## Studies of the Unpolarized SIDIS Cross Section in SoLID with Transversely/Longitudinally Polarized <sup>3</sup>He Targets at 11/8.8 GeV Beam Energies



### Shuo Jia

and

Medium Energy Physics Group	На
<b>Department of Physics</b>	De
Duke University	

SoLID Collaboration Meeting, Jefferson Lab, Newport News, VA May 8-9, 2023





### Vlad Khachatryan

dronic Physics Group epartment of Physics Indiana University



### Outline

 $\succ$  SoLID SIDIS setup with transversely and longitudinally polarized <sup>3</sup>He targets

- The setup and experimental details
- Unpolarized cross-section framework
  - Cross section without and with azimuthal modulations
  - Three models under considerations
- Unpolarized cross-section SoLID projections
  - Kinematic correlations
  - Cross-section results at 11 GeV and 8.8 GeV electron beam energies
- Estimated systematic uncertainties
- Summary and outlook

Ψ

Duke









SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup> He	Unpolarízed cross-sectíon	Unpolarízed cross-se
setup	framework	SoLID results
Our run group	experiment parasitic to	the SoLID SIDIS e
E12-10-006: Sir	ngle Spin Asymmetries on T	ransversely Polarize
Rating A Sp	okespersons: JP. Chen, H	I. Gao (contact), X. D.
E12-11-007: Sir Rating A Sp	igle and Double Spin Asymologies (Constraints) and Double Spin Asymologies (Constraints) and the symplectic strain	metries on Longitudi ontact), J. Huang, C.

SIDIS:  $e + p \rightarrow e' + \pi \pm X$ 

- > Target:
  - Length: 40 cm
  - Polarization:  $\sim 60\%$
  - Spin flip:  $\leq$  20 mins  ${ \bullet }$
  - Polarimetry:  $\sim 3\%$
- GEM: six tracking chambers  $\succ$
- > EM Calorimeter: Forward and Large angle
- > SPD: Forward and Large angle
- LGC and HGC
- CLEO-II magnet

Ψ

Duke



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab

### experiments of

- d <sup>3</sup>He (neutron): Jiang, J. Peng, X. Qian
- nally Polarized <sup>3</sup>He (neutron): Peng, Y. Qiang, W. Yan

### SoLID (SIDIS <sup>3</sup>He): 11 GeV & 8.8 GeV beam energies

Duke

**Unpolarízed cross-section** framework

**Unpolarízed cross-section** SoLID results

### Transversely polarized <sup>3</sup>He (E12-10-006)

- > Approved number of days:
  - 48 days (11 GeV) & 21 day (8.8 GeV)
- $\geq$  69 days requested for the beam on target
- $\succ$  10 days requested for a study of the x-z factorization with Hydrogen and Deuterium gas using a reference target cell
- $\geq$  3 days requested with a longitudinal target polarization to study the systematics of potential  $A_{UI}$  contamination
- $\geq$  8 days of total overhead time requested:
  - *unpol. target runs (optics and detector check)*
  - target spin flip and polarization measurements

### Longitudinally polarized <sup>3</sup>He (E12-11-007)

- Approved number of days:
  - 22.5 days (11 GeV) & 9.5 day (8.8 GeV)
- $\geq$  32 days requested for the beam on target
- $\geq$  35 days of total beam time requested to match approximately 50% statistics of the experiment E12-10-006
- $\succ$  When combined with E12-10-006:
  - *no beam time required for data calibration*
  - no target runs and detector calibrations required
- $\geq$  3 days of total overhead time requested:
  - target spin flip and polarization measurements

Major requirements: Radiation hardness, detector resolution, kaon contamination, DAQ

Expected DAQ rates: < 100 kHz

4



Ψ

Duke

- Momentum coverage: 1.0 7.0 GeV/c; Polar angular coverage: 8.0° 14.8° (for hadron & electron ID)
- Momentum coverage: 3.5 6.0 GeV/c; Polar angular coverage: 15.7° 24.0° (for electron ID)
- > Momentum resolution:  $\sim 2\%$ ; Polar angular resolution: 2 mrad
- > Azimuthal angular coverage:  $2\pi$ ; Azimuthal angular resolution: 6 mrad
- $\geq$  PID (electron): detection efficiency  $\geq$  90%; pion contamination < 1%
- $\geq$  PID (pion): detection efficiency  $\geq$  90%; kaon contamination < 1%
- Total luminosity: 3.74 · 10<sup>36</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup>
- $\blacktriangleright$  Beam polarimetry: < 3%; Beam current: 15  $\mu$ A
- > Many other details in SoLID (Solenoidal Large Intensity Device) Updated Preliminary Conceptual Design Report, <u>https://solid.jlab.org/</u>







**Unpolarízed cross-section** SoLID results

The SIDIS process represented as (four-momenta given in parentheses) 

 $l(k_1) + N(P) \rightarrow l'(k_2) + h(P_h) + X(P_X)$ 

- *l* lepton beam
- N nucleon target
- *h* produced hadron
- X undetected hadror
- q virtual photon momentum

Ū

Duke



Kinematics of the SIDIS process: assume one-photon exchange approximation

Express the process cross section in terms of the following kinematic variables

$$x_{bj} = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}, \qquad y = \frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot k_1}, \qquad z_h = \frac{P \cdot P_h}{P \cdot q},$$
  
with q and Q<sup>2</sup> defined as  $q \equiv l - l'$  and  $Q^2 \equiv -q^2$ 



Summary and outlook

6

Jefferson Lab

Azimuthal angle between hadron production and lepton scattering planes designated as  $\phi_h$ 

$$\gamma = \frac{2M_N x_{bj}}{Q}$$



SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup>He setup

ψ

Duke

**Unpolarízed cross-section** SoLID results

Unpolarized SIDIS differential cross section given by 



7

Jefferson Lab

Phys. Rev. D 91, no.7, 074019 (2015)

 $(b_h)$ 



zed S



SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup> He	Unpolarízed cross-section	Unpolarízed cross-s
setup	framework	SoLID results

- $\succ$  When  $P_{hT} \sim k_{\perp} \ll Q$ , TMD factorization known to be valid at leading twist
  - $k_{\perp}$  to be quark transverse momentum within the nucleon
- TMD factorization used by most phenomenological analyses
- In this scheme, the unpolarized structure function  $F_{UU}$  given by

Duke

$$F_{UU} = \sum_{q} e_q^2 x \int$$

as convolution of unpolarized TMD PDF and TMD FF

Convenient to work with PDFs and FFs in light-cone coordinates 

- $x = k^+/P^+$  and  $z = P_h^-/\kappa^-$  ( $\kappa$  to be fragmentation quark momentum)
- $\succ$  Up to the order of  $k_{\perp}/Q$ , variables x and z identified with  $x_{bi}$  and  $z_h$
- Condition of momentum conservation reads as  $P_{hT} = z k_{\perp} + p_{\perp}$ 
  - $p_{\perp}$  to be transverse momentum of fragmentation hadron with respect to the direction of fragmentation quark





 $d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp} f_q(x, k_{\perp}) D_q(z, p_{\perp})$ 





Use the following Gaussian parameterizations for the TMD PDF and TMD FF: 

$$f_q(x,k_{\perp}) = f_q^c(x) \, \frac{e^{-k_{\perp}^2/\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle} \qquad D_q(z,p)$$

where  $f_a^c(x)$  is the collinear PDF, and  $D_a^c(z)$  is the collinear FF

> Analytical form of  $F_{UU}$  given by

$$F_{UU} = \sum_{q} e_q^2 x_{bj} f_q^c(x_{bj}) D_q^c(z_h) \frac{e^{-P_{hT}^2/\langle P_T^2 \rangle}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle}$$

- Gaussian widths  $\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle$  and  $\langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle$  may have different forms of kinematical dependence
- Use the set of LHAPDF CJ15Io for the PDF, and the set of DSSFFIo for the FF

Phys. Rev. D 93, no.11, 114017 (2016)

Ψ

Duke



Summary and outlook



# where $\langle P_T^2 \rangle = \langle p_\perp^2 \rangle + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle$



SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup> He	Unpolarízed cross-section	Unpolarízed cross-se
setup	framework	SoLID results

> The second structure function  $F_{UU}^{cos(\phi_h)}$ , associated to the  $cos(\phi_h)$  modulation of the cross section, is a twist-3 quantity of the order of 1/Q

$$F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi_h)} = F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi_h)} \big|_{\text{Cahn}} + I$$

where

Ψ

Duke

$$F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi_h)}|_{\text{Cahn}} = -2\sum_q e_q^2 x \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp \frac{(\mathbf{k}_\perp)^2}{q}$$

### as the Cahn convolution of unpolarized TMD PDF and TMD FF

$$egin{aligned} F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi_h)} ig|_{ ext{BM}} &= \sum_q e_q^2 \, x \int d^2 oldsymbol{k}_\perp \, rac{k_\perp}{Q} rac{P_{hT} - z \, (oldsymbol{k}_\perp \cdot oldsymbol{h})}{k_\perp} \, A_\perp \ &- rac{k_\perp}{M_p} \, h_1^\perp(x,k_\perp) \end{aligned}$$

### as the Boer-Mulders convolution of Boer-Mulders TMD PDF and Collins TMD FF

Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab

 $F_{III}^{\cos(\phi_h)}|_{\mathrm{BM}}$ 

 $\frac{\underline{x}_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{h}}{Q} f_q(x, k_{\perp}) D_q(z, p_{\perp})$ 

 $\Delta f_{q^{\uparrow}/p}(x,k_{\perp}) \, \Delta D_{h/q^{\uparrow}}(z,p_{\perp})$ 

# $\frac{2p_{\perp}}{zM_h} H_1^{\perp}(z, p_{\perp})$





SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup> He	Unpolarízed cross-section	Unpolarízed cross-s
setup	framework	SoLID results

> The third structure function  $F_{III}^{\cos(2\phi_h)}$ , associated to the  $\cos(2\phi_h)$  modulation of the cross section, consists of a twist-4 Cahn and a twist-2 Boer-Mulders contributions

$$F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi_h)} \approx F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi_h)} |_{\text{Cahn}} + F_U^{O}$$

where

$$\begin{split} F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi_h)} \big|_{\text{Cahn}} &= 2 \sum_q e_q^2 \, x \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp \, \frac{2(\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{h})^2 - Q^2}{Q^2} \\ F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi_h)} \big|_{\text{BM}} &= -\sum_q e_q^2 \, x \int d^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp \, \frac{P_{hT}(\mathbf{k}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp)}{\chi \Delta f_{q^{\uparrow}/p}(\mathbf{x}_\perp)} \end{split}$$

Here we have the same

Ψ

Duke

i) unpolarized TMD PDF and TMD FF

### ii) Boer-Mulders TMD PDF and Collins TMD FF





 $\left. \frac{\cos(2\phi_h)}{UU} \right|_{\text{BM}}$ 

 $\frac{-k_{\perp}^2}{-} f_q(x,k_{\perp}) D_q(z,p_{\perp})$ 

 $rac{\left( m{h} + z \left[ k_{\perp}^2 - 2 (m{k}_{\perp} \cdot m{h})^2 
ight] 
ight)}{2k_{\perp} p_{\perp}} imes 0$ 

 $(x, k_{\perp}) \Delta D_{h/q^{\uparrow}}(z, p_{\perp})$ 



11

SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup>He setup

ψ

Duke

Analytical forms of the Cahn and Boer-Mulders azimuthal modulation given by

$$F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi_h)}\Big|_{\text{Cahn}} = -2 \frac{P_T}{Q} \sum_q e_q^2 x_{bj} f_q^c(x_{bj}) D_q^c(z_h) \frac{z_h \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle}{\langle P_T^2 \rangle} \frac{e^{-P_{hT}^2}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle}$$

$$F_{UU}^{\cos(\phi_h)}|_{BM} = 2e \frac{P_T}{Q} \sum_q e_q^2 x_{bj} \frac{\Delta f_{q^{\uparrow}/p}(x_{bj})}{M_{BM}} \frac{\Delta D_{h/q^{\uparrow}}(z_h)}{M_C} \frac{e^{-P_{hT}^2/\langle P_{T}^2 \rangle_{P}^2}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle_{P}^2} \\ \times \frac{\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{BM}^2 \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{C}^2}{\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle} \left[ z_h^2 \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{BM} \left( P_{hT}^2 - \langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM} \right) + \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{P}^2 \right]$$

$$F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi_h)}\Big|_{\text{Cahn}} = 2 \frac{P_T^2}{Q^2} \sum_q e_q^2 x_{bj} f_q^c(x_{bj}) D_q^c(z_h) \frac{z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle^2}{\langle P_T^2 \rangle^2} \frac{e^{-P_{hT}^2}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle^2}$$

$$F_{UU}^{\cos(2\phi_h)}\Big|_{BM} = -eP_T^2 \sum_q e_q^2 x_{bj} \frac{\Delta f_{q\uparrow/p}(x_{bj})}{M_{BM}} \frac{\Delta D_{h/q\uparrow}(z_h)}{M_C} \frac{e^{-P_{hT}^2/p_{BM}}}{\pi \langle P_T^2 \rangle_C^2} \times \frac{z_h \langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle_{BM}^2 \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle_C^2}{\langle k_{\perp}^2 \rangle \langle p_{\perp}^2 \rangle},$$

$$\frac{\langle \langle P_T^2 \rangle}{P_T^2 \rangle}, \\ \frac{P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}}{P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}} \times \\ \frac{\langle \langle P_T^2 \rangle}{P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}}, \\ \frac{\langle \langle P_T^2 \rangle}{P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}}, \\ \frac{\langle \langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}}{P_T^2 \rangle_{BM}} \times \\ \frac{P_T^2 \rangle_{$$

,

where  

$$\langle P_T^2 \rangle_{BM} = \langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_C + z_h^2 \langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_{BM}$$

$$\langle p_\perp^2 \rangle_C = \frac{\langle p_\perp^2 \rangle M_C^2}{\langle p_\perp^2 \rangle + M_C^2}$$

$$\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle_{BM} = \frac{\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle M_{BM}^2}{\langle k_\perp^2 \rangle + M_{BM}^2}$$

$$M_C^2 \text{ and } M_{BM}^2 \text{ and all the other functional forms to be found in }$$

$$JHEP 06, 007 (2019)$$

$$and$$

$$https://github.com/TianboLi$$

$$U/LiuSIDIS$$

Jefferson Lab

12



U

Duke

- In our analysis three models employed
  - **Default model** with Gaussian width parameters:  $k_{\perp}^2 = 0.604 \, (\text{GeV/c})^2$ ,  $p_{\perp}^2 = 0.114 \, (\text{GeV/c})^2$  $\bullet$ 
    - used in <u>https://github.com/TianboLiu/LiuSIDIS</u> for SoLID SIDIS asymmetry studies
    - see, e.g., preCDR <u>https://solid.jlab.org/</u> and Jeopardy Updates of E12-11-007, E12-10-006, E12-11-108 at JPAC 50, https://indico.jlab.org/event/545/
  - Barone2015 model with  $k_{\perp}^2 = 0.037 \,(\text{GeV/c})^2$ ,  $p_{\perp}^2 = 0.126 + 0.506 \, z_h^2 \,(\text{GeV/c})^2$ 
    - good description of unpolarized cross-section measured by Xuefei Yan, Rev. C 95, no.3, 035209 (2017) good description of HERMES data on multiplicities, Phys. Rev. D 91, no.7, 074019 (2015)
  - Bacchetta2011 model with  $k_{\perp}^2 = 0.14 \,(\text{GeV/c})^2$ ,  $p_{\perp}^2 = 0.42 \, z_h^{0.54} \,(1 z_h)^{0.37} \,(\text{GeV/c})^2$ 
    - also known to give good descriptions of unpolarized SIDIS cross section and HERMES multiplicity data
- **Default model** used to make unpolarized cross-section figures in our proposal
- Three models used in the systematic uncertainty studies





**Unpolarízed cross-section** framework

- Kinematic coverage examples of produced  $\pi^+$  particles
  - 11 GeV and 8.8 GeV combined
  - obtained after the SoLID acceptance
  - no z<sub>h</sub> cut implemented
- Phase-space correlation between  $Q^2$  and  $x_{bi}$  (top-left)
- > Phase-space correlation between  $x_{bi}$  and  $z_h$  (top-right)
- > Phase-space correlation between  $Q^2$  and  $f_h$  (bottom-left)
- > Phase-space correlation between  $x_{bi}$  and  $f_h$  (bottom-right)

U

Duke





SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup>He setup

Ψ

Duke

 $\succ$  Produced  $\pi^+$  unpolarized cross section at **11 GeV** beam energy



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab



**Systematic** uncertaíntíes Summary and outlook

First  $x_{bi}$  and first  $P_{hT}$ bin ranges

Blue pseudo-data points: cross section without azimuthal modulations

Red pseudo-data points: cross section including azimuthal modulations

> Vertical error bars: SoLID statistical uncertainties

Bottom band in each plot: SoLID total systematic uncertainties





Ψ

Duke



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab

First  $x_{bi}$  and second  $P_{hT}$ bin ranges

Summary and

outlook

Blue pseudo-data points: cross section without azimuthal modulations

Red pseudo-data points: cross section including azimuthal modulations

> Vertical error bars: SoLID statistical uncertainties

Bottom band in each plot: SoLID total systematic uncertainties

Jefferson Lab

16



Duke



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab



17

Duke



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab



18

Duke





Duke









Duke







21

SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup>He

Ψ

Duke



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab

22



Duke

- > Systematic uncertainties of the  $\mathcal{F}_{UU,A}$  cross section estimated from
  - SIDIS model dependence: using the models discussed on slide 13<sup>th</sup>
  - Pion and electron identification:
    - using the SoLID pion detection efficiency and kaon rejection factor
    - using the CLAS12 inclusive electron cross-section data and similarity of the SoLD and CLASS12 electron detection numbers
  - Radiative corrections: using the recently developed MC event generator from Phys. Commun. 287, 108702 (2023), [arXiv:2210.03785 [hep-ph]]
  - Acceptance correction: using variations among the statistical uncertainties from the models discussed on slide 13<sup>th</sup> (obtained before and after SoLID acceptance)
  - Luminosity determination: using the beam current, target size and density
  - Other sources: using the lowest polar angle value (for acceptance) as well as W and W' cut variations





Without the azimuthal

modulations included

in the cross section

### Systematic uncertainty budget for the unpolarized cross section

SIDIS mo

Pion and ele

Radiativ

Accepta

Luminosit

Othe

Total systematic uncertainty: $0 < x_{bj} < 0.25$  and  $0 < P_{hT} < 0.2 \text{ GeV/c:}$ ~ 9 - 13% $0 < x_{bj} < 0.25$  and  $0.2 < P_{hT} < 0.4 \text{ GeV/c:}$ ~ 8 - 12% $0.25 < x_{bj} < 0.5$  and  $0 < P_{hT} < 0.2 \text{ GeV/c:}$ ~ 9 - 13% $0.25 < x_{bj} < 0.5$  and  $0.2 < P_{hT} < 0.4 \text{ GeV/c:}$ ~ 8 - 12%



odel dependence
lectron identification
ve corrections
nce correction
ty determination
er sources
nty:
: ~9-13%
c: ~8 - 12%
c: ~9-13%





Ψ

Duke

- > In our run group proposal, we show unpolarized SoLID SIDIS cross-section results
  - for  $\pi^+$  particles at 11/8.8 GeV as well as  $\pi^-$  particles at 11 GeV beam energies
  - based on transversely/longitudinally polarized SoLID <sup>3</sup>He targets
- Cross-section pseudo-data obtained in 5-dimensional binning of  $(x_{bi}, z_h, Q^2, P_{hT}, \phi_h)$
- Cross-section pseudo-data include
  - central points from theory calculations, plus SoLID statistical and systematic uncertainties
- $\succ$  Systematic uncertainties estimated for  $\pi^+$  particles
  - applied to both  $\pi^+/\pi^-$  cross sections
- Cross-section without azimuthal modulations include stat. and syst. uncertainties
- Cross-section with azimuthal modulations include only stat. uncertainties
  - more studies needed here for estimating syst. uncertainties
  - perhaps additional asymmetry studies needed to see if  $cos(\phi_h)$  and  $cos(2\phi_h)$  terms are separable  $\bullet$ within all experimental uncertainties

### Thank You !

Acknowledgements: Haiyan Gao, Zhiwen Zhao, Jian-Ping Chen, Tianbo Liu, and the entire SoLID collaboration













Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab







SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup>He setup

Ψ

Duke

 $\succ$  Produced  $\pi$ - unpolarized cross section at **11 GeV** beam energy



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab



**Systematic** uncertaíntíes Summary and outlook

First  $x_{bi}$  and first  $P_{hT}$ bin ranges

Blue pseudo-data points: cross section without azimuthal modulations

Red pseudo-data points: cross section including azimuthal modulations

> Vertical error bars: SoLID statistical uncertainties

Bottom band in each plot: SoLID total systematic uncertainties

Jefferson Lab

27



Ψ

Duke



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab

First  $x_{bi}$  and second  $P_{hT}$ bin ranges

Summary and

outlook

Blue pseudo-data points: cross section without azimuthal modulations

Red pseudo-data points: cross section including azimuthal modulations

> Vertical error bars: SoLID statistical uncertainties

Bottom band in each plot: SoLID total systematic uncertainties

Jefferson Lab

28



SoLID SIDIS - 3He

Ш

Duke







29

SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup>He

Ψ

Duke



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab



SoLID SIDIS - <sup>3</sup>He setup

Ū

Duke

 $\succ$  Produced  $\pi^+$  unpolarized cross section at **11 GeV** beam energy



 $\succ$  Produced  $\pi$ - unpolarized cross section at **11 GeV** beam energy



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab



**Systematic** uncertaíntíes Summary and outlook

Third  $x_{bi}$ , first  $P_{hT}$  and higher  $Q^2$  bin ranges

Blue pseudo-data points: cross section without azimuthal modulations

Red pseudo-data points: cross section including azimuthal modulations

> Vertical error bars: SoLID statistical uncertainties

Bottom band in each plot: SoLID total systematic uncertainties

Jefferson Lab

31



SoLID SIDIS - 3He setup

Ū

Duke

 $\succ$  Produced  $\pi^+$  unpolarized cross section at **11 GeV** beam energy



 $\succ$  Produced  $\pi$ - unpolarized cross section at **11 GeV** beam energy



Shuo Jia and Vlad Khachatryan: SoLID Collaboration Meeting, May 8-9 (2023), JLab



**Systematic** uncertaíntíes Summary and outlook

Third  $x_{bi}$ , second  $P_{hT}$  and higher  $Q^2$  bin ranges

Blue pseudo-data points: cross section without azimuthal modulations

Red pseudo-data points: cross section including azimuthal modulations

> Vertical error bars: SoLID statistical uncertainties

Bottom band in each plot: SoLID total systematic uncertainties

Jefferson Lab

32

