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## The light baryon $\left(N^{*}, \Delta\right)$ spectrum in the Constituent Quark Model

- Quarks confined into colorless hadrons

mesons

baryons
- Description by first principle QCD and constituent Quark Models:
- Blue lines: expected states
- Yellow/orange
 boxes: observations


## The light baryon spectrum: experimental status



- Lowest lying $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ and $\Delta^{*}$ resonances
- 1.3-2 GeV mass range: second resonant region
- Overlapping states in the same mass region
- Broad widths (short lifetimes)
- Shared decay modes
- Most of the available information from pion/kaon beams experiments
- Missing states: too small couplings with mesons
- How to disentangle each signal and spot missing resonances?
- Difficult task if based only on the measurement of cross-sections
- Use new approaches: analysis of polarization observables (additional information: spin)
- Perform precision measurements in as many reactions as possible


## $N^{*} / \Delta^{*}$ in photoproduction reactions

- Photon induced reaction could favor the formation of missing resonances which might couple strongly to the $\gamma \mathrm{N}$ vertex
$\gamma$ reactions not studied extensively in the past - lack of good enough (energy/intensity) photon beams

Dominant contributions to the "second resonant region": doublepion and $\eta$ channels

- Double-pion photoproduction: good tool to investigate this mass region

Photonuclear cross sections


## Photoproduction of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs off protons (unpolarized)

## E. Golovatch (CLAS) PL B788 (2019), 371

Measurement of $9 \times 1$-fold differential cross sections of the $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p$ reaction in the $(1.6,2) \mathrm{GeV}$ range
$\triangleright \quad$ Attempt to reproduce the cross-sections using the JM17 meson-baryon reaction model

- Reasonable description
- A PWA fit provides the intermediate resonances contributions \& parameters
- Intermediate channels: $\pi^{-} \Delta^{++}, \pi^{+} \Delta^{0}, p \rho^{0}, \pi^{-} \pi^{+} p$ direct production, $\pi^{+} N(1530) 3 / 2^{-}, \pi^{+} N(1685)$ 5/2+
- Extraction of masses, widths, photocouplings
- (new) Excited states required in the model:
- $\quad \mathbf{N}(\mathbf{1 4 4 0}) \mathbf{1 / 2} \mathbf{2}^{+}, \boldsymbol{N}(1520) \mathbf{3 / 2}, N(1535) 1 / \mathbf{2}^{-}$, $N(1650) 1 / 2^{-}, N(1680) 5 / 2^{-}, N^{\prime}(1720) 3 / 2^{+}$, $\boldsymbol{N}(\mathbf{2 1 9 0}) \mathbf{7 / 2}$
- $\Delta(1620) 1 / 2^{-}, \Delta(1700) 3 / 2^{-}, \Delta(1905) 5 / 2^{+}$, $\Delta(1950) 7 / 2^{+}$


Photoproduction of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs from protons
with circularly polarized beam
Photoproduction of $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$pairs from protons
with circularly polarized beam

## S. Strauch et al. (CLAS) PLR95 (2005), 162003

$\triangleright$ CLAS data: $1.35<\mathrm{W}<2.30 \mathrm{GeV}$

- Missing resonances predicted to lie in the region $\mathrm{W}>1.8$ GeV
- Circularly polarized photon beam, no polarization specified for target and recoil proton $\triangleright$ First measurement of beam-helicity asymmetry
distributions as a function of the helicity angle: First measurement of beam-helicity asymmetry
distributions as a function of the helicity angle:

$$
I^{\odot}=\frac{1}{P_{\gamma}} \frac{\sigma^{+}-\sigma^{-}}{\sigma^{+}+\sigma^{-}}
$$

- Odd trend in all W sub-ranges
- Compared with models based on electroproduction of doublecharged pions including a set of quasi-two body intermediate states (Mokeev et al.):
 distibution as a function of the helity angle:
$\qquad$
- 

[^0]

## Experimental method - polarized beam and target

- CLAS-g14 data taking (2011-2012): circularly polarized photon beam with momentum up to $2.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{c}$ interacting on a cryogenic HD longitudinally polarized target
- Beam: circularly polarized photons by bremsstrahlung from a longitudinally polarized electron beam (>85\%) through a gold foil radiator
- Circular: $\uparrow / \downarrow$ ( 960 Hz flip frequency)
- Energy dependent $\gamma$ polarization


$$
\delta_{\odot}=P_{e l} \frac{4 x-x^{2}}{4-4 x+3 x^{2}}
$$

- Target: "brute-force + aging" polarization method (< 30\%)
- Longitudinal (along beam direction): $\Rightarrow / \Leftarrow$
- Fixed in different data-sets
- Protons + neutrons



## Study of polarization observables in the $\vec{\gamma} \vec{N} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} N$ reaction



$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d x_{i}}=\sigma_{0}\left\{\left(1+\Lambda_{z} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{z}}\right)+\delta_{\odot}\left(\mathbf{I}^{\odot}+\Lambda_{z} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{z}^{\odot}\right)\right\}
$$

- Differential cross-section expressed as a function of polarization observables, weighted by the amount of beam $\delta_{\odot}$ and/or target $\Lambda$ polarization
- The trend of the polarization observables depends on the resonance content in a given energy range
- Polarization observables are bilinear combinations of partial amplitudes (Roberts, Oed PRC71 (2005), 0552001): very sensitive to interference effects


## Polarization observables extraction

Problem: extract from the number of collected events the $I^{\odot}, P, P^{\odot}$ observables as a function of the $\Phi$ azimuthal angle in the helicity reference system, in W energy ranges

- Related to differential cross-section asymmetries
- Depending on the relative beam/target spin configurations
- Two data sets with opposite target $(\Rightarrow / \Leftarrow)$ polarizations needed (with proper normalization)
- Each data-set contains both helicities


## Polarization asymmetries in $\varphi_{\text {hel }}$ bins

$$
\frac{d \sigma}{d x_{i}}=\sigma_{0}\left\{\left(1+\Lambda_{z} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{z}}\right)+\delta_{\odot}\left(\mathbf{I}^{\odot}+\Lambda_{z} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{z}^{\odot}\right)\right\}
$$

$\triangleright$ This equation (Roberts et al., PRC 718(2005), 055201) can be split in four depending on the orientation of beam helicity and target polarization (along z)
$\triangleright$ Two data sets with opposite target polarization need to be used (properly normalized)
$\triangleright \quad$ The system of equations can be solved analytically extracting, in every $\varphi$ bin, $I^{\odot}, P_{z}, P_{z}^{\odot}$ and $\sigma_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{\text {exp }}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}=\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~L} \varepsilon\left\lfloor 1+\Lambda_{z} P_{z}+\delta_{\odot}\left(I_{\odot}+\Lambda_{z} P_{z}^{\odot}\right)\right] \\
& N_{\text {exp }}^{\leftarrow}=\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~L} \varepsilon\left[1+\Lambda_{z} P_{z}-\delta_{\odot}\left(I_{\odot}+\Lambda_{z} P_{z}^{\odot}\right)\right] \\
& N_{\text {exp }}^{\rightarrow \leftarrow}=\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~L} \varepsilon\left\lfloor 1-\Lambda_{z} P_{z}+\delta_{\odot}\left(I_{\odot}-\Lambda_{z} P_{z}^{\odot}\right)\right] \\
& N_{\text {exp }}^{\leftarrow \Leftarrow}=\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{0} \mathrm{~L} \varepsilon\left\lfloor 1-\Lambda_{z} P_{z}-\delta_{\odot}\left(I_{\odot}-\Lambda_{z} P_{Z}^{\odot}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\odot}=\frac{\frac{N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}-N_{1}^{\leftarrow \Rightarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 1}}+\frac{\Lambda_{z 1}}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{L_{\text {eff } 1}}{L_{\text {eff } 2}} \cdot \frac{N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}-N_{2}^{\leftarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 2}}}{\left(N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}+N_{1}^{\leftarrow \Rightarrow}\right)+\frac{\Lambda_{z 1}}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 1}}{L_{\text {eff } 2}}\left(N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}+N_{2}^{\leftarrow \Leftarrow}\right)} \\
& P_{z}^{\odot}=\frac{1}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\frac{N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}-N_{1}^{\leftarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 1}}-\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\text {eff } 1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 2}} \cdot \frac{N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}-N_{2}^{\leftarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 2}}}{\left(N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}+N_{1}^{\leftarrow \Rightarrow}\right)+\frac{\Lambda_{z 1}}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 2}}\left(N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}+N_{2}^{\leftarrow \Leftarrow}\right)} \\
& P_{z}=\frac{1}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\left(N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}+N_{1}^{\leftarrow \Rightarrow}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\text {eff } 1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 2}} \cdot\left(N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}+N_{2}^{\leftarrow \Leftarrow}\right)}{\left(N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}+N_{1}^{\leftarrow \Rightarrow}\right)+\frac{\Lambda_{z 1}}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{Leff1}^{L_{\text {eff } 2}}\left(N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}+N_{2}^{\leftarrow \Leftarrow}\right)}{}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Data selection - exclusive $\vec{\gamma} \vec{p} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-} p$ reaction

| Description | Cut |
| :---: | :---: |
| Particle multiplicity | negative, 2 positives |
| Time coincidence | Time coincidenœ between: 1 proton, $1 \pi^{+}, 1 \pi^{-}$ |
| $2 \pi p$ z-vertex in HD target | $-9.5<z_{\text {vertex }}<-5.8 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
|  | $p_{\pi^{ \pm}} / \sqrt{p_{\pi^{2}}^{2} p_{m}+\left(m_{\pi}-80[\mathrm{MeV}]\right)^{2}} \leq \beta_{\pi^{ \pm}}^{\text {orr }} \leq p_{\pi^{ \pm}} / \sqrt{p_{\pi^{ \pm}}^{2}+\left(m_{\pi}+80[\mathrm{MeV}]\right)^{2}}$ |
| $2 \pi p$ pId: $\beta_{\text {corr }}$ | $p_{p} / \sqrt{p_{p}^{2}+\left(m_{p}-200[\mathrm{MeV}]\right)^{2}} \leq \beta_{p}^{\text {orr }} \leq p_{p} / \sqrt{p_{p}^{2}+\left(m_{p}+200[\mathrm{MeV}]\right)^{2}}$ |
|  | $\left\|\Delta\left(\beta_{p}\right)\right\|<0.08$ |
| $2 \pi p$ pId: $\|\Delta \beta\|$ | $p_{\pi^{ \pm}} \leq 500[\mathrm{MeV} / c]:\left\|\Delta\left(\beta_{\pi^{ \pm}}\right)\right\|<0.08$ |
|  | $p_{\pi^{ \pm}} \geq 500[\mathrm{MeV} / c]:\left\|\Delta\left(\beta_{p)^{ \pm}}\right)\right\|<0.2$ |
| Missing mass for proton pId | $\pi^{+} \& \& \pi^{-} \& \& p$ within fiducial volume |
| Total missing mass | $0.824 \leq \mathrm{m} \cdot \mathrm{m} \cdot\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-}\right) \leq 1.052\left[\mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}\right]$ |
| Fermi momentum | m.m. $\left(\pi^{+} \pi^{-} p\right)<0\left[\mathrm{GeV} / c^{2}\right]$ |
| Coplanarity | $p_{F}<100 \mathrm{MeV} / c$ |



Particle ID for $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$and $p$ based on TOF
Further selection on ( $\pi^{+} \pi^{-}$) missing mass to identify the proton


Total missing mass cut


Missing momentum cut: reject reactions without spectator at rest


Coplanarity cut for pion pairs

## Experimental data: empty target subtraction

- Selection of events from the HD target: fiducial cut in $r$ and $z$
- The events selected in the fiducial volume of the target contain the contribution from the target walls (unpolarized)
- Empty target subtraction needed
- Relative normalization of different runs: height of Kel-F wall peak
- Subtraction with empty-target runs
- Events in the Kel-F peak also used for relative luminosity normalizations between different data sets


Set w/ positive target polarization


Set w/ negative target polarization


- Inputs: azimuthal angular distributions ( $\varphi_{\text {hel }}$ )
- Bin by bin: number of events selected with
- given helicity (positive/negative in the same data set)
- given target polarization (in different data sets)
- selection in W energy ranges ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{MeV}$ wide window)
- counts to be properly normalized between different data sets
- Slight differences when selecting different combinations of helicities/target polarization: physics!
preliminary


Evaluation of experimental beam-helicity asymmetries E*

- E* can be extracted from all available data samples (with similar experimental conditions)
- For each data set:

$$
E^{*}=\frac{1}{\delta_{\odot}} \frac{N^{+}-N^{-}}{N^{+}+N^{-}}
$$

The $\mathrm{E}^{*}$ values agree with previous measurements with polarized beam only (blue points) - Gigantic systematic errors (grey bars) from the spread of values obtained with different data sets - to be refined!

Blue points from S. Strauch et al., CLAS Coll., PRL95 (2005), 162003


## Again on the observables extraction

Recall: two data sets needed to extract the polarization observables

- Each has its own normalization (i.e. luminosity)
- Each data set was acquired with a given trigger (which might have different efficiency)
- Each data set is characterized by a different acceptance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\odot}=\frac{\frac{N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}-N_{1}^{\leftarrow \Rightarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 1}}+\frac{\Lambda_{z 1}}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 2}} \cdot \frac{N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}-N_{2}^{\leftarrow \leftarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 2}}}{\left(N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}+N_{1}^{\leftarrow \leftrightarrows}\right)+\frac{\Lambda_{z 1}}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 1}}{L_{\text {eff } 2}}\left(N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}+N_{2}^{\leftarrow \Leftarrow}\right)} \\
& P_{z}^{\odot}=\frac{1}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\frac{N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}-N_{1}^{\leftarrow} \Rightarrow}{\delta_{\odot 1}}-\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\text {eff } 1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 2}} \cdot \frac{N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}-N_{2}^{\leftarrow}}{\delta_{\odot 2}}}{\left(N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}+N_{1}^{\leftarrow \Rightarrow}\right)+\frac{\Lambda_{z 1}}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 2}}\left(N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}+N_{2}^{\leftarrow \Leftarrow}\right)} \\
& P_{z}=\frac{1}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\left(N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}+N_{1}^{\leftarrow \Rightarrow}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{L}_{\text {eff } 1}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff } 2}} \cdot\left(N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}+N_{2}^{\leftarrow \Leftarrow}\right)}{\left(N_{1}^{\rightarrow \Rightarrow}+N_{1}^{\leftarrow \Rightarrow}\right)+\frac{\Lambda_{\text {z1 }}}{\Lambda_{z 2}} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{~L}_{\text {eff }}}{\mathrm{L}_{\text {eff } 2}}\left(N_{2}^{\rightarrow \Leftarrow}+N_{2}^{\leftarrow \Leftarrow}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{\triangleright} \quad L_{e f f 1} / L_{e f f 2}$ is extracted from the data based on the assumption of the equality of $\left(\frac{d \sigma}{d \Omega}\right)_{0}$ in all data taking periods

## Preliminary results - $I^{\odot}$ on proton

- According to general symmetry principles $I^{\odot}$ is expected to be an odd function of the helicity angle
- It depends only on the ratio of target polarization amounts
- The trend is in reasonable agreement with the earlier observations by CLAS based on a different data-set (E* with unpolarized target)
- Counts are acceptance corrected

Blue points from S. Strauch et al., CLAS Coll., PRL 95 (2005), 162003


## Preliminary results $-P_{z}$ on proton

- No other results available for comparisons: first results ever
- $\quad P_{z}$ expected to be odd based on partial amplitudes symmetry
- Vanishing at zero angle: coplanarity condition
- When the helicity angle is oriented in the bottom hemisphere a sign flip occurs in Roberts' equations and, consequently, in the parity of the solutions
- Improvingly symmetric odd trend with W increase
- The lack of left/right symmetry in some bins could be due to instrumental biases (different acceptance for forward/backward hemispheres, unprecise assessment of target polarization, ...)








## Preliminary results $-\boldsymbol{P}_{z}{ }^{\odot}$ on proton

No other results available for comparisons: first results ever $P_{z}{ }^{\ominus}$ expected to be even based on partial amplitudes symmetry $P_{z}{ }^{\circ}$ does not show a clear-cut symmetry

- Statistical uncertainties mostly obtained from the error propagation of the system solutions - small extent overall of target polarization (23\% max.)
- Including systematic uncertainties (work in progress expected <20\%) most probably the trend will become consistent with zero








## Summary and outlook

- The study of polarization observables in double-pion photoproduction with polarized beam and target is a novel tool to extract information about the baryonic spectrum
- $\gamma \mathrm{p}$ channel
- Extraction of results for all compatible data sets pairs underway, to deliver final averages (problem: data-sets are badly correlated! Only one set with negative target polarization)
- Final evaluation of systematics in progress
- Outlook: $\gamma \mathrm{n}$ channel - in progress
- Same data analysis chain used for $\gamma \mathrm{p}$ to be applied to the $\pi^{+} \pi^{-n}(\mathrm{p})$ final state
- Use the same W binning and overall analysis approach
- The interpretation of results in terms of partial amplitudes contributions calls for new models to reproduce the new observables suitably updating the interference patterns
- So far, none of the available reaction models agrees satisfactorily with the extracted asymmetries


## Backup slides

## Photoproduction of $\pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ pairs from protons and neutrons

## M. Oberle et al. (CB, TAPS \& A2 @MAMI) PLB271 (2013), 237

- Beam-helicity asymmetries in double- $\pi^{0}$ production on $\mathrm{LH}_{2} / \mathrm{LD}_{2}$ target (free $p+$ quasi-free $p \& n$ ) with circularly polarized photons up to 1.4 GeV @MAMI
- $\quad{ }^{\ominus}$ evaluated through cross-section asymmetries
- Identical beam-helicity asymmetry measured for free and quasi-free protons; very similar results from neutrons
- Expected up to the second resonance region (W < 1.6 GeV )
- Surprising at larger energies due to difference resonances produced
- Reasonable reproduction of $\rho^{\ominus}$ trend by Bonn-Gatchina and two-pion MAID models (much worse for Valencia), at least up to the second resonance region

$I^{\odot}(\varphi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \sin (n \varphi)$

quasi-free n


## Photoproduction of $\pi^{0} \pi^{ \pm}$pairs from protons and neutrons

M. Oberle et al. (CB, TAPS \& A2 @MAMI) EPJ A (2014), 50

- Beam-helicity asymmetries in double mixed-charge $\pi$ production on $\mathrm{LH}_{2} / \mathrm{LD}_{2}$ target (free $p+$ quasi-free $p$ \& n) with circularly polarized photons up to 1.4 GeV @MAMI
- Sensitive channels to $\rho^{ \pm}$production effects
- More background-populating channels compared to $2 \pi^{0}$
$\triangleright \quad I^{\ominus}$ evaluated through cross-section asymmetries ordering particles by charge and by mass
- Good agreement between measurements on free and quasi-free proton, reasonable with quasi-free neutrons
- Worse agreement with models compared to $2 \pi^{0}$, especially at higher energies:
- more contributions from mixed charge channels, call to finer tuning of models
- Two-pions MAID model behaves better, overall
- Beam-helicity asymmetries are very sensitive to interference terms





## Photoproduction of $\pi^{0} \pi^{0}$ pairs off protons

## V. Sokhoyan (CB@ELSA/TAPS) EPJ A51 (2015), 95

$\triangleright \quad$ The double- $\pi^{0}$ production is suitable to investigate the $\Delta(1232) \pi$ intermediate channel

- Less channels contribute compared to the charged pion channel, especially to the non resonant background

- Diffractive $\rho$ production
- Dissociation of the proton into $\Delta^{++} \pi^{-}$
- $\pi$ exchange is not possible
- Use of real linearly polarized photons (ELSA) from 600 MeV to 2500 MeV : access to the $4^{\text {th }}$ resonance region
- Extraction of:
- total cross section
- PWA of the Dalitz plot
- Beam-helicity asymmetries for double- $\pi^{0}$ production on the proton
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