Interpreting *u*-channel Cross Sections

Zachary Sweger University of California, Davis

working with Spencer Klein Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Supported in part by











Diffractive Scattering

- Scattering has long been used to image the nucleus
- Think of black disk diffraction. Diffraction pattern \rightarrow disk size. But partial absorption complicates picture





Diffractive Scattering

- Scattering has long been used to image the nucleus
- Think of black disk diffraction. Diffraction pattern → disk size. But partial absorption complicates picture
- Send in a high-energy projectile (such as a photon or proton) and measure diffractive dips
- Larger momenta \rightarrow greater resolving power for small sizes!
- p_T (transverse momentum) and b (transverse scattering distance) are conjugate variables!







• We can look inside nucleons to see what makes them up

M. Krasny et al. European Physical Journal C. 69. 379-397. 10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1417-0 (2010)

3/16/2025



5









397. 10.1140/epjc/s10052-010-1417-0 (2010)

Zachary Sweger

3/16/2025

- We can look inside nucleons to see what makes them up
- Most of nucleon's momentum comes from valence quarks (up, down)
- When we look deeper, MANY sea quarks and gluons contribute as well
- up(u), down(d), charm(c), strange(s), antiquarks ($\bar{u}, \bar{d}, \bar{c}, \bar{s}$) and gluons (g)
- Nucleons and the nucleus change with energy
- We aim to measure these nucleus/nucleon distributions at high energies



- Scattering mediated by virtual photon at EIC
- Image nucleus by scattering off nucleus' "gluon cloud"



- Scattering mediated by virtual photon at EIC
- Image nucleus by scattering off nucleus' "gluon cloud"



• Meson production similarly images nuclei









Forward cross sections \rightarrow nucleon form factors

• We measure meson/photon production Xsec vs momentum transfer t



Momentum transfer -t (GeV)



Forward cross sections \rightarrow nucleon form factors

- We measure meson/photon production Xsec vs momentum transfer t
- By transforming this in the transverse plane, we can map transverse distribution of partons within proton (or nucleus)









GHP 2025, Anaheim

Resolution down to $b \sim 1/\sqrt{t_{max}}$

EIC Yellow Report (2021)



• Photoproduction cross section of the ρ in Au+Au collisions measured by STAR





- Photoproduction cross section of the ρ in Au+Au collisions measured by STAR
- do/dt transformed into the transverse plane reproduces Woods-Saxon shape



ρ Production in UPCs



- Photoproduction cross section of the ρ in Au+Au collisions measured by STAR
- do/dt transformed into the transverse plane reproduces Woods-Saxon shape
- Meaning of negative amplitude at edges unclear. May be due to interference between two nuclei













u-channel

t-channel

VS

- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity





t-channel

VS

- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity
- relatively large cross section





u-channel

VS



t-channel

- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity
- relatively large cross section

u-channel

• proton momentum largely modified





VS



t-channel

- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity
- relatively large cross section

u-channel

- proton momentum largely modified
- meson produced near beam proton's momentum





VS



t-channel

- proton momentum slightly modified
- meson produced near midrapidity
- relatively large cross section

u-channel

- proton momentum largely modified
- meson produced near beam proton's momentum
- suppressed cross section relative to t-channel









• Omega meson production: $\omega \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$ Phys. Rev. C 106, 015204 (2022)





- Omega meson production: $\omega \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$
- Rho meson production: $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

> Phys. Rev. C 106, 015204 (2022)





- Omega meson production: $\omega \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$
- Rho meson production: $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
- Pion production: $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

> Phys. Rev. C 106, 015204 (2022)

Phys. Rev. C 108, 055205 (2023)





- Omega meson production: $\omega \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$
- Rho meson production: $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
- Pion production: $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
- DVCS: γ

> Phys. Rev. C 106, 015204 (2022)

Phys. Rev. C 108, 055205 (2023)







Phys. Rev. C 87, 024913 (2013)

t-channel scattering off Pomeron maps gluon density distribution

1-z (1-z)r $\mathsf{V} = \mathsf{J}/\psi, \, \phi, \, \rho, \, \gamma$ **X**′ X 0000 b 0000 p', A' р, A





Fig. 1.1--Figure indicates a "backward" reaction mediated by baryon exchange. Particles P₂ and P₄ are baryons while particles "a" and "b" are not. Particle "b" is taken to be emitted in the backward direction in the center-of-mass system.





In t-channel production, the shape of this cross-section is meaningful





- In t-channel production, the shape of this cross-section is meaningful
- It encodes information about the size of the production region





- In t-channel production, the shape of this cross-section is meaningful
- It encodes information about the size of the production region
- $|t| \approx p_T$ is conjugate to b_T (impact parameter)





Transition Distribution Amplitudes





- Mathematical structure around u-channel mechanism is similar to t-channel
- Forward collinear QCD factorization is in terms of GPDs
- Backward collinear factorization is in terms of TDAs: transition distribution amplitudes describing exchange of 3 quarks
 - Similar limit as $\Delta_T \rightarrow 0$ yields impactparameter space interpretation

Fig. 11 Forward and backward collinear factorization schemes.

EPJ A, Vol. 57 342 (2021)

Connection to Baryon Junctions



- In our 2022 paper, we make connection between u-channel mechanism and baryon junctions
- Junction model can help explain baryon transport to midrapidity
- Far-forward mesons and a stopped baryon are expected in y+Junction scattering
- Is interpretation of TDAs in the transverse plane able to provide insight into distribution of baryon number within proton?



Existing Data: π^0 at SLAC



Existing Data: π^0 at SLAC





Backward photoproduction of π^0 measured at SLAC in 1969

Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 725 (1969)

Existing Data: π^0 at SLAC





- Backward photoproduction of π^0 measured at SLAC in 1969
 - Real photons, four energies above the hadron resonance region
- Wide range of Mandelstam *u*

Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 725 (1969)

Existing Data: ω at NINA (Daresbury)



 Backward photoproduction of ω measured at Daresbury Laboratory's NINA synchrotron in 1977

Phys. Lett. B 72, 144 (1977)

4

GHP 2025, Anaheim

Existing Data: ω at NINA (Daresbury)



- Backward photoproduction of ω measured at Daresbury Laboratory's NINA synchrotron in 1977
- Real photons, two energies above the hadron resonance region
- Wide range of Mandelstam *u*, very fine bins

Phys. Lett. B 72, 144 (1977)









- 1. Grab an existing dataset
- 2. To get closer to p_T , calculate and subtract off u_{min} , corresponding to exactly backward production (180°)





3. Transform to impact-parameter space





46

3. Transform to impact-parameter space 4. Bootstrap data many times and re-transform vary data points according to errors a. vary upper limit of integration by $\pm 20\%$ b. -dσ/du (nb/GeV²) E(b)/[F(b)]db $u_{\min} = 0.022 \text{ GeV}^2$ $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$ bootstraps standard transform 0.5 -(*u*-*u*_{min}) b (fm) 46 GHP 2025, Anaheim Zachary Sweger 3/16/2025

Transformation Results

3/16/2025



Work in progress



- F(b) is density of production region in impact parameter space
- We can approximate source radius with width at half-max $b_0=0.41\pm0.03$ fm
- Regions of negative F(b) are unphysical artifacts of finite transformation range
- We believe these to be windowing artifacts→ effects of transforming a box function. Further investigation needed

Transformation Results



- At W=3.5 GeV, limited data results in slightly wider transverse shape
- At other energies, interaction region size converges quickly



Transformation Results



• ω transform has larger spread, esp. for b<0.2fm



Transformation Results



- ω transform has larger uncertainty, esp. for b<0.2fm
- Both cross sections give similar size



Transformation Results





u-Channel $yp \rightarrow \pi^+ n$



- Charged pion production measured in the *u*-channel at SLAC as well
- Involves charge-transfer in the cross channel in addition to baryon number transfer



u-Channel $yp \rightarrow \pi^+ n$



53

• Transforms at different center-of-mass energies give consistent picture of source size



u-channel at the EIC

- Currently all the best u-channel data is for photoproduction (Q²=0)
- EIC may provide opportunity to describe Q² evolution of this ``object"
- Studies suggest that certain channels like ρ production will be measurable





F(b)/∫|F(b)|db



Conclusions



- Spencer and I are working on interpreting uchannel production/scattering cross sections
- By transforming to the transverse plane, these cross-sections may map the distribution of baryon number in the nucleon.
- Early studies suggest an ``object'' with size
 b₀≅0.4fm. This is still a work in progress!

GHP 2025, Anaheim



Thank you for your attention!

zwsweger@ucdavis.edu