Electroweak Two Nucleon Matrix Elements

Joseph Moscoso

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

Advisor: Amy Nicholson

Nuclear Theory New Physics





Graduate Research Fellowship Program



Joseph Moscoso



THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL



Quantitative predictions for electrov described by Standard Model (SM)



https://cdn.kastatic.org/ka-perseus-images/8d978444f15f9bbc3bcadb0549816bc7e264b977.svg





Joseph Moscoso

Quantitative predictions for electroweak interactions of hadronic system

bozen6 (bozz svo



A.Acar



described by Standard Model (SM)









Quantitative predictions for electroweak interactions of hadronic system



QCD-stable Hadron $|N\rangle, |\pi\rangle, \ldots$

J Local, external current



- described by Standard Model (SM)
- Low-energy quantitative predictions LQCD
- Precision Experiments Reveal New Physics

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \nu \beta & \text{Beta decay} \\
 & & n \rightarrow pev \\
 & 1+J \rightarrow 1
\end{array}$$





Quantitative predictions for electroweak interactions of hadronic system





- Quantitative predictions for electroweak interactions of hadronic system described by Standard Model (SM)
- Low-energy quantitative predictions LQCD
- Precision Experiments Reveal New Physics

 $0\nu\beta\beta$



 $2 + J + J \rightarrow 2$

008





- $2\nu\beta\beta$ Rare Nuclear Decay $nn \rightarrow ppeevv$
 - Lepton Number Violation $nn \rightarrow ppee$



- Quantitative predictions for electroweak interactions of hadronic system described by Standard Model (SM)
- Low-energy quantitative predictions LQCD
- Precision Experiments Reveal New Physics

$$1 + J \rightarrow 2$$





NOvA Preliminary v-beam Not Extrapolated Lepton Reconstruction Extrapolated Neutron Uncertainty **Resonance Production Detector Response Beam Flux** $\nu N \to \Delta \to N\pi$ **Detector Calibration** Neutrino Cross Sections Near-Far Uncor Systematic Uncertainty -10 0 10 Signal Uncertainty (%) -20 20





Standard Model successful Not Complete







Precision Frontier



Nuclear Physics

- **Quest:** state-of-the-art predictions with quantified uncertainties
- Methods: Effective Field Theory, Lattice QCD
- **Focus:** Electroweak Currents of Two Hadron Systems

- COOK









Formalism to map FV to Physical Amplitudes



[Baroni, Briceño, Hansen, Ortega-Gama (2018)]





Joseph Moscoso

$2 + J \rightarrow 2$ Amplitudes

$\left|\langle \mathbf{2} | \mathcal{J} | \mathbf{2} \rangle_L \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{R} \ \mathcal{W}_{L, \mathrm{df}} \ \mathcal{R} \ \mathcal{W}_{L, \mathrm{df}} \right]}$





Lattice Calculations

Numerical Low-Energy Observables

$${\cal L}_{
m QCD} = ar{\psi}_i \left(i \gamma^\mu (D_\mu)_{ij} - m \, \delta_{ij}
ight) \psi_j - rac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu
u} G^{\mu
u}_a$$



 m_{u} *m_d g*_{stronk} m_{s}

HU@S





 $L \to \infty$ $a \rightarrow 0$ $m_{\pi} \rightarrow 139 MeV$

Finite, Euclidean Spacetime







Numerical Low-Energy Observables

FV Energies

Evaluate using Monte Carlo techniques:

$$C(x_0 - y_0, \mathbf{P}) = rac{1}{Z_{Eucl.}} \int \mathcal{D}[U, q, \bar{q}] \mathcal{O}'_{\lambda'}(x_0, \mathbf{P}) \mathcal{O}^{\dagger}_{\lambda}(y_0, \mathbf{P}) \; e^{-S_{\mathrm{Eucl.}}}$$

correlation function

$$C_{L}(t) = \langle O(t)O^{\dagger}(0) \rangle$$

$$\sum_{n} |n\rangle\langle n|$$

$$C_{L}(t) = \sum_{n} Z_{n}Z_{n}^{\dagger}e^{-E_{n}t} \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} e^{-E_{0}t}$$



Lattice Calculation





Finite Volume

- Numerical Low-Energy Observables
- **FV Energies**
- Physical Observables Lüscher Formalism

$$\det_{lm} \left[\mathcal{M}(s) + F^{-1}(P,L) \right] = 0$$
$$+ O(e^{-m_{\pi}L})$$

$$\mathcal{M}(s) \sim (p \cot \delta(s) - i\rho(s))^{-1}$$
$$\ell m \left(F_{\ell m,\ell'm'} - \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}rp^2 \text{ ERE} \right)$$







NN System

Benchmark System in Nuclear Physics

Challenge in LQCD



NN at SU(3) Symmetric Point





$$\exp\left[-A\left(m_N - \frac{3}{2}m_\pi\right)t\right]$$

Precise NN spectrum

 $m_{\pi} \sim 714 \text{ MeV}$

$$m_u = m_d = m_s$$





$\left|\langle \mathbf{2} | \mathcal{J} | \mathbf{2} angle_L \right| = rac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{R} \ \mathcal{W}_{L,\mathrm{df}} \ \mathcal{R} \ \mathcal{W}_{L,\mathrm{df}} ight]}$ Formalism to map FV to Physical Amplitudes



[Baroni, Briceño, Hansen, Ortega-Gama (2018)]



$2 + J \rightarrow 2$ Amplitudes







2 Hadron Form Factors

Formalism developed



Test on a Low energy EFT

 $_{\infty} \langle P_f | \mathcal{J}^{\mu}(0) | P_i \rangle_{\infty} = (P_f + P_i)^{\mu} F(Q^2)$



 $\left| \langle \mathbf{2} | \mathcal{J} | \mathbf{2} \rangle_L \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^3}} \sqrt{\operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{R} \ \mathcal{W}_{L, \mathrm{df}} \ \mathcal{R} \ \mathcal{W}_{L, \mathrm{df}} \right]}$



FV State Correction

 $|E, \mathbf{P}\rangle_{\infty} = \mathcal{R} |E, \mathbf{P}\rangle_{L}$

Transition Amplitude $\mathcal{W}_{L,\mathrm{df}}$ $\langle E, \mathbf{P}' | J | E, \mathbf{P} \rangle_{\infty}$





Nuclear EFT

Low energy Nuclear EFT 2 point-like non-relativistic nucleons interacting with contact interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{eff}} = \psi^{\dagger} \left(i \partial_{ au} + \frac{
abla^2}{2M}
ight) \psi + g_0 \left(\psi^{\dagger} + \frac{
abla^2}{2M}
ight) \psi$$

Tunable g Test interactions with deep or shallow bound states

> finite-volume two-to-two matrix elements



finite-volume one-body matrix elements













FV Spectrum

Low energy Nuclear EFT 2 point-like non-relativistic nucleons interacting with contact interaction

Discretize LEFT

$$C(\tau) = \langle \Psi_{\text{snk},2} | e^{-H\tau} | \Psi_{\text{src},2} \rangle \\ = \langle \Psi_{\text{snk},2} | [e^{-H}]^{\tau} | \Psi_{\text{src},2} \rangle$$

Tunable L, g, M, P









FV Spectrum

Low energy Nuclear EFT 2 point-like non-relativistic nucleons interacting with contact interaction

Discretize LEFT

Non-Relativistic Lüscher (s-wave)

$$E_{NR}^{*} \longrightarrow \det_{lm} \left[\mathscr{M}(s) + F^{-1}(P,L) \right] = 0$$

$$\int_{p \cot \delta} \frac{1}{\pi L} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{p^{*}L}{2\pi}\right) - n^{2}}$$









D $2 + J^{\mu} \rightarrow 2$ Matrix Elements vector (EM) current



Non-relativistic version of Formalism



Matrix Elements

$$|\langle 2|\mathcal{J}|2\rangle_{L}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L^{3}}}\sqrt{\operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{R} \ \mathcal{W}_{L,df} \ \mathcal{R} \ \mathcal{W}_{L,df}\right]}$$

$$P_{i} = [0,0,0]$$

$$L = 12a$$

$$c = \text{bound}$$

$$Q^{2} = (\mathbf{P}_{f} - \mathbf{P}_{i})^{2}$$







Conclusion

- Quantitative predictions for electroweak interactions of hadronic system require advancements in LQCD calculations and FV Formalisms
- NN systems must resolve energy spectrum before reliable form factors
- Testing of FV Formalism with Low-energy LEFT



 $2 + J^{\mu} \rightarrow 2$







