Quantum electrodynamics (QED)

based on S-58

Quantum electrodynamics is a theory of photons interacting with the electrons and positrons of a Dirac field:

$$\mathcal{L} = -rac{1}{4}F^{\mu
u}F_{\mu
u} + i\overline{\Psi}\partial\!\!\!/\Psi - m\overline{\Psi}\Psi + e\overline{\Psi}\gamma^{\mu}\Psi A_{\mu}$$

e = -0.302822 $\alpha = e^2/4\pi = 1/137.036$ Noether current of the lagrangian for a free Dirac field $j^{\mu}(x) = e\overline{\Psi}(x)\gamma^{\mu}\Psi(x)$

$$\partial_{\mu} j^{\mu}(x) = \delta \mathcal{L}(x) - \frac{1}{\delta \varphi_a(x)} \delta \varphi_a(x)$$

we want the current to be conserved and so we need to enlarge the gauge transformation also to the Dirac field:

$$A^{\mu}(x) \to A^{\mu}(x) - \partial^{\mu}\Gamma(x) ,$$

global symmetry is promoted into local

 $\Psi
ightarrow e^{-ilpha} \Psi$

 $\overline{\Psi}
ightarrow e^{+ilpha}\overline{\Psi}$

 $\Psi(x) \to \exp[-ie\Gamma(x)]\Psi(x) ,$

 $\overline{\Psi}(x) \to \exp[+ie\Gamma(x)]\overline{\Psi}(x)$.

symmetry of the lagrangian and so the current is conserved no matter if equations of motion are satisfied

We can write the QED lagrangian as:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + i\overline{\Psi}D\!\!\!/\Psi - m\overline{\Psi}\Psi$$

$$D_{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu}$$

covariant derivative

(the covariant derivative of a field transforms as the field itself) $\Psi(x) \rightarrow \exp[-ie\Gamma(x)]\Psi(x)$

$$D_{\mu}\Psi(x) \to \exp[-ie\Gamma(x)]D_{\mu}\Psi(x)$$

and so the lagrangian is manifestly gauge invariant!

Proof:

$$egin{aligned} D_{\mu}\Psi &
ightarrow \left(\partial_{\mu}-ie[A_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu}\Gamma]
ight) \Big(\exp[-ie\Gamma]\Psi
ight) \ &= \exp[-ie\Gamma] \Big(\partial_{\mu}\Psi-ie(\partial_{\mu}\Gamma)\Psi-ie[A_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu}\Gamma]\Psi\Big) \ &= \exp[-ie\Gamma] \Big(\partial_{\mu}-ieA_{\mu}\Big)\Psi \ &= \exp[-ie\Gamma]D_{\mu}\Psi \;. \end{aligned}$$

 $\Psi(x) \to \exp[-ie\Gamma(x)]\Psi(x)$ $D_{\mu}\Psi(x) \to \exp[-ie\Gamma(x)]D_{\mu}\Psi(x)$

We can also define the transformation rule for D:

$$D_{\mu} \rightarrow e^{-ie\Gamma} D_{\mu} e^{+ie\Gamma}$$

then

$$egin{aligned} D_{\mu}\Psi &
ightarrow \left(e^{-ie\Gamma}D_{\mu}e^{+ie\Gamma}
ight)\left(e^{-ie\Gamma}\Psi
ight) \ &= e^{-ie\Gamma}D_{\mu}\Psi \ , \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Now we can express the field strength in terms of D's:

 $D_{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} - ieA_{\mu}$

$$[D^{\mu}, D^{\nu}]\Psi(x) = -ieF^{\mu\nu}(x)\Psi(x)$$

$$F^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{e}[D^{\mu}, D^{\nu}]$$

 $F^{\mu
u} = rac{i}{e} [D^{\mu}, D^{
u}]$ $D_{\mu} o e^{-ie\Gamma} D_{\mu} e^{+ie\Gamma}$

Then we simply see:

$$\begin{split} F^{\mu\nu} &\to \frac{i}{e} \Big[e^{-ie\Gamma} D^{\mu} \, e^{+ie\Gamma}, e^{-ie\Gamma} D^{\nu} \, e^{+ie\Gamma} \\ &= e^{-ie\Gamma} \Big(\frac{i}{e} [D^{\mu}, D^{\nu}] \Big) e^{+ie\Gamma} \\ &= e^{-ie\Gamma} F^{\mu\nu} e^{+ie\Gamma} \\ &= F^{\mu\nu} \, . \end{split}$$

no derivatives act on exponentials

the field strength is gauge invariant as we already knew

Nonabelian symmetries

based on S-24

Let's generalize the theory of two real scalar fields:

$$\mathcal{L} = -rac{1}{2}\partial^{\mu}arphi_1\partial_{\mu}arphi_1 - rac{1}{2}\partial^{\mu}arphi_2\partial_{\mu}arphi_2 - rac{1}{2}m^2(arphi_1^2 + arphi_2^2) - rac{1}{16}\lambda(arphi_1^2 + arphi_2^2)^2$$

to the case of N real scalar fields:

$$\mathcal{L}=-rac{1}{2}\partial^{\mu}arphi_{i}\partial_{\mu}arphi_{i}-rac{1}{2}m^{2}arphi_{i}arphi_{i}-rac{1}{16}\lambda(arphi_{i}arphi_{i})^{2}$$

the lagrangian is clearly invariant under the SO(N) transformation: $\varphi_i(x) \to R_{ij} \varphi_j(x)$ orthogonal matrix with det = I $R^T = R^{-1}$

 $\det R = +1$

lagrangian has also the Z₂ symmetry, $\varphi_i(x) \rightarrow -\varphi_i(x)$, that enlarges SO(N) to O(N)

infinitesimal SO(N) transformation:

antisymmetric

antisymmetric

$$R^{T} = R^{-1}$$

$$R^{T}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \theta_{ji}$$

$$R^{T}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \theta_{ji}$$

$$R^{-1}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \theta_{ij}$$

🖈 real

 $Im(R^{-1}R)_{ij} = Im\sum R_{ki}R_{kj} = 0$ (N^2 linear combinations of $\lim_{n \to \infty} parts = 0$)

there are $\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)$ linearly independent real antisymmetric matrices, and we can write:

$$\theta_{jk} = -i\theta^a (T^a)_{jk}$$

hermitian, antisymmetric, NxN generator matrices of SO(N)

or $R = e^{-i\theta^a T^a}$

The commutator of two generators is a lin. comb. of generators:

$$[T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c$$

we choose normalization: $\operatorname{Tr}(T^aT^b) = 2\delta^{ab} \longrightarrow f^{abd} = -\frac{1}{2}i\operatorname{Tr}([T^a, T^b]T^d)$ structure constants of the SO(N) group

e.g. SO(3): $(T^a)_{ij} = -i\varepsilon^{aij}$ $[T^a, T^b] = i\varepsilon^{abc}T^c$ \uparrow Levi-Civita symbol consider now a theory of N complex scalar fields:

$$\mathcal{L}=-\partial^{\mu}arphi_{i}^{\dagger}\partial_{\mu}arphi_{i}-m^{2}arphi_{i}^{\dagger}arphi_{i}-rac{1}{4}\lambda(arphi_{i}^{\dagger}arphi_{i})^{2}$$

the lagrangian is clearly invariant under the U(N) transformation:

$$\varphi_i(x) o U_{ij} \varphi_j(x)$$

 $U^{\dagger} = U^{-1}$

group of unitary NxN matrices

we can always write $U_{ij} = e^{-i\theta} \widetilde{U}_{ij}$ so that $\det \widetilde{U} = +1$.

actually, the lagrangian has larger symmetry, SO(2N):

$$\varphi_{j} = (\varphi_{j1} + i\varphi_{j2})/\sqrt{2}$$

$$\varphi_{j}^{\dagger}\varphi_{j} = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi_{11}^{2} + \varphi_{12}^{2} + \dots + \varphi_{N1}^{2} + \varphi_{N2}^{2})$$

SU(N) - group of special unitary NxN matrices $U(N) = U(I) \times SU(N)$ infinitesimal SU(N) transformation: $\tilde{U}_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - i\theta^a (T^a)_{ij} + O(\theta^2)$ $\tilde{U}_{ij} = e^{-i\theta^a T^a}.$ $\tilde{U}_{ij} = e^{-i\theta^a T^a}.$ $\tilde{U}_{ij} = e^{-i\theta^a T^a}.$ $\tilde{U}_{ij} = e^{-i\theta^a T^a}.$

there are N^2-1 linearly independent traceless hermitian matrices: $[T^a, T^b] = if^{abc}T^c$ $Tr(T^aT^b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}$ the structure coefficients are $f^{abc} = 2\varepsilon^{abc}$, the same as for SO(3)

Nonabelian gauge theory

based on S-69

Consider a theory of N scalar or spinor fields that is invariant under:

$$\phi_i(x) \to U_{ij}\phi_j(x)$$

for SU(N): a special unitary NxN matrix for SO(N): a special orthogonal NxN matrix

In the case of U(1) we could promote the symmetry to local symmetry but we had to include a gauge field $A_{\mu}(x)$ and promote ordinary derivative to covariant derivative:

$$egin{aligned} \phi(x) & o U(x) \phi(x) & U(x) &= \exp[-ie\Gamma(x)] & D_\mu &= \partial_\mu - ieA_\mu \ D_\mu & o U(x) D_\mu U^\dagger(x) \end{aligned}$$

then the kinetic terms and mass terms: $-(D_{\mu}\varphi)^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\varphi$, $m^{2}\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi$, $i\overline{\Psi}D\Psi$ and $m\overline{\Psi}\Psi$, are gauge invariant. The transformation of covariant derivative in general implies that the gauge field transforms as:

$$A_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow U(x)A_{\mu}(x)U^{\dagger}(x) + \frac{i}{e}U(x)\partial_{\mu}U^{\dagger}(x)$$

for U(1): $A_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow A_{\mu}(x) - \partial_{\mu}\Gamma(x)$

Now we can easily generalize this construction for SU(N) or SO(N):

an infinitesimal SU(N) transformation:

$$U_{jk}(x) = \delta_{jk} - ig\theta^{a}(x)(T^{a})_{jk} + O(\theta^{2})$$
generator matrices
(hermitian and traceless):

$$[T^{a}, T^{b}] = if^{abc}T^{c}$$

$$Tr(T^{a}T^{b}) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}$$
structure constants

(completely antisymmetric)

the SU(N) gauge field is a traceless hermitian NxN matrix transforming as:

$$egin{aligned} A_{\mu}(x) &
ightarrow U(x) A_{\mu}(x) U^{\dagger}(x) + rac{i}{g} U(x) \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger}(x) \ U(x) &= \exp[-ig\Gamma^a(x)T^a] \end{aligned}$$

the covariant derivative is:

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - igA_{\mu}(x)$$

or acting on a field:

NxN identity matrix

$$(D_{\mu}\phi)_{j}(x) = \partial_{\mu}\phi_{j}(x) - igA_{\mu}(x)_{jk}\phi_{k}(x)$$

using covariant derivative we get a gauge invariant lagrangian

We define the field strength (kinetic term for the gauge field) as:

$$F_{\mu
u}(x) \equiv rac{i}{g} [D_{\mu}, D_{
u}]$$
 a new term
= $\partial_{\mu}A_{
u} - \partial_{
u}A_{\mu} - ig[A_{\mu}, A_{
u}]$

it transforms as:

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) \to U(x)F_{\mu\nu}(x)U^{\dagger}(x)$$

not gauge invariant separately

 $D_{\mu} \rightarrow U(x) D_{\mu} U^{\dagger}(x)$

and so the gauge invariant kinetic term can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm kin} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Tr}(F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu})$$

we can expand the gauge field in terms of the generator matrices:

$$A_{\mu}(x) = A^{a}_{\mu}(x)T^{a}$$

that can be inverted:

$$A^a_\mu(x) = 2 \operatorname{Tr} A_\mu(x) T^a$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$$

similarly:

$$egin{aligned} F_{\mu
u}(x) &= F^a_{\mu
u}T^a \;, \ F^a_{\mu
u}(x) &= 2\,{
m Tr}\,F_{\mu
u}T^a \;. \end{aligned}$$

$$egin{aligned} F_{\mu
u}(x) &\equiv rac{i}{g}[D_{\mu},D_{
u}] \ &= \partial_{\mu}A_{
u} - \partial_{
u}A_{\mu} - ig[A_{\mu},A_{
u}] \longrightarrow F^c_{\mu
u}T^c &= ig(\partial_{\mu}A^c_{
u} - \partial_{
u}A^c_{\mu}ig)T^c - igA^a_{\mu}A^b_{
u}[T^a,T^b] \ &= if^{abc}T^c \ &= ig(\partial_{\mu}A^c_{
u} - \partial_{
u}A^c_{\mu} + gf^{abc}A^a_{\mu}A^b_{
u}ig)T^c \ . \end{aligned}$$

thus we have:

$$F^c_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^c_\nu - \partial_\nu A^c_\mu + g f^{abc} A^a_\mu A^b_\nu$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{kin} = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(F^{\mu
u}F_{\mu
u})$$

 $F_{\mu
u}(x) = F^a_{\mu
u}T^a$
so written as:
 $\mathcal{L}_{kin} = -\frac{1}{4}F^{c\mu
u}F^c_{\mu
u}$
 $\operatorname{Tr}(T^aT^b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{ab}$

 $F^c_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^c_\nu - \partial_\nu A^c_\mu + g f^{abc} A^a_\mu A^b_\nu$

Example, quantum chromodynamics - QCD:

the kinetic term can be also written as:

in general, scalar and spinor fields can be in different representations of the group, $T_{\rm R}^a$; gauge invariance requires that the gauge fields transform independently of the representation.