Exploring nuclear interactions with DIS at mid-x

C. Weiss (Jefferson Lab), Science at Mid-x: Antishadowing and the role of the sea, 22-23 July 2022 [Webpage]



Nuclear modifications of partonic structure ↔ nucleon interactions in QCD

- "Large" $x \gtrsim 0.3$ short distance interactions, SRCs
- "Intermediate" $x \sim 0.1$ average distance interactions, nuclear mesons \rightarrow Physics narrative

Nuclear DIS measurements at $x \sim 0.1$ and impact

Inclusive DIS, semi-inclusive DIS, heavy flavor production

EIC and/or fixed-target 24 GeV

 \rightarrow Experimental program

Nucleon interactions: Hadronic description



Interactions involve non-nucleonic degrees of freedom: QM + relativity

Low-energy nuclear structure and reactions ($k \sim$ few 10 MeV) does not resolve intermediate states: NN potential, EFT contact interactions



High-energy processes can resolve intermediate states: "Origin" of interactions

Nucleon interactions: Quark-gluon description

$$|N\rangle = \sum_{\text{configs}} |qqq \dots q\bar{q} \dots g \dots \rangle$$
 coherent superposition

 $|N_1N_2\rangle = (\ldots)_1(\ldots)_2 + \text{other configs}$



NN interactions change superposition of quark/gluon configurations compared to free nucleons (\leftrightarrow non-nucleonic DoF)

High-energy short-distance process on nuclei (DIS etc.) can give insight into QCD origin of NN interactions

Nucleon interactions: QCD description



DIS on nucleus: QCD factorization, measures nuclear PDF $\langle A | \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{QCD}(\mu^2) | A \rangle$

Compare nuclear PDF with sum of nucleons \times Fermi motion \rightarrow interactions Systematic organization using EFT methods: 1-nucleon, 2-nucleon operators

Physical questions

- What are the modifications of quarks/antiquarks/gluons at different *x*?
- What are the relevant distances in the nucleon interactions?
- What are the relevant non-nucleonic configurations/states?

Different interactions & configurations are at work at different x





Intermediate x: Future measurements



Inclusive DIS

Measured in μA EMC/NMC, eA SLAC, νA CHORUS

Nuclear modification $\lesssim 5\%$ at $x \sim 0.1$ [\rightarrow Talk Niculescu]

Limited motivation for re-measuring

Semi-inclusive DIS

Could separate $q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}$, constrain nuclear sea

Need good control of $q \rightarrow \pi, K$ fragmentation process and nuclear final-state interactions

EIC: Large phase space for fragmentation $W \sim$ 10-100 GeV, strategies for controlling/estimating nuclear FSI

Fixed-target 24 GeV: Limited phase space, fragmentation poorly understood (esp. unfavored), nuclear FSI present HERMES results + experience [→Talk Kinney]

Could perhaps reduce uncertainties in \bar{u}, \bar{d} PDFs. Impact on NN interaction would require accuracy < 5% percent... unlikely to be achieved



Intermediate x: Future measurements





A. Casas, N. Sato, C. Weiss, from EIC pseudodata

Open heavy flavor production

Direct probe of nuclear gluons, good theoretical control

EIC: Physics & detector simulations [JLab LDRD 2016/17 Weiss et al] High charm production rates & reconstruction efficiency Impact on nuclear gluons at $x \sim 0.1$ [Furletova, Sato, Weiss; 2020 INT Report, arXiv:2002.12333; Aschenauer et al. PRD 96 114005 (2017)]

Fixed-target 24 GeV: Above $D\bar{D}$ threshold, but fragmentation effects and hadronic FSI significant Needs theoretical modeling

Summary

• QCD origin of nucleon interactions as unifying physics perspective

Large <i>x</i>	short distance interactions, SRCs
Intermediate x	average distance interactions, nuclear mesons

- Overall nuclear modification at $x \sim 0.1$ is $\leq 5\%$. Physics impact requires $q \leftrightarrow \bar{q}$ and gluon determination with comparable accuracy
- EIC: $q \rightarrow \bar{q}$ from SIDIS, g from heavy flavor production. Impact on nuclear interactions expected
- Fixed-target 24 GeV: Non-inclusive measurements limited by phase space fragmentation, nuclear final state interactions. Impact on nuclear interactions unlikely
- [• Open questions in nuclear structure at large *x* that could/should be answered with 24 GeV: Q^2 dependence of EMC effect leading vs. higher twist Scaling and power corrections in *x* > 1 structure functions, plateaus in ratios *Can only be answered with high-intensity fixed-target experiments*!]