# ---- Data Science Department ---- Research at Jefferson Lab

Dr. Malachi Schram

On behalf of the Data Science Department

This Photo by Unknown author is licensed under CC BY-ND

### **Data Science Pillars**

#### • <u>Applications</u>:

- Nuclear Physics
- Advanced computing
- Health & Climate

#### • Focused Methods & Algorithms:

- Uncertainty Quantification
- Interpretability and Explainability
- Design & Control
- Infrastructure:
  - JLab ML & Data Hub
  - JLab Data Science software



### **Data Science Infrastructure**









### **Data Science Methods & Algorithms**



Figure 1: Foundational research themes of SciML must tackle the challenges of creating domainaware, interpretable, and robust ML formulations, methods, and algorithms.

SciML Capabilities	Data-intensive scientific inference & data analysis	ML methods for multimodal data in situ data analysis with ML ML to optimally guide data acquisition :
Machine Learning for Advanced Scientific Computing Research	ML-enhanced modeling & sim ML-hybrid algorithms and models for better scientific computing tools	ML-enabled adaptive algorithms ML parameter tuning ML-based multiscale surrogate models :
	Intelligent automation & decision support automated decision support, adaptivity, resilience, control	exploration of decision space with ML ML-based resource mgt & control optimal decisions for complex systems :

Figure 2: Opportunities for SciML impact arise in scientific inference and data analysis; in MLenhanced modeling and simulation; in intelligent automation and decision support; and in related applications.





### **Applications in Experimental Halls**

- Developing a JLab ML Hub to capture the workflow and artifacts
- Incorporating uncertainty quantification in ML models
  - Hall A:
    - Particle ID w/ UQ for SoLID
  - -Hall B: Several discussions
  - -Hall C: Working with Tanja Horn and Cristiano Fanelli to define effort
  - -Hall D:
    - Gaussian Process method for AIEC controls
    - Develop some ML-based models for Particle ID for CPP
  - -Hall E:
    - Contribute to AI4EIC workshops
    - Working to define a long term plan with Cristiano Fanelli (new bridge position at W&M)



### **External Applications**

- AI/ML for Spallation Neutron Source at ORNL:
  Anomaly detection and fault prognostication
- Data-Driven Decision Control for Complex Systems (DnC2s):
  - -Risk-averse reinforcement learning
  - Distance aware/preserving uncertainty quantification for ML-based regression
- Multi-objective RL for CEBAF
  - -Accelerator and data science collaboration
- Hampton Roads Digital Twin:
  - -Health and climate resiliency studies
- SciDAC project:
  - Theory, experiment, data science Integration



- Top R&D priorities:
  - 1. Incorporate prior knowledge into AI systems
  - 2. Training for rare events
  - 3. Explainability, interpretability and understanding
  - 4. <u>Automation</u> and optimization -- self-driving labs, hypothesis generation
  - 5. Targeted algorithm development -- development focused on DOE missions
  - 6. Sustainable AI -- energy-efficient solutions (green AI)
- Enablers:
  - Underlying ecosystem to enable AI R&D -- virtual AI user facility
  - Ethics framework to guide AI R&D identify unexpected biases



- Three topical AI for Science & Security workshops recently held to discuss a long-term vision:
  - 1. June Al Surrogates, Al for Complex Systems
  - 2. July Properties and Inverse Design, Foundation Models
  - 3. August Autonomous Discovery, AI for Programming
- Interesting themes:
  - -Reinforcement learning for complex controls
  - -ML-based surrogate models and digital twins
  - -<u>Uncertainty quantification (UQ)</u>, verification & validation (V&V), and guaranties
  - -Building in physical constraints and relationships into the ML models
  - Integrating ML-based function surrogates with <u>UQ</u> & guaranties into code and simulations
  - Combined data and AI models management
  - Trustworthiness, robustness, and explainability



- Scalable Distributed Learning
  - In order to efficiently train over from large dataset, the need for a distributed learning computing infrastructure will likely be required.
- Uncertainty quantification for deep learning models
  - Detailed study of uncertainty estimation techniques for AI/ML in NP applications as it relates to higher dimensionality and unique modalities.
  - Applications of UQ ML models on edge hardware (under constraint)
- Computing co-design for AI/ML and NP
  - AI/ML for NP is evolving and new techniques will be developed that might not perform optimally on existing hardware.
- Techniques to advance scientific discovery
  - Sparse Identification of Nonlinear Dynamical Systems (SINDy) is an algorithm to discover governing dynamical equations
- Techniques for explicit physics knowledge integration
  - Applications of automatic differentiation through known physics equations into the ML models
  - Low energy nuclear physics examples has shown some improved results
- Foundations Models for NP
  - A foundation model is any model that is trained on broad data (generally using self-supervision at scale) that can be adapted (e.g., fine-tuned) to a wide range of downstream tasks.







# Thank you



# Extra



## **Uncertainty Quantification**

- Understanding how to include UQ in deep ML models
  - Include OOD uncertainties
  - Auto-calibration
- Applications:
  - Data driven ML-based surrogate models
  - Real time controller
  - Anomaly detections
- Considerations for hardware constraints
  - Memory, Time, Performance trade-off





Jefferson Lab

#### Uncertainty quantification for accelerator anomaly detection at SNS ORNL

- Results from similarity model showed a ~4x improvement in performance over previously published results
- The ROC curve shows nearly the same level of performance (not optimized)
- We introduced an **out-of-domain anomaly**, labelled 1111 (red), the UQ-based model correctly identified the anomaly and indicated high uncertainty.



# Uncertainty quantification for data driven ML-based surrogate models in risk averse control research

- Quantile regression method have great performance in training distribution and are calibrated by definition, however, they do not perform as well for OOD estimation
- BNN model provides does a better job to estimate OOD but require calibration
- DGPA model provide the best OOD estimation and is calibrated by design



### Interpretability, Explainability, and Robustness

- Applying and developing techniques to better understand model predictions and stability
- Gradient activation studies to understand what the model is focusing on
- Loss landscape analysis to better understand the model stability





Loss Landscape for FNAL system dynamic model





Figure 1: The loss surfaces of ResNet-56 with/without skip connections. The proposed filter nermalization scheme is used to enable comparisons of sharpness/flatness between the two figures.



#### **Activation Study and Fault Classifications at SNS ORNL**

- Applied GradCAM analysis on trained ML-based model for errant beam prediction
- Identifies sections of the waveform most relevant for a particular decision from the model
- The GradCAM vectors are reduced to 2-dimensional space using clustering algorithm
- Clear clusters between normal and anomaly samples with some anomalies appearing in normal group
- The anomaly sub-clusters may belong to different equipment failures



### **Design & Control**

- Advance applications for design & control
- Bayesian Optimization
- Risk Averse and UQ aware Reinforcement Learning









### Near real-time control and calibration for the GlueX CDC

Accelerate the calibration from month(s) to minute(s).

- 1. Gain Correction Factor: CDC Voltage Gain calibration
- 2. Time to Distance: track fitting calibration Calibration is required to provide reliable PID for physics analysis
- Considerations:
  - 1. External environmental conditions (temperature, pressure)
  - 2. Changing beam conditions (current)



FPSC







### **Stabilizing Gain in the Central Drift Chamber**

 Peak heights from Gaussian Process side of the CDC show dramatic reduction in pressure dependence compared to constant HV

54 Temp. Input Enabled Tuned HV: [2113-2140] V 52 HV=2130 V 50 ≥48 M 46 44 42 40 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 **Event Number** 1e8 102 Atm. Pressure (kPa) 66 66 98



