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> * Twist-2 GPDs: new approach


## Motivation for GPDs studies


[H. Abramowicz et al., whitepaper for NSAC LRP, 2007]
$\mathbf{1}_{\text {mom }}+2_{\text {coord }}$ tomographic images of quark distribution in nucleon at fixed longitudinal momentum

3-D image from FT of the longitudinal mom. transfer
$\star$ GPDs are not well-constrained experimentally:

- x-dependence extraction is not direct. DVCS amplitude: $\mathscr{H}=\int_{-1}^{+1} \frac{H(x, \xi, t)}{x-\xi+i \epsilon} d x$ (SDHEP [J. Qiu et al, arXiv:2205.07846] gives access to x )
- independent measurements to disentangle GPDs
- GPDs phenomenology more complicated than PDFs (multi-dimensionality)
- and more challenges ...

Essential to complement the knowledge on GPD from lattice QCD

## Hadron structure at core of nuclear physics

Tomographic imaging of proton has central role in the science program of EIC GPDs, FFs, GFFs, TMDs, ...
[R. Abdul Khalek et al.,
EIC Yellow Report 2021, arXiv:2103.05419]
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Lattice data may be incorporated in global analysis of experimental data and may influence parametrization of $t$ and $\xi$ dependence

Advances of lattice QCD are timely

## Accessing information on GPDs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mellin moments } \\
& \text { (local OPE expansion) } \\
& \bar{q}\left(-\frac{1}{2} z\right) \gamma^{\sigma} W\left[-\frac{1}{2} z, \frac{1}{2} z\right] q\left(\frac{1}{2} z\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} z_{\alpha_{1}} \ldots z_{\alpha_{n}}\left[\bar{q} \gamma^{\sigma} \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \overleftrightarrow{D}^{\alpha_{n}} q\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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Wide -t range that comes at the cost of 1
(in the majority of cases)

Matrix elements of non-local operators (quasi-GPDs, pseudo-GPDs, ...)

$$
\left\langle N\left(P_{f}\right)\right| \bar{\Psi}(z) \underset{\text { Wilson line }}{\frac{\mathscr{W}(z, 0) \Psi(0)}{}}\left|N\left(P_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{\mu}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle N\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right| O_{V}^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle=\bar{U}\left(P^{\prime}\right)\left\{\gamma^{\mu} H(x, \xi, t)+\frac{i \sigma^{\mu \nu} \Delta_{\nu}}{2 m_{N}} E(x, \xi, t)\right\} U(P)+\mathrm{ht}, \\
& \left\langle N\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right| O_{A}^{\mu}(x)|N(P)\rangle=\bar{U}\left(P^{\prime}\right)\left\{\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \widetilde{H}(x, \xi, t)+\frac{\gamma_{5} \Delta^{\mu}}{2 m_{N}} \widetilde{E}(x, \xi, t)\right\} U(P)+\mathrm{ht}, \\
& \left\langle N\left(P^{\prime}\right)\right| O_{T}^{\mu \nu}(x)|N(P)\rangle=\bar{U}\left(P^{\prime}\right)\left\{i \sigma^{\mu \nu} H_{T}(x, \xi, t)+\frac{\gamma^{\mu \mu} \Delta^{\nu]}}{2 m_{N}} E_{T}(x, \xi, t)+\frac{\bar{P}^{[\mu} \Delta^{\nu]}}{m_{N}^{2}} \widetilde{H}_{T}(x, \xi, t)+\frac{\gamma^{[\mu} \bar{P}^{\nu]}}{m_{N}} \widetilde{E}_{T}(x, \xi, t)\right\} U(P)+\mathrm{ht}
\end{aligned}
$$

## GPDs

## Through non-local matrix elements of fast-moving hadrons

## Access of PDFs/GPDs on a Euclidean Lattice

[X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002]
Matrix elements of nonlocal (equal-time) operators with fast moving hadrons

$$
\tilde{q}_{\Gamma}^{\operatorname{GPD}}\left(x, t, \xi, P_{3}, \mu\right)=\int \frac{d z}{4 \pi} e^{-i x P_{3} z}\left\langle N\left(P_{f}\right)\right| \bar{\Psi}(z) \Gamma \mathscr{W}(z, 0) \Psi(0)\left|N\left(P_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{\mu}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta=P_{f}-P_{i} \\
t=\Delta^{2}=-Q^{2} \\
\xi=\frac{Q_{3}}{2 P_{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$
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Accessing -t dependence:
Computatighally intensive
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Matching to light-cone GPDs
quasi distribution approach

x-dependence reconstruction
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$$
F^{\left[\gamma^{0}\right]}\left(x, \Delta ; \lambda, \lambda^{\prime} ; P^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 P^{0}} \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\left[\gamma^{0} H_{\mathrm{Q}(0)}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+\frac{i \sigma^{0 \mu} \Delta_{\mu}}{2 M} E_{\mathrm{Q}(0)}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right] u(p, \lambda)
$$

## Parameters of calculations

$\mathrm{Nf}=2+1+1$ twisted mass fermions with a clover term;
[Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 104, 074515 (2021), arXiv:2104.13408]

| Name | $\beta$ | $N_{f}$ | $L^{3} \times T$ | $a[\mathrm{fm}]$ | $M_{\pi}$ | $m_{\pi} L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cA211.32 | 1.726 | $u, d, s, c$ | $32^{3} \times 64$ | 0.093 | 260 MeV | 4 |

Calculation of connected diagram


| $P_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\vec{q}\left[\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right]$ | $-t\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $N_{\mathrm{ME}}$ | $N_{\text {confs }}$ | $N_{\text {src }}$ | $N_{\text {total }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 2 | 194 | 8 | 3104 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 2 | 731 | 16 | 23392 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 2 | 1644 | 64 | 210432 |
| $\pm 0.83$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 | 8 | 67 | 8 | 4288 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 | 8 | 249 | 8 | 15936 |
| $\pm 1.67$ | $( \pm 2,0,0)$ | 0.69 | 8 | 294 | 32 | 75264 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.38 | 16 | 224 | 8 | 28672 |
| $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0)$ | 2.76 | 8 | 329 | 32 | 84224 |
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Symmetric frame
computationally
expensive


Suppressing gauge noise and reliably extracting the ground state comes at a significant computational cost
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## First lattice calculation of x-dependent GPDs


[C. Alexandrou et al., PRL 125, 262001 (2020)]


* ERBL/DGLAP: Qualitative differences
$\star \xi= \pm x$ inaccessible (formalism breaks down)
$\star \quad x \rightarrow 1$ region: qualitatively comparison with power counting analysis [F. Yuan, PRD69 (2004) 051501, hep-ph/0311288] - $t$-dependence vanishes at large- $x$
- $\quad H(x, 0)$ asymptotically equal to $f_{1}(x)$

important contribution in the proton spin

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} d x x^{2} H^{q}(x, \xi, t)=A_{20}^{q}(t)+4 \xi^{2} C_{20}^{q}(t), \quad \int_{-1}^{+1} d x x^{2} E^{q}(x, \xi, t)=B_{20}^{q}(t)-4 \xi^{2} C_{20}^{q}(t)
$$

## Exploration of twist-3 GPDs
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$$
\begin{aligned}
F^{\left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5}\right]}\left(x, \Delta ; P^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 P^{3}} \bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) & {\left[P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right.} \\
& +\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma_{5}}{2 m} F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{5} F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right) \\
& \left.+\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+i \varepsilon_{\perp}^{\mu \nu} \Delta_{\nu} \frac{\gamma^{3}}{P^{3}} F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[D. Kiptily and M. Polyakov, Eur. Phys. J. C37 (2004) 105, arXiv:hep-ph/0212372]
[F. Aslan et a., Phys. Rev. D 98, 014038 (2018), arXiv:1802.06243]
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$$
F^{\left[\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5]}\right]}\left(x, \Delta ; P^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 P^{3}} \bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\left[P^{\mu} \frac{\gamma^{3} \gamma_{5}}{P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{H}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+P^{\mu} \frac{\Delta^{3} \gamma_{5}}{2 m P^{0}} F_{\widetilde{E}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right.
$$

$$
+\Delta_{\perp}^{\mu} \frac{\gamma_{5}}{2 m} F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)+\gamma_{\perp}^{\mu} \gamma_{5} F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)
$$

$$
8 \longdiv { \square \widetilde { \mathbb { H } ^ { 2 } + \widetilde { \mathrm { G } } _ { 2 } , - t = 0 . 6 9 \mathrm { GeV } ^ { 2 } } }
$$

[D. Kiptily and M. Polyakov, Eur. Phys. J. C37 (2004) 105, arXiv:hep-ph/0212372]
[F. Aslan et a., Phys. Rev. D 98, 014038 (2018), arXiv:1802.06243]

## New parametrization of GPDs
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Recent addition:
Josh Miller (Temple University)

For latest updates see Miller's Lattice Conference 2023 talk here

## Theoretical setup

## Theoretical setup

$\gamma^{+}$inspired parametrization is prohibitively expensive

$$
F^{\left[\gamma^{0}\right]}\left(x, \Delta ; \lambda, \lambda^{\prime} ; P^{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2 P^{0}} \bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}, \lambda^{\prime}\right)\left[\gamma^{0} H_{\mathrm{Q}} \quad \lambda^{1 /}+\frac{i \sigma^{0 \mu} \Delta_{\mu}}{2 M} E_{\mathrm{Q}(0)}\left(x, \xi, t ; P^{3}\right)\right] u(p, \lambda)
$$

## Theoretical setup
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Light-cone GPDs using lattice correlators in non-symmetric frames

## Theoretical setup
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(A) $A_{i}$ are to the standard $H, E$ GPDs $\quad \mathcal{H}_{0}^{s}\left(A_{i}^{s} ; z\right)=A_{1}+\frac{z\left(\Delta_{1}^{2}+\Delta_{2}^{2}\right)}{2 P_{3}} A_{6}$
(B) Extraction of standard GPDs using $A_{i}$ obtained from any frame
(C) quasi-GPDs may be redefined (Lorentz covariant) inspired by light-cone:
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## Goals

(A) $A_{i}$ are to the standard $H, E$ GPDs $\quad \mathcal{H}_{0}^{s}\left(A_{i}^{s} ; z\right)=A_{1}+\frac{z\left(\Delta_{1}^{2}+\Delta_{2}^{2}\right)}{2 P_{3}} A_{6}$
(B) Extraction of standard GPDs using $A_{i}$ obtained from any frame
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(z \cdot P, z \cdot \Delta, t=\Delta^{2}, z^{2}\right)=A_{1}+\frac{\Delta_{s / a} \cdot z}{P_{\text {avg,s/a }} \cdot z} A_{3} \\
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(A) Proof-of-concept calculation $(\xi=0)$ :

- symmetric frame: $\quad \vec{p}_{f}^{s}=\vec{P}+\frac{\vec{Q}}{2}, \quad \vec{p}_{i}^{s}=\vec{P}-\frac{\vec{Q}}{2} \quad-t^{s}=\vec{Q}^{2}=0.69 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
- asymmetric frame: $\quad \vec{p}_{f}^{a}=\vec{P}, \quad \vec{p}_{i}^{a}=\vec{P}-\vec{Q} \quad t^{a}=-\vec{Q}^{2}+\left(E_{f}-E_{i}\right)^{2}=0.65 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$


## Parameters of calculations

## $\mathrm{Nf}=2+1+1$ twisted mass fermions with a clover term;

[Extended Twisted Mass Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 104, 074515 (2021), arXiv:2104.13408]

| Name | $\beta$ | $N_{f}$ | $L^{3} \times T$ | $a[\mathrm{fm}]$ | $M_{\pi}$ | $m_{\pi} L$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cA211.32 | 1.726 | $u, d, s, c$ | $32^{3} \times 64$ | 0.093 | 260 MeV | 4 |


| frame | $P_{3}[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\Delta\left[\frac{2 \pi}{L}\right]$ | $-t\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $\xi$ | $N_{\mathrm{ME}}$ | $N_{\text {confs }}$ | $N_{\text {src }}$ | $N_{\text {tot }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | $\pm 1.25$ | $(0,0,0)$ | 0 | 0 | 2 | 731 | 16 | 23392 |
| symm | $\pm 0.83$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.69 | 0 | 8 | 67 | 8 | 4288 |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.69 | 0 | 8 | 249 | 8 | 15936 |
| symm | $\pm 1.67$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.69 | 0 | 8 | 294 | 32 | 75264 |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.39 | 0 | 16 | 224 | 8 | 28672 |
| symm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0),(0, \pm 4,0)$ | 2.76 | 0 | 8 | 329 | 32 | 84224 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1,0,0),(0, \pm 1,0)$ | 0.17 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1, \pm 1,0)$ | 0.33 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 12416 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2,0,0),(0, \pm 2,0)$ | 0.64 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1, \pm 2,0),( \pm 2, \pm 1,0)$ | 0.80 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 12416 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 2, \pm 2,0)$ | 1.16 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 24832 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 3,0,0),(0, \pm 3,0)$ | 1.37 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 1, \pm 3,0),( \pm 3, \pm 1,0)$ | 1.50 | 0 | 16 | 194 | 8 | 12416 |
| asymm | $\pm 1.25$ | $( \pm 4,0,0),(0, \pm 4,0)$ | 2.26 | 0 | 8 | 429 | 8 | 27456 |
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## Results: matrix elements

$\star$ Eight independent matrix elements needed to disentangle the $A_{i}$


* Asymmetric frame: ME do not have definite symmetries in $\pm P_{3}, \pm Q, \pm z$
* Noisy ME lead to challenges in extracting $A_{i}$ of sub-leading magnitude


## Comparison of $A_{i}$ in two frames

Unpolarized GPDs

$\star A_{1}, A_{5}$ dominant contributions
Full agreement in two frames for both Re and Im parts of $A_{1}, A_{5}$
$\star A_{3}, A_{4}, A_{8}$ zero at $\xi=0$
$\star A_{2}, A_{6}, A_{7}$ suppressed (at least for this kinematic setup and $\xi=0$ )

## Comparison of $A_{i}$ in two frames

Unpolarized GPDs


## H, E light-cone GPDs

## quasi-GPDs transformed to momentum space

Matching formalism to 1 loop accuracy level
+/-x correspond to quark and anti-quark region
Anti-quark region susceptible to systematic uncertainties.


$-t=0.17 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$-t=0.33 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$-t=0.64 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$-t=0.80 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$-t=1.16 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$-t=1.37 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$-t=1.50 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$
$-t=2.26 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$

## Similar analysis for helicity GPDs

## $H$ light-cone GPD



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{F}^{\mu}(z, P, \Delta) \equiv\left\langle p_{f} ; \lambda^{\prime}\right| \bar{\psi}\left(-\frac{z}{2}\right) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \mathcal{W}\left(-\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2}\right) \psi\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)\left|p_{i} ; \lambda\right\rangle \\
&=\bar{u}\left(p_{f}, \lambda^{\prime}\right) {\left[\frac{i \epsilon^{\mu P z \Delta}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{1}+\gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \widetilde{A}_{2}+\gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{3}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{4}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{5}\right)\right.} \\
&\left.+m \not \approx \gamma_{5}\left(\frac{P^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{6}+m z^{\mu} \widetilde{A}_{7}+\frac{\Delta^{\mu}}{m} \widetilde{A}_{8}\right)\right] u\left(p_{i}, \lambda\right),
\end{aligned}
$$



How to lattice QCD data fit into the overall effort for hadron tomography
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* Lattice data may be incorporated in global analysis of experimental data and may influence parametrization of $t$ and $\xi$ dependence


1. Theoretical studies of high-momentum transfer processes using perturbative QCD methods and study of GPDs properties
2. Lattice QCD calculations of GPDs and related structures
3. Global analysis of GPDs based on experimental data using modern data analysis techniques for inference and uncertainty quantification

## Synergies: constraints \& predictive power of lattice QCD


[JAM/HadStruc, PRD105 (2022) 114051]
proton \& neutron radius

[Atac et al., Nature Comm. 12, 1759 (2021)]

helicity PDF

[JAM \& ETMC, PRD 103 (2021) 016003]

Experiments, global analysis
transversity PDF

[JAM, PRD 106 (2022) 3, 034014]

And many more!

## Summary

* Lattice QCD data on GPDs will play an important role in the pre-EIC era and can complement experimental efforts of JLab@12GeV
* New proposal for Lorentz invariant decomposition has great advantages:
- significant reduction of computational cost
- access to a broad range of $t$ and $\xi$
* Future calculations have the potential to transform the field of GPDs
* Synergy with phenomenology is an exciting prospect!

QGT Collaboration will be instrumental in such effort
M. Constantinou, SPIN 2023
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## Miscellaneous

M. Constantinou, SPIN 2023

## Consistency checks

* Norms satisfied encouraging results

| GPD | $P_{3}=0.83[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=0.69\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.25[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=0.69\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.67[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=0.69\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.25[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=1.38\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.25[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=2.76\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\widetilde{H}$ | $0.741(21)$ | $0.712(27)$ | $0.802(48)$ | $0.499(21)$ | $0.281(18)$ |
| $\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}$ | $0.719(25)$ | $0.750(33)$ | $0.788(70)$ | $0.511(36)$ | $0.336(34)$ |

## Consistency checks

$\star$ Norms satisfied
encouraging results

| GPD | $P_{3}=0.83[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=0.69\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.25[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=0.69\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.67[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=0.69\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.25[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=1.38\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ | $P_{3}=1.25[\mathrm{GeV}]$ <br> $-t=2.76\left[\mathrm{GeV}^{2}\right]$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\widetilde{H}$ | $0.741(21)$ | $0.712(27)$ | $0.802(48)$ | $0.499(21)$ | $0.281(18)$ |
| $\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}$ | $0.719(25)$ | $0.750(33)$ | $0.788(70)$ | $0.511(36)$ | $0.336(34)$ |

## * Alternative kinematic setup can be utilized

[Fernanda Steffens]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}=\frac{1}{2 m^{2}} \frac{z_{3} P_{0}^{2}\left(\Delta_{\perp}\right)^{2}}{P_{3}}+A_{2} & F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}}=\frac{1}{2 m^{2}}\left(z_{3} P_{0}^{2} \Delta_{3}-z_{3} P_{3} P_{0} \Delta_{0}\right) A_{1}-z_{3} P_{3} A_{8} \\
F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}=\frac{2 z_{3} P_{0}^{2}}{P_{3}}+2 A_{5} & F_{\widetilde{G}_{3}}=\frac{1}{m^{2}}\left(z_{3} P_{0} P_{3}^{2}-z_{3} P_{0}^{3}\right) A_{1}
\end{array}
$$



FIG. 10. $z_{\max }$ dependence of $F_{\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}}$ and $\widetilde{H}+\widetilde{G}_{2}$ (left), as well as $F_{\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}}$ and $\widetilde{E}+\widetilde{G}_{1}$ (right) at $-t=0.69 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $P_{3}=1.25 \mathrm{GeV}$. Results are given in the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme at a scale of 2 GeV .


FIG. 11. $z_{\max }$ dependence of $F_{\widetilde{G}_{4}}$ and $\widetilde{G}_{4}$ at $-t=0.69 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and $P_{3}=1.25 \mathrm{GeV}$. Results are given in $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme at a scale of 2 GeV .

## Extension to twist-3 tensor GPDs

## Extension to twist-3 tensor GPDs



## Extension to twist-3 tensor GPDs

Parametrization [Meissner et al., JHEP 08 (2009) 056]

$$
F^{\left[\sigma^{+-} \gamma_{5}\right]}=\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}\right)\left(\gamma^{+} \gamma_{5} \widetilde{H}_{2}^{\prime}+\frac{P^{+} \gamma_{5}}{M} \widetilde{E}_{2}^{\prime}\right) u(p)
$$
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