

JLab Hall A Winter Collaboration Meeting, Feb 10-11, 2022 (Online)

Search for the mnA state

Kyoto University, Japan

Toshiyuki Gogami

Feb 10, 2022







Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics



The cross-section measurement for the ${}^{3}H(e, e'K^{+})nn\Lambda$ reaction \Im

K N Suzuki ➡, T Gogami, B Pandey, K Itabashi, S Nagao, K Okuyama, S N Nakamura,
L Tang, D Abrams, T Akiyama, D Androic, K Aniol, C Ayerbe Gayoso, J Bane, S Barcus,
J Barrow, V Bellini, H Bhatt, D Bhetuwal, D Biswas, A Camsonne, J Castellanos, J-P Chen,
J Chen, S Covrig, D Chrisman, R Cruz-Torres, R Das, E Fuchey, K Gnanvo, F Garibaldi,
T Gautam, J Gomez, P Gueye, T J Hague, O Hansen, W Henry, F Hauenstein,
D W Higinbotham, C E Hyde, M Kaneta, C Keppel, T Kutz, N Lashley-Colthirst, S Li, H Liu,
J Mammei, P Markowitz, R E McClellan, F Meddi, D Meekins, R Michaels, M Mihovilovič,
A Moyer, D Nguyen, M Nycz, V Owen, C Palatchi, S Park, T Petkovic, S Premathilake,
P E Reimer, J Reinhold, S Riordan, V Rodriguez, C Samanta, S N Santiesteban,
B Sawatzky, S Širca, K Slifer, T Su, Y Tian, Y Toyama, K Uehara, G M Urciuoli, D Votaw,
J Williamson, B Wojtsekhowski, S A Wood, B Yale, Z Ye, J Zhang, X Zheng

Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Volume 2022, Issue 1, January 2022, 013D01, https://doi.org/10.1093/ptep/ptab158
Published: 06 December 2021 Article history ▼

✓ HRS-HRS @ Hall A
✓ Tritium target
✓ (e,e'K⁺)
✓ Oct—Nov 2018

$nn\Lambda \begin{pmatrix} 3\\\Lambda \end{pmatrix}$ measurement at GSI

C. Rappold et al., PRC 88, 041001(R) (2013)



Three-body system with Λ



0.13 MeV (emulsion)



Can the $nn\Lambda$ be bound?

E. Hiyama, S. Ohnishi, B.F. Gibson, and Th. A. Rijken, Physical Review C 89, 061302(R) (2014).

AV8 *NN* + NSC97f *YN* potentials



(a)
$${}^{3}V_{\Lambda N-\Sigma N}^{T} \times 1.0$$

(b) ${}^{3}V_{\Lambda N-\Sigma N}^{T} \times 1.1$
(c) ${}^{3}V_{\Lambda N-\Sigma N}^{T} \times 1.2$

Tensor component of the $\Lambda N-\Sigma N$ coupling was varied. $\rightarrow No$ solution was found to make the $nn\Lambda$ bound maintaining the consistency with the ${}^{3}_{\Lambda}H({}^{4}_{\Lambda}H, {}^{4}_{\Lambda}He)$ data.



M. Schäfer et al., PRC 105, 015202 (2022)

6/21

Resonant nnA state



nn∧

- Resonant state may exist
- ✓ Energy + width \rightarrow n∧ Interaction
- ✓ Strongly related to $B_{\Lambda}(^{3}_{\Lambda}H)$ → E12-19-002 (HKS)



(e,e'K⁺) reaction spectroscopy



Missing-mass measurement at JLab → Sensitive to both bound and resonant states !!

c.f.) Invariant mass spectroscopy is sensitive to only bound state



JLab E12-17-003





T₂ target, Hall A, Oct—Nov 2018

Experimental setup at Hall A



• High resolution • $\frac{\Delta p}{n} = 2 \times 10^{-4}$

• Long path length $\Rightarrow R_K \simeq 15 \%$





ANALYSES

Independent analyses to doublecheck (triplecheck) results



An FSI, elementary production, nnA search, **nnA production-cross section**, etc.

This talk \rightarrow K.N. Suzuki et al. PTEP (2022)







Angle calibration by using sieve slits

The 4th order polynomial



Sieve slit pattern (RHRS)



Hadrons



Energy calibration by Λ and Σ

Fig from K.N. Suzuki's Ph.D. thesis (2022)

14/21



- Calibration with well known masses
- Geant4 simulation is consistent with data



HRS Geant4 simulation





 $^{3}\mathrm{H}(e,e'K^{+})X$

Binding energy: $B_{\Lambda} = (2M_n + M_{\Lambda}) - M_x$

- Overall structure is understandable
- FSI may need to be taken into account for the threshold region

- Simulation w/o FSI
- w/ acceptance cut

Cross section spectrum



Response function \otimes BW

Response function (RF) ✓ Geant4 simulation

2. Signal function✓ RF convoluted by Breit Wigner



Fit result (typical cases)

Kamada (2016): YN int. = Nijmegen89



 $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_K}\right)_{\text{Fit A}} = \mathbf{11.2} \pm 4.8 \text{ (stat.)}_{-2.1}^{+4.1} \text{ (sys.)}$ **2.3 \sigma (only stat.)** Belyaev (2008): YN int. = Minesota

18/21



 $\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega_K}\right)_{\text{Fit B}} = \frac{18.1 \pm 6.8 \text{ (stat.)}_{-2.9}^{+4.2} \text{ (sys.)}}{2.7 \sigma \text{ (only stat.)}}$



Upper limit at 90% C.L. (2-D scan)



Upper limit $x_{U.L.}$:

 $\frac{\int_{0}^{x_{U.L.}^{\text{stat.}}} g(x)dx}{\int_{0}^{\infty} g(x)dx} = 90\%$ where, g(x) is a Gaus. \checkmark \checkmark $x_{U.L.} = x_{U.L.}^{\text{stat.}} + sys. err.$

Theoretical calculations to be compared with the results are awaited !!



Other analyses in progress

Peak search from a count base spectrum (Hampton Univ.)

➢ An FSI (Tohoku Univ.)



Figure from K. Itabashi (Tohoku Univ.)

SUMMARY

nnΛ search experiment (E12-17-003, 2018)

- > The existence of nn Λ bound state is a deep mystery (theory)
- Resonant state may exist (theory)
- > ³H(e,e'K⁺)nn Λ @ Hall A
 - \succ Sensitive to both bound and resonant states \rightarrow very unique

<u>Analyses</u>

- - Excess was found to be about 2.3~2.7 σ (Fit-A and B)
 - Upper limits at 90% C.L. : 21 and 31 nb/sr for Fit-A and B
- 2. Peak search with a count-base spectrum
- 3. $n\Lambda$ FSI from the QF shape

K.N. Suzuki et al., PTEP 2022, 1, 013D01 (2022)

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION





H_2 in T_2 target

A few % of H_2 compared to T_2



1-D SCAN

Fig. 11. The differential cross-section as a function of $-B_{\Lambda}$ (MeV). Spectral fits were done by assuming $(-B_{\Lambda}, \Gamma) = (0.25, 0.8)$ MeV and (0.55, 4.7) MeV respectively, which are predictions adopted from Refs. [8,12]. Each panel shows the differential cross-section of exceeded events over the assumed QF distribution as a function of an assumed peak center.