

FIRST INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL OF HADRON FEMTOGRAPHY

Jefferson Lab | September 16 - 25, 2024

Experimental Methods Sep 21st 2024

F.-X. Girod

with Charles Hyde

EIC Kinematics and the QCD Landscape

The Science Pillars at the EIC

as outlined in the NAS NSAC



SPIN is one of the fundamental properties of matter. All elementary particles, but the Higgs carry spin. Spin cannot by explained by a static picture of the proton It is the interplay between the intrinsic properties and interactions of quarks and gluons

The EIC will unravel the different contribution from the quarks, gluons and orbital angular momentum.



Does the mass of visible matter emerge from quark-gluon interactions?

Atom: Binding/Mass = 0.00000001 Nucleus: Binding/Mass = 0.01 Proton: Binding/Mass = 100

For the proton the EIC will determine an important term contributing to the proton mass, the socalled "QCD trace anomaly



How are the quarks and gluon distributed in space and momentum inside the nucleon & nuclei? How do the nucleon properties emerge from them and their interactions? How can we understand their dynamical origin in QCD? What is the relation to Confinement



Is the structure of a free and bound nucleon the same? How do quarks and gluons, interact with a nuclear medium? How do the confined hadronic states emerge from these quarks and gluons? How do the quarkgluon interactions create nuclear binding?



How many gluons can fit in a proton?

How does a dense nuclear environment affect the quarks and gluons, their correlations, and their interactions?

What happens to the gluon density in nuclei? Does it saturate at high energy?



G

EIC Design Overview

- Existing RHIC complex at BNL
- superconducting magnets
 275 GeV polarized protons
- Add an electron accelerator in the same tunnel
- 25 mrad crossing angle with crab cavities
- IP6 (location of STAR)
- Forward Hadron instrumentation

 $\begin{array}{ll} e^-: & 5 - 18 \; \text{GeV} \\ p: & 41 - 275 \; \text{GeV} \\ \sqrt{s}: & \textbf{30} - \textbf{140} \; \textbf{GeV} \\ \mathcal{L} & \text{up to } 10^{34} \; \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} \end{array}$

Full luminosity achieved in phases



Legacy and the place of the EIC

	HERA @ DESY	LHeC @ CERN	EIC in China	EIC in U.S.
√s _{ep} [GeV]	320	200 - 1300	15 - 20	20 - 100 (140)
proton x _{min}	1 x 10 ⁻⁵	5 x 10 ⁻⁷	2 x 10 ⁻³	1 x 10 ⁻⁴
ion	р	p, Pb,	p - U	p - U
polarization	-	-	p, light nuclei	p, d, ³ He, Li
L [cm ⁻² s ⁻¹]	2 x 10 ³¹	1 x 10 ³⁴	3 x 10 ³³	10 ³³ - 10 ³⁴
Interaction Points	2	1	1	2
Timeline	1992 - 2007	post ALICE	Upgrade to HIAF	> 2031



The ePIC detector collaboration

ePIC non-

US Institutions



The ePIC collaboration is formed a year ago.

ePIC is now 171 (+) institutions

Representing 24 countries and 500+ participants

ePIC Spokesperson: John Lajoie (lowa State) ePIC Deputy Spokesperson: Silvia Dalla Torre (INFN Trieste)





Interlude: my opinion on known unknowns

Yuri L. Dokshitzer, (CERN-Dubna School Pylos August 2002)

In the late 1970s one could say "QED was 30 years old". In 2003 we cannot but state that "QCD is 30 years young".

Confinement Mechanism(s?)

Hadrons are singlets under SU(3)_{color} : No net color charge in asymptotic particle states



- Linear growth of the static quark-antiquark pair Area-law falloff for the Wilson loop
- Gribov Confinement for light quarks
 Analytical properties of the propagators in the infrared Instability of the vacuum above a supercritical charge

$$lpha_{\sf QED}^{\sf crit}$$
 = 137 for a point-like nucleus

$$pprox$$
 180 for a finite size nucleus

 $\frac{\alpha_{\rm QCD}^{\rm crit}}{\pi} = C_F^{-1} \left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right] \approx 0.137$

Light-Front AdS/QCD

quark and gluon chiral condensates confined! \rightarrow condensates contribution to the cosmological constant already included in hadron mass

 Mass-Gap Millenium problem and Yang-Mills existence \$1M from the Clay Mathematical Institute

Collider Energy Scenarios

Q² vs x_B landscape



Build on knowledge from

other colliders HERA, RHIC, LHC

Fixed targets SLAC, HERMES, COMPASS, JLab

Crucial ingredients: polarizations luminosity

Complementarity of energy runs

Kinematic reach and QCD Landscape

Q² vs x_B landscape



Rich physics program

Position, Spin, Energy, Momentum distributions of quarks and gluons

Origin Mass, Confinement, $\chi {\rm SM}$ QCD and Gravity

Gluon saturation, jet radiophysics QCD Bremsstrahlung

Nuclear Modifications EMC Effect, SRC

Kinematics reconstruction strategies at the EIC

U. Bassler and G. Bernardi, NIM A361 (1995) 197.

$$\Sigma = \sum_{h} (E_h - p_{z,h}) \qquad T = \sqrt{(\sum_{h} p_{x,h})^2 + (\sum_{h} p_{y,h})^2} \qquad \tan \frac{\gamma}{2} = \frac{\Sigma}{T}$$

	method	y	Q^2	x
Electron method:	e	$1\!-\!\frac{E}{E^e}{\rm sin}^2\frac{\theta}{2}$	$4E^eE\cos^2\frac{\theta}{2}$	Q^2/ys
Jacquet-Blondel:	h	$\frac{\Sigma}{2E^e}$	$rac{T^2}{1-y_h}$	Q^2/ys
Mixed:	m	y_h	Q_e^2	Q^2/ys
Double-angle:	DA	$\frac{\tan\gamma/2}{\tan\gamma/2+\tan\theta/2}$	$4E^{e2}\frac{\cot\theta/2}{\tan\gamma/2+\tan\theta/2}$	Q^2/ys
Sigma:	Σ	$rac{\Sigma}{\Sigma + E(1 - \cos \theta)}$	$rac{E^2\sin^2 heta}{1-y_\Sigma}$	Q^2/ys

*

Resolutions for these different strategies

U. Bassler and G. Bernardi, NIM A361 (1995) 197:

Sigma .IB DA electron peak sigmi 0.98 0.09 peak sigma 1.05 0.25 peak sigma 0.99 0.12 peak sigme 0.99 0.06 500 500 500 400 0.5 < y < 0.8200 Electron method works 100 100 very well at high-y; 800 800 peak sigme peak sigmo peak sigma peak sigma degrades as 1/y 600 600 0.2 < y < 0.5400 400 400 400 200 200 200 peak sigma peak sigmi a.se a.aal peak sigma 0.99 0.15 400 400 Jacquet-Blondel degrades 300 0.1 < v < 0.2at high-y, but works well 200 200 200 200 for v < ~0.2. 100 100 100 100 peak sigmi peak sigmi Deak starfe peak stam 200 200 200 200 0.05 < y < 0.1Double-Angle does not 100 100 100 100 depend on absolute energy calibrations: peak sigme peak sigm 0.90 0.20 peak sign peak sigm 0.67 0.45 160 accurate at high Q2, 120 degrades at small-x and 0.01 < y < 0.0580 80 small Q² 1.5 2 1.5 xrec / xtrue xrec / xtrue xrec / xtrue xrec / xtrue

*

Exclusive Reactions at the EIC A brief overview of the detector design

Conceptual Design of a detector package for EIC



Large acceptance package: Ideally "100% acceptance" 1.7 T solenoid magnet

Notion of rapidity related to the polar angle $\eta = -\ln\,\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)$

Notion of <u>diffractive event</u> Rapidity gap between jets and recoil target Pomeron exchange in Regge theory

Kinematical coverage in terms of rapidity

20 GeV on 100 GeV, $3 < Q^2 < 20$ GeV², $1 \cdot 10^3 < x < 8 \cdot 10^3$



- The DIS cross section falls-off as $\sim 1/Q^4$
- High momenta are associated with high x_B processes
- In general correlation between p and η



20 GeV on 100 GeV, 200 < Q2 < 1000 GeV2, 0.1 < x < 1



Typical topology of a diffractive event





Additional detectors in the far-forward and far-backward regions at \pm 40 m Crossing angle provides beam separation and space for detectors Operation over large range of energies and luminosities Design integrated into the beamline!

Some Far Forward Processes at the EIC



Some Far Forward Physics at the EIC



Central Detector Overview

General purpose detector Coverage: -4< η <4 PID: DIRC, dual-radiator RICH,pfRICH



How to design a general purpose spectrometer

Limited number of "stable" final state particles: only 13 have ct > 500µm

- Electrons
- Photons/Gammas
- Jet/Jets
- Individual hadrons ($\pi\pm$, K \pm ,p)
- Muons (absorber and muon chamber)
- Neutrinos (missing PT in EM+HCAL)
- Neutral hadrons (n,K⁰_L) (HCAL)
- Electrons: EMCAL cluster + track pointing to cluster
- Gammas (γ): EMCAL cluster, no track pointing to cluster
- Neutrinos (ν): missing P_T
- Muons: track, min. energy in EMCAL, min. energy in HCAL, track in muon det.(if any)



For all particles emerging in the collision we would like to measure:

- Momentum (px,py,pz), charge
- Origination (vertex)
- Energy (E)
- Type of the particle: PID (Mass)

New EIC solenoid



Calorimeter Design Requirements



Generalities on EM Shower: e vs γ

Notation: scale variables $t = x/X_0$, $y = E/E_c$ distance in units of R.L. energy in units of critical e.

ref: sect. 34.5 PDG 2020

Longitudinal profile of e. dep.

$$\frac{1}{E_0}\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{b}{\Gamma(a)}\left(bt\right)^{a-1}\mathrm{e}^{-bt}$$

Parameters given by: $b \approx 0.5$ (above Fe) $\frac{a-1}{b} = \ln y \pm 0.5$

Toy Sampling Fraction: integrate profile over (arbitrary?) samples N.B: for illustration of e vs γ only not meant as a serious estimate



Generalities on EM Shower: e vs γ

Notation: scale variables $t = x/X_0$, $y = E/E_c$ distance in units of R.L. energy in units of critical e.

ref: sect. 34.5 PDG 2020

Longitudinal profile of e. dep.

$$\frac{1}{E_0}\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = \frac{b}{\Gamma(a)}\left(bt\right)^{a-1}\mathrm{e}^{-bt}$$

Parameters given by: $b \approx 0.5$ (above Fe) $\frac{a-1}{b} = \ln y \pm 0.5$

Toy Sampling Fraction: integrate profile over (arbitrary?) samples N.B: for illustration of e vs γ only not meant as a serious estimate



Hadronic Calorimeters

detector solenoid coil



>Jet energy measurement

- > Tag jets with a neutral component
- >DIS kinematics reconstruction
 - Hadronic method
- Solenoid flux return
- >Additional capability: muon ID

			"Ideal" configuration		Acceptable configuration	
Barrel HCal	Refurbished sPHENIX barrel calorimeter	η	$\sigma_E/E, \%$	Emin, MeV	$\sigma_E/E, \%$	Emin, MeV
Backward HCal	Sciptillator regulad from STAR ondean EmCal	-3.5 to -1.0	$45/\sqrt{E} + 7$	500	$50/\sqrt{E} + 10$	500
Backwaru ricai	Schulator recycled from STAK endcap Enical	-1.0 to +1.0	$85/\sqrt{E}+7$	500	$100/\sqrt{E} + 10$	500
Forward HCal	Brand new design	+1.0 to +3.5	$35/\sqrt{E}$	500	$50/\sqrt{E} + 10$	500

Proximity Focusing RICH



> Aerogel

IP

- Three radial bands
- Opaque dividers
- 2.5 cm thick, 42 tiles total

➤ Vessel

- Lightweight structure
- Reinforced carbon fiber and 3D printed materials
- Filled with nitrogen

> HRPPD photosensors

- > 120 mm size
- Tiled with a 1.5mm gap
- 68 sensors total

pfRICH PID and $e/\pi/K/p$ separation



Far- Forward/Backward Instrumentation



Far-Forward Detectors



Streaming DAQ

Triggerless streaming architecture gives much more flexibility to do physics

Allows to integrate AI/ML as close as possible to subdetectors

Event selection with data from all detectors

Data volume is reduced as much as possible at each stage

Expectation for O(100) PB per run, feasible to store for analysis

RF clock is used to make a physics synchronization with beam bunches

Data Configuration & Control Detector FEB FEP DAQ (Front End Board) (Front End Processor) (Data Acquisition) BW: O(100 Tbps) BW: O(10 Tbps) Global timing, busy & sync Beam collision clock input L ~ 100 m fiber ASIC Goal: O(100 Gbps Fiber Server Link-chases Switch / Server: Processin FPGA Fibe FED ര്മം LVDS~5m Analog ~ 20m Power Supply System (HV. LV. Bias) **Cooling Systems**

10GB/s ~ 2-3 DVD movies (DVD ~ 4.7 Gigabytes) per second

72

Installation Schedule



with the understanding that this is an evolving, current draft

CFF local Fits at EIC

EIC proton **DVCS** Observables

	∫L	Observables	$A_{e,p}$		
unpolarized	200 fb ⁻¹	σ	A _{LU}		
L polarized	$100 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	A _{UL}	A _{LL}		
T polarized	$100 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	A _{UTx}	A _{UTy}	A_{LTx}	A _{LTy}
e ⁺	$100 {\rm ~fb^{-1}}$	AC	AC		

$$N_{\text{events}} = \int \mathcal{L} \times \sigma \times \text{KPS}$$
$$\text{KPS} = \Delta x_B \Delta Q^2 \Delta t \Delta \phi$$

$$rac{\Delta\sigma}{\sigma} = rac{1}{\sqrt{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{events}}}} \oplus 5\%$$



$$\begin{split} \Delta A_{LU} &= \frac{1}{P_e} \sqrt{\frac{1 - P_e^2 A_{LU}^2}{N}} \oplus 3\%_{\text{relative}} \quad P_e = 70\% \\ \Delta A_{UL} &= \frac{1}{P_p} \sqrt{\frac{1 - P_p^2 A_{UL}^2}{N}} \oplus 3\%_{\text{relative}} \quad P_p = 70\% \\ \Delta A_{LL} &= \frac{1}{P_e P_p} \sqrt{\frac{1 - P_e^2 P_p^2 A_{LL}^2}{N}} \oplus 3\%_{\text{relative}} \oplus 3\%_{\text{relative}} \\ \Delta A_C &= \sqrt{\frac{1 - A_C^2}{N}} \oplus 3\%_{\text{relative}} \\ \Delta A_{LC} &= \frac{1}{P_e^+} \sqrt{\frac{1 - P_e^2 A_{LC}^2}{N}} \oplus 3\%_{\text{relative}} \quad P_{e^+} = 70\% \end{split}$$

N.B. assumption on the luminosity

1 year = 365 days \times 24 hours/day \times 3600 s/hour = 3.15 \times 10^7 s $\approx \frac{1}{3} \times 10^8$ s

$$\mathcal{L} = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1} = 10^{38} \text{ m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$$

$$\int \mathcal{L} = 10^{34} \ {
m cm}^{-2} {
m s}^{-1} imes 1$$
 year $pprox rac{1}{3} imes 10^{46} \ {
m m}^{-2}$

100 fb⁻¹
$$\iff$$
 1 year at 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹ with contingency (\approx 3)
 \iff 10 years at 10³³ cm⁻²s⁻¹

Luminosity is a potential challenge for exclusive reactions

275 GeV imes 18 GeV σ



$275~GeV \, \times \, 18~GeV \qquad \ \ A_{LU}$



$275~GeV \times 18~GeV \qquad A^C$



275 GeV \times 18 GeV $x_B = 0.08 \pm 0.02$ $Q^2 = 329 \pm 175$ GeV²



Not shown here: $A_{LL} A_{LTx} A_{LTy}$ are small



note: statistics and systematics included

Locally extracted Im CFF $275 \times 18 \text{ GeV}^2$





ImE vs t

-0.2 -0.1 0

-0.9-0.8-0.7-0.6-0.5-0.4

∆ ImE / ImE

-1 -0.9 -0.8 -0.7 -0.6 -0.5 -0.4 -0.3 -0.2 -0.1 0 -1 (GeV²

4000

3500

3000

2500

2000

1500

1000

500

HeH/HeH

10-2

0

Locally extracted Re CFF $275 \times 18 \text{ GeV}^2$



High and Low energies runs

Kinematical coverage complementarity

Local extraction results:



Better Strategy: global fit using DR and parameterizations for $\mathcal{I}m\mathcal{H}$ and D(t)note: subtraction constant: same for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{E} , none for $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$

Towards Ji's sum rule

$$J^{q}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} dx \, x \, [H^{q}(x,\xi,t) + E^{q}(x,\xi,t)]$$

independent of ξ but at fixed ξ

DVCS measures

$$\mathcal{I}m\mathcal{H}(\xi,t) = \pi H(\xi,\xi,t)$$

need another process to access the skewness \rightarrow especially crucial at large x_B

DDVCS?

JLab 12 luminosity upgrade?



Impact of the positron beam

Enhanced sentivity to the Real part of the amplitude

Local extraction results:



low E: 40 GeV \times 5 GeV

Improved sensitivity, and systematic checks Also, in general opens up access to new physics (\cdots)

Pressure and Shear Sensitivities



Propagate uncertainties estimated with local fits using dispersion relation

Relevance of the large x_B region to the dispersion analysis

Nucleon structure for hadron-hadron colliders



- MultiParton Interaction first suggested in 1975 (Landshoff & Polkinghorne)
- Evidence in :
 - ▶ high p_T at the CERN/ISR and Tevatron
 - intermediate p_T : underlying event in Dijet and Drell-Yann at CFD Run I and II, and at CMS
 - Found to be necessary to tune low p_T Pythia and Herwig
- MPI more important at LHC is expected to challenge many new physics search
- MPI can also be better studied at LHC for itself !



C. Weiss, L. Frankfurt, M. Strikman, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 55 (2005) 403-465 Diehl, Ostermeier, Schäfer, "Elements of MPI in QCD", DESY 11-196

Summary / Outlook

- Rich physics program envisioned at the future EIC
- Today: just a sample in the school context, and with my personal biais
- Many aspects we did not discuss: computing, AI, accelerator physics...
- Synergy between:
 - Fixed target experiments at JLab
 - Future EIC at BNL
 - Hadron hadron colliders
- Exciting opportunities for you!

