COMPASS	15
W/Z	STAR	17
pp AN	STAR, AnDY	44
Hadron-in-jet	STAR	708

PRELIMINARY

Transversity $h_1 : u, d, \bar{u}, \bar{d}$ + widths

Kinematics and Functions



Process	Collaborations	Points
SIA	BaBaR, Belle, BESIII	176
SIDIS Asym.	COMPASS, HERMES	525
DY	COMPASS	15
W/Z	STAR	17
pp AN	STAR, AnDY	44
Hadron-in-jet	STAR	708

PRELIMINARY

Transversity $h_1 : u, d, \bar{u}, \bar{d}$ + widths

Sivers $f_{1T}^{\perp(1)} : u, d, \bar{u}, \bar{d}, s, \bar{s}$ + widths

Kinematics and Functions



Process	Collaborations	Points
SIA	BaBaR, Belle, BESIII	176
SIDIS Asym.	COMPASS, HERMES	525
DY	COMPASS	15
W/Z	STAR	17
pp AN	STAR, AnDY	44
Hadron-in-jet	STAR	708

PRELIMINARY

Transversity $h_1 : u, d, \bar{u}, \bar{d}$ + widths

Sivers $f_{1T}^{\perp(1)} : u, d, \bar{u}, \bar{d}, s, \bar{s}$ + widths

Collins (pion) $H_1^{\perp(1)}$: fav., unfav. + widths

Kinematics and Functions



Process	Collaborations	Points
SIA	BaBaR, Belle, BESIII	176
SIDIS Asym.	COMPASS, HERMES	525
DY	COMPASS	15
W/Z	STAR	17
pp AN	STAR, AnDY	44
Hadron-in-jet	STAR	708

PRELIMINARY

Transversity h_1 : $u, d, \bar{u}, \bar{d}$ + widths

Sivers $f_{1T}^{\perp(1)}$: $u, d, \bar{u}, \bar{d}, s, \bar{s}$ + widths

Collins (pion) $H_1^{\perp(1)}$: fav . , unfav. + widths

Twist-3 FF (pion) $\tilde{H}$: fav . , unfav .

Hadron-in-jet





Hadron-in-jet





First global QCD analysis to include Hadron-in-jet data!

Quality of Fit and Inclusion of LQCD

Process	Points	chi2 (no LQCD)	chi2 (w/ LQCD)
SIA	176	1.09	1.15
SIDIS	1050	1.38	1.38
DY	15	0.24	0.24
W/Z	17	1.71	1.68
pp AN	44	1.89	1.80
Hadron-in-jet	708	1.03	1.03
LQCD	4	—	0.92
TOTAL	2014	1.24	1.24

PRELIMINARY

PRELIMINARY

Quality of Fit and Inclusion of LQCD

Process	Points	chi2 (no LQCD)	chi2 (w/ LQCD)
SIA	176	1.09	1.15
SIDIS	1050	1.38	1.38
DY	15	0.24	0.24
W/Z	17	1.71	1.68
pp AN	44	1.89	1.80
Hadron-in-jet	708	1.03	1.03
LQCD	4	—	0.92
TOTAL	2014	1.24	1.24

PRELIMINARY

PRELIMINARY

Inclusion of LQCD barely affects description of JAM3D data!

1. Introduction
2. Extraction of DiFFs
3. Extraction of Transversity PDFs
4. Extraction of Tensor Charges
5. Future Extraction w/ TMDs
6. Conclusions and Outlook





Comprehensive Analysis of DiFFs and Transversity

First inclusion of Belle cross section data



Comprehensive Analysis of DiFFs and Transversity

First inclusion of Belle cross section data



First inclusion of 500 GeV STAR data



Comprehensive Analysis of DiFFs and Transversity

First inclusion of Belle cross section data



First inclusion of 500 GeV STAR data



Utilized all binnings for Artru-Collins and SIDIS asymmetries



Comprehensive Analysis of DiFFs and Transversity

First inclusion of Belle cross section data



First inclusion of 500 GeV STAR data



Utilized all binnings for Artru-Collins and SIDIS asymmetries



First simultaneous analysis of DiFFs and transversity PDFs

Conclusions

Simultaneous extraction of DiFFs and transversity PDFs







Conclusions

Simultaneous extraction of DiFFs and transversity PDFs

Universality of all available information on transversity









Outlook

More data from RHIC
Proton-proton cross section

Outlook

More data from RHIC
Proton-proton cross section

SIDIS multiplicities from COMPASS



Figure 4: h^+h^- multiplicities measured versus z, M_{inv} and Q^2.

N. Makke, Phys. Part. Nucl. **45**, 138-140 (2014)

Outlook

More data from RHIC
Proton-proton cross section

SIDIS multiplicities from COMPASS



Figure 4: h^+h^- multiplicities measured versus z, M_{inv} and Q^2.

N. Makke, Phys. Part. Nucl. **45**, 138-140 (2014)

L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **816**, 136255 (2021)



EIC can provide new information

Outlook

More data from RHIC
Proton-proton cross section

SIDIS multiplicities from COMPASS



Figure 4: h^+h^- multiplicities measured versus z, M_{inv} and Q^2.

N. Makke, Phys. Part. Nucl. **45**, 138-140 (2014)

L. Gamberg *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **816**, 136255 (2021)



EIC can provide new information

Simultaneous fit of DiFF channel + TMD channel + Lattice QCD

Collaboration

Andreas Metz

Nobuo Sato

Daniel Pitonyak

Alexey Prokudin

Ralf Seidl

Thank you to Yiyu Zhou and
Patrick Barry for helpful discussions





Extra Slides

Parameterize PDFs at input scale $Q_0^2 = m_c^2$

$$f_i(x) = Nx^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+\gamma\sqrt{x}+\eta x)$$

Parameterize PDFs at input scale $Q_0^2 = m_c^2$

$$f_i(x) = Nx^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+\gamma\sqrt{x}+\eta x)$$

Evolve PDFs using DGLAP

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,\ln(\mu^2)} f_i(x,\mu) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ij}(z,\mu) f_j(\frac{x}{z},\mu)$$

Parameterize PDFs at input scale $Q_0^2 = m_c^2$

$$f_i(x) = Nx^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+\gamma\sqrt{x}+\eta x)$$

Evolve PDFs using DGLAP

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,\ln(\mu^2)} f_i(x,\mu) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ij}(z,\mu) f_j(\frac{x}{z},\mu)$$

Calculate Observables

$$d\sigma^{pp} = \sum_{ij} H_{ij}^{pp} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j$$

Parameterize PDFs at input scale $Q_0^2 = m_c^2$

$$f_i(x) = Nx^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+\gamma\sqrt{x}+\eta x)$$

Evolve PDFs using DGLAP

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,\ln(\mu^2)} f_i(x,\mu) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ij}(z,\mu) f_j(\frac{x}{z},\mu)$$

Calculate Observables

$$d\sigma^{pp} = \sum_{ij} H_{ij}^{pp} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j$$

Mellin Space Techniques

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma^{pp} = \sum_{ijkl} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int \mathrm{d}N \int \mathrm{d}M \tilde{f}_j(N,\mu_0)\tilde{f}_l(M,\mu_0)$$
$$\otimes \left[x_1^{-N} x_2^{-M} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{ik}^{pp}(N,M,\mu) U_{ij}^S(N,\mu,\mu_0) U_{kl}^S(M,\mu,\mu_0) \right]$$

Parameterize PDFs at input scale $Q_0^2 = m_c^2$

$$f_i(x) = Nx^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+\gamma\sqrt{x}+\eta x)$$

Evolve PDFs using DGLAP

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\,\ln(\mu^2)} f_i(x,\mu) = \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ij}(z,\mu) f_j(\frac{x}{z},\mu)$$

Calculate Observables

$$d\sigma^{pp} = \sum_{ij} H_{ij}^{pp} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j$$

Mellin Space Techniques

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma^{pp} = \sum_{ijkl} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int \mathrm{d}N \int \mathrm{d}M \tilde{f}_j(N,\mu_0)\tilde{f}_l(M,\mu_0)$$
$$\otimes \left[x_1^{-N} x_2^{-M} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{ik}^{pp}(N,M,\mu) U_{ij}^S(N,\mu,\mu_0) U_{kl}^S(M,\mu,\mu_0)\right]$$

$$\sigma = \sum_{ij} H_{ij} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j + \mathcal{O}(1/Q)$$

Experimentally measured cross-section

$$\sigma = \sum_{ij} H_{ij} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j + \mathcal{O}(1/Q)$$

Experimentally measured cross-section

$$\sigma = \sum_{ij} H_{ij} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j + \mathcal{O}(1/Q)$$

“Hard part” (process dependent)
Cross-section at parton level
Calculated in perturbative QCD

Experimentally measured cross-section

“Soft part” (process independent)
Describes internal structure

$$\sigma = \sum_{ij} H_{ij} \otimes f_i \otimes f_j + \mathcal{O}(1/Q)$$

“Hard part” (process dependent)
Cross-section at parton level
Calculated in perturbative QCD

Now that the observables have been calculated…

$$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{i,e} \left(\frac{d_{i,e} - \sum_k r_e^k \beta_{i,e}^k - T_{i,e}(\boldsymbol{a})/N_e}{\alpha_{i,e}} \right)^2 + \sum_k \left(r_e^k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1 - N_e}{\delta N_e} \right)^2$$

Now that the observables have been calculated…

Data

$$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{i,e} \left(\frac{d_{i,e} - \sum_k r_e^k \beta_{i,e}^k - T_{i,e}(\boldsymbol{a})/N_e}{\alpha_{i,e}} \right)^2 + \sum_k \left(r_e^k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1 - N_e}{\delta N_e} \right)^2$$

Now that the observables have been calculated…

Data

Theory

$$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{i,e} \left(\frac{d_{i,e} - \sum_k r_e^k \beta_{i,e}^k - T_{i,e}(\boldsymbol{a})/N_e}{\alpha_{i,e}} \right)^2 + \sum_k \left(r_e^k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1 - N_e}{\delta N_e} \right)^2$$

Now that the observables have been calculated…

Data

Theory

$$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{i,e} \left(\frac{d_{i,e} - \sum_k r_e^k \beta_{i,e}^k - T_{i,e}(\boldsymbol{a})/N_e}{\alpha_{i,e}} \right)^2 + \sum_k \left(r_e^k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1 - N_e}{\delta N_e} \right)^2$$

Uncorrelated Uncertainties

Now that the observables have been calculated…

Data

Theory

$$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{i,e} \left(\frac{d_{i,e} - \sum_k r_e^k \beta_{i,e}^k - T_{i,e}(\boldsymbol{a})/N_e}{\alpha_{i,e}} \right)^2 + \sum_k \left(r_e^k\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1 - N_e}{\delta N_e} \right)^2$$

Uncorrelated Uncertainties

Correlated Uncertainties

Now that the observables have been calculated…



Now that we have calculated $\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data})\ldots$

Likelihood Function

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data})\right)$$

Now that we have calculated $\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data})\ldots$

Likelihood Function

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data}) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data})\right)$$

Bayes' Theorem

$$\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{a}|\text{data}) \sim \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a}, \text{data})\,\pi(\boldsymbol{a})$$



$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + N(0,1)\ \alpha$$

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + N(0,1)\ \alpha$$

Data

Original Data



$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + N(0,1)\ \alpha$$

Uncorrelated Uncertainties

Data

Original Data



Pseudo-Data → $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + N(0,1)\ \alpha$ ← Uncorrelated Uncertainties

Data

Original Data



DR

Replica Data



Pseudo-Data

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + N(0,1)\ \alpha$$

Uncorrelated Uncertainties

Data

Original Data



DR

Replica Data



Maximum Likelihood

Maximum Likelihood

Maximum Likelihood

Parameter Space



For a quantity $O(\boldsymbol{a})$: (for example, a PDF at a given value of (x, Q^2))

$$E[O] = \int d^n a \; \rho(\boldsymbol{a}\,|\,data) \; O(\boldsymbol{a})$$

$$V[O] = \int d^n a \; \rho(\boldsymbol{a}\,|\,data) \; \left[O(\boldsymbol{a}) - E[O]\right]^2$$

Exact, but
$n = \mathcal{O}(100)$!

For a quantity $O(\boldsymbol{a})$: (for example, a PDF at a given value of (x, Q^2))

$$E[O] = \int d^n a \; \rho(\boldsymbol{a}\,|\,data) \; O(\boldsymbol{a})$$

$$V[O] = \int d^n a \; \rho(\boldsymbol{a}\,|\,data) \; \left[O(\boldsymbol{a}) - E[O]\right]^2$$

Build an MC ensemble

Exact, but
$n = \mathcal{O}(100)$!

For a quantity $O(\boldsymbol{a})$: (for example, a PDF at a given value of (x, Q^2))

$$E[O] = \int d^n a \; \rho(\boldsymbol{a}\,|\,data) \; O(\boldsymbol{a})$$

$$V[O] = \int d^n a \; \rho(\boldsymbol{a}\,|\,data) \; \left[O(\boldsymbol{a}) - E[O]\right]^2$$

Exact, but
$n = \mathcal{O}(100)$!

Build an MC ensemble

$$E[O] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_k O(\boldsymbol{a}_k)$$

$$V[O] \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \left[O(\boldsymbol{a}_k) - E[O]\right]^2$$

Average over k sets of the parameters (replicas)

For a quantity $O(\boldsymbol{a})$: (for example, a PDF at a given value of (x, Q^2))

$$E[O] = \int d^n a \; \rho(\boldsymbol{a}\,|\,data) \; O(\boldsymbol{a})$$

$$V[O] = \int d^n a \; \rho(\boldsymbol{a}\,|\,data) \; \left[O(\boldsymbol{a}) - E[O]\right]^2$$

Exact, but
$n = \mathcal{O}(100)$!

Build an MC ensemble

$$E[O] \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_k O(\boldsymbol{a}_k)$$

$$V[O] \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_k \left[O(\boldsymbol{a}_k) - E[O]\right]^2$$

Average over k sets of the parameters (replicas)




DIS
+DY
+ W/Z Boson Production
prior samples
PDF
PDF
PDF
PDF
Higher Twists
Higher Twists
Higher Twists
Nuclear Effects
Nuclear Effects
Nuclear Effects
posterior samples


data
pseudo data$_1$
T data$_1$
fit$_1$
$\{\vec{p}^{(j)}\}$
V data$_1$
validation
$\vec{a}^{(1)}$
pseudo data$_2$
T data$_2$
fit$_2$
$\{\vec{p}^{(j)}\}$
V data$_2$
validation
$\vec{a}^{(2)}$
ensemble
pseudo data$_K$
T data$_K$
fit$_K$
$\{\vec{p}^{(j)}\}$
V data$_K$
validation
$\vec{a}^{(K)}$
priors
new priors


data
pseudo data$_1$
T data$_1$
fit$_1$
$\{\vec{p}^{(j)}\}$
V data$_1$
validation
$\vec{a}^{(1)}$
pseudo data$_2$
T data$_2$
fit$_2$
$\{\vec{p}^{(j)}\}$
V data$_2$
validation
$\vec{a}^{(2)}$
ensemble
pseudo data$_K$
T data$_K$
fit$_K$
$\{\vec{p}^{(j)}\}$
V data$_K$
validation
$\vec{a}^{(K)}$
priors
new priors


JAM15
(a)
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.1
0.0
−0.1
10^{-3}
10^{-2}
0.1
0.3
0.5
0.7
x





+

$$E[O] \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_k O(\boldsymbol{a}_k)$$

$$V[O] \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_k \left[O(\boldsymbol{a}_k) - E[O]\right]^2$$





$$E[O] \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_k O(\boldsymbol{a}_k)$$

$$V[O] \approx \frac{1}{N}\sum_k \left[O(\boldsymbol{a}_k) - E[O]\right]^2$$



PYTHIA data ($\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV)



PYTHIA data ($\sqrt{s} = 30.73$ GeV)



PYTHIA data ($\sqrt{s} = 50.88$ GeV)



PYTHIA data ($\sqrt{s} = 71.04$ GeV)



PYTHIA data ($\sqrt{s} = 91.19$ GeV)



Transversity PDFs (antiquarks)



DiFF Parameterization

$$\mathbf{M}_h^u = [2m_\pi, 0.40, 0.50, 0.70, 0.75, 0.80, 0.90, 1.00, 1.20, 1.30, 1.40, 1.60, 1.80, 2.00] \text{ GeV}.$$

$$D_1^q(z, \mathbf{M}_h^{q,i}) = \sum_{j=1,2,3} \frac{N_{ij}^q}{\mathcal{M}_{ij}^q} z^{\alpha_{ij}^q} (1-z)^{\beta_{ij}^q},$$

204 parameters for D_1
48 parameters for $H_1^\sphericalangle$

PDF Parameterization

$$h_1^{u_v}$$
$$h_1^{d_v}$$
$$h_1^{\bar{u}} = -\, h_1^{\bar{d}}$$

$$f(x,\mu_0^2) = \frac{N}{\mathcal{M}}\, x^{\alpha}(1-x)^{\beta}(1+\gamma\sqrt{x}+\eta x),$$

15 parameters for h_1

Tensor Charge Numbers

Fit	δu	δd	g_T
no LQCD	0.50(7)	-0.04(14)	0.54(12)
w/ LQCD	0.71(2)	-0.200(6)	0.91(2)