E12-11-007: Asymmetries in Semi-Inclusive Deep-Inelastic ( $e, e'\pi^{\pm}$ ) Reactions on a Longitudinally Polarized <sup>3</sup>He Target at 8.8 and 11 GeV







## Outline

- Solenoid Large Intensity Device (SoLID) and E12-11-107
- 3D nucleon structure, TMDs, and SIDIS
- Update for E12-11-107
  - Worm-gear functions
  - Beam request and projections



## **Solenoidal Large Intensity Device (SoLID)**

- Maximize scientific outcome of JLab 12 GeV upgrade
  - QCD Intensity frontier (high luminosity 10<sup>37-39</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup>/s)
  - Large detector acceptance with full azimuthal coverage
- Rich physics programs
  - Precision test of SM and search of new physics
  - 3D momentum imaging of nucleon spin
  - Precision J/ $\psi$  production near the threshold
- Complementary and synergistic with the EIC science
  - Proton spin and mass
    - Spin: valence quark tomography in momentum space
    - Mass: precision  $J/\psi$  production near threshold



0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

-0.

-0.2

-0.3

-0.5



## **Strong Collaboration**

- 270+ collaborators, 70+ institutes from 13 countries
- Strong theory support
- Active development and validation of the pre-conceptual design and physics programs





## **Progresses Since Approval of SoLID Experiments**

- Since 2010: Five SoLID experiments approved by PAC with high rating
  - 3 SIDIS (including E12-11-007), 1 PVDIS, 1 threshold J/ $\psi$
  - 6 run group experiments
- CLEO-II magnet arrived at JLab in 2016, cold test on-going
- 2014: pCDR submitted to JLab with cost estimation, updated in 2017 and 2019
- Director's Reviews in 2015, 2019 and 2021
- 02/2020: SoLID MIE (with updated pCDR/estimated cost) submitted to DOE
- DOE funded Pre-R&D on Cherenkov/GEM and DAQ tests started 02/2020 and mostly completed
- 03/2021: SoLID Science Review, went successfully
- Consistent effort on pre-conceptual design and pre-R&D with the support of JLab and DOE.
- New beam test to verify high luminosity (high rate/high radiation) capability of the detectors and DAQ.



CLEO II coil at JLab



## **Overview of E12-11-007**

- SoLID SIDIS program: Azimuthal Asymmetries (SSA and DSAs) from SIDIS  $\pi^{\pm}$ 
  - Longitudinally polarized <sup>3</sup>He target and polarized electron beam
  - Combined with DSA (A<sub>LT</sub>) from E12-10-006 with transversely <sup>3</sup>He polarized target
  - Access to helicity  $g_{1L}$  and "worm-gear" functions  $g_{1T}$ ,  $h_{1L}^{\perp}$
  - Study quark spin-orbit correlations
- Approved by PAC37
  - 35 PAC days of 11 GeV and 8.8 GeV beam at 15  $\mu$ A
  - Match statistics of E12-10-006
  - Precision 4D mapping of  $A_{UL}$ ,  $A_{LT}$ , and  $A_{LL}$  for neutron
- Jeopardy at PAC50



 $\phi_h$ 

hadron plane

 $P_h$ 

## SIDIS and Structure Functions

SIDIS differential cross sections

 $d\sigma$ 

- 18 Structure functions  $F(x, z, Q^2, P_T)$
- In parton model,  $F(x, z, Q^2, P_T) \rightarrow$  convolution of TMDs and fragmentation functions



$$+ \lambda_e S_T \left[ \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2} F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \cos(\phi_h - \phi_S) + \sqrt{2\epsilon(1 - \epsilon)} F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)} \cos(\phi_h - \phi_S) \right] \right\}$$



S

## Leading Twist TMD PDFs

- TMD PDFs link the intrinsic motion of partons with quark spin and nucleon spin
  - Probes orbital motion of quarks
  - Access to all leading twist terms through SIDIS differential cross sections



#### <u>E12-11-007</u>:

Single Spin Asymmetry and Double Spin Asymmetries:

 $L \neq ^{?} O \rightarrow$  Transverse motion

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{UL}}^{\sin 2\phi_{h}} & \propto h_{1\mathrm{L}}^{\perp} \otimes H_{1}^{\perp} \\ \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\cos(\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})} & \propto g_{1\mathrm{T}} \otimes D_{1} \\ \\ \\ \mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{LL}} & \propto g_{1\mathrm{L}} \otimes D_{1} \end{array}$ 

Large acceptance, high statistics, and precision measurement with SoLID is essential for 4D mapping and separation of azimuthal angular modulation



#### "Worm-gear" Functions

$$h_{1L}^{\perp} = \checkmark - \checkmark +$$

$$g_{1T} = \checkmark - \checkmark +$$

- Dominated by interference between wave function components that differ by one unit of quark OAM
  - Re[(L=0)<sub>q</sub> × (L=1)<sub>q</sub>]
  - Complementary information about imaginary part from Boer-Mulders effects and Sivers effects
  - OAM-spin correlations
- A genuine sign of intrinsic transverse motion
  - No analogous terms in GPD
  - No dynamical generation by FSI from coordinate space densities



Worm Gear



#### **Test of Theoretical Predictions**

- Various theoretical predictions available
  - Lattice QCD calculations
  - Quark models
- $h_{1L}^{\perp} = -g_{1T}?$ 
  - Cylindrical symmetry around y direction
  - Valid in many quark models
  - Favored by Lattice QCD calculations
- WW & WW-type approximations
  - Assume "pure twist-3" and quark mass terms are small
  - Indirect information on transversity





Light-Cone CQM B. Pasquini B.P., Cazzaniga, Boffi, RD78, 2008





#### **Experimental Observables**

- One SSA and two DSAs:  $A_{UL}$ ,  $A_{LT}$ , and  $A_{LL}$ 
  - Share commissioning and A<sub>LT</sub> data with E12-10-006
- 35 PAC days for Longitudinally polarized target and polarized electron beam
  - 11 and 8.8 GeV beam at 15 uA, with high beam polarization (85%)
  - High in-beam longitudinal target polarization (60%), with transverse target polarization from E12-10-006 (g<sub>11</sub>)
  - High polarized luminosity 10<sup>36</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
- High statistics and well controlled systematic uncertainty
  - Precise 4D mapping with 1000-1400 bins for each asymmetry and charged pion
  - Neutron Asymmetries:  $\delta A_{\text{stat.}} \approx 0.005$
  - Expected systematics  $\delta A_{sys}/A \approx 7\%$  (relative) with the large symmetric acceptance from SoLID



#### **Experimental Setup**

- SoLID-SIDIS configuration
- Longitudinally polarized <sup>3</sup>He Target
- Full 2π coverage of polar angle from 8°-24°
  - $8^{\circ} < \theta < 14.8^{\circ}, 1 < P < 7 \text{ GeV/c}$
  - $16^{\circ} < \theta < 24^{\circ}, 3.5 < P < 7 \text{ GeV/c}$  (electron)
  - $\delta p/p \sim 2\%$ ,  $\delta \theta \sim 0.6 mrad$ ,  $\delta \phi \sim 5 mrad$
- High luminosity, high data rate





## **Technical Requirements**

- High polarized luminosity
  - High precision measurement of small asymmetries in 4-dimensional binning
- Full azimuthal coverage
  - Control systematic uncertainties over the angular modulation
- Good momentum and angular resolutions
  - Four-dimensional binning over the kinematic variables (x, z, Q<sup>2</sup>, and P<sub>T</sub>)
- High data rates
  - Trigger in electron: FAEC + SPD + LGC, LAEC + SPD; or hadron: FAEC + SPD
  - DAQ rate < 100 kHz</p>
- **SoLID** will fulfill all the requirements







#### **Lattice Calculation on Worm-gear Shift**

Lattice calculations on worm-gear shift

$$[\langle k_x \rangle_{TL}](Q^2) \sim \frac{\int_0^1 dx \left[ g_{1T}^u(x, Q^2) - g_{1T}^d(x, Q^2) \right]}{\int_0^1 dx \left[ f_1^u(x, Q^2) - f_1^d(x, Q^2) \right]}$$

- B. Yoon et al., Phys. Rev. D96, 094508 (2017)
- Consistent results from two discretization schemes at quark separation  $b_T > 0.3$





## First Global Extraction of Worm-gear Function $g_{1T}$

- S. Bhattacharya et al., Phys. Rev. D105, 034007 (2022)
  - COMPASS, HERMES, and JLab 6 GeV data
  - Working with the authors for SoLID projections





More precise neutron data are needed for a better flavor separation



# **Run Group Proposal:** $g_2^n/d_2^n$ measurement

E12-11-007A/E12-10-006E, Approved in 2020. Spokesperson: T. Ye and C. Peng





#### **Answers to Jeopardy Charge**

Is there any new information that would affect the scientific importance or impact the experiment since it was originally approved?

- First global extraction of worm-gear function g<sub>1T</sub>, new lattice calculations (worm-gear shift)
- More precise neutron data are needed

If the experiment has already received a portion of its allocated beam? N/A

What is the status of the collaboration in terms of institutes, committed staff, and prospective students?

- Active and developing collaboration with 70+ institutes from 13 countries
- Recent example: successful pre-R&D with committed staff and students

Should the remaining beam time allocation and experiment grade be reconsidered?

- Beam time request remains the same
- Recent theoretical calculation and g<sub>1T</sub> extraction further strengthen the importance of more precise data from this experiment It should remain the highest rating.



## Summary

- Active and successful development of SoLID
  - Mature pre-conceptual design and successful pre-R&D
  - Positive feedback from DOE science review
- E12-11-007 requires 35 PAC days of 11 GeV and 8.8 GeV beam at 15 uA
  - Precision measurement of SIDIS at the QCD luminosity frontier
  - Same setup with E12-10-006, with a high polarization beam and a longitudinally polarized <sup>3</sup>He Target
- Physics impact on TMDs
  - $A_{UL} \rightarrow h_{1L}$  ]
  - $A_{LT} \rightarrow g_{1T}$  "worm-gear" distribution,  $Re[(L=0)_q \times (L=1)_q]$
  - $A_{LL} \rightarrow g_{1L}$
  - Probe the 3D spin structure of nucleon and investigate OAM-spin correlations
  - Precise neutron data from this experiment is needed to test various theoretical predictions



## BACKUP



## **Systematic Uncertainties**

Source	Type	$A_{UL}^{\sin 2\phi_h}$	$A_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_h - \phi_S)}$	$A_{LL}$
Raw Asymmetries	absolute	$1 \times 10^{-3}$	negligible	negligible
Random Coinc. Background Subtraction	relative	1%	1%	1%
polarimetry	relative	3%	4%	4%
Nuclear Effects	relative	4%	4%	4%
Diffractive Vector Meson	relative	3%	3%	3%
Radiative Corrections	relative	2%	3%	3%
Total	absolute	$1 \times 10^{-3}$	negligible	negligible
	relative	7%	7%	7%
Stat. Uncertainty for a Typical Bin	absolute	$5  imes 10^{-3}$	$4 \times 10^{-3}$	$4 \times 10^{-3}$

**Systematic < Statistical uncertainties** 



#### **Polarized Target Development**



The effective luminosity is defined as  $P_{t,pol}^2 \times L$  where  $P_{t,pol}$ : target polarization, L: luminosity SoLID-SIDIS proposed effective luminosity:  $36 \times 10^{34} / (cm^2 \text{ sec})$ 

Image credit: G. Cates



## **Projections:** A<sub>UL</sub>

**1 of 48 Z-Q<sup>2</sup> bins** for the asymmetry of  $\pi^-$  and  $\pi^+$ 





## **Projections:** A<sub>LT</sub>

**1 of 48 Z-Q<sup>2</sup> bins** for the asymmetry of  $\pi^-$  and  $\pi^+$ 





## **Projections:** A<sub>LL</sub>

**1 of 48 Z-Q<sup>2</sup> bins** for the asymmetry of  $\pi^-$  and  $\pi^+$ 





#### **SoLID Collaboration Strength**

- Each sub-system has several groups participating in pre-conceptual design and pre-R&D, efforts are ramping up
- These groups have experience with the type of detector.
  - 1. Ecal/SPD: UVa, Shandong, Tsinghua, ANL, UIC, ...
  - 2. LGC: ANL, Temple, NMSU
  - 3. HGC: Duke, Regina, Stony Brook
  - 4. GEM: UVa, GWU/Bates, USTC, CIAE, Lanzhou, Tsinghua, IMP
  - 5. DAQ: JLab, U-Mass, Rutgers
  - 6. Magnet, Infrastructure/supporting structure, project management: JLab, ANL
  - 7. Simulation/Software: Duke, Syracuse, ANL, UVa, Temple, NMSU, JLab,...
  - 8. MRPC (enhanced): Tsinghua, USTC, ...

Possible contributions from Canadian group (HGC) Chinese groups (MRPC)



#### SIDIS (charged pions) Rates Comparison between SoLID and CLAS12



DIS cuts: Q<sup>2</sup>>1 (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>, W > 2.3 GeV, W' > 1.6 GeV applied (longitudinal polarization)

SoLID: pol <sup>3</sup>He target 1 \* 10<sup>36</sup> /cm<sup>2</sup>/s acceptance: 1.0 GeV <  $P_e$  < 7.0 GeV, 8<sup>0</sup> <  $\theta_e$  <24<sup>0</sup>, 2.5 GeV <  $P_{\pi}$  < 7.5 GeV, 8<sup>0</sup> <  $\theta_{\pi}$  <15<sup>0</sup>,  $\phi = 2\pi$  CLAS12: pol <sup>3</sup>He target 0.9 \* 10<sup>34</sup> /cm<sup>2</sup>/s acceptance: 0.5 GeV <  $P_e$  < 7.0 GeV, 5<sup>0</sup> <  $\theta_e$  <125<sup>0</sup>, 0.5 GeV <  $P_{\pi}$  < 7.5 GeV, 5<sup>0</sup> <  $\theta_{\pi}$  <125<sup>0</sup>,  $\phi = \pi$ 



#### ע <sup>1</sup>ני עריין 10.15 Q<sup>2</sup> (GeV<sup>2</sup>) 0.30 < z < 0.40 0.2 GeV < P<sub>T</sub> < 0.4 GeV 0.40 < z < 0.50 0.50 < z < 0.60 0.60 < z < 0.70 10H 600 0 600 00<sup>0</sup> 0.05 Q<sup>2</sup> (GeV<sup>2</sup>) 0.2 <sup>†</sup>∺ SoLID 8.8 GeV (He<sup>3</sup>) $0.4 \text{ GeV} < P_{T} < 0.6 \text{ GeV}$ 0.15 S • SoLID 11 GeV (He<sup>3</sup>) ■ EIC e-p √s = 29 GeV 10 **11**1 1000 0.1 600 600 0.05 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.6 0 Х Х Х Х ∠ <sup>+</sup>⊭ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ Q<sup>2</sup> (GeV<sup>2</sup>) 0.30 < z < 0.40 0.2 GeV < P<sub>T</sub> < 0.4 GeV 0.40 < z < 0.50 0.50 < z < 0.60 0.60 < z < 0.70 10Ë 0.1 é°° 0.05 Ŀ Q<sup>2</sup> (GeV<sup>2</sup>) 0.2 <sup>†</sup>⊭ VSS 0.15 S ▲ SoLID 8.8 GeV (NH<sub>2</sub>) $0.4 \text{ GeV} < P_{T} < 0.6 \text{ GeV}$ ▲ SoLID 11 GeV (NH) ■ EIC e-p s = 29 GeV 0.1 10 βŀ 600 0.05 F 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.6 Ō Х Х Х EIC: integrated luminosity 10 fb<sup>-1</sup>

#### **Complementary to EIC**

28