## Electroproduction of E Hyperons using CLAS12 at JLab

Bianca Gualtieri Florida International University Supervisor: Prof. Lei Guo

11th Workshop of the APS Topical Group on Hadronic Physics





## Outline



#### Previous studies

- Photoproduction
- Electroproduction

Experimentally Studying Cascades at JLab

**Preliminary Results** 

## Hyperons in the Hadron Spectrum

- Compared to N's and  $\Delta's$  states, hyperons have been less extensively studied
- As strangeness increases, knowledge about hyperon states decreases...

Number of well-established states:

• S = -1 : 14  $\Lambda$  and 10  $\Sigma$ 

• 
$$S = -2 : 6 \Xi$$
  
•  $S = -3 : 2 \Omega^{-1}$ 

## Cascades

- Doubly strange hyperon
  - $\Xi^{-}(ssd)$
  - $\Xi^0$  (ssu)
- $\Xi^{-}(1320)$  decays weakly
  - $\Xi^- \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^-$
  - $\tau = (1.639 \pm 0.015) \times 10^{-10} s$
  - Quantum numbers:  $I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2}^+\right)$



## Missing Cascade States

- From SU(3) symmetry, the total number of Ξ\* states should be equal to the number of N\* and Δ\* states combined
- Constituent quark models predict 45 E states
  - Only 11  $\Xi$  states are listed in the PDG
- We should see more Ξ states according to LQCD calculations as well

State, $J^P$		Predicted r	nasses (MeV	)				
$\Xi_{\frac{1}{2}}^{+}$	1305							
$\Xi \frac{3}{2}^{+}$	1505							
$\Xi^{*\frac{1}{2}^{-}}$	1755	1810	1835	2225	2285	2300	2320	2380
$\Xi^{*\frac{3}{2}}$	1785	1880	1895	2240	2305	2330	2340	238
$\Xi^{*\frac{5}{2}}$	1900	2345	2350	2385				
$\Xi^{*\frac{7}{2}}$	2355							
$\Xi^{*\frac{1}{2}^{+}}$	1840	2040	2100	2130	2150	2230	2345	
$\Xi^* \frac{3}{2}^+$	2045	2065	2115	2165	2170	2210	2230	227
$\Xi^{*\frac{5}{2}^{+}}$	2045	2165	2230	2230	2240			
$\Xi^{*\frac{7}{2}^{+}}$	2180	2240						

S. Capstick and N. Isgur. Baryons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics. Phys. Rev. D, 34:2809–2835, Nov 1986



			Status as seen in —					
Particle	$J^P$	Overall status	$\Xi\pi$	$\Lambda K$	$\Sigma K$	$\Xi(1530)\pi$	Other channels	
$\Xi(1318)$	1/2 +	****					Decays weakly	
$\Xi(1530)$	3/2+	****	****					
$\Xi(1620)$		**	**					
$\Xi(1690)$		***	**	***	**			
$\Xi(1820)$	3/2 -	***	**	***	**	**		
$\Xi(1950)$		***	**	**		*		
$\Xi(2030)$		***		**	***			
$\Xi(2120)$		*		*				
$\Xi(2250)$		**					3-body decays	
$\Xi(2370)$		**					3-body decays	
$\Xi(2500)$		*		*	*		3-body decays	

\*\*\*\* Existence is certain, and properties are at least fairly well explored.

\*\*\* Existence ranges from very likely to certain, but further confirmation is desirable and/or quantum numbers, branching fractions, *etc.* are not well determined.

\*\* Evidence of existence is only fair.

Evidence of existence is poor.

## Why Study Cascades?

- Most of our knowledge about Ξ's stems from kaon and hyperon beam experiments from the 1960's to 1990's
- Since the 2000's, high luminosity photo– and electro-production experiments have opened new avenues to study cascades
- Relatively narrow widths for well established states
- Production mechanism remains unclear
- Possible production mechanism is a two-step tchannel process through intermediate N\* and Y\* resonance

(K. Nakayama, Y. Oh, and H. Haberzettl. Photoproduction of  $\Xi$  off nucleons.

Phys. Rev. C, 74:035205, Sep 2006).



### Previous Photoproduction Results

MeV(c<sup>2</sup>) 2500

2000

1500

1000

500

20

2.5

(qu

1.2 1.3

CLAS 2006 Nakayama et al

Counts/(5 |

**2005**: CLAS g6 (3.2 <  $E_{\gamma} < 3.9 \ GeV$ ) provided the first-ever exclusive measurement of  $\Xi^-$  in  $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^+\Xi^-$ 



J.W.Price et al. Exclusive photoproduction of the cascade hyperons

**2007**: CLAS g11 data (2.75  $< E_{\gamma} <$  4.75 *GeV*), provided cross section results for  $\Xi^-$  (1320) and  $\Xi^-$ (1530)

M1:1.3223 ± 0.0001 o1:0.0067 ± 0.000

 $M2:1.5378 \pm 0.000$ 

σ2: 0.0105 ± 0.0011

<sup>3</sup> 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 MM(K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>+</sup>) (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

4.5

N1: 7678 ± 173

**2018**: CLAS g12 expanded the kinematic region of study (W=3.3GeV) as well as increased statistics



L.Guo et al. Cascade production in the reaction  $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^+(X)$  and  $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+K^+\pi^-(X)$ 

E<sub>v</sub> (GeV)

J.T. Goetz et al.  $\Xi^*$  Photoproduction from Threshold to W=3.3 GeV

#### **GlueX** Cascade Studies

- GlueX has recently presented on Cascade production (Hao Li, JLUO 2024)
- Extending the energy region of photoproduction data for  $\Xi^{-}(1320)$  as well as first photoproduction measurement of  $\Xi^{*-}(1690)$  and  $\Xi^{*-}(1820)$





First time C.S. for  $\Xi^{-}(1320)$  in Electroproduction (2024)

- Jose Carvajal, Ph.D. thesis "First Time Measurement of Ground State  $\Xi^-$  Hyperon Cross Section in Electroproudction"
- RG-A data ( $E_{beam} = 10.2 \ GeV$ , Inbending)



#### CLAS12 at Jefferson Lab

- CEBAF Large Acceptance Spectrometer at 12 GeV (CLAS12)
- Nearly  $4\pi$  solid angle coverage
- 3 polar angle regions:
  - Very Forward (Forward Tagger)
    - $2.5^\circ \le \theta \le 4.5^\circ$
  - Forward (Forward Detector)
    - $5.0^\circ \le \theta \le 35^\circ$
  - Central
    - $35^\circ < \theta \le 125^\circ$





### **Experimental Conditions**

#### **Event Reconstruction**

- Studying the exclusive reaction:  $ep \rightarrow e'K^+K^+(\Xi^-)$ 
  - Reconstructing  $\Xi^-$  signal via missing mass technique
- All  $K^+$ 's required to be in Forward Detector
  - For optimal tracking efficiency
- Electron in both the FD and FT are being analyzed
  - Forward Tagger ( $E_{beam} = 7.5 \ GeV$ ):
    - $0.1 \le Q^2 \le 0.4 \ GeV^2$
  - Forward Detector region ( $E_{beam} = 6.5 \text{ and } 7.5 \text{ GeV}$ )
    - $0.5 \le Q^2 \le 2 \ GeV^2$
- Various selection cuts on timing, P,  $\theta$ , ToF,  $\chi^2_{pid}$  are applied

Kinematic Coverage Forward Detector

- Data are binned in  $Q^2$
- $Q^2$  coverage ranges from ~ 0.15 2.0 GeV<sup>2</sup>
- Clear  $\Xi^-(1320)$  signal
- $\Xi^{-}(1530)$  signal as well



#### Kinematic Coverage Forward Tagger

- Quasi-real photoproduction regime
- Very Forward
  - $(2.5^{\circ} \le \theta \le 4.5^{\circ})$
- $Q^2$  coverage from ~ 0.04 0.18 GeV<sup>2</sup>



#### Missing Mass Distribution (FT)

- Lowest yield out of all data sets
- Both signals are fitted to a gaussian
- Background fitted to 4<sup>th</sup> order polynomial



Missing Mass Distributions (FD)

- Highest yield out of all data sets is the eFD for  $E_{beam} = 6.5 \ GeV$
- Both signals are fitted to a gaussian
- Background fitted to 4<sup>th</sup> order polynomial
  - Need a better understanding of background shape!



### Understanding the Background

#### Mixed events background

Randomizing the lower momentum kaon and computing the missing mass

$$P_{miss}^{\mu} = P_{beam}^{\mu} + P_{target}^{\mu} - \left(P_{e}^{\mu} + P_{K_{1}^{+}}^{\mu} + P_{K_{i}^{+}}^{\mu}\right)$$
  
where  $P_{K_{i}^{+}}^{\mu}$  is randomly selected

- Differs bin-by-bin in  $Q^2$
- Worked well for previous electroproduction results

# Mixed Events overall $Q^2$ range

- Shaded yellow represents the mixed events background
- Summary of Forward Tagger and Forward Detector at 7.5 GeV over full  $Q^2$  range



# Mixed Events bin-by-bin in $Q^2 ext{ eFD } E_{beam} = 6.5 ext{ GeV}$





![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

## $\Xi^{-}(1320)$ Yield

- All current available data from pass 2 RG-K is presented
- Reported at the bin-average
- Not acceptance corrected

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Forward Detector Acceptance

• Acceptance: 
$$\eta^i = \frac{N_{rec}}{N_{gen}}$$

- Does not account for uncertainties from the model
- Current acceptance is shown for equal weighing for s and t-channel production
- Forward Tagger acceptance is underway

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

## Summary and Outlook

- Yield for ground state shows promising results
  - Potential to explore  $\Xi(1530)$  as well
- Data compliments previous electroproduction results
  - Gap in FD  $Q^2$  coverage is filled
- New run data is coming very soon with increased statistics!
  - Approximately 30% more statistics
- Cross section results coming soon!

# Thank You!

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

Supported by: DE-SC0013620, This work was supported by the US Department of Energy Office of Science, Office of Nuclear Physics, under contract no. DE-SC0013620