

25th International Symposium on Spin Physics





Surface protection photocathode for spin electron source

Jyoti Biswas Electron Ion Collider, Brookhaven National Laboratory

September 24-29, 2023



Perspective - Polarized Electron Sources







Polarized Electron Sources Chapter 1: Based on GaAs photocathodes



Problems associated with GaAs photocathodes

- > Needs low 10^{-11} or 10^{-12} Torr Vacuum.
- Laser induced heating could damage the activation.
- Cathode transfer form facility to faculty is challenging.

Protective layer on Spin Electron Source



In terms of work function reduction, monolayer hBN is an ideal choice,

but finding a large area island free hBN is currently not feasible.

We kept our R&D work to graphene-based protection layer

Challenges: transferring graphene onto GaAs

□ GaAs based photocathodes requires low 10⁻¹¹ or 10⁻¹² Torr Vacuum.

> Vacuum transfer of graphen3 is still not feasible

Alkali antimonide based photocathode can be grown onto graphene with TEM supported grid. (R&D at LANL)



This technique is not suitable for high gradient photo gun

Also, not suitable for GaAs photocathodes

We proposed use of intercalation of graphene to make photocathode material underneath the 2D material (i.e., graphene)

Cs Intercalation of Graphene

The mechanism of Cs intercalation through monolayer graphene



Figure 1 | LEEM and LEED characterization. (a) LEEM topograph of an uniform e-Gr ML on Ir(111) showing characteristic surface features: graphene wrinkles and substrate steps. The yellow square indicates the area analysed for the dynamics of intercalation (cf. Fig. 5). (b) Same area as in **a** but after deposition of 0.2 ML of Cs. A moderate decrease (α -phase, marked as α) and a strong decrease (γ -phase, marked as γ) in reflectivity are visible. Scale bars in **a**,**b** correspond to 3 µm.



Figure 3 | STM characterization. (a) An STM topograph showing two characteristic areas found after the deposition of 0.5 ML of Cs: the adatom α -phase (marked as α) and the intercalated γ -phase (marked as γ). The inset shows a schematic illustration of the topographic profile across different regions of the STM image indicated by a yellow horizontal line. White scale bar corresponds to 10 nm.

Petrović, M., Šrut Rakić, I., Runte, S. et al. The mechanism of caesium intercalation of graphene. Nature Communications 4, 2772 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3772

Transfer of Graphene onto GaAs





Schematic of the graphene transfer on GaAs

Removing cap layer or oxides through graphene



X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy after 550°C heat cleaning of SL-GaAs with a monolayer graphene on top

- Similar features with heat treatment of 450°C of SL-GaAs that has As Cap
- For SL-DBR that has no cap layer, it takes around 550°C to completely get rid of oxides. At 450°C it can eliminate As oxides, however, Ga oxides still remains after 450°C.

Verifying uniformity of graphene on SL-GaAs



Filled state Scanning Tunnelling Microscopy (STM) image of graphene covered SL-GaAs after 550°C heat treatment to remove As cap. Graphene wrinkles are visible.

- Successful transfer of graphene on SL-GaAs that can withstand 550°C heat treatment
- Was successful in removing As cap layer from SL-GaAs without damaging the monolayer graphene protection layer.

Characterizing GaAs-CsO/graphene on LEEM



LEEM image before activation



LEEM image after activation

- LEEM indicates intercalation happened.
- Work function reduction of 3 eV observed, which close to work function shift of 3.2 eV on typical CsO activation on GaAs

SL-GaAs activation with graphene on top



- Successful growth of SL-GaAs with CsO activation layer underneath the graphene.
- Similar activation of bulk GaAs was performed. We obtained better results on SL-GaAs with a cap layer.
- Removal of cap layer creates better sites for intercalation of Cs.

Characterizing SL-GaAs-CsO/graphene

QE: 0.7%	QE> 0.55%	Cs start to	Still Cs left
Intercalation	Excess top Cs	lose underneath	underneath
& Activation	goes away	of graphene	of graphene
45°C	>100°C	>250°C	>500°C

We activated the cathode, heat treated sample around 500°C,

took it out into the air, and put it back in the vacuum system,

that cathode still had 0.01% QE

- Graphene protection layer makes the GaAs cathode less susceptible to heating.
- Can sustain coarse vacuum environment with the protection layer. Meaning vacuum transfer of activated GaAs is a possibility.

OE > 0.014%

Polarized Electron Sources

Chapter 2: Based on Alkali Antimonide photocathodes

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 129, 166802 (2022)

Editors' Suggestion

New Spin-Polarized Electron Source Based on Alkali Antimonide Photocathode

V. S. Rusetsky[®],^{1,2} V. A. Golyashov[®],^{1,3,4} S. V. Eremeev,⁵ D. A. Kustov[®],¹ I. P. Rusinov,⁶ T. S. Shamirzaev[®],^{1,4} A. V. Mironov,² A. Yu. Demin,² and O. E. Tereshchenko[®],^{1,3,4,*}
¹Rzhanov Institute of Semiconductor Physics, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
²CJSC "Ekran FEP", Novosibirsk 630060, Russia
³Synchrotron radiation facility SKIF, Boreskov Institute of Catalysis, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Kol'tsovo 630559, Russia
⁴Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090 Russia
⁵Institute of Strength Physics and Materials Science, Tomsk 634055, Russia

Leads to our work on graphene protection

layer on alkali antimonide photocathodes for polarized electron,

using similar intercalation techniques

Sb desorption under high heat: XPS Spectra



Sample: 10 nm Sb on Si, Gr encapsulation



Monolayer graphene lets oxide removal due to high temperature

heat treatment, while retains metallic antimony

Biswas et al. APL Materials. 10, 111115 (2022)



Multiprobe surface analysis system located at CFN, BNL



Electron Spectro Microscopy beamline at NSLS II, BNL



- XPS, LEEM and XRD confirms formation of Cs₃Sb underneath of graphene
- XPS shows heating at even 500°C remains significant amount of Cs under the graphene. More protection on Alkali Antimonide compared with CsO GaAs based cathodes.



Our alkali antimonide cathodes with made with Cs intercalation of graphene shows slight improvement on the QE.

Summary

- Method of Intercalation was used to grow GaAs/CsO photocathode with monolayer graphene protection layer on top.
- LEEM verifies comparable work function reduction similar to CsO activation on GaAs.
- Initial result shows, graphene protection layer makes the cathode less susceptible to heating.
- Can sustain coarse vacuum environment with the protection layer. Meaning vacuum transfer of activated GaAs is a possibility.
- Method of Intercalation was used to grow Alkali Antimonide photocathode with monolayer graphene protection layer on top.
- Intercalation based alkali antimonide cathodes with graphene protection shows comparable spectral response as reported by others.
- Graphene on alkali-based photocathode add more protection compared to the protection on GaAs based cathodes.

> Research underway to explore other 2D material such as hBN.

Acknowledge:

Thanks to E. Wang, L. Cultrera, W. Liu, O. Rahman, M. Gaowei, K. Mondal, J. Skaritka, X. Tong, J. Sadowski, K. Kisslinger, T. Rao, Ao Liu, Shashi Poddar, John Smedley, Liliana Stan

Work supported by Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-SC0012704, DE-SC0013190 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The use of National Synchrotron Light Source II at Brookhaven National Laboratory is supported by U.S. Department of Energy Office of Science under Contract No. DE-AC02-98CH10886.

Thanks for your attentions!

Questions



