Semi-exclusive pion production measurements with CLAS6 data

Julia Tena Vidal

Tel Aviv University

On behalf of the e4nu Collaboration







Introduction to neutrino physics







EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS



The challenge Next generation high precision



Electrons for neutrinos

Using electron scattering data to reduce neutrino oscillation systematic uncertainties

- High statistics & well-known beam
- Identical nuclear effects and final state interactions
- Similar interaction to neutrinos (vector vs vector+axial)



e4v Data-Mining with CLAS6

- Large acceptance $(a) \theta_e > 15^\circ$
- Charged particle threshold comparable to neutrino tracking detectors
- Beam energies of interest for ν: 1, 2 & 4 GeV
- Targets: ${}^{4}He$, ${}^{12}C \& {}^{56}Fe$



$e4\nu$ analyses with CLAS data

- e4nu analysis with CLAS6 data:
 - (e,e'1p0π) analysis, <u>Nature 599, 565-590</u>
 (2021)
 - Transparency analysis approved by CLAS by Noah Steinberg
 - (e,e'1p1π) single-differential cross-section analysis with CLAS6, by J.Tena Vidal (ongoing)
- e4nu analysis with CLAS12 data:
 - (e,e'1π) analysis with CLAS12, by Caleb Folger (presenting later today)



Pion production in neutrino experiments

DUNE will be dominated by pion production events (RES+DIS)



Charged-current cross sections as a function of neutrino energy. Cross-section is computed using the GENIE MC generator with the DUNE Near Detector flux (left) and Far Detector flux (right).

Semi-inclusive pion production with CLAS6 (1) Signal definition

In this analysis we study two different topologies: • $1p1\pi^-0\pi^+0\gamma$ any number of neutrons

- $1p1\pi^+0\pi^-0\gamma$ any number of neutrons
- Hall-B e2a experiment data, April 15 to May 27, 1999
- We use the same particle thresholds from previous analyses:

 $p_e > \begin{cases} 0.4 \; GeV \; at \; 1.161 GeV & p_p > 0.3 \; GeV \\ 0.55 \; GeV \; at \; 2.261 GeV & p_\gamma > 0.3 \; GeV \\ 1.1 \; GeV \; at \; 4.461 GeV & p_{\pi^{\pm}} > 0.15 \; GeV \end{cases}$

 $\begin{array}{l} 15 \ deg < \theta_{e} < 45 \ deg \\ \theta_{p} > 12 \ deg \\ \theta_{\gamma} > 8 \ deg \\ \theta_{\pi^{\pm}} > 12 \ deg \end{array}$

• and Q^2 min of 0.1, 0.4 & 0.8 GeV² at 1, 2 & 4 GeV respectively

Semi-inclusive pion production with CLAS6 (1) Signal definition – Physics interpretation

In this analysis we study two different topologies:

- $1p1\pi^{-}0\pi^{+}0\gamma$ any number of neutrons
- $1p1\pi^+0\pi^-0\gamma$ any number of neutrons
- On free nucleon
 - $e^- + p \rightarrow e^- + \Delta^+$, $\Delta^+ \rightarrow n + \pi^+$ and $\Delta^+ \rightarrow p + \pi^0$
 - $e^- + n \rightarrow e^- + \Delta^0$, $\Delta^0 \rightarrow n + \pi^0$ and $\Delta^0 \rightarrow p + \pi^-$
 - Higher W resonances decay in multiple pions
 - Contribute to $1p1\pi^-$ and $1p1\pi^+$ due to momentum thresholds and detector gaps
 - The same final state can be produced after non-resonant processes and DIS

Semi-inclusive pion production with CLAS6 (1) Signal definition – Physics interpretation

In this analysis we study two different topologies:

- $1p1\pi^-0\pi^+0\gamma$ any number of neutrons
- $1p1\pi^+0\pi^-0\gamma$ any number of neutrons
- On nuclei
 - FSI opens more possibilities: $1p1\pi^+$ is possible due to charge exchange
 - $1p1\pi^+$ sample is more sensitive to FSI

Semi-inclusive pion production with CLAS6 (2) Selection of $1p1\pi^{-}$ events



- Non-(e,e'1p1 π^{\pm}) events can be selected due to detector gaps
- We use a **data-driven** method to correct for background events



- Consider a contained background event
 - i.e. $2p2\pi$ in the fiducial
- Rotate the event N times around the q-vector
 - 1% cross-section dependence
 - Included as systematic uncertainty



- Calculate the **probability** of the event to be reconstructed as
 - i.e. $2p1\pi$, $1p2\pi$ and $1p1\pi$
 - We add a pseudo-event with **weight** *w* and the new particle content after rotation

$$w = -\frac{N_{mf}}{N_{mi}}w_i$$

- N_{mf} : number of counts with $m_f < m_i$
- *w_i*: initial event weight



- Repeat for lower multiplicity events
 - i.e. $2p1\pi$ and $1p2\pi$
- Calculate the weight for the event to be reconstructed as
 - $1p1\pi$ (our signal definition)

 $w = + \frac{M_{mf'}}{M_{mf}} \frac{N_{mf}}{N_{mi}} w_i$

• Repeat until we only have signal events



- The method can be easily generalized to any signal definition
- We classify events given their multiplicity:
 - Number of signal particles in the event
- We calculate the weight for every event with $m > m_{signal}$
 - All permutations considered by the algorithm
 - Correct weight assigned to each event
- The initial multiplicity is configurable





---- Background subtracted - max.mult 4



(a) Carbon at 1.1 GeV

(b) Carbon at 2.2 GeV

(c) Carbon at 4.4 GeV

We need to correct for the imperfect geometrical acceptance:



• Detector gaps show as dips in the uncorrected data distributions

- We must correct the data for detector effects to obtain a **detector-independent cross-section** measurement
- We use **MC simulations** to compute the acceptance correction
 - MC simulation without detector effects
 - "True MC"
 - MC simulation with detector effects and no background events
 - "True reconstructed MC"
- We apply an overall per-bin scaling factor to the data:

 $\alpha_{acc,i} = \frac{True \ MC \ events \ ith-bin}{True \ Reconstructed \ MC \ events \ ith-bin}$

- We use **MC simulations** to compute the acceptance correction
 - MC simulation without detector effects
 - "True MC"
 - MC simulation with detector effects and no background events
 - "True reconstructed MC"

Detector effect	True MC	True Rec. MC
Particle thresholds	\checkmark	\checkmark
Momentum smearing	\checkmark	\checkmark
Fiducial Volume	X	\checkmark
Particle detection efficiency	X	\checkmark

(4) Correct for detector acceptance Fiducial cuts for **electrons**, pions and protons



(4) Correct for detector acceptance Detector acceptance maps

Depending on momentum and directionality, we assign an extra MC weight to account for detector acceptance effects E = 1.1 GeV



^(*) Re-used from previous analysis



Successfully correcting for detector gaps



Semi-inclusive pion production with CLAS6 (5) Next steps

- Systematic uncertainties Ongoing work
 - Re-using some of the pre-stablished uncertainties
 - Re-calculating background subtraction uncertainties with the new method
- Radiative corrections Ongoing work
 - MC simulation does not account for radiative effects
 - We add radiative effects the same way as Jefferso Lab SIMC event generator
 - Data correction factor



This is ongoing work; it is not included in the results shown in this talk Showing corrected event rate (acceptance corrected) – shape only comparison

Semi-inclusive pion production with CLAS6 (6) Event Rate Comparisons







Low energy protons are not described by MC Data/MC peak position is shifted – sensitive to FSI

29





Angular shape in good agreement with MC High θ_p possible only due to FSI



31



Pion momenta shape distribution well described by MC Prediction with FSI shows correct shift when compared to data





Angular shape in good agreement with MC

Semi-inclusive pion production (8) Reconstructed beam energy







Calorimetric energy reconstruction can reconstruct beam energy Big bias observed in the method



Semi-inclusive pion production (9) Transverse Invariant Variables



 $\delta \alpha_T$ is sensitive to mostly FSI Excellent shape description of the data for all beam energies





 $(e, e'1p1\pi^+)$ sample most sensitive to FSI specially at 1GeV





Good description of the proton momentum for $(e, e'1p1\pi^+)$ events

Semi-inclusive pion production (11) Reconstructed beam energy



 $E_{Cal} = E_{e'} + E_{\pi} + T_p + \varepsilon_p$



Method not sufficient to predict the beam energy We always have undetected particles



Improved shape description in $(e, e'1p1\pi^+)$ events

39



 $\delta \alpha_T$ is sensitive to mostly FSI Excellent shape description of the data for all beam energies

Semi-inclusive pion production (12) Transverse Invariant Variables

Conclusions and next steps

• CLAS6 data is crucial for the neutrino community

- Same nuclear effects, vector part of the interaction
- Relevant energies and targets for neutrino experiments

• New CLAS6(e,e'1p1 π) analysis ongoing

- Required improved background subtraction method
- Generalized background subtraction method available for future analyses
- Focus on single-differential cross-section
- Crucial input for the modelling of pion production in the nuclear media

• Next steps for the analysis

- Systematic uncertainties
 - Focus on new background subtraction method systematics
- Radiative correction
- Cross-section measurement

Backup slides

Acceptance correction per sector



Acceptance correction

(*) Correcting for smearing biases the beam energy reconstruction

 $\alpha_{acc} = \frac{True \ MC \ events \ ith-bin}{True \ Reconstructed \ MC \ events \ ith-bin}$

Detector effect	True MC	True Rec.
Thresholds	\checkmark	\checkmark
Scaled by Q ⁴	\checkmark	\checkmark
Smearing (*)	X	\checkmark
Fiducial	X	\checkmark
Efficiency maps	X	\checkmark



Acceptance correction

(*) Correcting for smearing biases the beam energy reconstruction

 $\alpha_{acc} = \frac{True \ MC \ events \ ith-bin}{True \ Reconstructed \ MC \ events \ ith-bin}$

Detector effect	True MC	True Rec.
Thresholds	\checkmark	\checkmark
Scaled by Q ⁴	\checkmark	\checkmark
Smearing (*)	X	\checkmark
Fiducial	X	\checkmark
Efficiency maps	X	\checkmark



Acceptance correction

(*) Correcting for smearing biases the beam energy reconstruction

 $\alpha_{acc} = \frac{True \ MC \ events \ ith-bin}{True \ Reconstructed \ MC \ events \ ith-bin}$

Detector effect	True MC	True Rec.
Thresholds	\checkmark	\checkmark
Scaled by Q ⁴	\checkmark	\checkmark
Smearing (*)	\checkmark	\checkmark
Fiducial	X	\checkmark
Efficiency maps	X	\checkmark



Q4 Scaling



Semi-inclusive pion production with CLAS6 (6) Final state kinematics











50