

UPPSALA UNIVERSITET **STINT** The Swedish Foundation for International Cooperation in Research and Higher Education





## Hyperon structure with BESIII

#### - strange and complex



14th International Workshop on the Physics of Excited Nucleons, York, UK, June 17-21 2024 Prof. Dr. Karin Schönning, Uppsala University



## Outline

- Prologue
- Electromagnetic Form Factors
- Recent results from BESIII
  - Cross sections and effective form factors
  - Spin analyses
- Summary





## Prologue

Strong interactions manifest in *e.g.* hadron structure and size  $\rightarrow$  quantities at the femtometer scale!

**Protons:** several independent techniques applicable → rapid progress the last decade!

Now: Precision instrument to understand the strong interaction!



Picture credit: J. Zhou (Duke U.), NuPECC LRP draft



Picture cred. Y-H Lin, U. Bonn



### Prologue

The proton is insanely stable ( $\tau > 10^{34}$  y). What about the less stable neutron ( $\tau \sim 15$  min)?

Electron scattering data + lattice QCD:

The asymmetric distribution of negative *d* quarks and the positive *u* quark results in a negative squared charge radius.\*







#### Next step: Hyperons

**Question:** How does the heavy strange and charm quarks affect the strong interaction dynamics?



**Challenge:** Hyperons are unstable!

**Proton:**  $\tau > 10^{34}$  y

**Neutron:** τ ~ 15 min

Strange hyperons:  $\tau \sim 10^{-10}$  s

**Charm hyperons:**  $\tau \sim 10^{-13}$  s





#### Next step: Hyperons

**Question:** How does the heavy strange and charm quarks affect the strong interaction dynamics?



**Challenge:** Hyperons are unstable!

**Proton:**  $\tau > 10^{34}$  y

**Neutron:** τ ~ 15 min

Strange hyperons:  $\tau \sim 10^{-10}$  s

**Charm hyperons:**  $\tau \sim 10^{-13}$  s

**Solution:** Time-like Electromagnetic form factors!



#### **Electromagnetic Form Factors**

- Probed in hadron photon interactions
- Analytic functions of momentum transfer  $q^2$
- Quantify the deviation from point-like behaviour.







#### Space-like vs. time-like FF's



Cred. E. Perotti, PhD thesis  $(UU_{2020}^{8})$ 



#### Space-like vs. time-like FF's



Cred. E. Perotti, PhD thesis  $(UU_{2020}^9)$ 



#### Space-like form factors

- Number of EMFFs =  $2J+1 \rightarrow \text{spin } \frac{1}{2}$  baryons have 2.
- Sachs FFs: the electric  $G_E$  and magnetic  $G_M$ 
  - Charge radius:  $< r_E^2 > = 6 \frac{dG_E(q^2)}{dq^2}|_{q^2=0}$
  - Magnetic radius:  $\langle r_M^2 \rangle = \frac{6}{G_M(0)} \frac{dG_M(q^2)}{dq^2} |_{q^2=0}$





#### Time-like form factors

- Related to space-like EMFFs via dispersion relations.
- Are complex:
  - $G_{E}(q^{2}) = |G_{E}(q^{2})| \cdot e^{i\Phi_{E}} , \quad G_{M}(q^{2}) = |G_{M}(q^{2})| \cdot e^{i\Phi_{M}}$
  - Ratio  $R = \frac{|G_E(q^2)|}{|G_M(q^2)|}$  accessible from baryon scattering angle.
  - $\Delta \Phi(q^2) = \Phi_M(q^2) \Phi_E(q^2) =$  phase between  $G_E$  and  $G_M$
  - Phase a reflection of intermediate fluctuations of the  $\gamma^*$  into *e.g.*  $\pi\pi$  or  $\pi\pi\pi$



→ Polarises final state!

Picture credit: Elisabetta Perotti, PhD Thesis, Uppsala U. (2020)



#### Advantage of hyperons

Polarisation experimentally accessible by the weak, parity violating decay:

Example:

$$I(\cos\theta_{\rm p}) = N(1 + \alpha_{\Lambda} P_{\Lambda} \cos\theta_{\rm p})$$





#### Nucleon versus hyperon EMFFs

Asymptotic behaviour as  $|q^2| \rightarrow \infty$ : SL ~TL

- Nucleons: SL and TL accessible.
- Hyperons: Only TL accessible, but also phase! Should be a scale  $q_{asy}^2$  where  $SL = TL \leftrightarrow \Delta \Phi(q^2) \rightarrow o$

Zero crossings: How many times do the FFs cross zero? - Information about SL from the TL behaviour!



## The **BES**III experiment

- Study  $e^+e^- \rightarrow B\overline{B}$ , where  $B = p, n, \Lambda, \Sigma, \Xi, \Lambda_c^+$
- Beijing Electron Positron Collider (BEPC II):
  - $e^+e^-$  collider within 2.0 4.95 GeV.
  - Optimised in the
    - $\tau$ -charm region.







#### The Beijing Spectrometer (BESIII)

- Near  $4\pi$  coverage
- Tracking, PID, Calorimetry
- Broad physics scope see *e.g.* talks by Xiaoyan Shen & Xiaorong Zhou







#### $B\overline{B}$ production in BESIII

**Energy Scan** 



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow B\overline{B}$ 

- Simple final state
- "Simple" formalism → Straight-forward to analyse
- Requires dedicated data campaigns

#### Initial State Radiation (ISR)



 $e^+e^- \to e^+e^-\gamma_{ISR} \to \gamma_{ISR} B\bar{B}$ 

- ISR photon tagged or untagged
- Effective cross section much smaller than in direct  $e^+e^- \rightarrow B\overline{B}$
- Possible to benefit from large data samples collected at *e.g.*  $J/\Psi$  16



#### Production cross sections

- Energy dependence give information about the quark dynamics through
  - The effective form factor:  $G_{eff} \propto \sqrt{\sigma}$
  - Di-quark correlations
  - Coupling to vector mesons
  - Coupling to  $B\overline{B}$  bound states
- Convenient quantity for studies of
  - Protons and (semi-) stable neutrons
  - Small hyperon data samples





#### Proton and Neutron EMFFs

Energy dependence of effective form factor:

- $G_{eff} = G_0 + G_{osc}$  $G_0$  : Dipole-like behaviour
- *G*osc: Oscillating behaviour

BESIII: Oscillations for *p* and *n* have same frequency but different phase:

$$\Delta D = D_p - D_n = 125^{\circ} \pm 12^{\circ}$$



SND: Smaller frequency for neutron oscillations.



#### **BESIII proton EMFFs:**

Phys. Rev. D 91, 112004 (2015) Phys. Rev. D 99, 092002 (2019) Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 042001 (2020) Phys. Lett. B 817, 136328 (2021) **BESIII neutron EMFFs:** BESIII, Nature Phys. 17, p 1200–1204 (2021) BESIII, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 151905 (2023) **SND:** Eur. Phys. J. C (2022) 828 761



#### Single-strange hyperons

Diquark correlations in baryons?

- The  $\Sigma^{o}$  has isospin 1 whereas  $\Lambda$  has isospin o
  - Different isospin in the *ud* diquark for  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma^{o}$ 
    - $\rightarrow$  Difference in cross section and form factors expected.\*
- In  $\Sigma^+$ , the *uu* should have same spin structure as the *ud* in  $\Lambda$ .

Similar cross sections expected.\*



\*Dobbs et al.,: Phys. Lett. B 739, 90 (2014)



- $\Lambda/\Sigma^+$   $G_{eff}$  similar as expected from diquark correlations.<sup>\*,\*\*,\*\*\*</sup>
- $\Sigma^+/\Sigma^-$  cross section ratio ~ 9<sup>\*\*</sup>





100

50

0

\*arXiv: 2308.03361v1

Cross section (pb)

#### **New:** $\Sigma^0 \Lambda$ Transition Form Factor\*

- Probed in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \overline{\Lambda} + c.c.$ •
- More precise than BaBar ISR data. •

 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Sigma} + c.c.$ 

BABAR

 $\sqrt{s}$  (GeV)

This work

 $\Lambda \bar{\Sigma}^0$  threshold

Fit with pQCD

limina

3

- Slightly larger cross section • measurements than BaBar.
- Plateau near threshold. ٠

2.5







- ISR method applied on 12  $fb^{-1}$  of data between 3.773 GeV and 4.258 GeV\*.
- The  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \overline{\Lambda}$  cross section measured in 16 energy points between 2.231 GeV and 3.0 GeV.
- Cross section enhancement at threshold, observed by BaBar and BESIII, confirmed.



## Production of $\Lambda$ at high $q^2$



•  $\Lambda\overline{\Lambda}$  production near vector charmonia\*,\*\*

•  $BR(\Psi \rightarrow \Lambda\overline{\Lambda}) > 10$  times  $\frac{1}{4}$ larger than assumed in previous studies by CLEO-c\*\*\*.





\* BESIII: Phys. Rev. D 104, L091104 (2021)
\*\* BESIII: Phys. Rev. D 105, L011101 (2022)
\*\*\* Dobbs *et al.*: Phys. Rev. D 96, 092004 (2017); Phys. Lett. B 739, 90 (2014)



## Single-charm $\Lambda_c^+$ baryons

BESIII energy scans published in 2018\* and 2023\*\*

- Very precise cross section measurements
- First direct measurement of  $\Lambda_c^+$  form factors
- Sharp rise in cross section near threshold





Angular distributions enable extraction of ratio  $R = |G_E/G_M|$  of  $\Lambda_c^+$  near threshold\* and away from threshold\*\*.



BESIII: \*Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 132001 (2018) \*\* Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 191901 (2023)



## Single-charm $\Lambda_c^+$ baryons

#### Energy dependence of $R = |G_E/G_M|^*$ :

- Described by monopole model + damped oscillations
  - $\rightarrow$  Oscillation frequency ~3.5 times larger than for the proton





#### $\Lambda_c^* \Lambda_c$ transition EMFF

- $\Lambda_c^*(2595)$  and  $\Lambda_c^*(2625)$  studied in  $e^+e^- \to \Lambda_c^*\overline{\Lambda}_c + c.c.$
- Transition described by 3 form factors:  $G_E$ ,  $G_M$  and  $G_C$ .
- Access to  $\frac{|G_E|^2 + 3|G_M|^2}{|G_C|^2}$  through  $\Lambda_C^*$  angular distribution.





Schönning, Batoszkaya, Adlarson & Zhou, Chin. Phys. C 47 052002 (2023)



#### Spin Analysis



Consider  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \overline{Y}Y, Y \rightarrow BM + c.c$ 







#### UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

### Spin Analysis

θ

e

\*Fäldt & Kupsc, PLB 772 (2017) 16.

 $( heta_2, arphi_2)$ 

 $e^+$ 

 $\pi^+$ 

**Production** parameters of spin <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> baryons:

- Angular distribution parameter  $\eta = \frac{\tau R^2}{\tau + R^2}$  where  $\tau = q^2/4M_B^2$
- Phase  $\Delta \Phi$

**Decay** parameters for 2-body decays:  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ . If CP symmetry,  $\alpha_1 = -\alpha_2 = \alpha$ **Unpolarized part Polarised part Correlated part** 

$$W(\xi) = F_0(\xi) + \eta F_5(\xi) + \alpha^2 (F_1(\xi) + \sqrt{1 - \eta^2} \cos(\Delta \Phi) F_2(\xi) + \eta F_6(\xi)) + \alpha \sqrt{1 - \eta^2} \sin(\Delta \Phi) (F_3(\xi) + F_4(\xi))$$

 $\mathscr{T}_0(\xi) = 1$ 

- $\mathscr{T}_1(\xi) = \sin^2\theta \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \cos\phi_1 \cos\phi_2 + \cos^2\theta \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2$
- $\mathscr{T}_{2}(\xi) = \sin\theta\cos\theta(\sin\theta_{1}\cos\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{1} + \cos\theta_{1}\sin\theta_{2}\cos\phi_{2})$
- $\mathscr{T}_3(\xi) = \sin\theta\cos\theta\sin\theta_1\sin\phi_1$
- $\mathscr{T}_4(\xi) = \sin\theta\cos\theta\sin\theta_2\sin\phi_2$
- $\mathscr{T}_5(\xi) = \cos^2 \theta$

 $\mathscr{T}_6(\xi) = \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 - \sin^2\theta \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \sin\phi_1 \sin\phi_2$ 



#### First complete measurement of $\Lambda$ EMFF

• New BESIII data at 2.396 GeV with 555 exclusive  $\overline{\Lambda}\Lambda$  events in sample.

$$- R = |G_E/G_M| = 0.96 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$$

- $\Delta \Phi = 37^o \pm 12^o \pm 6^o$
- $-\sigma = 118.7 \pm 5.3 \pm 5.1 \text{ pb}$

BESIII: Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 122003 (2019)

31

- Most **precise** result on *R* and  $\sigma$
- **First** conclusive result on  $\Delta \Phi$







#### Theory interpretation

Dispersive calculations by Mangoni, Pacetti & Tomasi-Gustafsson\*:

• Few data points  $\rightarrow$  ambiguous solution

 $\rightarrow$  scenarios for phase value at  $q_{th}^2$  and  $q_{asy}^2$ 



Picture credit: \*Mangoni et al., Phys. Rev. D 104, 116016 (2021)



Fit by Mangoni *et al.* \* of data from \*\* and \*\*\* to different phase scenarios  $\rightarrow$  extraction of charge radius!



\*Mangoni *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 104, 116016 (2021) \*\*BESIII: Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 122003 (2019) \*\*\*BaBar: Phys. Rev. D 76, 092006 (2007)



#### New: Energy dependent $\Lambda$ and $\Sigma^+$ Spin Analysis

- Utilizes scan data collected in 2015.
- Combines **double-tag** and **single-tag** data.







#### **New:** Energy-dependent Λ Spin Analysis

Five data points within 2.386 < q < 3.08 GeV.



• The ratio  $R = \left| \frac{G_E(q^2)}{G_M(q^2)} \right|$  fairly constant and consistent with 1.

• Rapid (~ 90°) change of the phase  $\Delta \Phi$  between q~2.4 GeV and 2.6 GeV.





### **New:** $\Sigma^+$ Spin Analysis

- Energy dependence of R and  $\Delta \Phi$  in three different points\*
  - Double-tag  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \overline{\Sigma}^- \rightarrow p \pi^0 \overline{p} \pi^0$  at 2.64 GeV and 2.9 GeV
  - Single-tag  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^+ \overline{\Sigma}^- \rightarrow p\pi^0 X + c. c.$  at 2.396 GeV
    - $\rightarrow \Delta \Phi$  / 180°  $\Delta \Phi$  ambiguity
- Better precision in *R* than before\*\*.
- Comparison with  $Y\overline{Y}$  potential model \*\*\*.







Also at  $q = M(J/\Psi)$ , the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \overline{\Lambda} + c.c.$  process is predominantly **electromagnetic** (b, c), since

- Strong processes (a) are suppressed by  $\frac{m_d m_u}{a} \sim 10^{-3}$  due to isospin violation.
- Ratio between cross section at  $J/\Psi$  and at the continuum in agreement with expectations from EM processes, and with other EM transitions such as  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ .

At the  $J/\Psi$  mass, the cross section is enhanced by vacuum polarization

\*BESIII, arXiv[hep-ex]:2309.04139 (2023)



Also at  $q = M(J/\Psi)$ , the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Sigma^0 \overline{\Lambda} + c.c.$  process is predominantly **electromagnetic** (b, c), since

- Strong processes (a) are suppressed by  $\frac{m_d m_u}{a} \sim 10^{-3}$  due to isospin violation.
- Ratio between cross section at  $J/\Psi$  Enables extraction of EMFFs! expectations from EM processes, and with other EM transitions such as  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ .

At the *J*/Yields high precision! enhanced by vacuum polarization

\*BESIII, arXiv[hep-ex]:2309.04139 (2023)



# **New:** First complete measuement of the $\Sigma^0 \Lambda$ Transition EMFFs

High-precision EMFF measurement:

 $-R = |G_E/G_M| = 0.860 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.010$ -  $\Delta \Phi_1(\bar{\Lambda}\Sigma^0) = 1.011 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.010$  rad -  $\Delta \Phi_2(\Lambda \bar{\Sigma}^0) = 2.128 \pm 0.094 \pm 0.010$  rad



CP test:  $\Delta \Phi_{CP} = |\pi - (\Delta \Phi_1 + \Delta \Phi_2)| = 0.003 \pm 0.133 \pm 0.014$  rad





#### Summary

- Hadron structure is a tool to understand the strong interaction.
- Time-like form factors most viable structure function for hyperons.
- Many new results from the BESIII experiment
  - single- and double strange hyperons
  - charm baryons
- Spin polarised and correlated hyperon-antihyperon pairs provide information about space-like structure *e.g.* charge radius.
- More data collected  $\rightarrow$  STAY TUNED !!!





## Thanks for your attention!









## Backup



## CP tests with **BES**II

- **Polarised** and **entangled** hyperon-antihyperon pairs enable CP tests in hyperon decays
- **Sequentially decaying** multi-strange and charm hyperons enable
  - Production- and decay parameters
- A **combination** of the two approaches enables separation of strong and weak decay phases

 $\rightarrow$  More sensitive CP tests!









# **New:** CP tests in $\Xi$ decays into neutral and charged final state baryons



\*Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 101801 (2023)

TABLE I. The production and decay asymmetry parameters, the weak- and strong-phase differences from  $\Xi^-$  decay, the tests of *CP* symmetry, and the ratios of decay asymmetry parameters,  $\alpha_{\Lambda 0}/\alpha_{\Lambda-}$  and  $\bar{\alpha}_{\Lambda 0}/\alpha_{\Lambda+}$ . The first and second uncertainties are statistical and systematic, respectively.

Parameters	This work	Previous result
$\overline{\alpha_{J/\psi}}$	$0.611 \pm 0.007 ^{+0.013}_{-0.007}$	$0.586 \pm 0.012 \pm 0.010$ [18]
$\Delta \Phi_{J/\psi}$ (rad)	$1.30 \pm 0.03^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$	$1.213 \pm 0.046 \pm 0.016$ [18]
$\alpha_{\Xi}$	$-0.367 \pm 0.004 \substack{+0.003 \\ -0.004}$	$-0.376 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.003$ [18]
$\phi_{\Xi}$ (rad)	$-0.016\pm0.012\substack{+0.004\\-0.008}$	$0.011 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.009$ [18]
$\bar{a}_{\Xi}$	$0.374 \pm 0.004 \substack{+0.003 \\ -0.004}$	$0.371 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.002$ [18]
$\bar{\phi}_{\Xi}$ (rad)	$0.010\pm 0.012^{+0.003}_{-0.013}$	$-0.021 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.007$ [18]
$\alpha_{\Lambda-}$	$0.764 \pm 0.008 \substack{+0.005 \\ -0.006}$	$0.7519 \pm 0.0036 \pm 0.0024 \ [37]$
$\alpha_{\Lambda+}$	$-0.774 \pm 0.009 \substack{+0.005 \\ -0.005}$	$-0.7559 \pm 0.0036 \pm 0.0030$ [37]
$\alpha_{\Lambda 0}$	$0.670 \pm 0.009 \substack{+0.009 \\ -0.008}$	$0.75 \pm 0.05$ [29]
$\bar{lpha}_{\Lambda 0}$	$-0.668 \pm 0.008^{+0.006}_{-0.008}$	$-0.692 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.006$ [17]
$\delta_P - \delta_S$ (rad)	$0.033 \pm 0.020 \substack{+0.008 \\ -0.012}$	$-0.040 \pm 0.033 \pm 0.017$ [18]
$\xi_P - \xi_S$ (rad)	$0.007 \pm 0.020 \substack{+0.018 \\ -0.005}$	$0.012 \pm 0.034 \pm 0.008$ [18]
$A_{CP}^{\Xi}$	$-0.009\pm0.008^{+0.007}_{-0.002}$	$0.006 \pm 0.013 \pm 0.006$ [18]
$\Delta \phi_{CP}^{\Xi}$ (rad)	$-0.003 \pm 0.008 \substack{+0.003 \\ -0.007}$	$-0.005 \pm 0.014 \pm 0.003$ [18]
$A_{CP}^{-}$	$-0.007\pm0.008^{+0.002}_{-0.003}$	$-0.0025 \pm 0.0046 \pm 0.0012 \ [37]$
$A^0_{CP}$	$0.001 \pm 0.009 \substack{+0.005 \\ -0.007}$	
$A^{\Lambda}_{CP}$	$-0.004\pm0.007^{+0.003}_{-0.004}$	
$\alpha_{\Lambda 0}/lpha_{\Lambda -}$	$0.877 \pm 0.015 \substack{+0.014 \\ -0.010}$	$1.01 \pm 0.07$ [29]
$\bar{\alpha}_{\Lambda 0}/\alpha_{\Lambda +}$	$0.863 \pm 0.014^{+0.012}_{-0.008}$	$0.913 \pm 0.028 \pm 0.012$ [17]





# **New:** CP tests in Σ decays into neutrons

- Polarised and entangled  $\Sigma^+ \overline{\Sigma}^-$  pairs  $J/\Psi$  decays\*
- Select events where  $\Sigma^+ \to n\pi^+$ ,  $\overline{\Sigma}^- \to \overline{p}\pi^0$  or c.c.
- First CP precision test of any hyperon decaying into a neutron.

 $\pi^{-}(\pi^{0})$ 

¥ n(p)

• Decay parameters  $\alpha_+$  ( $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow n\pi^+$ ) and  $\bar{\alpha}_-$  ( $\bar{\Sigma}^- \rightarrow \bar{n}\pi^-$ ) measured.

• 
$$A_{CP} = \frac{\alpha_+ + \overline{\alpha}_-}{\alpha_+ + \overline{\alpha}_-} = 0.080 \pm 0.052 \pm 0.028$$

\*Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 191802 (2023)

 $\widetilde{\phi_p}(\phi_n)$ 

 $\theta_p(\theta_n) \neq p(n)$ 

5Ш

)(n)





# CP tests, world data



#### **BESIII:**

Nature Phys. **15**, p 631-634 (2019) Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 052004 (2020) Nature 606, 64-69 (2022) Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 131801 (2022) Phys. Rev. D 108, L031106 (2023)

Belle:

Sci. Bull. 68, 583-592 (2023)

HyperCP:

Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 262001, 2004.



#### **New:** The $\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p\gamma$ decay



Most precise measurement so far.

 $4.2\sigma$  lower than previous world average.

Hara's theorem:

Parity violating amplitudes vanish in the limit of SU(3) flavour symmetry.



UPPSALA UNIVERSITET

#### Theory Interpretation of Λ EMFFs

Theoretical study of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Y\overline{Y}$  by Haidenbauer, Meissner and Dai<sup>\*</sup>

- $Y\overline{Y}$  potentials derived using ChEFT with  $\overline{p}p \rightarrow \overline{Y}Y$  data from PS185.
- Spin-dependent observables much more sensitive to the  $Y\overline{Y}$  potential.
- Fairly good agreement with BESIII data.







- $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^-\overline{\Xi}^+$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Xi^0\overline{\Xi}^0$  studied for the first time.
- Possible resonance around 3 GeV.

