

3-PARTICLE INTERACTIONS ON THE LATTICE

University of Bonn | The George Washington University



Heisenberg-Programm

DFG Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

NSF: PHY-2012289 – DOE: DE-SC0016582/83 – DFG: CRC 110, MA 7156/3-1

MAXIM MAI



- Many known states have large 3-body content
 - ► N(1440)
 - ► $a_1(1260), a_1(1420)?$
 - ► X(3872)
- Beyond Standard Model searches (τ -EDM/...)
- Exotic states of matter^[1]

HADRON SPECTRUM









Experimental input

- many high-precision experiments^[2] \rightarrow line-shapes
 - ▶ resonances ↔ increased interaction rates
 - modulo reaction-type
 - ► modulo kinematic singularities^[3]





З







Experimental input

- many high-precision experiments^[2] \rightarrow line-shapes
 - resonances *«* increased interaction rates
 - modulo reaction-type
 - modulo kinematic singularities^[3]



4

- S-matrix theory: *transition amplitude* $T(E \in \mathbb{C})$
 - Unitarity/Analyticity/Crossing symmetry
 - Poles on unphysical Riemann Sheets

RESONANCE PARAMETER



 $\operatorname{Re} E/\operatorname{GeV}$



- S-matrix theory: *transition amplitude* $T(E \in \mathbb{C})$
 - Unitarity/Analyticity/Crossing symmetry
 - Poles on unphysical Riemann Sheets

RESONANCE PARAMETER



 $\operatorname{Re} E/\operatorname{GeV}$

Im E/GeV

0.00



- S-matrix theory: *transition amplitude* $T(E \in \mathbb{C})$
 - Unitarity/Analyticity/Crossing symmetry
 - Poles on unphysical Riemann Sheets

RESONANCE PARAMETER



 $\operatorname{Re} E/\operatorname{GeV}$

Im E/GeV





- S-matrix theory: *transition amplitude* $T(E \in \mathbb{C})$
 - Unitarity/Analyticity/Crossing symmetry
 - Poles on unphysical Riemann Sheets

RESONANCE PARAMETER



- S-matrix theory: *transition amplitude* $T(E \in \mathbb{C})$
 - Unitarity/Analyticity/Crossing symmetry
 - Poles on unphysical Riemann Sheets

RESONANCE PARAMETER

Symmetries (CHPT,...)

Lattice QCD Tridge (Midland, MI/USA)

TRANSITION AMPLITUDE

INTRICACIES OF THE H3BP

Hadronic 3-body problem

- goal: transition probabilities $\leftrightarrow T(E \in \mathbb{C})$
- challenges:
 - continuum of two-body scattering states^[1]
 - ► 8 kinematic degrees of freedom
 - complex branch cuts^[2] triangle singularities, left hand cuts, ...

[1] Faddeev, [FIG] Schmid/Ziegelmann Pergamon Press 1974 [2] Hetherington/Schick/Coleman ... e.g. Lutz et al. PRD 92 (2015) 1, Du et al PRL. 131 (2023) 13, ...

- express 3-body through a 2+1 system^[2]
- generic building blocks

- express 3-body through a 2+1 system^[2]
- generic building blocks

[1] MM/Hu/Döring/Pilloni/Szczepaniak Eur.Phys.J.A 53 (2017) [2] Related approaches: Hansen/Sharpe(2014)....; Wunderlich et al. JHEP 08 (2019); Jackura et al. Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019); Weinberg Phys.Rev. 131 (1963)

- express 3-body through a 2+1 system^[2]
- generic building blocks

[1] MM/Hu/Döring/Pilloni/Szczepaniak Eur.Phys.J.A 53 (2017)
 [2] Related approaches: Hansen/Sharpe(2014)....; Wunderlich et al. JHEP 08 (2019); Jackura et al. Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019); Weinberg Phys.Rev. 131 (1963)

- express 3-body through a 2+1 system^[2]
- generic building blocks

what does it have to do with Lattice QCD?

[1] MM/Hu/Döring/Pilloni/Szczepaniak Eur.Phys.J.A 53 (2017) [2] Related approaches: Hansen/Sharpe(2014)....; Wunderlich et al. JHEP 08 (2019); Jackura et al. Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019); Weinberg Phys.Rev. 131 (1963)

FINITE-VOLUME SPECTRUM

S-matrix, phenomenology, experiment...

IVU^[1]
$$T = B + C + \int \frac{d^3 \ell}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(B+C)}{2E_l} \frac{1}{\tilde{K}_n^{-1} - \Sigma} T$$

3-body scattering amplitude

 [1] MM/Hu/Döring/Pilloni/Szczepaniak Eur.Phys.J.A 53 (2017)
 [2] Lüscher, Gottlieb, Rummukainen, Feng, Li, Döring, Briceño, Meißner, Rusetsky, Hansen, MM, Blanton, ... Reviews: Briceno/Dudek/Young Rev.Mod.Phys. 90 (2018) 2 Hansen/Sharpe Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 69 (2019); MM/Doring/Rusetsky Eur.Phys.J.ST 230 (2021); [3] MM/Döring Eur.Phys.J.A 53 (2017) 12, 240

FINITE-VOLUME SPECTRUM

S-matrix, phenomenology, experiment...

IVU^[1]
$$T = B + C + \int \frac{d^3 \ell}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(B+C)}{2E_l} \frac{1}{\tilde{K}_n^{-1} - \Sigma} T$$

3-body scattering amplitude

[1] MM/Hu/Döring/Pilloni/Szczepaniak Eur.Phys.J.A 53 (2017) [2] Lüscher, Gottlieb, Rummukainen, Feng, Li, Döring, Briceño, Meißner, Rusetsky, Hansen, MM, Blanton, ... Reviews: Briceno/Dudek/Young Rev.Mod.Phys. 90 (2018) 2 Hansen/Sharpe Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 69 (2019); MM/Doring/Rusetsky Eur.Phys.J.ST 230 (2021); [3] MM/Döring Eur.Phys.J.A 53 (2017) 12, 240

Lattice QCD: numerical access to QCD Green's functions:

Euclidean space-time / unphysical pion mass / finite-volume

FINITE-VOLUME SPECTRUM

S-matrix, phenomenology, experiment...

IVU^[1]
$$T = B + C + \int \frac{d^3 \ell}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(B + C)}{2E_l} \frac{1}{\tilde{K}_n^{-1} - \Sigma} T$$

3-body scattering amplitude

[1] MM/Hu/Döring/Pilloni/Szczepaniak Eur.Phys.J.A 53 (2017)

[2] Lüscher, Gottlieb, Rummukainen, Feng, Li, Döring, Briceño, Meißner, Rusetsky, Hansen, MM, Blanton, ... Reviews: Briceno/Dudek/Young Rev.Mod.Phys. 90 (2018) 2 Hansen/Sharpe Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 69 (2019); MM/Doring/Rusetsky Eur.Phys.J.ST 230 (2021); [3] MM/Döring Eur.Phys.J.A 53 (2017) 12, 240

Lattice QCD: numerical access to QCD Green's functions:

Euclidean space-time / unphysical pion mass / finite-volume

On-shell particles "feel" the box size $-B, \Sigma$ Volume-independent quantities $-C, \tilde{K}^{-1}$

3-body amplitude/3-body quantization conditions

- spectator can carry arbitrary momentum away
- integral/determinant equation
- cutoff required (form factors, hard cutoff,...)

$$0 = \det \left[2L^3 E \left(\tilde{K}_n^{-1} - \Sigma \right) - B - C \right]_{\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}}$$

 \tilde{C} [fit to E_0]

3-body amplitude/3-body quantization conditions

- spectator can carry arbitrary momentum away
- integral/determinant equation
- cutoff required (form factors, hard cutoff,...)

Example: $\pi \rho / \pi (\pi \pi)_2$ system^[1]

- change cutoff & refit C to a fixed LQCD spectrum
- $C(\Lambda)$ shows cyclic behaviour^[2]
- 3-body force is not an observable

APPLICATIONS

Maximal isospin

- Formalism development / feasibility studies^[1]
- several LQCD calculations^[2]
- 3-body force extraction (vs CHPT...)^[3]

[1] Blanton, Draper, Briceño, Döring, Guo, Hammer, Hansen, MM, Meißner, Müller, Pang, Polejaeva, Romero-López, Rusetsky, Sharpe... [2] NPLQCD/GWQCD/Horz-Hanlon/HadSpec

[3] MM/Döring PRL122 (2019) Romero-López et al. PRL 124(2020) Culver et al PRD 101 (2020) Alexandru et al. PRD 102 (2020) Hansen et al. PRL 126(2021) Blanton et al. JHEP (2022) Draper JHEP 05 (2023)

Reviews: Hansen/Sharpe(2019) MM/Döring/Rusetsky(2021)

[4] Garofalo et al. JHEP 02(2023)

[5] MM, Alexandru, Brett, Culver, Döring, Lee, Sadasivan PRL127, 222001 (2021)

3-MESON SYSTEMS

Maximal isospin

- Formalism development / feasibility studies^[1]
- several LQCD calculations^[2]
- 3-body force extraction (vs CHPT...)^[3]

Resonant system

- Formalisms comparison on ϕ^4 -theory [4]
- $a_1(1260)$ from Lattice QCD [5]

[3] MM/Döring PRL122 (2019) Romero-López et al. PRL 124(2020) Culver et al PRD 101 (2020) Alexandru et al. PRD 102 (2020) Hansen et al. PRL 126(2021) Blanton et al. JHEP (2022) Draper JHEP 05 (2023)

Reviews: Hansen/Sharpe(2019) MM/Döring/Rusetsky(2021)

[4] Garofalo et al. JHEP 02(2023)

[5] MM, Alexandru, Brett, Culver, Döring, Lee, Sadasivan PRL127, 222001 (2021)

3-MESON SYSTEMS

ROPER N(1440) — FINITE VOLUME

Talks: U. Thoma/D. Leinweber

CHANNELS

Simplified pilot study^[1]

- Self-energy formalism via particle-dimer Lagrangian
 - no particle-exchange diagrams

Predict finite-volume spectrum for fixed parameters

- \rightarrow tiny energy shifts (opposing effects $N\sigma \leftrightarrow \pi\Delta$ channels)
- phenomenological input necessary

ROPER N(1440) — FINITE VOLUME

Talks: U. Thoma/D. Leinweber **CHANNELS**

ROPER N(1440) — FINITE VOLUME

Simplifie Meson electroproduction off the proton

Self-energy formalism via particle-dimer Lagrangian

xchange diagrams

Predict finite-volume spectrum fixed parameters

 e^{-}

 \blacksquare tiny energy shifts (opposing effects $N\sigma \leftrightarrow \pi\Delta$ channels)

phenomenological input necessary

ROPER N(1440) — FINITE VOLUME

Simplifie Meson electroproduction off the proton

Self-energy formalism via particle-dimer Lagrangian

change diagrams

Predict finite-volume spectrum fixed parameters

 e^{-}

 \blacksquare tiny energy shifts (opposing effects $N\sigma \leftrightarrow \pi\Delta$ channels)

phenomenological input necessary

- Plenty of data (also upcoming)
- Formalism for extracting resonance parameters Jülich-Bonn-Washingtion (jbw.phys.gwu.edu/)

ArXiv:2404.17444v2

 \rightarrow Talk by M. Döring (Thursday)

Tridge (Midland, MI/USA)

THANK YOU

Finite-volume three-body formalism

- Sb quantization condition
- first chiral trajectories of 3b-resonances

•

OUTLOOK

- $\odot \pi \pi N$ content of Roper-resonance
 - ... connections to DCC global studies
- $\pi\pi\Lambda$ and strangeness resonances (?)
- Kd scattering

HILBERT'S HOTEL

BLUEPRINT — $a_1(1260)$

INPUT[']

TRANSITION AMPLITUDES

[1] Schael [ALEPH] Phys.Rept. 421 (2005); Nucl.Phys.B 79; Phys.Rev.D 7; [GWQCD] PRD94(2016) PRD98 (2018) PRD 100(2019) [2] Sadasivan/MM/Döring/Alexandru/Culver/Lee Phys.Rev.D 101 (2020); MM/Culver/Sadasivan/Brett/Döring/Alexandru/Lee [GWQCD] PRL 127 (2021) other phenomenological determinations: JPAC/....

OUTPUT^[2]

BLUEPRINT — $a_1(1260)$

TRANSITION AMPLITUDES

[1] Schael [ALEPH] Phys.Rept. 421 (2005); Nucl.Phys.B 79; Phys.Rev.D 7; [GWQCD] PRD94(2016) PRD98 (2018) PRD 100(2019) [2] Sadasivan/MM/Döring/Alexandru/Culver/Lee Phys.Rev.D 101 (2020); MM/Culver/Sadasivan/Brett/Döring/Alexandru/Lee [GWQCD] PRL 127 (2021) other phenomenological determinations: JPAC/....

OUTPUT^[2]

Global analysis (bird's view)

- many experimental data & ongoing experiments
 - ▶ @MAMI, @ELSA, @JLAB,...
 - $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi(\pi) N, \eta N, K \Lambda \dots$
- Jülich-Bonn-Washington^[1,2] DCC

 \rightarrow Roper has very unusual $f(W, Q^2)$: $\pi\pi N$ effect(?)

 \rightarrow Transition form-factors^[3]

[1] [JBW] MM et al. Phys.Rev.C 103 (2021) 6; Phys.Rev.C 106 (2022) 015201; Eur.Phys.J.A 59 (2023) 12; jbw.phys.gwu.edu/ [2] Related approaches MAID/SAID/Gent/ÁNLOsaka [3] Wang/MM/... in progress

ROPER N(1440) — PHENOMENOLOGY

ANL-Osaka model.

PRELIMINARY

- Theory frontier: NNLO UCHPT determination^[1]
- Consistently two poles, but the second pole is less well known
 - second pole below KbarN threshold
 - line-shape only through $\gamma p \rightarrow K \pi \Sigma^{[2]}$

. . .

$APPLICATION: a_1(1260)$

- $\pi \rho$ dynamics dominates the 1-(1++) system
- Integral equation solved
 - Helicity formalism
 - complex momentum mapping
- $\pi \rho / \pi \sigma / \pi (\pi \pi)_2$ extended...

Finite-volume unitarity (FVU^[1])

- heavily simplified:
 - on-shell particle-configurations: $\Delta E \sim mL$
 - off-shell particle-configurations: $\Delta E \sim e^{-mL}$
- Unitary 3-body amplitude separates these effects
- unknown volume independent quantities (K, C)

$$0 = \det \left[2L^3 E \left(\tilde{K}_n^{-1} - \Sigma \right) - B - C \right]_{\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}}$$

CUTOFF DEPENDENCE1

- ③ 3-body amplitude = genuine integral equation
 - spectator can carry arbitrary momentum away
 - cutoff required (form factors, hard cutoff,...) $0 = \det \left[2L^3 E \left(\tilde{K}_n^{-1} - \Sigma \right) - B - C \right]_{\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}}$

$$B(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{s_{\rm on}} + i\epsilon}$$

- energy eigenvalues change slower than $\Delta E \sim e^{-mL}$
- one-particle exchange falls off not rapidly enough

CUTOFF DEPENDENCE1

- ③ 3-body amplitude = genuine integral equation
 - spectator can carry arbitrary momentum away
 - cutoff required (form factors, hard cutoff,...) $0 = \det \left[2L^3 E \left(\tilde{K}_n^{-1} - \Sigma \right) - B - C \right]_{\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}}$

$$B(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{s_{\rm on}} + i\epsilon}$$

Consider fixed C, K then increase hard cutoff

... over-subtract OPE

$$B(\sqrt{s}) = B(0) + B'(0)\sqrt{s} + \frac{s}{s_{\text{on}}} \frac{N}{2E_{p+p'}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{s} - \sqrt{s_{\text{on}}}}$$

• energy eigenvalues change as $\Delta E \sim e^{-mL}$

U-CHANNEL IN THE $\Lambda((1405))$

- New insights^[1] from LQCD [next talk]
 - confirming two-pole scenario
- Chiral extrapolations (through UCHPT)^[2]
 - u-channel baryon exchange may complicate the picture (3-body)
 - sub-leading effect

Re[pole]/MeV

$$\{1, 8_{\rm s}, 8_{\rm a}, 10, \overline{10}, 27\}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\pi\Sigma\rangle \\ |\bar{K}N\rangle \\ |\eta\Lambda\rangle \\ |K\Xi\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{40}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{15} & -\sqrt{24} & 0 & -1 \\ -\sqrt{10} & -2 & \sqrt{20} & -\sqrt{6} \\ -\sqrt{5} & -\sqrt{8} & 0 & 3\sqrt{3} \\ \sqrt{10} & 2 & 2\sqrt{5} & \sqrt{6} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |1\rangle \\ |8\rangle \\ |8'\rangle \\ |27\rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \{1, 8, 8', 27\}.$$

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{NLO1}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{3}(3b_0 + 7b_D)m_q & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{2}{3}(6b_0 + b_D)m_q & -\sqrt{20}b_Fm_q & 0\\ 0 & -\sqrt{20}b_Fm_q & 2(2b_0 + 3b_D)m_q & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4(b_0 + b_D)m_q \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{NLO2}} = \begin{pmatrix} -3d_2 + \frac{9}{2}d_3 + d_4 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(-3d_2 + d_3 + 2d_4) & -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}d_1 & 0\\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}d_1 & \frac{1}{2}(9d_2 - d_3 + 2d_4) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}(2d_2 + d_3 + 2d_4) \end{pmatrix}$$

NLO breaks accidental octet symmetry

Delta(1232):

- Large multipoles well determined
- simple Q² dependence

[JBW] MM et al. Phys.Rev.C 103 (2021) 6; Phys.Rev.C 106 (2022) 015201

LATTICE HADRON SPECTROSCOPY

- Experimentally inaccessible scenarios:
- Our Conventional quantum numbers
- Three-body scattering
- Our Control of Cont

Review: MM/Döring/Rusetsky EPJ ST (2021)

HADRONS IN A BOX

e Heavily simplified:

on-shell particle-configurations: $\Delta E \sim mL$

off-shell particle-configurations: $\Delta E \sim e^{-mL}$

Lüscher, Gottlieb, Rummukainen, Feng, Li, Döring, Briceño, Meißner, Rusetsky, Hansen, MM, Blanton, ...
 Reviews: Hansen/Sharpe Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 69 (2019); MM/Döring/Rusetsky Eur.Phys.J.ST 230 (2021);

Current frontier: 3-body dynamics from LQCD

➡ 3-body Quantization Conditions¹

RFT / FVU / NREFT

many perturbatively interacting systems are studied²

1) Rusetsky, Bedaque, Grießhammer, Sharpe, Meißner, Döring, Hansen, Davoudi, Guo.... **Reviews**:

Hansen/Sharpe Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. 69 (2019);

MM/Döring/Rusetsky Eur.Phys.J.ST 230 (2021);

2) MM/Döring PRL122(2019); Blanton et al. PRL 124 (2020); Hansen et al. PRL 126 (2021);

$$0 = \det\left(L^3\left(\tilde{F}/3 - \tilde{F}(\tilde{K}_2^{-1} + \tilde{F} + \tilde{G})^{-1}\tilde{F}\right)^{-1} + K_{\rm df},\right)$$

$$0 = \det \left(B_0 + C_0 - E_L \left(K^{-1} / (32\pi) + \Sigma_L \right) \right)$$
 FV

AVOIDED LEVEL CROSSING

Variate $g(\varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_0 \varphi_0 \varphi_0)$ coupling:

• avoided level crossing becomes wider

	Inc Stranger						
	a	m_1	c_0	c_1	m_1'	c_0'	c_1'
FVU	-0.1512(9)	3.0229(1)	-0.0188(35)	_	_	_	_
\mathbf{RFT}	-0.1522(12)	-	_	_	3.0232(2)	31.6(8.4)	_
FVU	-0.1569(12)	3.0233(2)	-0.0297(57)	2.29(38)	_	_	_
\mathbf{RFT}	-0.1571(10)	۲ ^μ	—	_	3.0237(2)	37.6(9.0)	2789(540
FVU	-0.1521(11)	3.0205(2)	-0.0475(66)	_	_	_	_
RFT	-0.1531(13)	—	_	_	3.0212(3)	80(14)	_
FVU	-0.1549(16)	3.0205(2)	-0.0595(99)	0.93(41)	_	_	_
RFT	-0.1563(27)	-	_	_	3.0213(3)	97(16)	1773(980
FVU	-0.1444(11)	3.0184(2)	-0.1136(77)	_	_	_	_
RFT	-0.1450(17)	—	_	_	3.0199(2)	178(17)	_
FVU	-0.1464(14)	3.0183(2)	-0.1363(148)	0.84(39)	_	_	_
RFT	-0.1484(16)	—	—	—	3.0200(2)	210(23)	2227(600
, in the second s							

... same fit quality

... observables determined consistently

Pole positions

- FVU: complex energy-plane analysis¹
 - --resonance width grows ~ g^2
 - -- avoided level crossing gap >> width
- Similarly from RFT with Breit-Wigner like approximation

