



# Observation of multiple structures in the $J/\psi J/\psi$ mass spectrum at CMS



#### Zhen Hu on behalf of the CMS Collaboration







ATLAS



The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN is the world's largest particle collider. It lies in a tunnel 27 kilometres in circumference and as deep as 175 metres beneath the France–Switzerland border near Geneva.

LHC 27 km

**CERN** Prévess



NSTAR2024

Jun 20, 2024



ALICE

#### the Compact Solenoid detector

3.8T Superconducting Solenoid

Hermetic (|η|<5.2) Hadron Calorimeter (HCAL) [scintillators & brass]

•

\_\_\_\_η coverage (track & muon): [-2.5,2.5]

HCAL

ECAL

Hadron

Bectromagneti

Lead tungstate E/M Calorimeter (ECAL) Floctron

Charged Hadron (e.g. Pion)

Neutral Hadron (e.g. Neutron)

All Silicon Tracker (Pixels and Microstrips)

Redundant Muon System (RPCs, Drift Tubes, Cathode Strip Chambers)



### CMS dimuon & trigger



Excellent detector for quarkonium

- Muon system
  - High-purity muon ID,  $\Delta m/m \sim 0.6\%$  for J/ $\psi$
- Silicon Tracking detector, B=3.8T
  - $\Delta p_T/p_T \sim 1\%$  & excellent vertex resolution
- Special triggers for different analyses at increasing Inst. Lumi.



- μ p<sub>T</sub>, (μμ) p<sub>T</sub>, (μμ) mass, (μμ) vertex, and additional μ Zhen Hu NSTAR2024 Jun 20, 2024



## CMS publications on exotic hadrons



#### • X(3872) studies

- Measurement of X(3872) to  $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
- Observation of  $B_s^0 \rightarrow X(3872)\phi$
- Evidence of X(3872) in PbPb collisions

<u>JHEP 04 (2013) 154</u>

PRL 125 (2020) 152001

PRL 128 (2022) 032001









#### First LHC experiment re-discovered X(3872)



First experiment to observe X(3872) in  $B_{S}^{0}$  decay



First experiment to see X(3872) signal in PbPb

 $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \ \pi^+ \ \pi^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \ \mu^- \ \pi^+ \ \pi^-$ 

**4.2** *σ* 



Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 032001

6



### CMS publications on exotic hadrons



#### • X(3872) studies

- Measurement of X(3872) to  $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
- Observation of  $B_s^0 \rightarrow X(3872)\phi$
- Evidence of X(3872) in PbPb collisions

<u>JHEP 04 (2013) 154</u> <u>PRL 125 (2020) 152001</u> <u>PRL 128 (2022) 032001</u>

- Searches without showing significance structures
  - Upper limit for  $X(5568)^{\pm} \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^{\pm}$
  - Observation of  $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)K_S^0\pi^+\pi^-$
  - Observation of  $\,\Lambda_b^0 o {
    m J}/\psi\,\Xi^-{
    m K}^+$

PRL 120 (2018) 202005

EPJC 82 (2022) 499

arXiv:2401.16303 (2024)







#### • X(3872) studies

- Measurement of X(3872) to  $J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$
- Observation of  $B_s^0 \rightarrow X(3872)\phi$
- Evidence of X(3872) in PbPb collisions

<u>JHEP 04 (2013) 154</u> <u>PRL 125 (2020) 152001</u> <u>PRL 128 (2022) 032001</u>

- Searches without showing significance structures
  - Upper limit for  $X(5568)^{\pm} \rightarrow B_s^0 \pi^{\pm}$
  - Observation of  $B^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S) K_S^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$
  - Observation of  $\,\Lambda_b^0 o {
    m J}/\psi\,\Xi^-{
    m K}^+$

<u>PRL 120 (2018) 202005</u> <u>EPJC 82 (2022) 499</u>

arXiv:2401.16303 (2024)

- Observations of new structures
  - Observation of X(4140) from  $J/\psi\phi$
  - Observation of X(6600) in  ${
    m J}/\psi~{
    m J}/\psi$

PLB 734 (2014) 261-281 PRL 132 (2024) 111901





NSTAR2024





#### • First LHC experiment to see new exotic hadrons (Y(4140))

https://www.nikhef.nl/~pkoppenb/particles.html



Phys. Lett. B 734 (2014) 261-281

The fitted mass and width

M = 4148.0 +/- 2.4 (stat.) +/- 6.3 (syst.) MeV

Γ = 28<sup>+15</sup><sub>-11</sub>(stat.) +/- 19 (syst.) MeV

Evidence for an additional peaking structure at higher mass also reported





NSTAR2024



#### New domain of exotics: all-heavy tetra-quarks



• First mention of 4c states at 6.2 GeV (1975)

#### – Just one year after the discovery of $J/\psi$

We expect at least three exotic mesons with hidden charm,  $c\bar{c}(p\bar{p}-n\bar{n})$  [between 3.7~4.1 GeV],  $c\bar{c}\lambda\bar{\lambda}$  [~4.1 GeV] and  $c\bar{c}c\bar{c}$  [~6.2 GeV] to which we refer



Research Institute for Fundamental Physics Kyoto University, Kyoto

(Received January 20, 1975)

• First calculation of 4c states (1981): Z. Phys. C 7 (1981) 317

 S	JPC	Mass (GeV)					
 0	$1^{}$ 0 <sup>-+</sup> 1 <sup>-+</sup> 2 <sup>-+</sup>	6.55	$\longleftarrow (cc)_{\underline{3}} * - (\overline{cc})_{\underline{3}}$		····		
$\frac{1}{2}$	$1^{}, 2^{}, 3^{}$			L	S	$J^{PC}$	Mass (GeV)
0	2++	6.78				, <u> </u>	
1	$1^{+-}, 2^{+-}, 3^{+-}$			1	0	1	6.82
2	0,1,2,5,4		(aa) $(aa)$ $(ab)$	2	0	$2^{++}$	715
0 1	3 <sup></sup> 2 <sup>-+</sup> , 3 <sup>-+</sup> , 4 <sup>-+</sup>	6.98	$(cc)_{\underline{6}} - (cc)_{\underline{6}} * \longrightarrow$	3	ů 0	3	7.41
2	1, 2, 3, 4, 5						

• A different exotic system compared to exotics with light quarks



NSTAR2024



J/ψJ/ψ events—first evidence (1982)





PLB114 (1982) 457



PLB158 (1985) 85



NSTAR2024



### Possible explanations of $J/\psi J/\psi$ states



#### 2<sup>++</sup> four-quark states, PRD29 (1984) 426

TABLE I. Parameters used in Eq. (8) to calculate the cross sections for vector-meson pair production. (+) and (-) denote two degenerate  $2^{++} Q^2 \overline{Q}^2$  states. Except in the case of JJ, we take  $4\pi/f_I^2 = 0.03$ , due to the fact that the  $2^{++} Q^2 \overline{Q}^2$  are expected to lie not far above the threshold.  $\alpha_s$  is determined from Eq. (11).

·····	er landeste tribene recherchertere aus		Mj		
$V_1V_2$	$a_{V_1V_2}^i/a$	$b_{\alpha\beta}^{j} / \alpha_{s} \frac{a}{\sqrt{8}} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$	(GeV)	$\alpha_s$	$m_1$
JJ	1/√3	$\left[\frac{2}{3}\right]^{1/2}\frac{4\pi}{f_{\perp}^2}$	7.0	0.18	3.10
$J\omega^{(+)}$	$1/\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{4\pi}{f_L f_{\omega}}$	4.05	0.2	
$J\omega^{(-)}$	1/√12	$\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} \frac{4\pi}{f_{\perp}f_{\omega}}$	4.05	0.2	
$\Upsilon J^{(+)}$	1/√6	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{4\pi}{f_{\rm X}f_{\rm I}}$	13.5	0.167	-
ΥJ <sup>(-)</sup>	1/√12	$\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2} \frac{4\pi}{f_{\mathfrak{X}} f_{\mathfrak{Z}}}$	13.5	0.167	
$B_c^* \overline{B}_c^{*(+)}$	$-1/\sqrt{6}$	$\frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}}\frac{4\pi}{f_{\mathfrak{X}}f_{\mathfrak{Z}}}$	13.5	0.167	6.60
$B_c^* \overline{B}_c^{*(-)}$	1/√12	$\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/2}\frac{4\pi}{f_{\rm X}f_{\rm Z}}$	13.5	0.167	



There were other attempts









- Many recent theoretical studies on  $(c\overline{c}c\overline{c})$ ,  $(b\overline{b}b\overline{b})$ ,  $(b\overline{b}c\overline{c})$ :
  - controversial on existence of bound states below  $\eta_b \eta_b$  (or  $\eta_c \eta_c$ ) threshold;
  - consistent on existence of resonant states above  $\eta_b \eta_b$  (or  $\eta_c \eta_c$ ) threshold.









- Signal:  $X \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ 
  - Generator: Pythia8, JHUGen



- Main background:
  - Nonresonant single-parton scattering (NRSPS)

Generator: Pythia8, HelacOnia (next-to-next-to-leading order), Cascade (next-to-leading order)

- Nonresonant double-parton scattering (DPS)
  - Generator: Pythia8





かのRMAL UNU





NSTAR2024

## CMS J/ $\psi$ J/ $\psi$ cross section at 7 TeV





Total cross section, assuming unpolarized prompt J/ $\psi$ J/ $\psi$  pair production 1.49 ± 0.07 (stat.) ± 0.13 (syst.) nb

(Different assumptions about the J/ $\psi$ J/ $\psi$  polarization imply modifications to the cross section ranging from -31% to +27%)



NSTAR2024



## CMS J/ $\psi$ J/ $\psi$ candidates at 13 TeV







🖉 Zhen Hu

NSTAR2024





- Most significant structure is a BW at threshold, BW0--what is its meaning?
  - BW0 parameters very sensitive to SPS and DPS model assumptions
  - A region populated by feed-down from possible higher mass states
  - Possible coupled-channel interactions, pomeron exchange processes...









135 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV)





- Most significant structure is a BW at threshold, BW0--what is its meaning?
- Treat BW0 as part of background due to:
  - BW0 parameters very sensitive to SPS and DPS model assumptions •
  - A region populated by feed-down from possible higher mass states
  - Possible coupled-channel interactions, pomeron exchange processes...
- SPS+DPS+BW0 as our background





### CMS J/ $\psi$ J/ $\psi$ model: 3 BWs + Background





Zhen Hu

 $\sigma$ (stat.)

 $\sigma$ (stat. + syst.)

NSTAR2024

6.5

5.7

Observation

Jun 20, 2024

9.4

9.4

Confirmation of

X(6900) from LHCb



4.1

4.1

**Evidence** 



### The dips





- > Possibility #1:
- Interference among structures?
- > Possibility #2:
- Multiple fine structures to reproduce the dips?
- Mentioned in PAS



Zhen Hu

NSTAR2024

Jun 20, 2024

- More secrets to dig out
- We explored possibility #1 in detail

20





### CMS J/ $\psi$ J/ $\psi$ interference fit



#### Editors' Suggestion

New Structures in the  $J/\psi J/\psi$  Mass Spectrum in Proton-Proton Collisions at  $\sqrt{s}=13~{
m TeV}$ 

A. Hayrapetyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration) Phys. Rev. Lett. **132**, 111901 (2024) – Published 15 March 2024



Three structures, X(6900) and two new ones around 6.64 and 7.13 GeV, are seen in the  $J/\psi J/\psi$  mass spectrum that are consistent with being part of a family of radial excitations. Show Abstract +

- 135 fb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) MeV  $1.9\sigma$ CMS 9,8σ 160 Candidates / 25 ♦ Data — Fit 140  $-BW_1 - BW_2$ 120 ---- BW<sub>3</sub> --- Background - - Interfering BWs 100 80 60 40 20 Data-Fit Stat. unc. 7.5 6.5 8 8.5  $m_{\mathrm{J/\psi}\,\mathrm{J/\psi}}\,[\mathrm{GeV}]$
- Fit with interf. among BW1, BW2, and BW3 describes data well
- Measured mass and width in the interference fit

		X(6600)	X(6900)	X(7100)
Interference	<i>m</i> [MeV]	$6638\substack{+43+16\\-38-31}$	$6847^{+44+48}_{-28-20}$	$7134\substack{+48+41\\-25-15}$
	Γ [MeV]	$440\substack{+230+110\\-200-240}$	$191\substack{+66+25\\-49-17}$	$97^{+40+29}_{-29-26}$
	F	irst observatic	on	First evidence
Zhen Hu	NSTAR2024	Jun 20, 2	024	21



## ATLAS-CMS-LHCb data comparison

Disclaimer: comparison plots in this page are not made by ATLAS/CMS/LHCb (taken from <a href="https://indico.cern.ch/event/1158681/contributions/5162594/">https://indico.cern.ch/event/1158681/contributions/5162594/</a> )



- Comparing with LHCb, CMS has:
  - 135/(3+6) ≈ 15X int. lum.
  - $(5/3)^4 \approx 8X$  muon acceptance
  - Higher muon p<sub>T</sub> ( >3.5 or 2.0 GeV vs >0.6 GeV)
  - Similar number of final events, but much less DPS
  - 2X yield @CMS for X(6900)



- Comparing with CMS, ATLAS has:
  - 1/3 –1/2 of CMS data (trigger?)
  - dR cut—remove high mass events





NSTAR2024



#### Fit CMS data with LHCb model I: 2 auxiliary BWs + X(6900) + bkg



Exp.	Fit	<i>m</i> (BW1)	Γ(BW1)	<i>m</i> (6900)	Γ(6900)
LHCb [15]	Model I	unrep.	unrep.	$6905\pm11\pm7$	$80\pm19\pm33$
CMS	Model I	$6550\pm10$	$112\pm27$	$6927\pm10$	$117\pm24$









#### Fit CMS data with LHCb model I: 2 auxiliary BWs + X(6900) + bkg

 $117 \pm 24$ 



15	京师范大
	1902
IANJ	司品言
12	VORMAL UN



Model I

 $6550 \pm 10$ 

 $112 \pm 27$ 

CMS

 $6927\pm10$ 

## CERN

#### Fit CMS data with LHCb model I: 2 auxiliary BWs + X(6900) + bkg



Jun 20, 2024

Exp.	Fit	<i>m</i> (BW1)	Γ(BW1)		m(6900)	Г(6900)	-
LHCb [15]	Model I	unrep.	unrep.	Γ	$6905 \pm 11 \pm 7$	$80\pm19\pm33$	
CMS	Model I	$6550\pm10$	$112\pm27$		$6927\pm10$	$117\pm24$	

- LHCb did not give parameters for BW1
  - CMS has a shoulder before BW1

**NSTAR2024** 

• helps make BW1 distinct



• Does not describe 2 dips well



25

## CMS and LHCb Fit Comparison - 2

#### Fit CMS data with LHCb model II : "X(6700)" interferes with NRSPS + X(6900) + Bkg



Exp.	Fit	<i>m</i> (BW1)	Γ(BW1)	<i>m</i> (6900)	Γ(6900)
LHCb [15]	Model II	$6741 \pm 6$	$288\pm16$	$6886\pm11\pm11$	$168\pm33\pm69$
CMS	Model II	$6736\pm38$	$439\pm65$	$6918\pm10$	$187\pm40$



CÉRN



NSTAR2024

## CMS and LHCb Fit Comparison - 2





• CMS obtained larger amplitude and wider width for X(6700)



CÉRN



## CMS and LHCb Fit Comparison - 2





• Does not describe X(7100) region



CÉRN



NSTAR2024



## ATLAS result – observed X(6900)





- ATLAS model A: analogous to LHCb model I, but 2 auxiliary BWs interfere with X(6900)
- ATLAS Model B: analogous to LHCb model II, one auxiliary BW interferes with NRSPS
- Both models describe the data well
  - the broad structure at the lower mass could result from other physical effects, such as the feed-down
- The 3rd peak mass is consistent with the LHCb observed X(6900), with significance >  $5\sigma$





Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 151902

Zhen Hu

NSTAR2024

Jun 20, 2024

29





Table 1. Predictions of the masses (MeV) of S-wave fully heavy  $T_{4Q}(nS)$  tetraquarks. Only 0<sup>++</sup> and 2<sup>++</sup> are considered for  $T_{bc\bar{b}\bar{c}}$ . The uncertainty is from the coupling constant  $\alpha_s = 0.35 \pm 0.05$ .

#### Nucl. Phys. B 966 (2021) 115393

$T_{4Q}(nS)$ states	$\mathcal{J}^{P}$	Mass(n=1)	Mass(n=2)	Mass(n=3)	$\max(n=4)$
$T_{ccar{c}ar{c}}$	0++	$6055\substack{+69\\-74}$	$6555\substack{+36\\-37}$	$6883^{+27}_{-27}$	$7154^{+22}_{-22}$
	2++	$6090\substack{+62\-66}$	0500 + 34 - 35	<u>coco+27</u>	$7100_{-22}^{+21}$
$T_{ccar{c}ar{c}}'$	0++	$5984_{-67}^{+64}$	6468	$6775^{+26}_{-26}$	$66^{+21}_{-22}$
$T_{bcar{b}ar{c}}$	0++	$12387\substack{+109\\-120}$	$12911^{+18}_{-1}$	$13200^{+35}_{-36}$	$13429\substack{+29\\-30}$
	2++	$12401\substack{+117 \\ -106}$	$12914\substack{+49\\-49}$	$13202^{+35}_{-36}$	$13430^{+29}_{-29}$
$T_{bcar{b}ar{c}}'$	0++	$12300\substack{+106\\-117}$	$12816\substack{+48 \\ -50}$	$1304^{+35}_{-35}$	$13333\substack{+29\\-29}$
$T_{bbar{b}ar{b}}$	0++	$18475^{+151}_{-169}$	$19073\substack{+59 \\ -63}$	$19,53\substack{+42\\-42}$	$19566\substack{+33\\-35}$
	2++	$18483\substack{+149\\-168}$	$19075\substack{+59 \\ -62}$	$19\ 55^{+41}_{-43}$	$19567^{+33}_{-35}$
$T_{bbar{b}ar{b}}'$	0++	$18383\substack{+149\\-167}$	$18976\substack{+59\\-62}$	$19.56\substack{+43 \\ -42}$	$19468\substack{+34\\-34}$
		S-wave	M[BW1]	] = 6638 ± 10 MeV 2] = 6847 ± 9	$) \pm 12$ $) \pm 5$
				MeV	
			M[BW3	8] = 7134 ± 1 MeV	9 ± 5

Radial excited p-wave states (like  $J/\psi$  series)? Or Radial excited S-wave states?

- Theoretical situation difficulty & confusing
  - Important next step: measure J<sup>PC</sup> to clarify
- Natural question: what about YY, JY final state?



	<u>Data-Fit</u> Stat. unc.				7.5		a — Fit BW2 BW2 BW2 BW2 BW2 BW2 BW2 BW2	<sup>135</sup> <sup>(h)</sup> <sup>(13)</sup> <b>CMS</b> <sup>2</sup> kground <b>Prf.</b> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>	S₀ Latin Innintration Inninnin Data-Fit Candidates / 25 MeV	Stat. unc. 180 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	6.5	Data — Fit — BW <sub>2</sub> — BW <sub>2</sub> — BW <sub>3</sub> — Background — Interfering BWs — Unterfering BWs — BW <sub>2</sub> — BW <sub>2</sub> — BW <sub>3</sub> — Background — Interfering BWs — Market and A
	$1^{1}P_{1}$	1	363.9	320.3	-366.7	337.5	-14.4	0	0	-2.6	6553	3
ſ	$1^{2}P_{0}$	U İ	300.7	320.2	-300.7	337.5	-1.2	-50.9	-43.1	-2.0	6460	$\eta_c(1S)\chi_{c0}(1P)$
	$1^{3}P_{1}$	$1^{-+}$	356.6	320.3	-366.7	337.5	-7.2	-28.4	21.5	-2.7	6554	4 6494.1 $\eta_c(1S)\chi_{c1}(1P)$
l	$1^{3}P_{2}$	$2^{-+}$	356.6	320.2	-366.7	337.5	-7.2	28.4	-2.1	-2.4	6587	7 6539.6 $\eta_c(1S)\chi_{c2}(1P)$
	$1^5 P_1$	$1^{}$	342.4	320.4	-366.7	337.5	7.2	-85.3	-30.2	-2.7	6439	$\theta = 6508.8  \eta_c(1S)h_{c1}(1P)$
	$1^5P_2$	$2^{}$	342.2	320.2	-366.7	337.5	7.2	-28.4	30.2	-2.5	657	6607.6 $J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c1}(1P)$
	$1^5 P_3$	$3^{}$	342.3	320.3	-366.7	337.5	7.2	56.9	-8.6	-2.5	6623	$3 6653.1 J/\psi(1S)\chi_{c2}(1P)$
-	$2^{1}P_{1}$	$1^{}$	414.7	688.7	-263.4	548.6	-11.2	0	0	-1.6	6925	arXiv:2108.04017 [hep-ph]
ſ	$2^{\circ}P_0$	0-+	410.0	689.6	-263.4	548.6	-5.6	-46.2	-34.5	-1.7	6851	
	$2^{3}P_{1}$	1-+	410.0	689.6	-263.4	548.6	-5.6	-23.1	17.2	-1.6	6926	j
Ľ	$2^{5}P_{2}$	2-+	410.0	689.6	-263.4	548.7	-5.6	23.1	-3.4	-1.7	6951	
-	$2^{\circ}P_1$	1	398.7	689.5	-263.4	548.6	-5.6	-69.3	-24.2	-1.7	6849	r-wave
	$2^{\circ}P_2$	2	398.7	689.5	-263.4	548.6	5.6	-23.1	24.2	-1.5	6944	$-\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
	$2^{\circ}P_3$	3 1	398.8	089.7	-203.4 215 F	048.0 797 0	0.0 0.2	40.2	-0.9	-1.0	0982 7991	2 - 10 - 10 - 12
	$P_1$	1 1	475.9	982.2	-215.5	797.7	-9.5	-41.0	-31.0	-1.1	7153	ivie v
	$3^3 P_1$	1-+	475.1	982.6	-215.5	727.7	-4.6	-20.9	15.5	-1.2	7220	M[BW2] = $6927 \pm 9 \pm 5$ •
	$3^3 P_2$	$2^{-+}$	475.1	982.6	-215.5	727.8	-4.6	20.9	-3.1	-1.0	7243	MeV
	$3^{5}P_{1}$	1	465.9	982.8	-215.5	727.7	4.6	-62.8	-21.7	-1.2	7150	) - M(P) $\sqrt{21} - 7287 + 10 + 5$
	$3^5 P_2$	$2^{}$	465.7	982.6	-215.5	727.8	-4.6	-20.9	21.7	-1.1	7236	



465 8

982.6 -215.5 727.8

-1.1

727

11 0

-6 2



### Spin Parity Analysis





## First observation of $J/\psi J/\psi$ in pPb



- pPb data sample collected at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16 \text{ TeV}$  during 2016
  - Integrated luminosity: 174.56 nb<sup>-1</sup>
- Channels considered
  - $J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu\mu)J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu\mu)$
  - $J/\psi(\rightarrow\mu\mu)J/\psi(\rightarrow ee)$
- Signal Yield
  - $J/\psi(\rightarrow\mu\mu)J/\psi(\rightarrow\mu\mu)$ : 8.5 ± 3.4
  - $J/\psi(\rightarrow\mu\mu)J/\psi(\rightarrow ee)$ : 5.7 ± 4.0
- Significance is 4.9 sigma for the 4 muon channel (Likelihood ratio of the fits + asymptotic formula under Wilks theorem)
- 5.3σ (combination with Fischer Formalism)



J/ψ(→µµ)J/ψ(→ee)





**NSTAR2024** 



### First observation of triple J/ $\psi$ in pp



NNU

Signal yield:  $5^{+2.6}_{-1.9}$  events Significance >  $5\sigma$ 

 $\sigma(pp \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi J/\psi X)$  $= 272^{+141}_{-104}$  (stat)  $\pm 17$  (syst) fb



#### Nature Physics 19 (2023) 338





11.0

10.5 -

8.0-

7.0

6.0

5.0

4.0

3.0

2.0

Mass [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>]



patrick.koppenburg@cern.ch 2022-12-07

2017 2018 2019 Date of arXiv submission



**NSTAR2024** 

Jun 20, 2024



CERN



### Summary



- CMS played important roles in some exotic hadron studies
- All-heavy quark exotic structures offer a system easier to understand
  - A new window to understand strong interaction
- CMS found 3 significant structures in di-J/ψ mass spectrum
  - X(6900) consistent with LHCb
  - First observation of X(6600) and evidence of a third resonance in  $di-J/\psi$
  - Dips in data show possible interference effects
  - A family of structures which are candidates for all-charm tetra-quarks!



X(6600) event display

- Spin parity analysis, cross-section measurement ongoing
- Tri-J/ $\psi$  in pp and di-J/ $\psi$  in pPb observed for the first time



Hu

NSTAR2024







NNU

- New trigger at CMS for Run 3, new possibilities!
  - $J/\psi + \psi(2S)$
  - $\psi(2S) + \psi(2S)$
  - $J/\psi + Upsilon$
  - $\psi(2S) + Upsilon$







### Backup





NSTAR2024





- Study interplay of soft QCD with (semi)hard QCD and EW physics
- Sensitivity to perturbative heavy flavor generation and nonperturbative initial and final state effects
  - Initial state: e.g. sensitivity to the concepts of single (SPS), double (DPS) and triple (TPS) parton scattering



• Final state: e.g. sensitivity to heavy flavour hadron formation (colour singlet vs. colour octet), sensitivity to resonant multi-heavy-flavor states





We saw hints at Run I data (7 TeV & 8 TeV) Proposed three signal regions for Run II data

Signal:  $X \rightarrow J/\psi J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$ 



Blinded mass windows for Run II:

- 1. [6.3,6.6] GeV
- 2. [6.8,7.1] GeV
- 3. [7.2,7.8] GeV (for potential wide structure)

These mass windows will be windows for LEE for potential structures

Run I data will be ignored for significance calculation

CMS eventually decide to blind the whole region: [6.2, 7.8] GeV after LHCb released their result (13 TeV, 2020)





NSTAR2024



### Event selections



#### Muon selection

- $p_T(\mu^{\pm}) > 2.0 \text{ GeV/c}$
- $|\eta(\mu^{\pm})| < 2.4$
- All muons are soft
- For 2017-18 years:  $p_T(\mu^{\pm}) > 3.5 \text{ GeV/c}$  for at least one  $\mu^+\mu^-$  pair, which has  $vtxprob(\mu^+\mu^-) > 0.5\%$ and 2.95 <  $m_{\mu^+\mu^-} < 3.25 \text{ GeV}$

 $J/\psi$  selection

- •2.95 <  $m_{J/\psi}$  < 3.25 GeV
- • $p_T(J/\psi) > 3.5 \text{ GeV/c}$
- • $vtxprob(J/\psi) > 0.5\%$
- •Constrained  $vtxprob(J/\psi) > 0.1\%$

 $\frac{J/\psi J/\psi \text{ selection}}{vtxprob(4\mu) > 0.5\%}$  $\frac{vtxprob(J/\psi J/\psi) > 0.1\%}{vtxproper HLT \text{ is fired in event}}$ 

#### Multiple candidates

•Choose the best candidate with minimum  $\left(\frac{M(J/\psi_1)-M(J/\psi_{PDG})}{\sigma(M(J/\psi_1))}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M(J/\psi_2)-M(J/\psi_{PDG})}{\sigma(M(J/\psi_2))}\right)^2$ value if there are 4 muons in event, but more than one candidate (~0.2%) •Keep all candidates if there are more then 4 muons in event (~0.2%)

Baseline mass variable – invariant mass of two constrained J/ $\psi$  candidates



NSTAR2024





### Significance with systematics



- To include systematics, alternative resonance/background shapes applied in the fit.
- Calculate signal- and null-hypothesis *NLL\_syst* including systematic using:

 $NLL_(syst-sig) = Min\{NLL_(nom-sig), NLL_(alt-i-sig)+0.5+0.5\cdot\Delta dof\}$ 

- □ *NLL\_(nom-sig)*: the NLL of nominal 'signal hypothesis' fit.
- $\square$  *NLL\_(alt-i-sig)*: the NLL of i-th alternative fit of 'signal hypothesis'
- $NLL_(syst-null) = Min\{NLL_(nom-null), NLL_(alt-j-null)+0.5+0.5 \cdot \Delta dof\}$
- Significance including systematics as usual from *NLL\_(syst-null)-NLL\_(syst-sig)*

	Significance with syst.
BW1	$5.7\sigma$
BW2	no sensible changes
BW3	no sensible changes







### Line shape



• S-wave relativistic Breit-Wigner (used in default fit):

$$BW(m; m_0, \Gamma_0) = \frac{\sqrt{m\Gamma(m)}}{m_0^2 - m^2 - im\Gamma(m)}$$
, where  $\Gamma(m) = \Gamma_0 \frac{qm_0}{q_0 m}$ ,

*q* is the momentum of a daughter in the mother particle rest frame;  $q_0$  means the value at peak position ( $m = m_0$ ).

• NRSPS and NRDPS:

 $f_{NRSPS}(x, x_0, \alpha, p_1, p_2, p_3)$ 

$$= (x - x_0)^{\alpha} \cdot \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{(15 - x_0)^2} - \frac{p_1}{10}\right) \cdot (15 - x)^2\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_0)^{p_3}}{2 \cdot p_2^{p_3}}\right),$$
  

$$f_{NRDPS}(x, a, p_0, p_1, p_2) = \sqrt{x_t} \cdot \exp(-a \cdot x_t) \cdot (p_0 + p_1 \cdot x_t + p_2 \cdot x_t^2),$$
  
where  $x_0 = 2m_{J/\psi}, x_t = x - x_0$ 



Zhen Hu

NSTAR2024

43

Interf. term

Exploration of possible interference among BWs

- Explored fit with interference among various combinations of BWs
- Pdf for three BW interference

 $Pdf(m) = N_{X_0} \cdot |BW_0|^2 \otimes R(M_0)$ 

+  $N_{NRSPS}$ ;  $f_{SPS}(m)$  +  $N_{NRDPS}$ ;  $f_{DPS}(m)$ . Studied many ways interference due to possible  $J^{PC}$  and quantum coherence

+  $N_{X and interf} \cdot |r_1 \cdot \exp(i\phi_1) \cdot BW_1 + BW_2 + r_3 \cdot \exp(i\phi_3) \cdot BW_3|^2$ 

- 2-object-interference among BW0, BW1, BW2, BW3
- 3-object-interference among BW0, BW1, BW2, BW3
- 4-object-interference among BW0, BW1, BW2, BW3

**NSTAR2024** 

Final CMS choice: interference among BW1, BW2, BW3



٠



### Significance with systematics



Source	$\Delta M_{BW1}$	$\Delta M_{BW2}$	$\Delta M_{BW3}$	$\Delta\Gamma_{BW1}$	$\Delta\Gamma_{BW2}$	$\Delta\Gamma_{BW3}$
signal shape	3	4	3	14	7	7
NRDPS	1	< 1	< 1	3	3	4
NRSPS	3	1	1	18	15	17
momentum scaling	1	3	4	-	-	-
mass resolution	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	1
combinatorial background	< 1	< 1	< 1	2	3	3
efficiency	< 1	< 1	< 1	1	< 1	1
feeddown shape	11	1	1	25	8	6
total	12	5	5	34	19	20

- Investigated effects of systematics on local significance by a profiling procedure
- A discrete set of individual alternative signal and background hypotheses tested in minimization
  - Significant change: BW1 significance changed from  $6.5\sigma$  to  $>5.7\sigma$
  - No relative significance changes for BW2 and BW3

 $M[BW1] = 6552 \pm 10 \pm 12 \text{ MeV} \quad \Gamma[BW1] = 124 \pm 29 \pm 34 \text{ MeV} >5.7\sigma$  $M[BW2] = 6927 \pm 9 \pm 5 \text{ MeV} \quad \Gamma[BW2] = 122 \pm 22 \pm 19 \text{ MeV} >9.4\sigma$  $M[BW3] = 7287 \pm 19 \pm 5 \text{ MeV} \quad \Gamma[BW3] = 95 \pm 46 \pm 20 \text{ MeV} >4.1\sigma$ 





### Systematic uncertainties for interf. case



 Fit	Dominant sources	$\Lambda M_{\rm DM4}$	$\Lambda M_{\rm DM2}$	$\Lambda M_{\rm DM2}$	$\Lambda\Gamma_{\rm DM}$	$\Lambda \Gamma_{\rm DM/2}$	ΔΓριμα
	Dominant Sources	<b>DIMB</b> W1	ZIVIBW2	ZIVIBW3	TH BW1	BW2	BI BW3
Interference	Signal shape	7	12	7	56	8	7
	NRDPS	1	3	2	18	6	2
	NRSPS	9	14	13	85	9	20
	Resolution	8	4	1	24	7	13
	Combinatorial bkg.	7	2	< 1	5	3	2
	Feeddown shape	-27	+44	+38	-208	+19	+12
	Full uncertainty	$+16 \\ -31$	$+48 \\ -20$	$+41 \\ -15$	$+109 \\ -235$	$+25 \\ -17$	$+29 \\ -26$

- Total systematic uncertainty is quadrature sum of each source
- Systematic uncertainties from feeddown contribution are asymmetric
- Systematic uncertainties from other sources are symmetric







LHCb collaboration/Science Bulletin 65 (2020) 1983–1993





**Fig. 4.** Invariant mass spectra of weighted di- $J/\psi$  candidates in bins of  $p_T^{di-J/\psi}$  and overlaid projections of the  $p_T^{di-J/\psi}$ -binned fit with model I.

Jun 20, 2024

NSTAR2024



46

