# **Two Mesons Photoproduction:** Theory and Application







- Vincent MATHIEU
- **University of Barcelona**
- Joint Physics Analysis Center **Exotic Hadron Topical Collaboration** 
  - **NSTAR Conference** 
    - York, June 2024





## **Ordinary and Exotic Hadrons**

### **Ordinary baryons**



proton stable  $\tau \sim 10^3 s$ neutron

baryon  $\Lambda~~\tau\sim 10^{-10}s$ 



### Ordinary mesons



Vincent Mathieu



**NSTAR conference** 









## **Observables and Moments**



Two scattering amplitudes of 2 variables

$$A_{\pm}(s,z) = \sum_{J=1/2}^{\infty} (2J+1) a_{\pm}^{J}(s) d_{\frac{1}{2},\pm\frac{1}{2}}^{J}(z)$$

$$(z = 1)$$

Two observables of 2 variables

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 s} \left[ |A_+(s,z)|^2 + |A_-(s,z)|^2 \right]$$
$$P\frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \frac{1}{16\pi^2 s} \operatorname{Im} \left[ A_+(s,z)A_-^*(s,z) \right]$$

Two unknown (and arbitrary) phases

on: 
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}z} = \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} (2L+1) H_L(s) P_L(z)$$

**NSTAR conference** 







## What's a resonance?



# **Two Meson Photoproduction**

### Why 2-meson photoproduction?



Five independent variables:  $s, t, m, \Omega = (\theta, \phi)$ Eight independent amplitudes:

$$\lambda_{\gamma} = \pm 1, \, \lambda_1 = \pm 1/2, \, \lambda_2 = \pm 1/2$$

Vincent Mathieu



### Meson spectroscopy





### Baryon spectroscopy



### **NSTAR conference**





# **Two Meson Photoproduction**



Identifying exotic meson require not only study angular distribution but also understand production mechanisms

Baryon resonances interfere with all meson resonances

### Meson spectroscopy



Baryon spectroscopy



2-Meson Photoproduction 6





## **Tensor Meson Photoproduction @CLAS**



![](_page_6_Picture_4.jpeg)

VM et al (JPAC) PRD102 (2020)

**NSTAR conference** 

![](_page_6_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Tensor Meson Photoproduction @GLueX

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

Extraction of the cross-section

Extraction of all D-waves components

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Strong $a_2(1320)$ signal in $\pi\eta$

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

Production can occur via exchange of

- vector meson (positive reflectivity) or
- axial-vector meson (negative reflectivity)

**NSTAR conference** 

![](_page_7_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_16.jpeg)

# $a_2(1320)$ Photoproduction @GlueX

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Vincent Mathieu

Extraction of  $a_2(1320)$ production amplitudes from GlueX data

Collaboration between GlueX and JPAC

Reasonable agreement with model predictions from VM et al (JPAC) PRD102 (2020)

To appear soon...

**NSTAR conference** 

![](_page_8_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_12.jpeg)

# $\rho(770)$ Photoproduction @GlueX

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $I(\Omega, \Phi) = I^0(\Omega) - P_{\gamma} \cos 2\Phi I^1(\Omega) - P_{\gamma} \sin 2\Phi I^2(\Omega)$  $I^{0}(\Omega) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left( \frac{1}{2} (1 - \rho_{00}^{0}) + \frac{1}{2} (3\rho_{00}^{0} - 1) \cos^{2} \vartheta \right)$  $-\sqrt{2}\operatorname{Re}\rho_{10}^{0}\sin 2\vartheta\cos\varphi-\rho_{1-1}^{0}\sin^{2}\vartheta\cos 2\varphi\right)$  $I^{1}(\Omega) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left(\rho_{11}^{1} \sin^{2}\vartheta + \rho_{00}^{1} \cos^{2}\vartheta\right)$  $-\sqrt{2}\operatorname{Re}\rho_{10}^{1}\sin 2\vartheta\cos\varphi-\rho_{1-1}^{1}\sin^{2}\vartheta\cos 2\varphi\right)$ 

$$I^{2}(\Omega) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left( \sqrt{2} \operatorname{Im} \rho_{10}^{2} \sin 2\vartheta \sin \varphi + \operatorname{Im} \rho_{1-1}^{2} \sin^{2} \vartheta \sin 2\varphi \right).$$

Vincent Mathieu

### Data: GlueX, PRC108 (2023) Model: JPAC, *PRD*97 (2018)

![](_page_9_Figure_7.jpeg)

2-Meson Photoproduction 10

![](_page_9_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Moment Expansion

Five independent variables:  $s, t, m, \Omega = (\theta, \phi)$ 

### (Production amplitudes) x decay

$$A_{\lambda,\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(s,t,m,\Omega) = \sum_{\ell,m} T_{\lambda,m,\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(s,t) F(m) Y_{\ell}^m(\Omega)$$

Intensity is amplitude squared

$$I(\Omega) = \sum_{L,M} H^0(LM) Y_{\ell}^m(\Omega)$$

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

 $\pi$ 

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

**NSTAR conference** 

# Moment Expansion

Five independent variables:  $s, t, m, \Omega = (\theta, \phi)$  $E_{\gamma} = 3.4 \text{ GeV} \text{ and } t = -0.95 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

Vincent Mathieu

Data: CLAS, PRD80 (2009) 072005

Model: JPAC, arXiv:2406.08016

**NSTAR conference** 

![](_page_11_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Polarized Moment Expansion**

$$I(\Omega, \Phi) = I^0(\Omega) - P_\gamma \cos 2\Phi \ I^1(\Omega) - P_\gamma \sin \theta$$

(Production amplitudes) x decay

$$A_{\lambda,\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(s,t,m,\Omega) = \sum_{\ell,m} T_{\lambda,m,\lambda_1,\lambda_2}(s,t) F(m) Y_{\ell}^m(\Omega)$$

$$I^{0,1,2}(\Omega) = \sum_{L,M} H^{0,1,2}(LM) Y_{\ell}^{m}(\Omega)$$

Different moments probe different quadratic form of amplitudes

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

 $\pi^0$ 

![](_page_12_Figure_8.jpeg)

# **Polarized Moment Expansion**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

Vincent Mathieu

### From A. Thiel (GlueX data)

**NSTAR conference** 

![](_page_13_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

$$W(\theta, \phi, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d\sigma}{dt} \frac{3}{4\pi} \left\{ \rho_{33}^0 \sin^2 \theta + \rho_{11}^0 \left( \frac{1}{3} + \cos^2 \theta \right) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \operatorname{Re} \rho_{31}^0 \sin 2\theta \cos \phi - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \operatorname{Re} \rho_{3-1}^0 \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \right. \\ \left. - P_{\gamma} \cos 2\Phi \left[ \rho_{33}^1 \sin^2 \theta + \rho_{11}^1 \left( \frac{1}{3} + \cos^2 \theta \right) - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \operatorname{Re} \rho_{31}^1 \sin 2\theta \cos \phi - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \operatorname{Re} \rho_{3-1}^1 \sin^2 \theta \cos 2\phi \right] \\ \left. - P_{\gamma} \sin 2\Phi \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \left[ \operatorname{Im} \rho_{31}^2 \sin 2\theta \sin \phi + \operatorname{Im} \rho_{3-1}^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin 2\phi \right] \right\}.$$

Vincent Mathieu

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

**NSTAR conference** 

# **Polarized Spin Density Matrix Elements**

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Vincent Mathieu

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

### **NSTAR conference**

# **Polarized Spin Density Matrix Elements**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Good agreement... up to signs!

 $\rho_{31}^{0,1,2}$  have opposite sign

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### 2-Meson Photoproduction 17

![](_page_16_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Polarized Spin Density Matrix Elements**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

Change sign of  $\rho - p - \Delta$  and  $b_1 - p - \Delta$  couplings

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

Data from GlueX, to appear soon

Model from JPAC, PLB779 (2018)

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

**NSTAR conference** 

![](_page_17_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

Two meson photoproduction are physics-rich reactions

If a single resonance dominates -> SDME If not —> Moment expansion

Extracting weak (exotic) signal require deep understanding of production mechanism

Need models to understand data

Fruitful collaboration between CLAS/GlueX and JPAC

Still of a lot work on formalism, models, data analyses,... to do

### Meson spectroscopy

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

### Baryon spectroscopy

![](_page_18_Figure_14.jpeg)

**NSTAR conference** 

![](_page_18_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_18.jpeg)

Understand joined SDME / joined Moments

Main decay channel of the  $\pi_1$  is  $b_1\pi$ 

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

Which observables with polarized target

How can they help?

Vincent Mathieu

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_9.jpeg)

2-Meson Photoproduction 20

![](_page_19_Picture_12.jpeg)