Correlation functions and uncertainty quantification Nobuo Sato

Winter Hall C (Jan 2023)

 ${\cal L}_{
m QCD} = \sum {\overline \psi}_q (i \gamma_\mu D^\mu - m_q) \psi_q - rac{1}{2} {
m Tr} [G_{\mu
u} G^{\mu
u}]$



S

Outline

- 1. Brief overview of QCFs
- 2. Recent highlights
- 3. Integrating THY/EXP/CS
- 4. Summary



Quantum correlation functions (QCFs) in Nuclear femtography



Parton distribution functions (PDFs)

Transverse momentum distributions (TMDs)

Generalized parton distributions (GPDs)

What do we mean by "hadron structure" ?

 $\xi = \frac{k^+}{P^+}$

Parton momentum fraction relative to parent hadron



$$f_{i}(\xi) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}w^{-}}{4\pi} e^{-i\xi p^{+}w^{-}} \left\langle N | \bar{\psi}_{i}(0, w^{-}, \mathbf{0}_{\mathrm{T}}) \gamma^{+} \psi_{i}(0) | N \right\rangle$$

in non-interacting QCD

parton distribution function (PDF)

$$\psi_{i}(x) = \sum_{k,\alpha} b_{k,\alpha}(x^{+}) u_{k,\alpha} e^{-ik^{+}x^{-} + ik_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot x_{\mathrm{T}}} + d_{k,\alpha}^{\dagger}(x^{+}) u_{k,-\alpha} e^{ik^{+}x^{-} - ik_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot x_{\mathrm{T}}}$$
$$f_{i}(\xi) \sim \sum_{\alpha} \int \mathrm{d}^{2}k_{\mathrm{T}} \langle N | \underbrace{b_{k,\alpha}^{\dagger} b_{k,\alpha}(\xi p^{+}, k_{\mathrm{T}}, \alpha)}_{\text{number operator}} | N \rangle$$

What do we mean by "hadronization"?



functions (FFs)

= all states except detected hadron h

Extensions to 3D

 $f(\xi, b_{\mathrm{T}})$

Impact parameter distribution -> GPDs

PDFs

 $f(\xi)$

 $f(\xi, k_{\rm T})$

Transverse momentum distribution -> TMDs



So how do we get hadron structure from experimental data?

Want to see internal structure





Factorization in deep-inelastic scattering





- There is no formal way to invert analytically this expression for the pdfs
- Is not possible to say that the measured x corresponds to parton momentum fraction
- PDFs are technically not measurable point by point -> inverse problem

Factorization in other reactions



Universality



cross sections described by **universal non-perturbative** functions, e.g. PDFs, FFs

We cannot measure QCFs,we can only make infer them

Priors:

- Models for the QCFs
- Precision of the perturbative calculation
- LQCD

The Bayesian inference

Experiments = theory + errors

$$d\sigma_{\text{DIS}} = \sum_{i} H_{i}^{\text{DIS}} \otimes \boldsymbol{f_{i}}$$
$$d\sigma_{\text{DY}} = \sum_{ij} H_{ij}^{\text{DY}} \otimes \boldsymbol{f_{i}} \otimes \boldsymbol{f_{j}}$$
$$d\sigma_{\text{SIA}} = \sum_{i} H_{i}^{\text{SIA}} \otimes \boldsymbol{d_{i}}$$
$$d\sigma_{\text{SIDIS}} = \sum_{ij} H_{ij}^{\text{SIDIS}} \otimes \boldsymbol{f_{i}} \otimes \boldsymbol{d_{j}}$$

RGE boundary conditions (QCF modeling)

$$egin{aligned} &f_i(\xi,\mu_0^2) = N_i\xi^{a_i}(1-\xi)^{b_i}(1+...)\ &d_i(\zeta,\mu_0^2) = N_i\zeta^{a_i}(1-\zeta)^{b_i}(1+...)\ &\mathbf{a} = (N_i,a_i,b_i,...) \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho(\mathbf{a}|\text{data}) \sim \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{a}, \text{data})\pi(\mathbf{a})$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{a}, \mathrm{data}) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}, \mathrm{data})
ight]$$

$$\chi^2(\boldsymbol{a}) = \sum_{i,e} \left(\frac{d_{i,e} - \sum_k r_e^k \beta_{i,e}^k - T_{i,e}(\boldsymbol{a})/N_e}{\alpha_{i,e}} \right)^2$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[f_i(\xi,\mu^2)] &= \int \mathrm{d}^n \mathbf{a} \; \rho(\mathbf{a}|\mathrm{data}) f_i(\xi,\mu^2;\mathbf{a}) \\ \mathbf{V}[f_i(\xi,\mu^2)] &= \int \mathrm{d}^n \mathbf{a} \; \rho(\mathbf{a}|\mathrm{data}) \left[f_i(\xi,\mu^2;\mathbf{a}) - \mathbf{E}[f_i(\xi,\mu^2)] \right]^2 \end{split}$$



Outline

- **1**. Brief overview of QCFs
- 2. Recent highlights
- 3. Integrating THY/EXP/CS
- 4. Summary



Antimatter asymmetry





- First global analysis to include latest SeaQuest and STAR data
- Most precise phenomenological extraction of *dbar-ubar* asymmetry to date
- Quantitative test of the pion-cloud model

Polarized antimatter asymmetry

Cocuzza, Melnitchouk, Metz, Sato (PRD)

process	$N_{ m dat}$	$\chi^2/N_{ m dat}$
polarized		
inclusive DIS	365	0.93
inclusive jets	83	0.81
SIDIS (π^+,π^-)	64	0.93
SIDIS (K^+, K^-)	57	0.36
STAR W^{\pm}	12	0.53
PHENIX W^{\pm}/Z	6	0.63
total	587	0.85
unpolarized		
inclusive DIS	3908	1.11
inclusive jets	198	1.11
Drell-Yan	205	1.19
W/Z production	153	0.99
total	4464	1.11
SIA (π^{\pm})	231	0.85
SIA (K^{\pm})	213	0.49
total	5495	1.05





- First simultaneous extraction of unpolarized and helicity PDFs and FFs in global analysis with inclusion of RHIC spin *W*+/- data
- Most precise phenomenological extraction of polarized *dbar-ubar* asymmetry to date

Outline

1. Brief overview of QCFs

- 2. Recent highlights
- 3. Integrating THY/EXP/CS

4. Summary



Existing paradigm -> histogram approach







Event-based analysis for global analysis?

Detector

simulation

Can we compare real vs synthetic events?

Why?

physics

- Avoid histograms and minimize systematic uncertainties
- Avoid unfolding and use direct simulation

Vertex

Level

Events

• Expedite years of efforts to extract physics (Exascale computing)



Optimize physics parameters



OuantOm Collaboration







Theory

- Jianwei Qiu (PI)
- NS
- Adam Freez (postdoc)

Experiment

- Markus Diefenthaler
- Daniel Lersch

CS

- Malachi Schram
- **Kishan Rajput**
- **Daniel Lersch**
- Yasir Alanazi (postdoc)

Supported by DOE SciDAC





Optimize QCF parameters

Opportunities

- Unified Theory+Exp analysis framework for hadron structure -> paradigm shift
- Near real time analysis and expedite scientific discovery

Challenges

- Big event level data processing from JLab/EIC requires large scale computing -> exascale computing
- Dedicated distributed ML workflow needs to be developed



An example with a proxi theory



x

x



Workflow can produce parameter samples close to the truth

Summary

- New era of global analysis of hadron structure *unified theory & experiment* analysis
- Al/ML provides new tools/tricks to map QCFs from events and boost the discovery potential of current and future experimental facilities
- Large scale computing is needed -> opportunity to use ECP



