



# Irradiation and annealing studies on SiPM sensors for the ePIC-dRICH detector

#### Nicola Rubini INFN Bologna, University of Bologna 23 July 2023











### Radiation damage of SiPM - Temp

#### Cons

- 1. <u>High dark count rate</u> at room temperature
- 2. <u>High radiation</u> <u>sensitivity</u>

Acting on the operational temperature one can lower DCR up to 3-4 orders of magnitude from room temperature to -30C





ArXiV 1805.07154 [physics.ins-det]

**EICUG 2023** 

Radiation damage produces an increase in DCR up to a disruption of the baseline (no single photon detection possible). Low temperature mitigates this effect







### **Radiation damage of SiPM - Annealing**







### **Radiation damage of SiPM - Timing**

LED Setup

#### Cons

- 1. High dark count rate at room temperature
- 2. <u>High radiation</u> <u>sensitivity</u>

Timing cuts manage to reduce the window where we look for the signal

This allows the background reduction, i.e. mitigates the effects of irradiation damage degradation



#### EICU&



### Sensors characterisation 2021

Climatic chamber low temperature of operations (-30C) 2x 40-channel multiplexers fully automated board measurement Source meter for measurements









#### EICU&



### Sensors characterisation 2022

Climatic chamber low temperature of operations (-30C) 2x ALCOR-based front-end chain fully automated measurement FPGA (Xilinx) for readout











#### ECUE

**EICUG 2023** 

### **Sensors characterisation 2022**

Climatic chamber low temperature of operations (-30C) Arbitrary function generator LED impulse for light response test Arbitrary function generator LASER impulse for light response test 2x ALCOR-based front-end chain fully automated measurement FPGA (Xilinx) for readout











#### Annealing of sensors (2023 Camp.)



Fully automated system w/ PID feedback for long unsupervised annealing, with both reverse and forward in parallel





### Irradiation campaigns at



2021 Campaign

#### 2022 Campaign

**Different radiation** levels to evaluate recover potential



Few full boards irradiated with 10<sup>9</sup> 1-MeV N<sub>ac</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> and multiple times w/ test with online annealing

Many board irradiated with 10<sup>9</sup> 1-MeV N<sub>eg</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup> multiple times w/ test with multiple annealing procedures

2023 Campaign

Thorough understanding of the effect of proton irradiation on many different sensors, selecting the best sensors in the process







### **Breakdown voltage**

NEW sensors have a shift of ~1% (500mV) on the breakdown voltage. This is not consistent with literature and results of 2021 campaign









#### **Breakdown voltage**





**EICUG 2023** 



#### **Breakdown voltage**



Using the ratio of sensor gain before and after irradiation as a function of overvoltage measured on the irradiated IV, one can see this is the real Vbd

#### There is literature on the erroneous measurement of Vbd in NEW sensors without light (low current)

Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 1040 (2022) 167284



**Fig. 7.** Example of estimated breakdown voltage values for the NUV-HD SiPM with 35  $\mu$ m cell pitch, obtained with the different estimation methods in dark (D) and light (L) conditions at -20 °C. Error bars identify the spread of values obtained among repeated measurements.





### **Breakdown voltage**

HAMA S14161-3050



A relatively small difference (~500mV) in the Vbd measurement can generate a significant effect in the current and in general in overvoltage quantities

The ratio for the Hamamatsu S14161-3050 of the current as a function of the overvoltage, when the Vbd is measured from the NEW IV w.r.t. when it is measured from the IRR IV generates fluctuations of up to 50%





#### Current recovery after annealing cycles (2021 camp.)







### DCR recovery after annealing cycles (2021 camp.)







### Direct current annealing (2022 camp.)



Total: 10<sup>9</sup> Time: 15min Very promising technique! Does not reach oven recovery, but:

- 100 times faster
- Can be done in-situ
- Repeated many times





#### EICUG 2023



**EICUG 2023** 



### Light response (2022 camp.)







### Test beam (2022 camp.)

Irradiated and annealed sensors managed

to see a ring (w/ timing cut for bkg

reduction)



#### 2022 Campaign also saw a very fructuous Test Beam @CERN T10 PS beam line









#### DCR and Dark current (2023 camp.)







### Summary

- A three years effort of characterisation, irradiation and annealing to explore different sensors and their behaviour in the foreseen circumstances
- Different levels of irradiation have been analysed in the 2021 campaign to understand the effects of low to high radiation damage and potential for recovery through annealing
- online (small irradiation-annealing cycles) have been tested for in-situ solutions in the 2022 campaign, with a start on the light response using a LED and a on-field test w/ a Test Beam @CERN
- three most promising candidates are under test in the 2023 irradiation campaign, with a focus on different annealing solutions to define the proposed sensor to be used in the dRICH
- We have a reliable setup that is able to thoroughly caracterise sensors in many ways consistently





## Thank you!

