Three-body dynamics of the a₁(1260)

from lattice QCD

Michael Doering

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON, DC





4th Workshop on Future Directions in Spectroscopy Analysis (FDSA2022)

Review 2B-lattice: [Briceno] Reviews 3B-lattice: [Hansen] [Mai] Review hadron resonances: [Mai]

Key publications Finite-Volume Unitary (FVU) approach:

- Three-body unitarity [Mai]
- Three-body unitarity finite volume [Mai]
- a₁ in finite volume & results from IQCD [Mai]

Talk outline:

- 3-body unitarity
- a₁ in infinite volume
- a₁ in finite volume





Progress in last three years alone (narrowly defined for 3B)

- Whitepapers: Snowmass whitepaper amplitude analysis: [1], Snowmass whitepaper lattice: [2]
- FVU papers: a₁ pole phenomenological: [3], a₁ → πσ inf. volume: [4], a₁ lQCD/PRL: [5], Review 3B lattice: [6], 3B force: [7], 3K⁺: [8], a₁ Dalitz: [9], 3π⁺ GWQCD data: [10] 3π⁺ interpretation Hanlon Data: [11], cross channel ππ: [12], Resonance review (preprint): [13], (ρ with ETMC [14], φ⁴ equivalence FVU/RFT [15])
- RFT papers: 3π⁺ HadSpec "Dalitz"/inf. vol. amplitude: [16], Decay amplitude to 3 hadrons: [17], 3 pions all isospins: [18], Review 3B fin vol Hansen: [19], QC π⁺π⁺K⁺: [20], Higher-spin isobars: [21], Non-degenerate scalars 3B: [22] Alternative derivation 3B QC [23], ETMC/Bonn 3π⁺: [24]. 3π⁺ PRL analysis [25] of Hanlon/Hoerz data: [26]
- (N)REFT: Resonance form factor from corr functions [27], Spurious poles [28], EFT Book [29], Rel.-inv. formulation [30], φ⁴ test scattering [31], Lüscher-Lellouch analog 3body [32], Analytic energy shift 3B ground state [33], N-particle energy shift [34], Rusetsky Mini-review 3-body [35] Latest (schematic) effort for Roper fin vol [36].
- Peng/Pang/Koenig, others: Fin-vol extrapolation eigenvector continuation [37]. 3B resonances pionless EFT [38], Few-body bound states Fin Vol [39], Few-body resonances fin-vol [40], DDK system finite volume [41], Finite volume magnetic field [42, 43], Different fin vol geometries [44], Few-body resonances finite volume [45], Visualization three-body resonances (analytic cont. of L-dependence) [46], Multi-π⁺ and analysis of lattice data [47], Threshold expansion N-particle Fin Vol [48], Propagation particle torus [49]
- inf. vol./Equivalence 3B formalisms: Equivalence different 3B QC [50], Jackura 3B unitarity PW [51], JPAC hadron physics review [52], 3B unitarity in RFT: [53].



References (3B Fin. Vol./IQCD, 09/2019-)

- M. Albaladejo et al. (JPAC), Snowmass white paper: Need for amplitude analysis in the discovery of new hadrons, in 2022 Snowmass Summer Study (2022) arXiv:2203.08208 [hepph].
- J. Bulava et al., Hadron Spectroscopy with Lattice QCD, in 2022 Snowmass Summer Study (2022) arXiv:2203.03230 [hep-lat].
- [3] D. Sadasivan, A. Alexandru, H. Akdag, F. Amorim, R. Brett, C. Culver, M. Döring, F. X. Lee, and M. Mai, Pole position of the a1(1260) resonance in a three-body unitary framework, Phys. Rev. D 105, 054002 (0202), arXiv:2112.03355 [hep-ph].
- [4] R. Molina, M. Doering, W. H. Liang, and E. Oset, The πf₀(500) decay of the a₁(1260), Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 782 (2021), arXiv:2107.07439 [hep-ph].
- [5] M. Mai, A. Alexandru, R. Brett, C. Culver, M. Döring, F. X. Lee, and D. Sadasivan (GWQCD), Three-Body Dynamics of the a1(1260) Resonance from Lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 222001 (2021), arXiv:2107.03973 [hep-lat].
- [6] M. Mai, M. Döring, and A. Rusetsky, Multi-particle systems on the lattice and chiral extrapolations: a brief review, Eur. Phys. J. ST 230, 1623 (2021), arXiv:2103.00577 [hep-lat].
- [7] R. Brett, C. Culver, M. Mai, A. Alexandru, M. Döring, and F. X. Lee, Three-body interactions from the finite-volume QCD spectrum, Phys. Rev. D 104, 014501 (2021), arXiv:2101.06144 [hep-lat].
- [8] A. Alexandru, R. Brett, C. Culver, M. Döring, D. Guo, F. X. Lee, and M. Mai, Finite-volume energy spectrum of the K⁻K⁻K⁻ system, Phys. Rev. D 102, 114523 (2020), arXiv:2009.12358 [hep-lat].
- [9] D. Sadasivan, M. Mai, H. Akdag, and M. Döring, Dalitz plots and lineshape of α₁(1260) from a relativistic three-body unitary approach, Phys. Rev. D 101, 094018 (2020), [Erratum: Phys.Rev. D 103, 019901 (2021)], arXiv:2002.12431 [nucl-th].
- [10] C. Culver, M. Mai, R. Brett, A. Alexandru, and M. Döring, Three pion spectrum in the I = 3 channel from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 101, 114507 (2020), arXiv:1911.09047 [hep-lat].
- [11] M. Mai, M. Döring, C. Culver, and A. Alexandra, Three-body unitarity versus finite-volume π⁺π⁺π⁺ spectrum from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 101, 054510 (2020), arXiv:1909.05749 [hep-lat].
- [12] M. Mai, C. Culver, A. Alexandru, M. Döring, and F. X. Lee, Cross-channel study of pion scattering from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. D 100, 114514 (2019), arXiv:1908.01847 [hep-lat].
- [13] M. Mai, U.-G. Meißner, and C. Urbach, Towards a theory of hadron resonances, (2022), arXiv:2206.01477 [hep-ph].
- [14] M. Fischer, B. Kostrzewa, M. Mai, M. Petschlies, F. Pittler, M. Ueding, C. Urbach, and M. Werner (Extended Twisted Mass, ETM), The ρ-resonance from N_f = 2 lattice QCD including the physical pion mass, Phys. Lett. B 819, 136449 (2021), arXiv:2006.13805 [heplat].
- [15] M. Garofalo, M. Mai, F. Romero-López, A. Rusetsky, and C. Urbach, Three-body resonances in the \u03c6⁴ theory, (2022), arXiv:2211.05605 [hep-lat].
- [16] M. T. Hansen, R. A. Briccia, R. G. Edwards, C. E. Thomas, and D. J. Wilson (Hadron Spectrum), Energy-Dependent π⁺π⁺π⁺ Scattering Amplitude from QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 012011 (2021), arXiv:2009.04931 [hep-lat].
- [17] M. T. Hansen, F. Romero-López, and S. R. Sharpe, Decay amplitudes to three hadrons from finite-volume matrix elements, JHEP 04, 113, arXiv:2101.10246 [hep-lat].
- [18] M. T. Hansen, F. Romero-López, and S. R. Sharpe, Generalizing the relativistic quantization condition to include all three-pion isospin channels, JHEP 07, 047, [Erratum: JHEP 02, 014 (2021)], arXiv:2003.10974 [hep-lat].
- [19] M. T. Hansen and S. R. Sharpe, Lattice QCD and Three-particle Decays of Resonances, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 69, 65 (2019), arXiv:1901.00483 [hep-lat].
- [20] T. D. Blanton, F. Romero-López, and S. R. Sharpe, Implementing the three-particle quantization condition for π⁺π⁺π⁺K⁺ and related systems, JHEP 02, 098, arXiv:2111.12734 [hep-lat].
- [21] T. D. Blanton, A. D. Hanlon, B. Hörz, C. Morningstar, F. Romero-López, and S. R. Sharpe, Interactions of two and three mesons including higher partial waves from lattice QCD, JHEP 10, 023, arXiv:2100.05500 [hep-ind].
- [22] T. D. Blanton and S. R. Sharpe, Relativistic three-particle quantization condition for nondegenerate scalars, Phys. Rev. D 103, 054503 (2021), arXiv:2011.05520 [hep-lat].
- [23] T. D. Blanton and S. R. Sharpe, Alternative derivation of the relativistic three-particle quantization condition, Phys. Rev. D 102, 054520 (2020), arXiv:2007.16188 [hep-lat].

- [24] M. Fischer, B. Kostrzewa, L. Liu, F. Romero-López, M. Ueding, and C. Urbach, Scattering of two and three physical pions at maximal isospin from lattice QCD, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 436 (2021), arXiv:2008.00305 [hep-lat].
- [23] T. D. Blanton, F. Romero-López, and S. R. Sharpe, I = 3 three-pion scattering amplitude from lattic https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.03035
- [26] B. Hörz and A. Hannon, two- and three-pion nutre-volume spectra at maximal isospin from lattice QCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 142002 (2019), arXiv:1905.04277 [hep-lat].
- [27] J. Lozano, U.-G. Meišner, F. Romero-López, A. Rusetsky, and G. Schierholz, Resonance form factors from finite-volume correlation functions with the external field method, JHEP 10, 106, arXiv:2205.11316 [hep-lat].
- [28] J.-Y. Pang, M. Ebert, H.-W. Hammer, F. Müller, A. Rusetsky, and J.-J. Wu, Spurious poles in a finite volume, JHEP 07, 019, arXiv:2204.04807 [hep-lat].
- [29] U.-G. Meißner and A. Rusetsky, Effective Field Theories (Cambridge University Press, 2022).
- [30] F. Müller, J.-Y. Pang, A. Rusetsky, and J.-J. Wu, Relativistic-invariant formulation of the NREFT three-particle quantization condition, JHEP 02, 158, arXiv:2110.09351 [hep-lat].
- [31] M. Garofalo, F. Romero-López, A. Rusetsky, and C. Urbach, Testing a new method for scattering in finite volume in the φ⁴ theory, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 1034 (2021), arXiv:2107.04853 [hep-haf.].
- [32] F. Müller and A. Rusetsky, On the three-particle analog of the Lellouch-Lüscher formula, JHEP 03, 152, arXiv:2012.13957 [hep-lat].
- [33] F. Müller, T. Yu, and A. Rusetsky, Finite-volume energy shift of the three-pion ground state, Phys. Rev. D 103, 054506 (2021), arXiv:2011.14178 [hep-lat].
- [34] F. Romero-López, A. Rusetsky, N. Schlage, and C. Urbach, Relativistic N-particle energy shift in finite volume, JHEP 02, 060, arXiv:2010.11715 [hep-lat].
- [33] A. Rusetsky, Three particles on the lattice, PoS LATTICE2019, 281 (2019), arXiv:1911.01253 [hep-lat].
- [36] D. Severt, Towards the finite-volume spectrum of the Roper resonance, in 39th International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory (2022) arXiv:2210.09423 [hep-lat].
- [37] N. Yapa and S. König, Volume extrapolation via eigenvector continuation, Phys. Rev. C 106, 014309 (2022), arXiv:2201.08313 [nucl-th].
- [38] S. Dietz, H.-W. Hammer, S. König, and A. Schwenk, Three-body resonances in pionless effective field theory, Phys. Rev. C 105, 064002 (2022), arXiv:2109.11356 [nucl-th].
- [39] S. König, Few-body bound states and resonances in finite volume, Few Body Syst. 61, 20 (2020), arXiv:2005.01478 [hep-lat].
- [40] P. Klos, S. König, H. W. Hammer, J. E. Lynn, and A. Schwenk, Signatures of few-body resonances in finite volume, Phys. Rev. C98, 034004 (2018), arXiv:1805.02029 [nucl-th].
- [41] J.-Y. Pang, J.-J. Wu, and L.-S. Geng, DDK system in finite volume, Phys. Rev. D 102, 114515 (2020), arXiv:2008.13014 [hep-lat].
- [42] P. Guo and V. Gasparian, Charged particles interaction in both a finite volume and a uniform magnetic field II: topological and analytic properties of a magnetic system, J. Phys. A 55, 265201 (2022), arXiv:2107.10642 [hep-lat].
- [43] P. Guo and V. Gasparian, Charged particles interaction in both a finite volume and a uniform magnetic field, Phys. Rev. D 103, 094520 (2021), arXiv:2101.01150 [hep-lat].
- [44] P. Guo and B. Long, Nuclear reactions in artificial traps, J. Phys. G 49, 055104 (2022), arXiv:2101.03901 [nucl-th].
- [45] P. Guo, Modeling few-body resonances in finite volume, Phys. Rev. D 102, 054514 (2020), arXiv:2007.12790 [hep-lat].
- [46] P. Guo and B. Long, Visualizing resonances in finite volume, Phys. Rev. D 102, 074508 (2020), arXiv:2007.10895 [hep-lat].
- [47] P. Guo and B. Long, Multi- π⁺ systems in a finite volume, Phys. Rev. D 101, 094510 (2020), arXiv:2002.09266 [hep-lat].
- [48] P. Guo, Threshold expansion formula of N bosons in a finite volume from a variational approach, Phys. Rev. D 101, 054512 (2020), arXiv:2002.04111 [hep-lat].
- [49] P. Guo, Propagation of particles on a torus, Phys. Lett. B 804, 135370 (2020), arXiv:1908.08081 [hep-lat].
- [50] T. D. Blanton and S. R. Sharpe, Equivalence of relativistic three-particle quantization conditions, Phys. Rev. D 102, 054515 (2020), arXiv:2007.16190 [hep-lat].

- [51] A. W. Jackura, Three-body scattering and quantization conditions from S matrix unitarity, (2022), arXiv:2208.10587 [hep-lat].
- [52] M. Albaladejo et al. (JPAC), Novel approaches in hadron spectroscopy, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 127, 103981 (2022), arXiv:2112.13436 [hep-ph].
- [53] R. A. Briceño, M. T. Hansen, S. R. Sharpe, and A. P. Szczepaniak, Unitarity of the infinitevolume three-particle scattering amplitude arising from a finite-volume formalism, Phys. Rev. D100, 054508 (2019), arXiv:1905.11188 [hep-lat].



Lattice QCD for excited baryons



 $m_{\pi} = 396 \text{ MeV} [\text{Edwards et al., Phys.Rev. D84 (2011)}]$

- Pioneering spectroscopic calculations
- Information on existence, width & properties of resonances requires
 - Meson-baryon interpolating operators
 - Detailed finite-volume analysis





Three-body aspects: $\pi\pi N$ **vs.** $\pi\pi\pi$

Light mesons









- COMPASS @ CERN: $\pi_1(1600)$ discovery
- GlueX @ Jlab in search of hybrids and exotics,
 - Finite volume spectrum from lattice QCD: Lang (2014), Woss [HadronSpectrum] (2018) Hörz (2019), Culver (2020), Fischer (2020), Hansen (2020),...



- Roper resonance is debated for ~50 years in experiment. Can only be seen in PWA.
- 1st calculation w. meson-baryon operators on the lattice: Lang et al. (2017)

Three-body unitarity with isobars *

[<u>Mai 2017</u>]

 $\begin{aligned} \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | (\hat{T} - \hat{T}^{\dagger}) | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle &= i \int_P \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | \hat{T}^{\dagger} | k_1, k_2, k_3 \rangle \langle k_1, k_2, k_3 | \hat{T} | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \\ & \times \prod_{\ell=1}^3 \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^4 k_\ell}{(2\pi)^4} (2\pi) \delta^+ (k_\ell^2 - m^2) \right] (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 \left(P - \sum_{\ell=1}^3 k_\ell \right) \end{aligned}$

delta function sets all intermediate particles on-shell; no anti-particles!

* "Isobar" stands for two-body sub-amplitude which can be resonant or not; can be matched to CHPT expansion to one loop if desired. Isobars are re-parametrization of full 2-body amplitude [Bedaque] [Hammer]

 $\begin{array}{ll} \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | (\hat{T} - \hat{T}^{\dagger}) | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \end{array} = & i \int_P \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | \hat{T}^{\dagger} | k_1, k_2, k_3 \rangle \langle k_1, k_2, k_3 | \hat{T} | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \end{array}$



General Ansatz for the isobar-spectator interaction

 \rightarrow **B &** τ are **new** unknown functions

$\langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | (\hat{T} - \hat{T}^{\dagger}) | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \ = \ i \int_P \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | \hat{T}^{\dagger} | k_1, k_2, k_3 \rangle \langle k_1, k_2, k_3 | \hat{T} | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle$



General connected-disconnected structure

$\begin{array}{lll} \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | (\hat{T} - \hat{T}^{\dagger}) | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle & = & i \int_P \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | \hat{T}^{\dagger} | k_1, k_2, k_3 \rangle \langle k_1, k_2, k_3 | \hat{T} | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \end{array}$



$\begin{array}{lll} \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | (\hat{T} - \hat{T}^{\dagger}) | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle & = & i \int_P \langle q_1, q_2, q_3 | \hat{T}^{\dagger} | k_1, k_2, k_3 \rangle \langle k_1, k_2, k_3 | \hat{T} | p_1, p_2, p_3 \rangle \end{array}$



Scattering amplitude

 $3 \rightarrow 3$ scattering amplitude is a 3-dimensional integral equation



- Imaginary parts of **B**, **S** are fixed by **unitarity/matching**
- B, S are determined **consistently** through 8 different relations

Matching
$$\rightarrow$$
 Disc $B(u) = 2\pi i \lambda^2 \frac{\delta \left(E_Q - \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{Q}^2} \right)}{2\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{Q}^2}}$

• un-subtracted dispersion relation

$$\langle q|B(s)|p\rangle = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{Q}^2} \left(E_Q - \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{Q}^2} + i\epsilon\right)} + C$$

- one- π exchange in TOPT \rightarrow *RESULT, NOT INPUT* !
- One <u>can</u> map to field theory but does not have to. Result is a-priori dispersive.



might be needed [Brett (2021)]



The a₁(1260) and its Dalitz plots

[Sadasivan 2020]

• Disconnected and connected decays for three-body untarity



• New complication: the rho has spin:

$$T_{\lambda'\lambda}(p,q_1) = (B_{\lambda'\lambda}(p,q_1) + C) + \sum_{\lambda''} \int \frac{d^3l}{(2\pi)^3 2E_l} \left(B_{\lambda'\lambda''}(p,l) + C \right) \tau(\sigma(l)) T_{\lambda''\lambda}(l,q_1)$$



Partial-wave decomposition

• Plane-wave basis

$$T_{\lambda'\lambda}(p,q_{1}) = (B_{\lambda'\lambda}(p,q_{1}) + C) + \sum_{\lambda''} \int \frac{d^{3}l}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{l}} (B_{\lambda'\lambda''}(p,l) + C)\tau(\sigma(l))T_{\lambda''\lambda}(l,q_{1})$$

$$B_{\lambda\lambda'}^{J}(q_{1},p) = 2\pi \int_{-1}^{+1} dx \, d_{\lambda\lambda'}^{J}(x)B_{\lambda\lambda'}(q_{1},p) \quad B_{LL'}^{J}(q_{1},p) = U_{L\lambda}B_{\lambda\lambda'}^{J}(q_{1},p)U_{\lambda'L'}$$
• JLS basis:

$$T_{LL'}^{J}(q_{1},p) = \left(B_{LL'}^{J}(q_{1},p) + C_{LL'}(q_{1},p)\right) + \int_{0}^{\Lambda} \frac{dl \, l^{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}2E_{l}} \left(B_{LL''}^{J}(q_{1},l) + C_{LL''}(q_{1},l)\right)\tau(\sigma(l))T_{L''L'}^{J}(l,p)$$



Fitting the lineshape & predicting Dalitz plots [Sadasivan 2020]

- One can have $\pi\rho$ in S- and D-wave coupled channels
- "Line shape": integrate all three final-state momenta,

$$\mathcal{L}(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \int \frac{d^3 q_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 q_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 q_3}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{q_1} 2E_{q_2} 2E_{q_3}}$$
(18)
 $\times (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (P_3 - q_1 - q_2 - q_3) \overline{|\Gamma(q_1, q_2, q_3)|}^2.$





Finite volume quantization condition

• General procedure:

- Formulate an amplitude in infinite volume
- Discretize all momenta
- Partial-wave projection → project to irreps according to the symmetries of the problem:
 - Three-body system at rest
 - Three-body system with finite momenta P=(1,0,0),...
 - Cubic box; elongated boxes



Three-body quantization condition





The 3π⁺ System Lattice rest frame 3-body rest frame 2-body rest frame [Hoerz 2019][GWUQCD/Culver 2019] \mathbf{q}_2^* q3 Energy eigenvalues 200 $A_1^+(4) - \xi$ 8 Nebreda, Peláez, Rios (PRD88, 2013) 000 GW global (arXiv:1908.01847 [hep-lat]) $A_1^+(3)$ 0 Gasser, Leutwyler (Annals Phys. 158, 1984) 000 100 000 $A_1^+(2)$ 000 200 $A_1^+(1)$ DOO $A_{1g}^+(0) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n}$ 0 $E^{-}(3)$ 000 000 00 000 $A_2^-(3)$ $\chi^2 pp (no fit) = 1.7$ 000 000 $B_2^-(2)$ 000 000 $A_{2}^{-}(2)$ $B_2^{-}(1)$ 000 000 $A_{2}^{-}(1)$ 000 $E_{u}^{-}(0)$ 100 Do o $A^{-}_{1u}(0)$ 2.5 4.5 2.0 3.0 3.5 4.0 5.0 5.5 $\sqrt{s}[m_{\pi}]$

• Uncertainties dominated by the 2-body input. C~0

• *Rel. strength between S/D waves fixed dominantly by 3b unitarity*



Unitarity shows in FV spectrum 5.5 S-wave shift good at threshold (like for NPLQCD data) 5.0 S-wave prediction good at high energies \rightarrow Energy dependence matched S.D3-body "force" set to zero 4.5 \sqrt{s}/m_{π} D-wave prediction qualitatively good Relative/absolute strength between \rightarrow Hörz, Hanlon S- and D-wave matched 4.0Prediction from 2-body input (GL) Consequence that 3-body interaction dominated by exchange Non-interacting Consequence of 3-body Unitarity 3.5 Three-body unitarity directly visible in the eigenvalue spectrum of lattice QCD 3.0 - - - - - - Shift! $A_{1u}^{-}(0) E_{u}^{-}(0)$ S

(lowest participating wave) D



Light unflavored mesons: the a₁





[Mai/GWQCD]

Extraction of a₁(1260) from IQCD

• First-ever three-body resonance from 1st principles (with explicit three-body dynamics).





Three-particle propagation with helicities

• 2-body unitarity fixes only part of the interaction;

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\lambda'\lambda}^{-1}(\sigma_p) &= \delta_{\lambda'\lambda}\tilde{K}_n^{-1}(s,\boldsymbol{p}) - \Sigma_{n,\lambda'\lambda}(s,\boldsymbol{p}) \,, \\ \tilde{K}_n^{-1}(s,\boldsymbol{p}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i \sigma_p^i \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_{n,\lambda'\lambda}(s,\boldsymbol{p}) = \\ \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\sigma_p^n}{(4E_k^2)^n} \frac{\hat{v}_{\lambda'}^*(P-p-k,k)\hat{v}_{\lambda}(P-p-k,k)}{2E_k(\sigma_p-4E_k^2+i\epsilon)} \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{array}{l} s: 3\text{B energy} \\ \text{p: spect. mom.} \\ n=2\text{-times} \\ \text{subtracted} \\ \text{for convergence} \end{array}$$

• The helicity of the ρ in flight can change!

$$\underbrace{\lambda'}_{\lambda} \qquad \qquad \Sigma_{\lambda'\lambda} \neq 0 \text{ if } \lambda \neq \lambda'$$



2-body input & lattice parameters

• Global fit of 2-body sector across different isospins, including correlations across isospin (IAM to NLO) [Mai 2019]



- Two mass-degenerate light quarks (u,d); valence strange quark
- nHYP-smeared clover action
- quark propagation is treated using the LapH method with optimized inverters
- Lattice spacing determined from Wilson flow parameter w_0



4 different fits to 2 energy eigenvalues

• Fitted isobar-spectator interaction (case 1, 2) for $|\mathbf{p}| \leq 2\pi/L|(1,1,0)| \approx 2.69 \ m_{\pi}$

$$C_{\ell'\ell}(s, p', p) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} c_{\ell'\ell}^{(i)}(p', p)(s - m_{a_1}^2)^i$$

• a_1 can be generated as pole even though no built-in singularity

	Non-zero coefficients	No of fit parameters	<i>x</i> ²
λ	c ₀₀ ° (no built-in pole)	1	9
\mathcal{V}	c ₀₀ ⁰ , c ₀₀ ¹ (no built-in pole)	2	0.15
	g ₀ , g ₂ , m _{a1} , c	4	10 ⁻⁷

$$C_{\ell'\ell}(s, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = g_{\ell'} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}'|}{m_{\pi}}\right)^{\ell'} \frac{m_{\pi}^2}{s - m_{a_1}^2} g_{\ell} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{m_{\pi}}\right)^{\ell} + c \,\delta_{\ell'0} \delta_{\ell 0}$$

• In these cases, there is a built-in singularity, leading to resonance poles



Results - overview (4 parms) Cluster: Large c and g_s compensate; GWQCD disappears $\det Q^{\Lambda=T_{1g},\;\mu=1}$ with c=0 $\widetilde{K}_2^{-1}(\sigma_0) = 0$ -50 πρ -100Im √s [MeV] -1504.2 4.8 4.4 4.6 $\sqrt{s}[m_{\pi}]$ $0 = \det \left[B(s) + C(s) - E_L \left(\check{K}_2^{-1}(s) - \Sigma_2^L(s) \right) \right]_{\substack{(\lambda'\lambda)\\ (p'p)}}$ -200PDG -250139 PDF for pole when using 2B 1100 1200 1300 **Breit-Wigner assumption** Re \sqrt{s} [MeV]



Branching ratios

• Calculate the residue at the pole:

 $\operatorname{Res}(T^c_{\ell'\ell}(\sqrt{s})) = \tilde{g}_{\ell'}\tilde{g}_{\ell}$

- This result is not as reliable as pole position/existence of a₁
- More energy eigenvalues needed to better pin down the decay channels
- Other isospins needed, e.g., $(\pi\sigma)_P$ [Molina 2021]





Outlook: four coupled channels

 $a_1 \leftrightarrow (\pi \rho)_S \leftrightarrow (\pi \rho)_D \leftrightarrow (\pi \sigma)_P \leftrightarrow (\pi (\pi \pi)_{S,I=2})$

- Inclusion of all S- and P-wave isobars (from 2B IQCD input)
- Current status: physical point/inf. volume from experiment



Summary



- Lattice QCD progress in determining the explicit dynamics of three-body systems:
 - Three pions at maximal isospin well understood (FVU, RFT, Peng,...)
 - First determination of existence and properties of a three-body resonance

 the a₁(1260) in coupled channels, isobars with spin, and using three-body unitarity
- **Outlook:** More (isospin) channels and more energy eigenvalues to assess uncertainties and put limits on decay properties.
- Outlook: Roper resonance as an interesting case of a baryon which has strong 3-body dynamics due to absence of centrifugal barriers





Spare slides

Scattering amplitude (Details)

Here: Version in which isobar rewritten in on-shell $2 \rightarrow 2$ scattering amplitude T_{22}

$$\langle q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3} | \hat{T}_{c}(s) | p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \rangle = \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{n=1}^{3} \sum_{m=1}^{3} T_{22}(\sigma(q_{n})) \langle q_{n} | T(s) | p_{m} \rangle T_{22}(\sigma(p_{m}))$$

$$\underline{T_{22}} \qquad \underline{T} \qquad \underline{T} \qquad \underline{T_{22}} \qquad \underline{T} \qquad \underline{T_{22}} \qquad \underline{T} \qquad \underline{T} \qquad \underline{T}_{22} \qquad \underline{$$

Technical Detail:



 Scheme-dependent 3-body force requires a mapping [Brett (2021)]



Hadronic resonances as poles

- Defining resonances as poles in amplitudes at complex energies resolves all mentioned problems
 - Real part of pole position (Mass
 - 2x Imaginary part of pole position → Width



- Red: Real thresholds
- Blue: resonanat sub-channel thres.
- Double pole Roper
- Note partial-wave cuts (CC, SNC) that disappear in plane-wave amplitude







Analytic continuation 3-body (contd.)



Finite-volume quantization

[Doering 2018]

• Connectedness structure

$$\begin{split} T_{L} &= \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c} \widehat{\tau}_{l} \\ \mathcal{M}_{L} \end{array}\right)}_{f(\mathbf{p})} = \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{\hat{p}}) f_{\ell m}(p) \\ f(\mathbf{p}) &= \sqrt{4\pi} \sum_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\mathbf{\hat{p}}) f_{\ell m}(p) \\ f_{\ell m}(p) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int d\Omega Y_{\ell m}^{*}(\mathbf{\hat{p}}) f(\mathbf{p}) \\ \hline \\ \chi_{u}^{\Gamma \alpha s} \text{ are orthonormal} \\ \text{basis functions for irrep } \Gamma \\ \text{Shell index s, row } \alpha \\ \end{split} \qquad f_{u}^{\Gamma \alpha s} &= \frac{\sqrt{4\pi}}{\vartheta(s)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f^{s}(\mathbf{\hat{p}}_{j}) \chi_{u}^{\Gamma \alpha s}(\mathbf{\hat{p}}_{j}) \text{ for } \chi_{u}^{\Gamma \alpha s}(\mathbf{\hat{p}}) \in U_{s} , \end{split}$$

Multi-kaon systems

- Relevant for heavy-ion collisions (ALICE)
- Matter with strangeness in neutron stars and equation of state:
 - Kaon condensate can soften the equation of state

TRADITIONAL VIEW OF A NEUTRON STAR







Three kaons at maximal isospin

[Alexandru 2020]

- First study of three kaons from lattice QCD with chiral amplitudes
- Other groups have improved on this in the meantime:
 - Max. isospin, non-identical masses ($\pi^+\pi^+K^+, \pi^+K^+K^+$)

[Blanton 2021]

- Pions and kaons at maximal isospin with unprecedented accuracy and no. of levels ($\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$, $K^+K^+K^+$) [Blanton 2021]
- Two mass-degenerate light quarks (u,d); valence strange quark
- nHYP-smeared clover action
- quark propagation is treated using the LapH method with optimized inverters
- Lattice spacing determined from Wilson flow parameter w_0

Two kaons

- Crossing symmetry allows to get the amplitude $K^- K^- \rightarrow K^- K^$ from $K^+ K^- \rightarrow K^+ K^-$
- SU(3) CHPT unitarized with inverse amplitude method



Three kaons - predictions vs lattice

• NPLQCD data - three-body term set to zero



Three-kaons - predictions vs. lattice

• GWUQCD data - three-body term set to zero



Predictions vs. lattice contd. (P=0)

• GWUQCD data - three-body term set to zero



Predictions for 3-body system with momentum P=(1,0,0)

• NPLQCD lattice setup - three-body term set to zero



• Summary:

- First lattice QCD & chiral calculation of three kaons at maximal isospin
- At different masses, different irreps & different total momenta
- Relativistic 3B quantization condition for strangeness sector,
- IAM to NLO along different trajectories predicts data from two different lattice QCD calculations well (NPLQCD and GWUQCD)



Plane-wave implementation of the C-term

- **Step 1**: JM-basis → Helicity basis
- **Step 2**: partial-wave basis \rightarrow Plane-wave basis
- **Step 3**: C (and B, and 3B propagator) from plane-wave basis to irreps by suitable rotations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda'\lambda}(s, \boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{p}) &= \sum_{M=-J}^{J} \frac{2J+1}{4\pi} \,\mathfrak{D}_{M\lambda'}^{J*}(\phi_{\boldsymbol{p}'}, \theta_{\boldsymbol{p}'}, 0) \,\mathcal{A}_{\lambda'\lambda}^{J}(s, \boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{p}) \,\mathfrak{D}_{M\lambda}^{J}(\phi_{\boldsymbol{p}}, \theta_{\boldsymbol{p}}, 0) \,, \qquad \text{Step 2} \\ \mathcal{A}_{\lambda'\lambda}^{J}(s, \boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{p}) &= U_{\lambda'\ell'} \mathcal{A}_{\ell'\ell}(s, \boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{p}) U_{\ell\lambda} \,, \\ U_{\ell\lambda} &:= \sqrt{\frac{2\ell+1}{2J+1}} (\ell 01\lambda |J\lambda) (1\lambda 00|1\lambda)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}$$



Properties of 4-parameter fit

- 4 parameters for 2 energy eigenvalues produce remarkably stable results with resonance poles in a well-defined region and not all over the place.
- The D-wave coupling and a_1 -mass are strongly correlated
 - Makes sense because D-wave a₁ self energy is mostly real

