Angela Biselli, Kevin Giovanetti, Adam Hobart, <u>Yordanka Ilieva</u>, Douglas MacGregor, Marco Mirazita, Anselm Vossen

Angela Biselli, Kevin Giovanetti, Adam Hobart, <u>Yordanka Ilieva</u>, Douglas MacGregor, Marco Mirazita, Anselm Vossen

Webmaster & DataBase Manager: Bryan McKinnon

Angela Biselli, Kevin Giovanetti, Adam Hobart, <u>Yordanka Ilieva</u>, Douglas MacGregor, Marco Mirazita, Anselm Vossen

Webmaster & DataBase Manager: Bryan McKinnon

The work of the SOS Review Committee

- Estimate accurately the expected service work for each institution
- Assess and improve the accuracy of reported service in 2023
- Verify institutions are fulfilling their service obligations to the Collaboration
- Identify which institutions need help to fulfill their expected service in 2024→report and recommendation to CLAS Chair

Angela Biselli, Kevin Giovanetti, Adam Hobart, <u>Yordanka Ilieva</u>, Douglas MacGregor, Marco Mirazita, Anselm Vossen

Webmaster & DataBase Manager: Bryan McKinnon

The work of the SOS Review Committee

- Estimate accurately the expected service work for each institution
- Assess and improve the accuracy of reported service in 2023
- Verify institutions are fulfilling their service obligations to the Collaboration
- Identify which institutions need help to fulfill their expected service in 2024→report and recommendation to CLAS Chair

41 member institutions with service obligations

- 3 institutions did not submit service reports
 - 1 provided information on their own initiative
 - 1 responded when contacted by the committee reviewer
 - 1 did not respond to e-mail from committee reviewer



- 13% CLAS Service: reviews, committee service, software is minimal
- 87% CLAS 12: software, hardware, RC, on-call, documentation, reviews
 - 30% of CLAS 12 service come from the task list (decrease from 49% in 2023). In reality, there is more delivered service that should have been on the task list (must be improved for 2024-2025 cycle)
 - 2 reporting institutions performed only CLAS service (the collaboration is well settled on CLAS12, active CLAS analyses drive CLAS service)

13 institutions did not fulfill their service requirement

- 1 institution did not perform any service (reasonable effort to identify service tasks from the 2023 list)
- 8 institutions have major deficit (insufficient service management, not enough service tasks, institutional service overload)

- 22 institutions provided service above their requirement many small institutions (1 – 2 members)
- 10 institutions have provided service substantially above their requirement (detector contributors, current experiment spokespeople, good service management)



- 8% CLAS Service: continuing reviews, committees, software
- 92% CLAS 12: software, hardware, RC, on-call, documentation
 - 35% of CLAS 12 service come from the task list



- 8% CLAS Service: continuing reviews, committees, software
- 92% CLAS 12: software, hardware, RC, on-call, documentation
 - 35% of CLAS 12 service come from the task list

15 institutions have not committed enough service requirement for 2024

• 4 institutions have major deficit

Report to CLAS Chair for assessment in the CCC



- 8% CLAS Service: continuing reviews, committees, software
- 92% CLAS 12: software, hardware, RC, on-call, documentation
 - 35% of CLAS 12 service come from the task list

15 institutions have not committed enough service requirement for 2024

• 4 institutions have major deficit

Report to CLAS Chair for assessment in the CCC

Sign up for service items from the 2024 task list! Request your task to be added to the task list, if: detector, run-group, calibrations service.

Contact people - let me know if a new task needs to be created and assigned!

Most common issues:

Most common issues:

 Overestimation of FTE (committees, reviews) – 0.025 FTE means you must have spent 40 hours on the task, NOT few hours per day for a week

Most common issues:

 Overestimation of FTE (committees, reviews) – 0.025 FTE means you must have spent 40 hours on the task, NOT few hours per day for a week The task list has helped to reduce these incidents significantly compared to past years.

Most common issues:

- Overestimation of FTE (committees, reviews) 0.025 FTE means you must have spent 40 hours on the task, NOT few hours per day for a week
 The task list has helped to reduce these incidents significantly compared to past years.
- An increasing number of service, such as RG-related calibrations or software, or hardware service is not included in the task list (institutional reps should work with the RG contact people or the Chair of the Service Work Committee to mitigate this issue for this year)

Most common issues:

- Overestimation of FTE (committees, reviews) 0.025 FTE means you must have spent 40 hours on the task, NOT few hours per day for a week The task list has helped to reduce these incidents significantly compared to past years.
- An increasing number of service, such as RG-related calibrations or software, or hardware service is not included in the task list (institutional reps should work with the RG contact people or the Chair of the Service Work Committee to mitigate this issue for this year)
- Information about a reported task in the Documentation field is sketchy and non-informative: committee does "archaeology" to the best of our ability; when we cannot verify claimed FTEs, we re-adjust the value

Positive trends and good practices

 Most institutional representatives and task contact people responded to our inquiries quickly and in detail.

- Most institutional representatives and task contact people responded to our inquiries quickly and in detail.
- The amount of links to documentation and detailed description keeps increasing, which has been tremendous help. This makes our job easier in assessing your service and helps us to get to know your work (you have the same reviewer each year).

- Most institutional representatives and task contact people responded to our inquiries quickly and in detail.
- The amount of links to documentation and detailed description keeps increasing, which has been tremendous help. This makes our job easier in assessing your service and helps us to get to know your work (you have the same reviewer each year).
- More institutions are providing informative descriptions in Documentation, with task list references and links, of all activities that were done for a task→straight forward to assess the reported FTEs.

- Most institutional representatives and task contact people responded to our inquiries quickly and in detail.
- The amount of links to documentation and detailed description keeps increasing, which has been tremendous help. This makes our job easier in assessing your service and helps us to get to know your work (you have the same reviewer each year).
- More institutions are providing informative descriptions in Documentation, with task list references and links, of all activities that were done for a task→straight forward to assess the reported FTEs.
- Institutions are now routinely using the task list. This has helped several institutions to fulfill their required amount of service.

- Most institutional representatives and task contact people responded to our inquiries quickly and in detail.
- The amount of links to documentation and detailed description keeps increasing, which has been tremendous help. This makes our job easier in assessing your service and helps us to get to know your work (you have the same reviewer each year).
- More institutions are providing informative descriptions in Documentation, with task list references and links, of all activities that were done for a task→straight forward to assess the reported FTEs.
- Institutions are now routinely using the task list. This has helped several institutions to fulfill their required amount of service.

CLAS Service 2024

Contact us if you have any questions, concerns, or new service tasks for the list

Institutional Reps: ensure that your term and full membership is up-to-date