

Department of Energy

Office of Science Washington, DC 20585

Office of the Director

December 1, 2023

To: CHAIRS OF THE OFFICE OF SCIENCE FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEES:

Advanced Scientific Computing Advisory Committee
Basic Energy Sciences Advisory Committee
Biological and Environmental Research Advisory Committee
Fusion Energy Sciences Advisory Committee
High Energy Physics Advisory Panel
Nuclear Science Advisory Committee

The Department of Energy's Office of Science (SC) has envisioned, designed, constructed, and operated many of the premiere scientific research facilities in the world. More than 38,000 researchers from universities, other government agencies, and private industry use SC User Facilities each year—and this number continues to grow.

Stewarding these facilities for the benefit of science is at the core of our mission and is part of our unique contribution to our Nation's scientific strength. It is important that we continue to do what we do best: build facilities that create institutional capacity for strengthening multidisciplinary science, provide world class research tools that attract the best minds, create new capabilities for exploring the frontiers of the natural and physical sciences, and stimulate scientific discovery through computer simulation of complex systems.

To this end, I am asking the SC advisory committees to look toward the scientific horizon and identify what new or upgraded facilities will best serve our needs in the next ten years (2024-2034). More specifically, I am charging each advisory committee to establish a subcommittee to:

1. Consider what new or upgraded facilities in your disciplines will be necessary to position the Office of Science at the forefront of scientific discovery. The Office of Science Associate Directors have prepared a list of proposed projects that could contribute to world leading science in their respective programs in the next ten years. The Designated Federal Officer (DFO) will transmit this material to their respective advisory committee chairs. The subcommittee may revise the list in consultation with their DFO and Committee Chair. If you wish to add projects, please consider only those that require a minimum investment of \$100 million. In its deliberations, the subcommittee should reference relevant strategic planning documents and decadal studies.

- 2. Deliver a short letter report that discusses each of these facilities in terms of the two criteria below and provide a short justification for the categorization, but do not rank order them:
 - a. The potential to contribute to world-leading science in the next decade. For each proposed facility/upgrade consider, for example, the extent to which it would answer the most important scientific questions; whether there are other ways or other facilities that would be able to answer these questions; whether the facility would contribute to many or few areas of research and especially whether the facility will address needs of the broad community of users including those whose research is supported by other Federal agencies; whether construction of the facility will create new synergies within a field or among fields of research; and what level of demand exists within the (sometimes many) scientific communities that use the facility. Please place each facility or upgrade in one of four categories: (a) absolutely central; (b) important; (c) lower priority; or (d) don't know enough yet.
 - b. The readiness for construction. For proposed facilities and major upgrades, please consider, for example, whether the concept of the facility has been formally studied; the level of confidence that the technical challenges involved in building the facility can be met; the sufficiency of R&D performed to date to assure technical feasibility of the facility; the extent to which the cost to build and operate the facility is understood; and site infrastructure readiness. Please place each facility in one of three categories: (a) ready to initiate construction; (b) significant scientific/engineering challenges to resolve before initiating construction; or (c) mission and technical requirements not yet fully defined.

Many additional criteria, such as expected funding levels, are important when considering a possible portfolio of future facilities, however, for this assessment I ask that you focus your report on the two criteria discussed above.

I look forward to hearing your findings and thank you for your help with this important task. I appreciate receiving your final report by May 2024.

Sincerely,

Asmeret Asefaw Berhe Director, Office of Science

Asmeres Defaw Berke