The QCD static potential

M.E. Carrington Brandon University, Manitoba, Canada

Collaborators: Cristina Manuel and Joan Soto

March 16, 2025

Carrington, March 16, 2025 (slide 1 of 20)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

static potential

 \rightarrow dominant interaction between heavy $q\text{-}\bar{q}$ at low energy

T = 0

- attractive
- coulomb-like at small r (linearly rising at large r) $T \neq 0$
- the short-distance potential screened
- yukawa-like with screening mass \propto T

proposed signal for QGP formation:

suppression of heavy q- \bar{q} bound state production at high T

T. Matsui and H. Satz, Phys. Lett. B 178, 416-422 (1986).

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

at LO and in thermal equilibrium:

V(r) from f-transform of 00 thermal gluon propagator in zero frequency limit

$$G_{\rm lo}(0,p) = -\frac{1}{m_D^2 + p^2} + \frac{i\pi T m_D^2}{p(p^2 + m_D^2)^2}$$
$$\operatorname{Re} V_{\rm 1lo}(\vec{r}) = -g^2 C_F \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r}}}{p^2 + m_D^2} = -\frac{\alpha C_F}{r} e^{-m_D r}$$

** important idea

if $Im[V] \sim Re[V]$ where screening becomes important

- \rightarrow bound states disappear because decay (become wide resonances)
- not because V is screened (too shallow to support them)

M. Laine, O. Philipsen, P. Romatschke and M. Tassler, JHEP 03, 054 (2007).

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Q: what is the scale where we expect this to happen? propagator: $G_{lo}(0, p) = -\frac{1}{m_D^2 + p^2} + \frac{i\pi T m_D^2}{p(p^2 + m_D^2)^2}$ if $p \sim g^a T$ then $\operatorname{Re}[\tilde{V}_{1LO}(p)] \sim \frac{g^{2-2a}}{T^2}$ and $\operatorname{Im}[\tilde{V}_{1LO}(p)] \sim \frac{g^{4-5a}}{T^2}$ for a resonance to exist need $\operatorname{Im}[\tilde{V}] < \operatorname{Re}[\tilde{V}] \Rightarrow 0 < a < 2/3$

a = 2/3 parametrically scale we expect quarkonium to dissociate

real time static potential beyond LO in equilibrium

MEC, C. Manuel and J. Soto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 134, 011905 (2025)

we consider $p \sim g^a T$ with $1/2 < a < 2/3 \Rightarrow m_D \ll p \ll T$

- upper bound on p: from condition ${\sf Re} ilde{V}_{
 m lo}(p) \sim {\sf Im} ilde{V}_{
 m lo}(p)$
- ightarrow bound state decays
- lower bound on p: require p "semi-hard"
- calculation of next-to-leading order potential is simplified consequences: V(r) valid for $r m_D \ll 1 \ll r T$

motivation

- a check of the idea of quarkonium dissociation
- provides wider set of physically motivated forms of the potential
- to use as input for methods to extract V from lattice correlators

<ロ> (四) (四) (三) (三) (三)

- thermalized plasma
- $M_q \gg$ all other physical scales
- ightarrow static $qar{q}$ are (unthermalised) probe particles
- coulomb gauge
- dimensional regularization

_∢ ≣ ▶

potential obtained from real time QCD (rectangular) wilson loop

$$egin{aligned} & W(t,r) = rac{1}{N_c} \left< \mathcal{P} \mathrm{exp}\left(ig \int A_\mu(z) z^\mu
ight)
ight> \ & V(r) = \lim_{t o \infty} rac{i}{t} \ln[W(t,r)] \end{aligned}$$

in limit $t o \infty$ lines on sides set to 1 q ar q couple to A_0 on 11 branch of CTP contour

LO is the $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$ term proportional to G_{00} HTL propagator \rightarrow yukawa potential





how to calculate static potential beyond leading order

- expand W to higher order in g
- dress the propagator in the LO contribution



• iterate the LO potential (not shown)

determine how to dress lines/vertices for $p \sim g^a T$ with $\frac{1}{2} < a < \frac{2}{3}$

comment about power counting

calculation of NLO HTL n-point functions

 \rightarrow follow prescription \ldots

for the static potential there are two important differences

- 1. fermion lines have the form $\frac{1}{p_0 \pm i\eta}$ ($M_q \gg$ all other scales)
- 2. external frequencies are taken to zero
- \Rightarrow external momenta don't flow through the diagram
- ** power counting is different from standard thermal field theory



in (a) we include corrections to HTL self-energy

- power correction to HTL gluon bubble
- C. Manuel, J. Soto and S. Stetina, Phys. Rev. D 94, 025017, (2016).
- S. Carignano, C. Manuel and J. Soto, Phys. Lett. B, 308, 780 (2018).
- one loop gluon bubble with loop momenta semi-hard
- can be done with bare lines and vertices
- bose-einstein distributions $\sim T/p_0$ since $p \ll T$
- no quark loop (pauli blocking)

Re[V] in A. K. Rebhan, Phys. Rev. D 48, R3967 (1993) Im[V] in J. Q. Zhu, Z. L. Ma, C. Y. Shi and Y. D. Li, Nucl. Phys. A 942, 54-64 (2015)

diagrams (bcde) = ladder diagrams

- HTL propagators and bare vertices
- also: additional contributions from static quark self-energies
- ightarrow constant contributions that we have not calculated
- this will be explained below
- we take into account corrections to LO real part: larger than g^2 & imag part: larger than g^{2-a}
- ullet denominators $\sim p^2+m_D^2$ kept unexpanded (damped approximation)
- \rightarrow extends region that coordinate space potential is valid

coordinate space potential beyond leading order

$$\hat{r} = rm_D$$
 and $m_D = gT\hat{m}_D$
 $I_j(\hat{r}) = \int_0^\infty d\hat{p} \sin(\hat{p}\hat{r}) (\hat{p}^2 + 1)^{-j}$

$$\begin{split} V_{1\mathrm{lo}} &= -\frac{g^2 C_F}{4\pi \hat{r}} \left(m_D e^{-\hat{r}} - 2iT \, l_2(\hat{r}) \right) \\ \mathrm{Re}[V_{\mathrm{nlo}}] &= \frac{g^4 N_c C_F T}{64\pi^2 \hat{r}} \left\{ 8 \left(l_2(\hat{r}) - l_1(\hat{r}) \right) + \frac{e^{-\hat{r}}}{16} \left(3\pi^2 - 16 + \frac{\hat{r}}{6} \left(16 - \pi^2 \right) \right) \right\} \\ i\mathrm{Im}[V_{\mathrm{nlo}}] &= -i \frac{g^3 C_F T}{16\pi^2 \hat{m}_D} \left\{ \frac{3\pi^2 - 16}{32 \, \hat{r}} l_2(\hat{r}) + \frac{7}{3} \, N_c e^{-\hat{r}} - \frac{2g \hat{m}_D}{\pi \hat{r}} \left(N_c - \frac{N_f}{2} \right) \left(l_1(\hat{r}) - l_2(\hat{r}) \right) \right\} \end{split}$$

Carrington, March 16, 2025 (slide 12 of 20)

▶ < ≣ ▶

* 臣 ▶ - 臣



Carrington, March 16, 2025 (slide 13 of 20)

æ

want to include contributions from $p \sim m_D$ that we haven't calculated

- since $\textit{pr} \sim \textit{m}_{\textit{D}}\textit{r} \sim g^{1-a} < 1
ightarrow$ can expand the exponential

$$V_{\text{soft}}(r) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left(1 + i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r} - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{r})^2 + \cdots \right) \tilde{V}(p)$$

keep terms that are \geq smallest contributions in analytic result odd powers zero by symmetry in an isotropic system

• add contributions:

 $Re[V] = C + g^3 q_0 T$ $Im[V] = g^3 i_0 T + g^5 i_2 r^2 T^3$

coefficients obtained by fitting to lattice results

 ${\boldsymbol{C}}$ is a global constant that adjusts the origins of the energies

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Э

<u>NOTES</u>

- 1. can verify constants have correct form to absorb infrared poles in the fourier transform of the expanded potential idea: $\frac{1}{p^2+m_D^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^2} - \frac{m_D^2}{p^4} \dots$
- recall: heavy q self-energy contributions not calculated
 they are absorbed into the fitted constants
- 3. we considered bottomonium M = 4676 MeV

伺 とうき とうとう

lattice calculation: A. Bazavov, D. Hoying, O. Kaczmarek, R. N. Larsen, S. Mukherjee, P. Petreczky, A. Rothkopf and J. H. Weber, [arXiv:2308.16587 [hep-lat]].

use g = 1.8 from fit to T = 0 lattice data with $r \in (0.0, 0.3)$ fm find (C, q_0, i_0, i_2) with fit to all available T and $r \in (0.02, 0.3)$ fm

- real part of potential varies little with T (like data)
- imaginary part gets big contro from soft region
- solid bands are uncertainties in fitted coefficients inherited from lattice data



Carrington, March 16, 2025 (slide 16 of 20)

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 >

lattice calculation: R. Larsen, S. Meinel, S. Mukherjee and P. Petreczky, Phys. Lett. B 800, 135119 (2020). solve the schrödinger equation using our result for Re[V] \rightarrow binding energies and $\Gamma = -\langle \text{Im}[V] \rangle$ find soft coefficients by fitting to all available temperatures

- error bars from fitting to upper/lower values



 \Rightarrow reasonable description of data for both E-bind and Γ

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

fitted soft contribution

recall: contribution to V(r) from $p \sim m_D$ $\operatorname{Re}[V] = C + g^3 q_0 T$ $\operatorname{Im}[V] = g^3 i_0 T + g^5 i_2 r^2 T^3$

find values of coefficients by fitting to 2 sets of lattice data

in our calculation all scales are explicit \rightarrow expect same size for numerical coefficients from the two fits

 i_2 from the first fit is significantly larger

C=219 MeV from first calculation in second the coulomb binding energy is subtracted (C plays no role)

(日本) (日本) (日本)

Э

dissociation:

- bound states disappear because decay (become wide resonances)
- not because V is screened too shallow to support them

 $T_{\rm diss}\approx$ temperature where ground state ${\it E}_{\rm bind}=\Gamma=-2\langle{\rm Im}\,{\it V}\rangle$

- define $E_{\rm bind}$ as eigenvalue of V with threshold set to 0

lo result: $T_{\rm diss} = 193.2 \text{ MeV}$

nlo result: $T_{\rm diss} = 151.8 \pm 1.2 \mbox{ MeV} \leftarrow$ using first fit ** outlying result for i_2

nlo result: $T_{\rm diss} = 225 \pm 10$ MeV \leftarrow using second fit

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

- calculated beyond-lo corrections to momentum space potential
- when the typical momentum transfer p satisfies $m_D \ll p \ll T$
- relevant region to obtain dissociation T for heavy quarkonium
- ullet we include soft contributions $p \lesssim m_D$
- have universal form because we can expand exponential in f-transform
- coefficients from fitting to lattice data
- reasonable description of lattice data (LO fails)
- identify an inconsistency between 2 different sets of lattice data
- results provide useful inputs for the Bayesian methods required in the effort to determine the potential from euclidean lattice data