University of
Massachusetts Charged Pion Amherst Polarizability in Hall D

Albert Fabrizi HUGS 2024 Student Seminar

- Theory Background for *χPT*
- Polarizability
- Hall D CPP Experiment Setup
- Analyzing Muon Tracks
- Developing $π/μ$ neural net

Overview

Albert Fabrizi **HUGS: CPP Analysis** 1995 1996/24

Chiral Lagrangian

• Chiral Perturbation Theory (χPT) acts as an effective field theory for low energy QCD in the regime where the strong coupling does not allow

- perturbation techniques
- In this regime we desire a theory that explains Hadron interactions

Albert Fabrizi **HUGS: CPP Analysis** 1995 1996/24

$$
\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{F_\pi^2}{4} Tr(\partial_\mu U \partial^\mu U^\dagger) + \frac{m_\pi^2}{4} F_\pi^2 Tr(U + U^\dagger) \qquad \qquad U = \exp\left(\sum_i \lambda_i \phi_i / F_\pi\right)
$$

 $F_\pi = 93 MeV$

Higher than Tree Level

- Going above tree level (one loop and beyond) brings about issues in the forms of divergences.
- Weinberg posited that these divergences can be absorbed in to phenomenological constants, much like QED.
- This gave rise to the Gasser-Leutwyler Lagrangian

4

Gasser-Leutwyler

$$
\mathcal{L}_{4} = \sum_{i=1}^{10} L_{i} \mathcal{O}_{i} = L_{1} \left[\text{tr}(D_{\mu} U D^{\mu} U^{\dagger}) \right]^{2} + L_{2} \text{tr}(D_{\mu} U D_{\nu} U^{\dagger}) \cdot \text{tr}(D^{\mu} U^{T})
$$
\n
$$
+ L_{3} \text{tr}(D_{\mu} U D^{\mu} U^{\dagger} D_{\nu} U D^{\nu} U^{\dagger}) + L_{4} \text{tr}(D_{\mu} U D^{\mu} U^{\dagger}) \text{tr}(\chi U^{\dagger} + U \chi^{\dagger})
$$
\n
$$
+ L_{5} \text{tr}(D_{\mu} U D^{\mu} U^{\dagger} (\chi U^{\dagger} + U \chi^{\dagger})) + L_{6} \left[\text{tr}(\chi U^{\dagger} + U \chi^{\dagger}) \right]^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ L_{7} \left[\text{tr}(\chi^{\dagger} U - U \chi^{\dagger}) \right]^{2} + L_{8} \text{tr}(\chi U^{\dagger} \chi U^{\dagger} + U \chi^{\dagger} U \chi^{\dagger})
$$
\n
$$
+ i L_{9} \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}^{L} D^{\mu} U D^{\nu} U^{\dagger} + F_{\mu\nu}^{R} D^{\mu} U^{\dagger} D^{\nu} U) + L_{10} \text{tr}(F_{\mu\nu}^{L} U F^{R \mu \nu} U
$$
\n
$$
D_{\mu} U = \partial_{\mu} U + \{A_{\mu}, U\} + [V_{\mu}, U]
$$
\n
$$
F_{\mu\nu}^{L,R} = \partial_{\mu} F_{\nu}^{L,R} - \partial_{\nu} F_{\mu}^{L,R} - i[F_{\mu}^{L,R}, F_{\nu}^{L,R}], F_{\mu}^{L,R} = V_{\mu} \pm A_{\mu}
$$
\n
$$
L_{i}^{r} = L_{i} - \frac{\gamma_{i}}{32\pi^{2}} \left[-\frac{2}{\epsilon} - \ln(4\pi) + \gamma - 1 \right]
$$
\n**Bare value**

Gasser-Leutwyler Lagrangian

- The values of the L_i^r coefficients were found through experiment. *i*
- At the one-loop level, this theory is perfectly valid for our low energy levels (hadronic interactions)
- For higher order corrections the \mathscr{L}_6 Lagrangian can be used to absorb higher divergences.

$$
L_4 = \sum_{i=1}^{10} L_i \mathcal{O}_i = L_1 \left[tr(D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger) \right]^2 + L_2 tr(D_\mu U D_\nu U^\dagger) \cdot tr(D^\mu U D^\nu U
$$

+ $L_3 tr(D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger D_\nu U D^\nu U^\dagger) + L_4 tr(D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger) tr(\chi U^\dagger + U \chi^\dagger)$
+ $L_5 tr(D_\mu U D^\mu U^\dagger (\chi U^\dagger + U \chi^\dagger)) + L_6 \left[tr(\chi U^\dagger + U \chi^\dagger) \right]^2$
+ $L_7 \left[tr(\chi^\dagger U - U \chi^\dagger) \right]^2 + L_8 tr(\chi U^\dagger \chi U^\dagger + U \chi^\dagger U \chi^\dagger)$
+ $iL_9 tr(F_{\mu\nu}^L D^\mu U D^\nu U^\dagger + F_{\mu\nu}^R D^\mu U^\dagger D^\nu U) + L_{10} tr(F_{\mu\nu}^L U F^{R\mu\nu} U^\dagger)$

$$
L_i^r = L_i - \frac{\gamma_i}{32\pi^2} \left[-\frac{2}{\epsilon} - \ln(4\pi) + \gamma - 1 \right]
$$

Predicted Quantities

- The Lagrangian, or more importantly the constants gave rise to predictions of different quantities
- One from the Chiral-even terms of the Lagrangian give Charged Pion Polarizability. Which there has been some agreement with experiment

What is Polarizability? Ē>0 \vec{E} =0

Hadron surrounded by Pion Cloud

Electric Polarizability = $\alpha \approx 10^{-4} \times$ Volume **Magnetic Polarizability** = $\beta \approx 10^{-4} \times$ Volume

Hadron surrounded by displaced Pion Cloud

 $\left(1 + P_{\gamma} \cos \varphi_{\pi\pi}\right) \sigma(\gamma\gamma \to \pi\pi)$

$$
\frac{d^2\sigma_{primakoff}}{d\Omega dM} = \frac{2\alpha Z^2}{\pi^2} \frac{E_{\gamma}^4 \beta^2}{M} \frac{\sin^2\theta}{Q^4} \left[F(Q^2) \right]^2 \left(
$$

Muon Detector

- 8 Chambers built at UMASS, 6 used in CPP
- Each MWPC has 144 channels (sense wires)
- 90% $Ar + 10% CO_2$ gas mixture
- Ran at 1780V
- 4 Scintillators (CTOF) placed downstream of final chamber

Scintillators for cross checks

Muon Detector

Wire Chambers Chambers installed with Iron Absorbers

CTOF Installed behind muon chambers

Albert Fabrizi **HUGS: CPP Analysis** 1995 1996/6/24

11

$\mu^+ \mu^-$ Pairs Candidates

CTOF

- Pulse Height Cuts
- 2D Pulse Height Band Cuts
- TOF Trigger only
- Hits are calibrated through CTOFHit_factory **Charged Track**
- At least 1 charged track pointing to a paddle with a good hit in CTOF
- Charged Track matched to hit in TOF
- No minimum momentum requirement on track

All Hits in Chamber 5 (vertical wires) that Satisfy Analysis Requirements

Albert Fabrizi **HUGS: CPP Analysis** 6/6/24

- Charged tracks from the FDCs are extrapolated to each MWPC layer.
- The track is matched to
	- hits in 5 chambers (a hit and track position
	- required to be within 2σ, σ
- Then the distance from the projected track to the closest chamber hit is

MWPC Track Matching Resolution

from Ilya) plotted

Inefficiency Plots for MWPCs

Jefferson Lab

- Testing FMWPC digi-hit cuts for each chamber
- Value for cut chosen at tightest cut with lowest inefficiency.
	- All chambers with selected cuts (red arrows) shown with efficiency above 99.8%
- Chamber efficiency tests in the EEL showed chamber efficiency of 99.7%

Modifications to CPP/NPP REST files for neural nets

 D CPPEpEm_factory (μ/π and e/π neural net inferences for CPP) has been modified to work on REST files

All FMWPC quantities needed for the CPP μ/π neural net have been added to the REST file structure for CPP/NPP run period by **default.**

Additional FCAL quantities *required* **by** μ/π neural net can be added optionally to REST: PPID:ADD_FCAL_DATA_FOR_CPP 1

MLP Response refers to the model giving a "score" to the particle whether it is closed to signal or background. We place a cut on the response for the seperation. Test on 1 evio file converted to REST:

https://github.com/JeffersonLab/halld_recon/tree/AddFmwpcMatches

Albert Fabrizi 14

 μ^+

- High purity muon skims: /lustre19/expphy/cache/halld/home/alfab/ muon_skims_ver3_apr5/ (through CTOF analysis)
- CTOF calibrations complete, BCAL position fixed energy calibrations in progress.
- FCAL calibrations updated, energy linearity function updated
- π/μ neural net refining and testing for real data
- The muon skims will be used to test neural net response for muons
- $\pi^+\pi^-$ with invariant mass near ρ^0 peak to test response for pions

Summary and Plans

Questions

Albert Fabrizi **HUGS: CPP Analysis** 6/6/24

Works Cited

• Holstein, BR, "A brief introduction to chiral perturbation theory" (2000). CZECHOSLOVAK JOURNAL OF PHYSICS. 310. Retrieved from [https://](https://scholarworks.umass.edu/physics_faculty_pubs/310)

- scholarworks.umass.edu/physics_faculty_pubs/310
- D-55099 Mainz, Germany. MKPH-T-02-09, July 23, 2002. Email:
- π+π− Reaction." Jefferson Lab PAC40 Proposal.

• Scherer, Stefan. "Introduction to Chiral Perturbation Theory." Institut für Kernphysik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, J. J. Becher Weg 45, 1scherer@kph.uni-mainz.de. Website: <http://www.kph.uni-mainz.de/T/>

• Miskimen, R. et al "Measuring the Charged Pion Polarizability in the γγ \rightarrow