Scanning Beam Monitor Sensitivities for the MOLLER Experiment Andrew M. Gunsch HIGS2023 Jefferson Lab May 30 - June 16, 2023 • Newport News, VA Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 👀 Jefferson Lab

Outline

- MOLLER Overview
 - The MOLLER Experiment
 - The MOLLER Apparatus
- Scattered Beam Monitors
 - LAMs
 - o SAMs
 - Sensitivity to Magnetic Fields
 - Sensitivity to Beam Position





2

RGINIA

TECH

The MOLLER Experiment

 e^{-p_1}

 \mathbf{p}_{2}

ē

e

Seeks to obtain an ultra-precise measurement of the **Weak Mixing Angle** at low energy via the **parity-violating asymmetry** of elastic electron-electron (Møller) scattering.

 $\frac{\sigma_R - \sigma_L}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L}$

Measurement

Of a

Lepton-

Lepton

Electroweak

Reaction

Jefferson Lab

[3] 3 Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch

 $\mathbf{C}_{10}^{245} = \mathbf{R}_{10}^{245} \mathbf{R}_{10}^{25} \mathbf{R}_{1$

The MOLLER Apparatus: Overview



The MOLLER Apparatus: Target and Spectrometer

- Electrons in 11 GeV longitudinally polarized beam scatter off of electrons in the liquid hydrogen target
- Collimators and toroidal magnets define the azimuthal acceptance.

5



[4]



The MOLLER Apparatus: Spectrometry

- Collimator 1 catches the beam
- Collimator 2 defines acceptance of scattered electrons in seven sectors
- The magnet toroids pull the scattered electrons away from the beam



The MOLLER Apparatus: Spectrometry

- Seven "Open" sectors through which scattered particles may pass
- Seven "Closed" sectors which block scattered particles
 - Locations of toroidal magnetic coils
- Each Møller electron blocked by the closed sector will have a counterpart in the open sector
 - Complete azimuthal acceptance of Møller events





The MOLLER Apparatus: Detectors

8

- The scattered electrons drift into the detectors.
- The Large Angle Monitors (LAMs) and Small Angle Monitors (SAMs) are Scattered Beam Monitors



Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🔊

VIRGINIA TECH



Scattered Beam Monitors

- Rescattering particles can contribute to false asymmetries
- The Scattered Beam Monitors will watch these backgrounds in order to preserve the integrity of the Møller measurement.

9



Jefferson Lab

Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🔍





Large Angle Monitors (LAMs)

- LAM modules positioned in each of the seven Open Sectors.
- Detect particles that scatter at wide angles
- Current detector guartz geometry:
 - Inner radius 1031.5mm 0
 - Outer radius: 1196.5mm 0



[4]

LAM ring looking

downstream from target

Jefferson Lab

[6]

VIRGINIA TECH

Small Angle Monitors (SAMs)

- Eight SAM modules positioned symmetrically about the azimuth
- Current detector quartz geometry:
 - Inner radius: 50mm
 - Outer radius: 66mm
- <u>SAMs do not line up with the</u> <u>Open/Closed Sectors</u>





Sensitivity to Magnetic Fields

- The magnetic coils will not be perfectly aligned
- Simulations were performed for cases of misaligned magnetic fields
- Misaligned "Worst Case" magnetic field maps:
 - Conspiratorially misaligned fields: (i) & (iii)

12

• Realistically misaligned fields: (ii)





Histogram rootfiles generated by Devi L. Adhikari

Jefferson Lab





Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🕨

LAM Sensitivity to Magnetic Fields

LAM = Large Angle Monitor

The LAMs respond only weakly to a realistic misalignment of the coils.





SAM Sensitivity to Magnetic Fields

The SAMs respond dramatically to even a realistic misalignment of the coils.



SAM = Small Angle Monitor





Histogram rootfiles generated by Devi L. Adhikari

LAM = Large Angle Monitor SAM = Small Angle Monitor

Comparing LAMs and SAMs Percentage Differences

- LAM and SAM percent differences were plotted with respect to angular position on the azimuth
- The SAMs respond much more dramatically to a misaligned magnetic field than the LAMs.



VIRGINIA

TECH

Jefferson Lab



15

LAMs and SAMs - WorstCase 2

Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🕨

Sensitivity to Beam Position

- Simulations were also performed for the electron beam being offset by 1mm and 2mm in various directions
- It is unlikely that the beam would be offset any more than 2mm.

16



Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🔊



SAM Sensitivity to Beam Position (+/- 2mm)

-200

-150 -100 -50

0 50 100

150 200 x(mm)

Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 😡

SAM = Small Angle Monitor







Jefferson Lab

VIRGINIA TECH





17

SAM Sensitivity to Beam Position: Rates

SAM = Small Angle Monitor



- SAM #3:
 - greatest response in X
 - small response in Y



18



Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 😡



- SAM #7:
 - small response in X
 - small response in Y

Jefferson Lab

VIRGINIA TECH



Conclusion

- The SAMs are much more sensitive to changes in the experiment than the LAMs.
- These simulations did not take into account the physical limitations of the detector modules and signal processing.
- There have been many changes to the geometry of the simulated apparatus since these simulations were run, so they must be run again.

19

• There is much yet to be done in preparation for assembling the Scanning Beam Monitors and using them to watch for false asymmetries in the MOLLER Experiment.

Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🕨



References: Image Sources

[1] Czarnevski, A., Marcian, W. J. "Polarized Møller-violating electron scattering". Int. J. Mod. Phys. A. 15, 16 2365-2375 (2020).

[2] Kumar, K. S. "Parity-violating Møller scattering". AIP Conf. Proc. 1182 660-664 (2009).

[3] Particle Data Group. "Review of particle physics". Prog. of Theor. and Exp. Physics. 2020, 8, pp. 186 (2020).

[4] The MOLLER Technical Design Report (2022).

[5] The MOLLER Conceptual Design Report (2020).

20

[6] Adhikari, D. L. "Scattered Beam Monitors (SBM) and Scanner Detectors (SD)". MOLLER Collaboration Meeting, May 5-6 (2023).

Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🔊



Acknowledgements

- Research Group: Mark Pitt, Devi L. Adhikari, and Daniel Valmassei
- The MOLLER Collaboration
- Sakib Rahman ("Worst-Case" magnetic field maps)
- This research was supported by NSF Grants: 2013023, 2012995, 1714325

Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🕨

Jefferson Lab





21

Thank You For Listening! Any Questions?

22

 \bigcirc

Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🥨 Jefferson Lab

VZ VIRGINIA TECH

LAM Sensitivity to Beam Position (+/- 1mm)



HUGS 2023 May 30 - June 16, 2023 • Newport News, VA

23

Thurs. 06-15-2023 Andrew M. Gunsch 🥸

VIRGINIA TECH

LAM Sensitivity to Beam Position

