Independent Fragmentation and Role of Charge Symmetry

Science at the Luminosity Frontier: Jefferson Lab at 22 GeV Workshop

Whitney Armstrong Argonne National Laboratory

Introduction

What is Charge symmetry?

Charge symmetry (CS) is a specific rotation in isospin space. It is the invariance with respect to rotation of π about the T2 axis.

 $P_{CS} = \exp(i\pi T2)$

 $P_{CS} |d\rangle = |u\rangle$ $P_{CS} |u\rangle = -|d\rangle$

Low Energy: CS in nuclei

CS operator interchanges neutrons and protons

- CS goes back to the charge independence of N force.
- pp and nn scattering lengths are nearly the same
- $M_n \simeq M_p$
- $B(n, {}^{3}He) \simeq B(p, {}^{3}H)$ and energy levels in other mirror nuclei are equal (to 1%)
- $m(^{3}He) \simeq m(^{3}H)$

After electromagnetic corrections CS respected down to $\sim 1\%$

ENERGY US Department of Energy accounty managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC.

Whitney Armstrong

QCD: Quark level

- $u^p(x, Q^2) = d^n(x, Q^2)$ $d^p(x, Q^2) = u^n(x, Q^2)$
- Origin of CS violations: \rightarrow Electromagnetic interaction $\rightarrow \delta m = m_d - m_d$

Naively, one would expect CSV would be on the order of $(m_d - m_u)/\langle M \rangle$, where $\langle M \rangle$ is roughly 0.5 - 1.0 GeV \rightarrow CSV effect about 1%



Motivation

- Charge symmetry violation is an important ingredient for pushing the precision frontier in the partonic structure of the nucleon
- Charge symmetry is often assumed in extracting PDFs from data where the data is limited in sensitivity to CS violation
- The validity of charge symmetry is a necessary condition for many relations between structure functions and sum rules
- Flavor symmetry violation extraction $\bar{u}(x) \neq \bar{d}(x)$ relies on the implicit assumption of charge symmetry (in the sea quarks)
- Charge symmetry violation viable part of explanation for the anomalous value of the Weinberg angle extracted by NuTeV experiment
- CSV is related to our understanding of the flavor dependence of the quark masses (one of the key unsolved problems in Physics why is m_d ~ m_u ≠ m_s ≠ m_c ≠ m_b ≠ m_t)





Upper Limits on CSV

Theoretical Limits



Model by Rodionov, Thomas and Londergan $\delta d(x)$ could reach up to 10% at high x

E. N. Rodionov, A. W. Thomas and J. T. Londergan, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 9, 1799 (1994)

REPARTMENT OF ENERGY Argonne National Laboratory is a U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC

Whitney Armstrong



Upper Limits on CSV

Phenomenological limits

MRST included CSV in a phenomenological evaluation of PDFs



Using the uncertainties in PDFs studied by MRST Group, CSV is constrained to less than 9%



Whitney Armstrong



Upper Limits on CSV $_{\text{Lattice QCD}}$

The charge symmetry violation via lattice simulation:

$$\delta U = \int_0^1 dx x \delta u(x) = 0.0023(7)$$

$$\delta D = \int_0^1 dx x \delta d(x) = 0.0017(4)$$

The dash-dotted, dashed and solid curves represent pure QED, pure QCD and the total contributions. The results is compatible with the MRST analysis. Physics Letters B, 753:595–599





Whitney Armstrong



Upper Limits on CSV Experimental Limits

- Upper limit obtained by combining neutral and charged current data on isoscaler targets
- $F_{2\nu}$ by CCFR collaboration at FNAL (Fe data)
- $F_{2\gamma}$ by NMC collaboration using muons (D target)
- $0.1 \le x \le 0.4 \rightarrow$ 9% upper limit for CSV effect!

"Charge Ratio"

$$\begin{aligned} R_{c}(x) &= \frac{F_{2}^{\gamma}(x) + x \left[s(x) + \bar{s}(x) - c(x) - \bar{c}(x)\right]/6}{5\bar{F}_{2}^{W(x)}/18} \\ &\simeq 1 + \frac{3\left(\delta u(x) + \delta \bar{u}(x) - \delta d(x) - \delta \bar{d}(x)\right)}{10\bar{Q}(x)} \\ \bar{Q}(x) &= \sum_{u,d,s} \left(q(x) + \bar{q}(x)\right) \end{aligned}$$



Londergan and Thomas. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 41 (1998) 49-124



Whitney Armstrong



Formalism

Charge Symmetry Violation		
$CSV(x) = \delta d - \delta u \neq 0$	where	$\delta u(x) = u^p(x) - d^n(x) \ \delta d(x) = d^p(x) - u^n(x)$

Londergan, Pang and Thomas PRD54(1996)3154

$$R_{meas}^{D}(x,z) = \frac{4N^{D\pi^{-}}(x,z) - N^{D\pi^{+}}(x,z)}{N^{D\pi^{+}}(x,z) - N^{D\pi^{-}}(x,z)} = \frac{4R_{Y}(x,z) - 1}{1 - R_{Y}(x,z)}$$
(1)

where $N^{D\pi^{\pm}}(x,z)$ is the **measured yield** of π^{\pm} electroproduction on a deuterium target, R_Y is the $N^{D\pi^{-}}/N^{D\pi^{+}}$ yield ratio and We rely on

Factorization

$$N^{Nh} = \sum_i e_i^2 q_i^N(x) D_i^h(z)$$

Impulse Approximation

$$N^{D\pi^{\pm}}(x,z) = N^{p\pi^{\pm}}(x,z) + N^{n\pi^{\pm}}(x,z)$$



Whitney Armstrong



Formalism

Leading order experimental analysis \rightarrow will need higher order global analysis

Londergan, Pang and Thomas PRD54(1996)3154

D(z) R(x, z) + A(x)CSV(x) = B(x, z)

$$D(z) = \frac{1 - \Delta(z)}{1 + \Delta(z)}, \Delta(z) = \frac{D_u^{\pi^-}(z)}{D_u^{\pi^+}(z)}$$

$$R(x, z) = \frac{5}{2} + R_{meas}^D$$

$$CSV(x) = \delta d - \delta u$$

$$A(x) = \frac{-4}{3(u_v + d_v)}$$

$$B(x, z) = \frac{5}{2} + R_{sea_s}^D(x, z) + R_{sea_sNS}^D(x)$$

$$R_{sea_sS}^D(x) = \frac{5(\overline{u}^p(x) + \overline{d}^p(x)]}{[u_v^p(x) + d_v^p(x)]}$$

$$R_{sea_s}^D(x, z) = \frac{\Delta_s(z)[s(x) + \overline{s}(x)]/(1 + \Delta(z))}{[u_v^p(x) + d_v^p(x)]}$$

$$\Delta_s(z) = \frac{D_s^-(z) + D_s^+(z)}{D_u^+(z)}$$

A(x) and B(x, z) are known and R(x, z) is measured

CSV

Extract simultaneously D(z) and CSV(x) from each (Q^2 ,x) setting



Whitney Armstrong



Experiment E12-09-002

Kinematic Coverage

Charge Symmetry Violating Quark Distributions via Precise Measurement of π^+/π^- Ratios in Semi-inclusive Deep Inelastic Scattering.



Preliminary R_{meas}^D





Armstrong

CSV in Parton Distribution and Fragmentation Functions



- Early results show best agreement with data when CSV is included in FFs (i.e. when we use DSS)
- Leads to nominal ρ background subtraction
- Ratios should be come



Whitney Armstrong



Factorization

Berger's criterion: $\Delta \eta \gtrsim 2$ Sets z_{min} for a given W_{max} (for pions) See Chapter 8 from S.J. Joosten, Ph.D. thesis, Illinois Univ., Urbana (2013), Mulders AIP Conf.Proc. 588 (2001) 1, 75-88 JLab 6 GeV 11 GeV 22 GeV HERMES 0.29 0.22 0.16 0.135 $z_{min} \rightarrow$ Charge Ratio Sum and Differences $\sigma_p^{\pi^+} - \sigma_p^{\pi^-}$ $4 - 3R^{-}$ $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{4u_v(x) - d_v(x)}{3(u_v() + d_v(x))} = R^{-1}$ d_{m} $3R^{-} + 1$ Har $\sigma^{\pi^+}_{\pi^+} - \sigma^{\pi^-}_{\pi^-}$ aliminon elimina $x = 0.35, O^2 = 4$ 1.4 $x = 0.35, O^2 = 4$ $0.45, O^2 = 4$ x = 0.45, $O^2 = 4$ 2.5 1.2 - $= 0.45, Q^2 = 4.75$ $x = 0.45, O^2 = 4.75$ $= 0.55 \ O^2 = 4.75$ $x = 0.55, O^2 = 4.75$ 2.0 1.0 x = 0.6, $Q^2 = 5.5$ x = 0.6, $O^2 = 5.5$ PRELIN 8.0 s elimin 0.6 1.0 0.4 0.5 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 7 7 Ratios should not depend on z. σ_n^{π} $+ \sigma_n^{\pi}$ $4u + 4\overline{u} + d + \overline{d}$ rgonne National Laboratory is a US. Department of Energy laboratory ENERGY $\overline{5(u+\overline{u}+d+\overline{d})}$ JanuadvWhitney Armstrong

Factorization



Whitney Armstrong



Summary

- Conducted precision semi-inclusive measurements of the π^-/π^+ ratio on a deuterium target
- Extracted the CSV parton distribution and fragmentation function ratio for a range of x... Q2 and z...
- Different FF models suggests a CSV fragmentation function should be considered in a global analysis
- Results for the CSV parton distribution are consistent with previous estimates

JLab at 22 GeV Ideas

- Extend the kinematics of a precision ratio measurement to higher $Q^2 \rightarrow$ should have some phase space overlap with standard global analyses
- Use other isoscalar targets: compare D to ⁴He Either fragmentation is independent and just EMC effect, or something else?
- Need to investigate momentum upper limits of spectrometers?







Thank you!







Backups



Whitney Armstrong



Charge Symmetry in QPM

Charge-conjugation symmetry $D^{\pi^{\pm}}_{\bar{u}} = D^{\pi^{\mp}}_{\bar{u}}$

Charge Symmetry

$$D_u^{\pi^+} = D_d^{\pi^-}$$
 $D_{\bar{u}}^{\pi^+} = D_{\bar{u}}^{\pi^-}$
 $D_d^{\pi^+} = D_u^{\pi^-}$
 $D_{\bar{d}}^{\pi^+} = D_{\bar{u}}^{\pi^-}$

Gottfried Sum Rule

$$S_G = \int_0^1 dx \left[\frac{F_2^p - F_2^n}{x} \right]$$

= $\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{9} \int_0^1 dx \left[4\bar{u}^p + \bar{d}^p - 4\bar{u}^n - \bar{d}^n \right]$
 $\stackrel{\text{CS}}{=} \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \int_0^1 dx \left[\bar{u}^p - \bar{d}^p \right]$
Londergan and Thomas. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 41 (1998) 49-124



Whitney Armstrong

