Measurement of Lepton Lepton Electroweak Reaction (MOLLER)

Overview of the MOLLER Experiment

Krishna Kumar **MOLLER** Collaboration Spokesperson UMass, Amherst

January 27, 2022











Outline

The MOLLER Science Discovery Reach

- **Global Context**
- **The observable and the experimental goal**
- ★ Search for New Neutral Current Interactions
- The MOLLER Apparatus
 - **A** Overview of a parity violating electron scattering asymmetry measurement
 - **A** Overview of the MOLLER Apparatus
 - **Snapshots of Recent Progress**

The MOLLER Collaboration

MOLLER Science Overview









Physics Context for the Discovery Space of MOLLER

Unravelling "New Dynamics" in the **Early Universe:** how did nuclear matter form and evolve?

courtesy V. Cirigliano, H. Maruyama, M. Pospelov ∧ (~TeV)

M_{W,Z} (100 GeV)

Nuclear Physics Initiatives: "Low" Energy: Q² << M_Z²

Leptonic and Semileptonic Weak Neutral Current Interactions

Tiny yet measurable deviations from precisely calculable SM processes

MOLLER Science Overview



Search for new flavor diagonal neutral currents





Sensitivity of the Observable: PV Asymmetry in Møller Scattering



MOLLER Science Overview

Weak Mixing Angle Measurements at Low Energy

Atomic Parity Violation: Cs-133 future measurements and theory challenging Neutrino Deep Inelastic Scattering: NuTeV future measurements and theory challenging PV Møller Scattering: E158 at SLAC statistics limited, theory robust next generation: MOLLER (factor of 5 better) PV elastic e-p scattering: Qweak theory robust at low beam energy next generation: P2 (factor of 3 better) PV Deep Inelastic Scattering: PVDIS theory robust for ²H in valence quark region factor of 5 improvement: **SOLID**

4th Generation Parity-Violating Electron Scattering Experiment at JLab

MOLLER Science Overview

State of the Art

- sub-part per billion statistical reach and systematic control
- sub-1% normalization control

Unique opportunity leveraging 12 GeV Upgrade investment

MOLLER: Special purpose installation in Hall A

Asymmetry Measurement Overview

Suppose instantaneous signal rate ~ 100 GHz and the beam helicity is reversed at 2 kHz

1 kHz Pulse Pair Width: ~100 ppm

Detector D, Current I: F = D/I

$$\frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{pair}} = \frac{\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R}} - \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{R}} + \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{L}}}$$

I order: $x, y, \theta_x, \theta_y, E$ *II order: e.g. spot-size*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{\text{pair}} &= \frac{\Delta F}{2F} \\ \left(A_{cxpt}\right)_{i} &= \left(\frac{\Delta F}{2F} - \frac{\Delta I}{2I}\right)_{i} \end{aligned}$$

Must minimize both random and helicity correlated fluctuations due to electron beam trajectory, energy and spot-size

After corrections, variance of A_{pair} must get as close to counting statistics as possible: ~ 100 ppm (1kHz pairs); central value then reflects A_{phys}

+ ∆**A**

$$\sum_{j} \left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{j} \left(\Delta X_{j} \right)_{i} \right)$$

Projected Uncertainty Tables

Contributions to \sigma_{pair} - "Pair width"

Parameter	Random Noise (65 μ A)	A_{P}
Statistical width (0.5 ms)	\sim 82 ppm	
Target Density Fluctuation	30 ppm	
Beam Intensity Resolution	10 ppm	
Beam Position Noise	7 ppm	
Detector Resolution (25%)	21 ppm (3.1%)	
Electronics noise	10 ppm	
Measured Width (σ_{pair})	91 ppm	
$\sigma_{A_{cxpt}} = 0.54 \text{ppb} A_{cxpt}$	$\sim 26 \text{ ppb} \frac{\sigma_{A_{cxpt}}}{A_{cxpt}} =$	= 2.1%

Experimental design driven by these goals: Statistical error: Measure A_{expt} with precision ~ 2% Systematic error: Measure and/or minimize all systematic error sources so their individual contributions are < 1%, resulting in statistics limited experiment

Uncertainty budget for *A*_{*PV*}

$\frac{A_{cxp}}{P_b}$	$f_{bkgd} A_{bkgd}$
	1 – f _{bkgd}

Fractional Er
2.1
0.5
0.4
0.4
0.4
0.4
0.3
0.3
0.3
0.2
0.1
0.1
(1.1)

Combined
$$\frac{\delta A_{PV}}{A_{PV}} = 2.4\%$$

MOLLER Apparatus Characteristics From 30000 ft

Evolutionary Improvements from Technology of Third Generation Experiments

- **High intensity polarized electron source**
- ~ 134 GHz scattered electron rate
- 1 nm control of beam centroid on target
- ~ 9 gm/cm² liquid hydrogen target
 - •1.25 m: ~4 kW @ 70 μA
- Full Azimuthal acceptance w/ θ_{lab} ~ 5 mrad
 - novel toroidal spectrometer assemblies
 - radiation hard, segmented integrating detectors
- Robust & Redundant 0.4% beam polarimetry
- MOLLER Collaboration Team
- Experience from SAMPLE, A4, HAPPEX, G0, PREX, Qweak, E158
- Technical Design Report Draft is being internally reviewed
- To be updated with final design details to ArXiv by Mar '23

18000 20000 2

Beamline, Target and Polarimetry

Electron Beam Polarimetry

- Two independent measurements
- Compton: continuous monitor
- Møller: invasive at low beam current

Liquid Hydrogen Target

- up to 70 μ A on 125 cm LH₂ target 3.7 kW
- Q_{weak} experience: use of CFD (computational fluid dynamics) Main requirement: minimize target density fluctuations ($\Delta \rho / \rho$): Γ_{target} < 30 ppm for 70 μA, 5x5 mm² raster, 1.92 kHz flip

MOLLER Science Overview

Beamline and Beam Monitoring

- Redundant position, angle, intensity monitoring
- Intensity, position monitor resolution requirements

Spectrometer Acceptance and Collimation

Primary and Auxiliary Integrating and Tracking Detectors

Tracking (counting mode) detectors:

spectrometer calibration, electron scattering angle distribution, and background measurements

- Gas electron multipliers (GEM) detectors
- "Pion" acrylic Cherenkov detectors

MOLLER Science Overview

Integrating (current mode) detectors: asymmetry measurements of both signal and background, and beam and target monitoring

Readout Electronics:

- Integration mode DAQ & trigger - Collect & analyaize100% of the helicity windows
- Counting mode DAQ & trigger *— input rates between 10~kHz and 300~kHz*

Jefferson Lab

Pre-Production Prototypes being actively tested!

Set of 4 downstream magnet coils fabricated; tests validate design/ fabrication process

Prototype Detector Module components from UMass 3D-Printing facility


~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Jefferson Lab

![](_page_13_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **MOLLER Collaboration:** ~ 180 authors, 34 institutions, 4 countries

# Spokesperson: K. Kumar, UMass, Amherst **Executive Board Chair and Deputy Spokesperson: M. Pitt, Virginia Tech**

## **Other Executive Board Members**

D. Armstrong (William & Mary), J. Fast (JLab), M. Gericke (Manitoba), M. Jones (JLab), J. Mammei (Manitoba), K. Paschke (UVa), P. Souder (Syracuse U.)

## **MOLLER Working Groups**

**Polarized Source Beam Instrumentation** Hydrogen Target **Spectrometer Integrating Detectors** S. Covrig, M. Dion, C. Gal, P. King, Y. **Tracking Detectors Hall Integration Polarimetry Electronics/DAQ/Offline** Simulations **Physics Extraction** 

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

R. Beminiwattha, J. Butler, V. Berdnikov,

Kolomensky, N. Liyanage, D. McNulty,

D. Meekins, R. Michaels, J. Napolitano,

C. Palatchi, R. Wines, C. Zorn

Institutional Board of Pl's: Jim Napolitano, Chair

**MOLLER Science Overview** 

**MOLLER Project Personnel** J. Fast, MOLLER Project Manager **Project Leads** 

**Control Account Managers** 

**Technical Leads** 

![](_page_14_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_21.jpeg)

## The MOLLER experiment is in an exciting phase in its evolution!

 $\blacklozenge$  A long early road to realize the experiment! (2007-2016) Concept developed ~ 2007, PAC approval ~ 2009, beamtime allocation and rating ~ 2011 Intense pre-conceptual design activity to validate the experimental strategy  $\star$ CD-0 awarded in December 2016 Project team formed in January 2019 - Software development **First DOE OPC Funds in Summer 2019 Jim Fast took over project leadership in Summer 2020** - Experiment commissioning MIE Project is now ballistic with a fantastic collaborative team ★ CD-1 awarded in December 2021 IRA Funds (31M\$) committed in Fall 2022 Anticipate CD3A in a couple of months and CD2/CD3 by the end of the summer Installation envisioned for Nov '24 thru calendar '25 Historically, parity violation experiments have had multiple graduate students from

different institutions write theses on the same final physics measurements PREX/CREX had 14 graduate students and Qweak had 27 graduate students

### We welcome new Pl's, especially those with interested graduate students and postdocs Jefferson Lab 16

- Starting this Fall, we will adiabatically pivot to a more conventional preparation phase:

  - Installation and equipment checkout

![](_page_15_Picture_13.jpeg)

# Summary

- **MOLLER** represents an outstanding opportunity to take advantage of the unique instrument (11 GeV CEBAF beam) enabled by the 12 GeV upgrade
- The science case remains compelling; the plan is to run physics at about the time that precision results from high luminosity phases of 14 TeV LHC are becoming available
- The science goals cannot be accomplished in existing or planned facilities worldwide
- Rapidly maturing engineering design and prototyping efforts have led to readiness for CD-3A and CD-2/CD-3 soon thereafter
- An enthusiastic and well-experienced international collaboration with an integrated project team has achieved a mature engineering design and is launching into construction and installation of the apparatus and DAQ/analysis software development, followed by commissioning, data collection and physics analysis

phases of the MOLLER experiment a success

We welcome new collaborators to help us make the next exciting and challenging

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

# Backup

![](_page_17_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

# The CEBAF Polarized Electron Beam: Essential Characteristics

### Highly intense, stable, high energy electron beam with **longitudinal beam polarization**

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Figure of merit rises linearly** with beam energy: experiment not viable below a few GeV with current state-of-the-art

**MOLLER** will plan to use 1.96 kHz reversal to reverse the electron beam helicity

CEBAF beam properties: 2 kHz time scale (~ppm, microns) AND days (~ppb, nm) must be carefully tuned, actively monitored and maintained with proper diagnostics

Extensive operation experience in manipulating injector characteristics to control systematics 10's of ppb beam charge asymmetry and  $\sim 1$  nm control of position asymmetry

Møller PV measurement cannot be done elsewhere; JLab's beam characteristics are unique

**MOLLER Science Overview** 

![](_page_18_Figure_9.jpeg)

Systematic control likely impossible without a "cold" **"CW" machine** 

![](_page_18_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_17.jpeg)

# **Conceptual Overview of the Experimental Technique**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

•Optical pumping of a GaAs wafer: "black magic" chemical treatment to boost quantum efficiency

•Rapid helicity reversal: polarization sign flips > 100 Hz to minimize the impact of drifts

•Helicity-correlated beam motion: under sign flip, beam stability at the sub-micron level

## "Flux Integration": very high rates

direct scattered flux to background-free region

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Can MOLLER physics be done elsewhere in the world?

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

If the MOLLER measurement is not carried out, purely leptonic interactions will remain unexplored for at least another decade

- Cleanliness of Theoretical Prediction • Electroweak Physics • New "Low" Energy Physics
- **Three other aspects:**

**MOLLER Science Overview** 

- **Search for New Interactions: carefully chosen low** energy experiments complement direct searches
- LHC and future EIC sensitive to new lepton-hadron interactions
- New purely leptonic interactions: MOLLER is accessing discovery space that cannot be reached until the advent of a new lepton collider or neutrino factory
- There are no concrete plans anywhere worldwide to build a next generation lepton collider or neutrino factory, both billion dollar class facilities that would take a decade or more to realize.

![](_page_20_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_19.jpeg)

# **Comparison with High Energy Colliders**

### **Carefully chosen low energy experiments complement direct searches**

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

Lacking any direct evidence for new particles besides the Higgs, both colliders and fixed target experiments search for new physics by looking for deviations from Standard Model predictions

### LHC searching for leptonhadron interactions

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> Fixed

cannot be reached until the advent of a new lepton collider or neutrino factory

**MOLLER Science Overview** 

| LEP200 searched for lepton-<br>lepton interactions                 |                                                |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|                                                                    | 95% C.L.                                       |  |  |  |
| <b>Collisions</b> LEP200 Reach                                     | $\Lambda_{\rm LL}^{\rm ee} \sim 8.3~{\rm TeV}$ |  |  |  |
| <b>1 Target</b> E158 Reach                                         | $\Lambda^{ m ee}_{ m LL} \sim 12~{ m TeV}$     |  |  |  |
| <b>MOLLER Reach</b> $\Lambda_{\rm LL}^{\rm ee} \sim 27 \ { m TeV}$ |                                                |  |  |  |
|                                                                    |                                                |  |  |  |
| ER is accessing discovery space that                               |                                                |  |  |  |

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_14.jpeg)

## **Theory Prediction and Radiative Corrections**

### The Standard Model Prediction: Remarkably Well-Known

 $A_{PV} = \frac{\rho G_F Q^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \frac{1-y}{1+y^4 + (1-y)^4} \{1 - 4\kappa(0)\sin^2\theta_W(m_Z)_{\overline{\text{MS}}}\}$ +  $\frac{\alpha(m_Z)}{4\pi\hat{s}^2} - \frac{3\alpha(m_Z)}{32\pi\hat{s}^2\hat{c}^2}(1-4\hat{s}^2)[1+(1-4\hat{s}^2)^2]$ +  $F_1(y,Q^2) + F_2(y,Q^2)$   $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \kappa(0) \text{ known to 1\% of itself}_{0.245} \\ \text{Erler and Ferro-Hernandez (2018)} \end{array} \right\}$  $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{W}}^{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{1} - 4 \sin^2 \theta_{\mathbf{W}} \sim \mathbf{0.075} \Longrightarrow \mathbf{0.045}$ δ(Q<sup>e</sup>w)  $\frac{\delta(Q_W)}{Q_W} \sim 10\% \Longrightarrow \frac{\delta(\sin^2 \theta_W)}{\sin^2 \theta_W} \sim 0.5\%$ **≾ 0.4% 2** groups working on **2**-loop Calculations Aleksejevs and Barkanova Series of publications  $\sim$ Du, Freitas, Patel and Ramsey-Musolf (e) Recent closed-fermion loops: arXiv:1912.08220 **MOLLER Science Overview** 

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **New (Low Energy) Physics Examples**

### Many different scenarios give rise to effective 4-electron contact interaction amplitudes: significant discovery potential

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

**MOLLER Science Overview** 

![](_page_23_Figure_6.jpeg)

## **Global Context Summary**

best contact interaction reach for leptons at low OR high energy: similar to LHC reach with semi-leptonic amplitudes

To do better for a 4-lepton contact interaction would require: Giga-Z factory, linear collider, neutrino factory or muon collider

 $\delta(sin^2\theta_W) = \pm 0.00023$  (stat.)

Best projected uncertainties among projects being considered over next 10 years worldwide

## If LHC sees ANY anomaly in the mid-2020s

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **Discovery scenarios beyond LHC signatures**

- Hidden weak scale scenarios  $\star$ 
  - **Lepton Number Violating Amplitudes**
  - **Light Dark Matter Mediators**

**MOLLER Science Overview** 

 $\star$ 

 $\star$ 

$$\pm 0.00012$$
 (syst.)  $\longrightarrow \sim 0.1\%$ 

The unique MOLLER discovery space becomes pressing, with a few others (e.g. g-2 anomaly)

**Most sensitive discovery** reach over the next decade for CP-/flavor-conserving or LNV scattering amplitudes

![](_page_24_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_18.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_19.jpeg)

# **Alternatives Analysis Summary Table**

|                     | Reaction                                | $sin^2 \theta_W$<br>Precision | <b>Technical Requrements</b>                 | Feasibliity                                | Cost        | Possible<br>Timeline | Comments                                                 |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| MOLLER              | ee-ee                                   | 0.1%                          | 11 GeV, polarimetry                          | reviewed                                   | ~ 40M\$     | 2025                 |                                                          |
| Other Møller        | ee-ee                                   | 0.5%?                         | > 10 GeV e-e collider<br>with spin           | unknown                                    | >> 100M\$   | N/A                  | Possible JLEIC figure-8<br>modification                  |
| Other PVES          | ee-qq                                   | 0.15 - 0.25<br>%              | MESA P2<br>JLab SOLID                        | likely<br>studied                          | 30 - 70 M\$ | 2024<br>2027         | additional hadronic<br>uncertainties studied             |
| Hadron<br>Collider  | qq-ee                                   | 0.1%<br>0.3%                  | > 300 inv. fb at LHC 250 inv. fb at EIC      | <ul> <li>likely</li> <li>likely</li> </ul> | -           | 2025<br>2030s        | Requires pdf uncertainty reduction                       |
| Lepton<br>Collider  | ee-µµ                                   | 0.1%?                         | > 500 GeV electron-<br>positron collider     | studied                                    | > 1B\$      | > 2035               | No current plans to move forward                         |
| Neutrino DIS        | vv-qq                                   | 0.2%?                         | fine-grained large                           | studied                                    | > 100 M\$   | ~ 2030               | DUNE Near-Detector upgr                                  |
|                     | $\nu\mu$ -q <sub>1</sub> q <sub>2</sub> |                               | calorimeter + $\nu$ beam                     |                                            |             |                      | QCD uncertainties                                        |
| Elastic<br>Neutrino | νε-νε                                   | 0.5%?                         | Reactor neutrino<br>experiments              | studied                                    | unknown     | unknown              | Requires upgrades of exis                                |
|                     | vv-qq                                   |                               |                                              |                                            |             |                      |                                                          |
| Atomic PV           | ee-qq                                   | 0.3%?                         | Ra+, Cs, Fr or Th beams,<br>custom apparatus | studies<br>ongoing                         | unknown     | unknown              | Feasibility studies ongoing<br>(Mainz, TRIUMF, KVI, Purc |

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Sensitivity to 4-Lepton Contact Interactions from Low Energy and Colliders

$$\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|g_{RR}^2 - g_{LL}^2|}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sqrt{2}G_F |\Delta Q_W^e|}}$$

 $\simeq \frac{246.22 \text{ GeV}}{\sqrt{0.023 Q_W^e}} = 7.5 \text{ TeV}.$ 

| Model      | $\eta^f_{LL}$ | $\eta^f_{RR}$ | $\eta^f_{LR}$ | $\eta^f_{RL}$ |
|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| $LL^{\pm}$ | $\pm 1$       | 0             | 0             | 0             |
| $RR^{\pm}$ | 0             | ±1            | 0             | 0             |
| $LR^{\pm}$ | 0             | 0             | ±1            | 0             |
| $RL^{\pm}$ | 0             | 0             | 0             | $\pm 1$       |
| $VV^{\pm}$ | $\pm 1$       | $\pm 1$       | $\pm 1$       | $\pm 1$       |
| $AA^{\pm}$ | $\pm 1$       | $\pm 1$       | 干1            | <b></b>       |
| $VA^{\pm}$ | ±1            | <b></b>       | ±1            | <b></b>       |

**MOLLER Science Overview** 

95% C.L. Limits

 $\Lambda^{\rm ee}_{
m LL}\sim 27~{
m TeV}$  $\Lambda^{\rm ee}_{
m R.R.-LL}\sim 38~{
m TeV}$ **MOLLER** is accessing discovery space that cannot be reached until the advent of a new lepton collider

Conventional Collider Contact Interaction Analysis:  $\implies |g_{_{RR}}^2 - g_{_{LL}}^2| = 4\pi$ 

Simultaneous fits to cross-sections and angular distributions LEP200  $\Lambda^{\rm ee}_{
m LL} \sim 8.3~{
m TeV}$  $\Lambda^{
m ll}_{
m LL} \sim 12.8~{
m TeV}$  $\Lambda^{
m ll}_{
m RR}\sim 12.2~{
m TeV}$  $\Lambda^{
m ee}_{
m RR}\sim 8.2~{
m TeV}$  $\Lambda_{
m VV}^{
m ll}\sim 22.2~{
m TeV}$  $\Lambda_{
m VV}^{
m ee} \sim 17.7~{
m TeV}$ E158 Reach (actual limits asymmetric)  $\Lambda^{\mathrm{ee}}_{\mathrm{LL}} \sim 12 \ \mathrm{TeV}$   $\Lambda^{\mathrm{ee}}_{\mathrm{RR}-\mathrm{LL}} \sim 17 \ \mathrm{TeV}$ 

### LEP-200 insensitive **MOLLER Reach**

![](_page_26_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_17.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_18.jpeg)

### **Relevant Technical and Operational Experience from 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Experiments**

Detectors

**UVA GEM** 

AT Detectors

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

**MOLLER Science Overview** 

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Radiation Shielding: Close collaboration** between collaboration physicists, engineers and Radiation Safety

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_9.jpeg)

# 100% Azimuthal Acceptance for Møller Scattering

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)