

## **Lattice Quantum Chromodynamics**

**Software Infrastructure and Computing**

**William Detmold, MIT**



**RE INFRASTRUCTURE** 

### **The Standard Model The femtoscale universe**

- Understand the world around us from first principles in the Standard Model (SM)
	- Quarks, leptons & bosons (17 fundamental fields)
- What is the structure of matter?
	- All the way from the proton to neutron stars and supernovae
- How does it depend on SM parameters?
- How do we constrain the SM in experiments?
- How do we search for new physics beyond the SM?
	- Dark matter, dark energy, origin of neutrino masses,…



#### *method*. This gives *–s*(*M*<sup>2</sup> *<sup>Z</sup>*)=0*.*1176 *±* 0*.*0011 *,* (without lattice)*.* (9.24) Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) In order to be conservative, we combine these two numbers using an unweighted average and take

#### **The strong interaction**

- Standard Model = electromagnetism + weak + strong nuclear forces
- QCD is the strong force that binds protons together and into nuclei
- Interaction strength depends on energy
- At high energy: analytic small-coupling expansion
- At low energies/long distances: no analytic control
	- The regime of protons and nuclei
	- Numerical calculations through Lattice **QCD**



### **In theory Anatomy of lattice QCD**

- Lattice QCD: tool to deal with quarks and gluons (also a rigorous definition of QCD)
- Formulate problem as functional integral over quark  $(q,\overline{q})$ and gluon  $(A)$  d.o.f. in 3+1D spacetime

$$
\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\overline{q}e^{-S_{\text{QCD}}[A,q,\overline{q}]} \mathcal{O}[A,q,\overline{q}]
$$

- Discretise and compactify system
	- Finite but large number of d.o.f (~108-1012)
- Integrate via importance sampling according to probability measure

$$
\langle \mathcal{O} \rangle = \int \mathcal{D}P(A)\mathcal{O}[A] \simeq \frac{1}{N_{\text{samp}}} \sum_{i}^{N_{\text{samp}}} \mathcal{O}[A_{(i)}]
$$

• Undo the harm done in previous steps







### **In practice Anatomy of lattice QCD**

- 1. Generate representative samples of gluon fields in a MC chain ( $N_{\rm samp} \sim 1000$ )
- 2. On each gluon field calculate "quark propagators"  $S$  by solving linear systems

 $D_{\text{Dirac}}[A_{(i)}]S = \phi$ 

Dirac operator depends on the gluon field; typically  $N_{\mathrm{prop}} \thicksim O(100 s)$  RHSs used

- 3. Construct operators  $\mathcal{O}_i$  for a particular physics goal from the gluon field and associated quark propagators
	- Tensor contractions
- 4. Analyse statistics of the resulting quantities to extract physics





### LQCD Science

**Achievements and Goals in Nuclear Physics**

### **QCD Phase structure Thermodynamics**

- Understand the phases of strongly interacting matter
- Deconfinement transition at  $\mu_B = \mu_I = 0, T \neq 0$ 
	- Crossover transition at  $T_c = 155$  MeV
- Nonzero isospin density
	- Superconductivity
- Baryon density
	- Hints for critical end point
	- "Sign problem" prevents direct Monte-Carlo calculations
- Many open problems: neutron star equation of state, transport in heavy-ion collisions,…



## **Hadron Spectroscopy**

#### **Hadrons and resonances**

- LQCD reproduces masses of stable hadrons
	- Demonstrates QCD describes nature at low energies
	- Go beyond experiment: separate QED & isospin contributions to  $M^{}_{n} - M^{}_{p}$
- Heavy hadrons
	- Predictions subsequently seen in experiment (eg  $\Omega_b^{},\,\Xi_{cc}^{}$
- Predictions for exotic hadrons
	- Part of the motivation for the GlueX experiment
- Future:
	- More complicated decay channels
	- Branching fractions and structure of exotics







**EIVI TOMIT TACTORS** 



• Partonic structure: PDFs, GPDs, TMDs  $\overline{a}$   $\overline{b}$   $\overline{a}$   $\overline{b}$   $\overline{c}$   $\overline{d}$   $\overline{d$ non-singlet: *T*ˆ*µ*⌫ *v*<sub>1</sub>  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  $P$ <sup>2</sup> =  $P$ tor FIC projections and predictions with *|*p<sup>0</sup> *|* <sup>2</sup> 10(2⇡*/L*)<sup>2</sup> and *<sup>|</sup><sup>|</sup>* <sup>2</sup> 25(2⇡*/L*)<sup>2</sup>, and all four spin channels, *s, s*<sup>0</sup> 2 *{±*1*/*2*}*. The connected form factors  $\alpha$  the energyits for FIC projections and predi $u, d, s, g$ <sub>1</sub>  $u, d, s, g$ <sub>2</sub>  $u, g, g$ <sub>2</sub>  $u, g, g$ <sub>2</sub>  $u, g, g$ <sub>2</sub>  $u, g$ <sub>2</sub> *u*, *d*,*s*, *g* • Key inputs for EIC projections and predictions • Gravitational form factors & the energy-

• QCD studies of EM form factors

are constrained from ratios of three-point and two-point and two-point and two-point and two-point and two-poi  $\mathsf{P} \mathsf{1}$ from lattice  $\overline{a}$ momentum tensor

- hass, spin and mechanical  $T_{\text{eth}}$  three-point function of the gluon  $T_{\text{eth}}$  is measured  $T_{\text{eth}}$  is measured of the gluon  $T_{\text{eth}}$  is me  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  fotons, and  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ sink separation in the range [6*a,* 18*a*], with the num-• Origin of mass, spin and mechanical ent source-sink separations. The momenta measured are momental measured are momental  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  Total spin  $\alpha$  is a perties of  $\alpha$  is a perties of  $\alpha$  is a perties of  $\alpha$ properties
- $t_{\text{other}}$ , to II ab  $\text{D} \text{VCG}$  experiments  $\sigma$ ntary to JLab DvOJ experiments p<sup>0</sup> 2 2⇡*/L{*(1*,* 0*,* 1)*,*(2*,* 1*,* 0)*,*(1*,* 1*,* 1)*}* and all • Complementary to JLab DVCS experiments

of  $\Gamma M$  form footoro

- $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{$ • Future:
	- procision as nooded to match  $1.4$ susing the susing the sequential source method,  $\frac{1}{2}$ inverting the simple quantities of sourceluting in spacetime using hierarchical probing [56, 57] • Increased precision as needed to match experiment for simple quantities <sup>2</sup> 10(2⇡*/L*)<sup>2</sup>
	- s of harder-to-measure quantities  $\hspace{0.1em}$ c of hold • Predictions of harder-to-measure quantities

## **Fundamental Symmetries**

### **Neutrinoless** *ββ* **decay**

- ▶ Search for lepton number (L) violation/nature of neutrino [high priority in 2023 NSAC Long Range Plan]
- ▶ Uncontrolled nuclear model uncertainties cloud interpretation of experimental searches
- $\triangleright$  Ground nuclear physics in QCD: LQCD + EFT $\rightarrow$  Manybody
- ▸ Calculations of subprocess *π*<sup>−</sup> → *π*+*e*−*e*<sup>−</sup>
	- ▸ Light Majorana neutrino
	- ▶ Short distance L-violation from BSM
- **▶ First QCD calculations of**  $nn \rightarrow ppe^-e^-$  **for light** Majorana neutrino
- ▸ Future: controlled inputs for future ton-scale 0*νββ* experiments





### **QCD @ the intensity frontier Beyond Standard Model physics**

- Many Intensity Frontier (HEP) experiments use nuclear targets
	- Neutrino physics: DUNE, HyperK,...
	- Dark matter: LZ, XENON-nT, PANDAX-4T,…
	- Lepton flavour violation: *μ*2*e*
- Must control QCD for rigorous BSM searches
- Current highlights:
	- Weak current nucleon form factors for DUNE
	- First calculations of nuclear effects
- Future: matrix elements for design and analysis of different detectors





FIG. 8. Ratio of the axial charge of tritium to that of the single nucleon as a function of the  $[1]$ [Parreño et al. [NPLQCD] *Phys.Rev.D* 103 (2021) 7, 074511]

### LQCD Science

**Challenges and Developments**

## **Precision physics**

#### **Continuum limit, QED, and isospin-breaking**

- Turning LQCD into physics requires continuum limit
	- Sampling becomes harder as update algorithms are quasi-local
	- New machine-learned normalising flow gauge generation algorithms seem promising
- <1% precision requires QED and effects of isospin breaking of quark masses  $(m_u \neq m_d)$ 
	- Eg axial charge  $g_A$  precision limited by these effects
- New algorithmic requirements
	- Sampling with QED: complications of infinite volume limit
	- Sampling for  $m_u \neq m_d$ : Single fermion flavours



## **Statistical limitations**

#### **New sampling procedures**

- LQCD is a Monte Carlo sampling method
	- High momentum structure of hadrons from LaMET/ short distance factorisation requires very boosted **Exercise and the path integrals** states: statistical noise grows
	- Multi-hadron systems (eg nuclei): exponential growth of noise
- Better statistical sampling approaches
	- **Hierarchical integration**
	- Machine learned changes of integration variables **in high-dimensional**





### **Nuclear Contractions Complexity**

- Physics of nuclei requires calculation of correlation functions with factorial number of Wick contractions:  $N_{u}$  ! $N_{d}$  ! $N_{s}$  !
	- Wick contactions = tensor contractions
	- Costs grow dramatically with system size
	- Graph-theoretic methods minimise redundant work
- Efficient calculation requires many tricks
	- Common subexpression elimination
	- Tiling of partial sums
	- Code generators
- Current state-of-the-art:  $A = 5$  nuclei, but  $A \sim 250$  in nature ( a long way to go!)



### **USQCD collaboration LQCD Ecosystem**

- Federation of scientific collaborations
	- 90+% of LQCD (NP+HEP) researchers in the US
	- 180+ members from grad students to permanent staff
- Collaborate on software development
	- Train students and postdocs in LQCD
- Seek funding for, deploy, and allocate mid-scale computing resources at JLab, BNL and FNAL
	- Allocations support development of new projects and research directions
	- Build community and support junior scientists







#### **Software Development under SciDAC and ECP**

• Strong DoE support for software development through SciDAC and ECP



- Physics + Applied Math + Computer Science (SciDAC5 includes FastMath & RAPIDS SciDAC Institute collaborators)
- \$50M+ investment in LQCD software and algorithms

#### **Codes and Libraries**

- Layered software infrastructure
- $\blacksquare$ • QMP: QCD message passing (abstracts different MPI frameworks)
	- QDP/C, QDP++, QDP-JIT: QCD data parallel
- Applications make use of libraries – Optimized Solvers/Dirac Operators • QUDA, MGProto, BFM, etc.. • Linear system solver libraries: QUDA, MDWF, QPhiX,…
	- General application frameworks
- en MILC, Redstar, … • Chroma, QLua, CPS, Grid, Grid Python Toolkit,
	- Most physicists work at this level
- On GPUs accelerated solvers are not enough! Even • Codes continue to evolve to address new physics problems





Hardware:  $2.13x$  wall-time on 8x fewer GPUs =  $17x$ 



#### **Codes and Libraries**



progress



#### **Codes and Libraries**

- Connections to industry
- QUDA GPU library development based at nVIDIA and critical for LQCD
	- Ported to AMD and Intel GPUs with significant vendor assistance
- Code generators for new hardware (LLVM, Halide etc) build upon industry efforts
- Flow of talent from academia to industry helps maintain this
	- SciDAC supports development of the necessary skills







### **Sources of computing LQCD computing**

- INCITE 2020-4: 21 awards: 14.9M node hours
- ALCC 2019-23: 26 awards,14.1M node hours  $\mathbf{L}$
- NERSC (2023: 21 PIs, 1.4M/1.0M CPU/GPU node hours)
- NSF:  $O(5)$  Frontera LRAC awards per cycle
- LQCD(HEP) & NPLCC(NP) hardware projects
	- 1/10th of INCITE in 2024
	- 25-30 projects per year



### **Computing 2025-30 LQCD computing**

- Projections for INCITE/ALCC/NERSC computing for LQCD
	- 173M Frontier-equivalent node hours over 2025-9
- USQCD maintains [detailed timelines](https://grokqcd.github.io/USQCD-theory-and-experimental-time-lines/out/main.html) for all major projects
	- Project goals align with anticipated growth of computing
	- Assume support for code development on new systems



## **LQCD workforce**

#### **Workforce development**

• University/Lab/NPC industry LQCD hiring



## **LQCD workforce**

#### **Workforce development**





**U.S. National** Science Foundation

- Alexandru (GWU)
- Shanahan (MIT)
- Lin (MSU)
- Monahan (W&M)



- Blum (UConn)
- Orginos (W&M)
- Dudek (W&M)
- Detmold (MIT, 2x)
- Walker-Loud (LBNL)
- Lehner (BNL)
- Davoudi (UMd)
- Jin (UConn)
- Constantinou (Temple)
- Briceño (Berkeley)
- Monahan (W&M)



## **LQCD workforce**

#### **Workforce development**

- Training (average of 5.3) PhDs per year
- 35 current students



# **LQCD outlook**

### **Some thoughts**

- DOE SciDAC has been a successful pathway to support LQCD over the last 2+ decades: brings together a community and enables frontier scientific progress
	- Very much support its continuation
	- Broad scope for further innovation and abundant scientific goals
- ECP focus on code performance metrics and industry connections also very helpful
- Current framework (NP+ASCR) might not be necessary for smaller software projects, maybe just NP in some cases
- Storage of (and access to) community resources such as gauge fields is vital but lacking infrastructure
- Machine learning
	- Off the shelf ML tools will rarely solve the problems of the NP domain interaction with data scientists needs to be two-way and we need to be prepared to develop problem-specific ML
	- Need for new allocation mechanisms to support ML applications where outcomes are not as predictable as with traditional modelling/simulation workloads
- Evaporating talent: flat budgets for many years and recent NT budget cuts do not provide an attractive recruiting environment
	- Students and postdocs leave the field for better-paying industry positions (8 LQCD researchers now @ nVIDIA)
	- Advocate for support for computational scientists at universities: very few mechanisms for scientific computing specialists at universities and technically minded postdocs who focus on software often leave the field