


PROBING THE GLUONIC GRAVITATIONAL FORM FACTORS OF THE PROTON USING NEAR THRESHOLD J/ψ PHOTOPRODUCTION

SHIVANGI PRASAD
sprasad@anl.gov

Representing work of many wonderful researchers - Burcu Duran, Mark Jones, Sylvester Joosten, Zein-Eddine Meziani, Chao Peng and the Hall C J/ψ -007 collaboration

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Nuclear Physics, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357.




007 J/ψ

18 January 2024


Hall C Winter meeting

UNDERSTANDING THE ORIGIN OF PROTON MASS AND ITS DISTRIBUTION

- Proton's macroscopic properties – charge, spin, mass – arise from a very complex dynamics between the quarks and gluons (QCD)
- Studying its charge radius and spin from electron scattering experiments have been an active area of research
 - Quarks carry electromagnetic charge
- Little is known about its mass density which is dominated by energy carried by gluons
 - Gluons do not carry electric charge and difficult to access via electron scattering experiments.




<https://www.anl.gov/physics/3d-structure-of-protons-and-neutrons>



NEAR THRESHOLD J/Ψ PRODUCTION

Why is it interesting?

- t-channel differential cross section of quarkonium production at threshold → promising channel to access the gluons
 - GFFs are matrix elements of the proton's energy-momentum tensor (EMT)
 - Gluon Form Factors (slope and magnitude) → encode mechanical properties e.g., radii, pressure, shear



$$\langle N' | T_{q,g}^{\mu,\nu} | N \rangle = \bar{u}(N') \left(A_{g,q}(t) \gamma^{(\mu} p^{\nu)} + B_{q,g} \frac{i P^{(\mu} \sigma^{\nu)} \rho \Delta_\rho}{2M} + C_{g,q}(t) \frac{\Delta^\mu \Delta^\nu - g^{\mu\nu} \Delta^2}{M} + \bar{C}_{g,q}(t) M g^{\mu\nu} \right) u(N)$$

$A_{g,q}(t)$: Related to quark and gluon momentum fraction; $A_{g,q}(0) = \langle x_{g,q} \rangle$

$B_{g,q}(t)$: Total angular momentum $J_{g,q}(t) = \frac{1}{2}(A_{g,q}(t) + B_{g,q}(t))$

$C_{g,t}(t)$: Pressure and Shear distribution $D_{g,q}(t) = 4C_{g,q}(t)$



D. Kharzeev Phys. Rev. D 104, 054015
Ji et. al. Phys. Rev. D 103, 096010
Hatta et. al. Phys. Rev. D 98, 074003
Mamo & Zahed Phys. Rev. D 101, 086003

J/Ψ-007 EXPERIMENT

- E12-06-007 (J/Ψ-007) ran in February 2019 (~ 9 PAC days) & measured exclusive J/Ψ photoproduction cross section as a function of photon energy E_γ and t (the momentum transfer from initial photon to the produced J/Ψ)
 - Scanned photon beam energy E_γ from 9.1 to 10.6 GeV and $|t|$ up to 4.5 GeV².
 - High intensity real photon beam, generated from 10.6 GeV electron beam traversing through a copper radiator, was incident on liquid hydrogen target
 - HMS and SHMS used to measure the e^-e^+ or $\mu^-\mu^+$ produced in coincidence from decay of J/Ψ .







007^{J/Ψ}



MASS SPECTRUM FROM ELECTRON CHANNEL


Settings optimized for accessing broad range of t

007^{J/ψ}



Clear J/ψ signal with minimal background

	SHMS		HMS		
Settings	p (GeV)	θ (deg.)	p (GeV)	θ (deg.)	
1	4.8	17	4.95	19.1	high E/ low t
2	4.3	20.1	4.6	19.9	mid E/ low t
3	3.5	30	4.08	16.4	high t
4	4.4	24.5	4.4	16.6	mid t




2-D CROSS SECTIONS- J/ Ψ 007

Comparison with different model predictions

007^{J/ Ψ}

1. DK: Phys. Rev. D 104, 054015
2. M-Z: Phys. Rev. D 103, 094010
3. G-J-L: Phys. Rev. D 103, 096010
4. S-T-Y: Phys. Lett. B 822, 136655
5. H-R-Y: Phys. Rev. D 98, 074003, Phys. Rev. D 100, 014032 JHEP 12, 008




MODEL DEPENDENT EXTRACTION OF GLUONIC GRAVITATIONAL FORM FACTORS

- Used two different approaches to perform extraction


- Holographic QCD approach** (Mamo, K. A. & Zahed, I. Phys. Rev. D 106, 086004):

Exchange of massive 2^{++} (tensor) glueballs and 0^{++} (scalar) glueballs



$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \mathcal{N}^2 \times \frac{e^2}{64\pi(s - m_N^2)^2} \times \frac{[A(t) + \eta^2 D(t)]^2}{A^2(0)} \times \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(s) \times 8$$

- GPD approach** (Guo, Y., Ji, X. & Liu, Y. Phys. Rev. D 103, 096010): Gravitational form factors linked to the first moment of Generalized Parton Distributions.




$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{\alpha_{EM} e_Q^2}{4(s - m_N^2)^2} \frac{(16\pi\alpha_S)^2}{3M_V^3} |\psi_{NR}(0)|^2 |G(t, \xi)|^2$$

MODEL DEPENDENT EXTRACTION OF GLUONIC GRAVITATIONAL FORM FACTORS

- Two form factors (tripole form) considered.

$$A_g(t) = \frac{A_g(0)}{\left(1 - \frac{t}{m_A^2}\right)^3} \quad C_g(t) = \frac{C_g(0)}{\left(1 - \frac{t}{m_C^2}\right)^3}$$


- Fixed $A_g(0)$ to $\langle x_g \rangle = 0.414$ (CT18 global fit)
 - m_A , $C_g(0)$ and m_C determined from fits
 - $\chi^2/\text{n.d.f} \sim 1$ for both fits
- $B_g(t)$ is assumed to be negligible
- $\bar{C}_g(t)$ is not included the extraction of GFF and gluonic radii
 - $\bar{C}_g(t) + \bar{C}_q(t) = 0$ Hatta et al. JHEP 12 (2018) 008, & Tanaka, K. JHEP 03 (2023) 013



GLUON FORM FACTORS

Extraction from J/Ψ 007 experimental data

- $A_g(t)$ and $D_g(t) = 4C_g(t)$ extracted from 2 D fit to data.
 - Holographic approach (M-Z) : (Mamo, K. A. & Zahed, I. Phys. Rev. D 106, 086004)
 - GPD approach (G-J-L) : (Guo, Y., Ji, X. & Liu, Y. Phys. Rev. D 103, 096010)
- Lattice predictions: (Pefkou, D. A., Hackett, D. C. & Shanahan, P. E. Phys. Rev. D 105, 054509)
- Lattice predictions in very good agreement with extractions using Holographic QCD approach *




B. Duran et al., Nature volume 615, pages 813–816 (2023)

MASS AND SCALAR RADII FROM J/Ψ 007 DATA

Using model dependent extraction of Gluon Form Factors

Theoretical approach	$\sqrt{\langle r_m^2 \rangle_g}$ (fm)	$\sqrt{\langle r_s^2 \rangle_g}$ (fm)
Holographic QCD	0.755+/-0.067	1.069+/-0.126
GPD	0.472+/-0.085	0.695+/-0.162
Lattice	0.746+/-0.055	1.073+/-0.114




$$\langle r_m^2 \rangle_g = 6 \frac{1}{A_g(0)} \frac{dA_g(t)}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} - 6 \frac{1}{A_g(0)} \frac{C_g(0)}{M_N^2} \quad 2^{++} \text{ exchange}$$

$$\langle r_s^2 \rangle_g = 6 \frac{1}{A_g(0)} \frac{dA_g(t)}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} - 18 \frac{1}{A_g(0)} \frac{C_g(0)}{M_N^2} \quad 0^{++} \text{ exchange}$$

- Mass radius is found to be smaller than charge radius in both approaches!!
- Holographic QCD approach gives scalar radius close to 1 fm (larger than charge radius) → Consistent with lattice predictions

UPDATED GPD MODEL EXTRACTION

007^{J/ψ}






- Updates based on recent paper from Guo et. al. Phys. Rev. D.108.034003
- Factor of 2 missed in hadronic matrix element – $G(\xi, t)$
- The $A_g(t)$ in better agreement with lattice predictions and holographic model extraction
- The $D_g(t)$ uncertainty is reduced

PRESSURE AND SHEAR DISTRIBUTIONS

007^{J/ψ}

Anisotropic spatial content of EMT

$$T^{i,j}(r) = \frac{1}{3} \delta^{i,j} p(r) + \left(\hat{r}^i \hat{r}^j - \frac{1}{3} \delta^{i,j} \right) s(r)$$







$$\tilde{D}(r) = \int \frac{d^3 \Delta}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\Delta \cdot r} D(\Delta, m_c); (-t = k^2 = \Delta)$$

DOUBLING STATISTICS FROM J/ Ψ -007 EXPERIMENT

007^{J/ Ψ}

Adding more data from muon channel




- Analysis cuts optimized to select muon sample
 - Setting 1: sweet spot for Cherenkov threshold. π 's do not radiate and μ 's do.

	SHMS		HMS		
Settings	p (GeV)	θ (deg.)	p (GeV)	θ (deg.)	
1	4.8	17	4.95	19.1	high E/ low t
2	4.3	20.1	4.6	19.9	mid E/ low t
3	3.5	30	4.08	16.4	high t
4	4.4	24.5	4.4	16.6	mid t

2D CROSS SECTIONS USING MUON CHANNEL

Excellent agreement with electron channel result!



007^{J/ψ}



FORM FACTORS - UPDATED WITH MUON CHANNEL DATA INCLUDED

007^{J/ψ}

Excellent agreement with electron channel result!




- Agrees well with electron channel results
- Significant impact on $D_g(t) (=4C_g(t))$

parameters	Relative error	
	$J/\psi \rightarrow e^-e^+$	$J/\psi \rightarrow e^-e^+$ or $\mu^-\mu^+$
m_A	4%	3.5%
$C_g(0)$	28%	12%
m_C	18%	11.3%

PRESSURE AND SHEAR DISTRIBUTIONS

007^{J/ψ}


After including the muon channel data



More results from J/ψ 007 to be published soon!

SUMMARY

- Extraction of gluon Gravitational Form Factors ($A_g(t)$ and $C_g(t)$) from J/ ψ 007 experiment
 - Electron channel results already published
 - Hinting towards a picture of proton with dense energetic core
 - Consistent with Lattice predictions
 - Preliminary results from analysis of muon channel
 - Nice agreement with electron channel results
 - large impact is seen on the $C_g(t)$ form factor.
- Extraction of gluonic pressure and shear distribution inside proton for first time from experiments
- Finalizing results from muon channel data
 - To be published soon!



THANK YOU



Argonne National Laboratory is a
U.S. Department of Energy laboratory
managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.



QUESTIONS?



Argonne National Laboratory is a
U.S. Department of Energy laboratory
managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.





BACK UP




Argonne National Laboratory is a
U.S. Department of Energy laboratory
managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.



12 GEV EXPERIMENTS AT JLAB





J/Ψ PHOTOPRODUCTION KINEMATICS



Lorentz scalars

$$s = W^2 = (P + q)^2$$


$$t = (P - P')^2 = (q - K)^2$$



- Phase space for J/Ψ production is limited by t_{min} and t_{max}
 - $t_{min} \rightarrow$ J/Ψ in the forward/ along the direction of photon
 - $t_{max} \rightarrow$ J/Ψ in the backward/ along the direction of proton

J/Ψ threshold
 $W \approx 4.04 \text{ GeV}$
 $E_{\gamma}^{lab} \approx 8.2 \text{ GeV}$

J/ψ PHOTOPRODUCTION NEAR THRESHOLD AT HALL D





- Cross section well constrained at higher energies.
- Experimental data lacking near threshold region.

- First to measure J/ψ at JLab.
- Reported 1D differential cross section

$\frac{d\sigma}{dt}$ in E_γ bin (10 GeV - 11.8 GeV) upto

$t = 1.4 \text{ GeV}^2$




GLUEX 2023 DATA AND RESULTS







S.Adhikari et al. (GlueX), Phys. Rev. C 108, 025201

- 2 D cross section in 3 E_γ bins between 8.2 GeV – 11.4 GeV compared to closest energy bins from J/ ψ 007
 - 2.2k J/ ψ 's (similar to J/ ψ 007 experiment electron channel measurements)
- Scale uncertainty- 20% for GlueX and 4% for J/ ψ 007
- Good agreement within error
 - Note differences in average energies





2 D differential CS



VARIOUS MODEL DEPENDENT EXTRACTIONS

Radius (from D. Kharzeev's approach) and M_a/M (from Ji's mass decomposition)



Charge radius: CODATA
 Lattice radius: Pefkou, D. A., Hackett, D. C. & Shanahan, P. E. Phys. Rev. D 105, 054509
 GlueX point: Wang, R., Evslin, J., Chen, X. Eur. Phys. J. C, 80, 507 (2020)
 Approach: Ji, X. Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1071-1074 (1995)
 Lattice M_a : He, F., Sun, P., Yang, Y.-B., Phys. Rev. D 104 074507 (2021)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{1}{64\pi s} \frac{1}{|p_{\gamma cm}|^2} \left(Qe c_2 \frac{16\pi^2 M}{b} \right)^2 G(t)^2$$

$$G(t) = \frac{M}{\left(1 - \frac{t}{m_s^2}\right)^2}$$

Kharzeev, D.
 Phys. Rev. D 104,
 054015 (2021)

$$\langle r_m^2 \rangle = \frac{6}{M} \frac{dG}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{12}{m_s^2}$$

- Flat region at higher energies beyond $E_\gamma = 9.7 \text{ GeV}$.
- Good agreement with lattice in high energy region.




- $\sqrt{\langle r_m^2 \rangle} = 0.52 \pm 0.03 \text{ fm}$

- $M_a/M = 0.175 \pm 0.013$

[Nature 615, pages 813–816 \(2023\)](#)

RECENT LATTICE RESULTS

arxiv 2310.08484



- ★ PDG
- g , Duran et al. method 2
- ▶ g , Duran et al. method 1
- ◆ g , Guo et al.
- g
- $q + g$
- ◆ q
- ◄ q , BEG





BACKGROUND CONTRIBUTION

Possible BG considerations:

- $e^- \pi^+$, $\pi^- \pi^+$ and $e^- e^+$
- $e^- \pi^+$ is dominant and $\pi^- \pi^+$ or $e^- e^+$ negligible
- Measured the background!
 - Available in the data sample due to the no PID trigger.


BG Event Selection:

- Coincidence $e^- \pi^+$ background selected using electron PID in the SHMS and pions in the HMS.
- **electrons:** Calorimeter
- **pions:** Calorimeter + Cherenkov




- Fit BG shape to the sidebands of the signal to obtain the BG scale.


12 GEV EXPERIMENTS AT JLAB




Hall D GlueX experiment – first to measure J/ψ at JLab (PRL 123, 072001 (2019))



Hall C J/ψ 007 experiment - first to extract GFF and mass radius of proton (Nature **615**, pages 813–816 (2023))





Hall B CLAS12 has experiments to measure TCS + J/ψ




Hall A has SoLID E12-12-006 experiment approved to measure J/ψ via electroproduction and photoproduction


SENSITIVITY TO T AND S CHANNEL



t-channel



s-channel



HIGGS MASS CONTRIBUTION TO THE PROTON

Pion-Nucleon Sigma Term

$$\sigma_{\pi N} = \langle N(P) | m_u \bar{u}u + m_d \bar{d}d | N(P) \rangle = (59.1 \pm 3.5) \text{ MeV}$$

Strangeness content

$$\sigma_s = \langle N(P) | m_u \bar{s}s | N(P) \rangle = 41.0(8.4) \text{ MeV}$$

A talk by Ulf-G Meißner at the 3rd Proton Mass Workshop, Jan 14-2021

<https://indico.phy.anl.gov/event/2/>

Consequence for the proton mass: About 100 MeV from the Higgs, the rest is gluon field energy


Hoferichter, Ruiz de Elvira, Kubis, Ulf-G Meißner Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 092301 [arXiv:1506.04142] Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 192301 [arXiv:1507.07552] Phys. Rept. 625 (2016) 1 [arXiv:1507.07552]

$$|G(t, \xi)|^2 = \frac{1}{\xi^4} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{t}{4M_N^2} \right) E_2^2 - 2E_2(H_2 + E_2) + (1 - \xi^2) (H_2 + E_2)^2 \right\}, \quad (26)$$

where $H_2 \equiv E_2(t, \xi)$, $E_2 \equiv E_2(t, \xi)$ are defined in eq. (23). Combining with eq. (17), the cross section of heavy vector meson photoproduction can be expressed in terms of those gravitational form factors. This result agrees with the holographic QCD predictions [16, 17] that the leading contribution to the cross section is due to exchange of 2^{++} excitations, or the spin-2 twist-two operators, instead of the $0^{++} F^2$ operators suggested in [3, 7]. However, in the holographic approach the twist-2 part of the gravitational form factor is dual to the graviton exchange and is free from the C_g contribution. This differs from the generic QCD parametrization in eq. (24). Because of the EMT conservation, the quantum anomalous energy F^2 form factor can be related to the twist-two ones here. If the further limit $\xi \rightarrow 0$ is taken in eq. (26), only the A form factors are leading and all the results agrees. However, this is inconsistent with our approximation here.

DVCS DATA FOR QUARK DFF

arxiv: 2310.11568



The mechanical radius of the proton

V.D. Burkert,¹ L. Elouadrhiri,^{1,2} and F.X. Girod¹

¹Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility, Newport News, VA 23606, USA

²Center for Nuclear Fentography, SURA, Washington, DC, USA

(Dated: November 7, 2023)

We present the first determination of the proton's mechanical radius. The result was obtained by employing a novel theoretical approach, which connects experimental data of deeply virtual Compton scattering with the spin $J = 2$ interaction that is characteristic of gravity coupling with matter. We find that the proton's mechanical radius is significantly smaller than its charge radius, consistent with the latest Lattice QCD computation.




FIG. 2: The form factor $D(t)$ as determined in the fit to the DVCS data. The hatched area represents the systematic uncertainties.