Disentangling Long and Short Distances in Momentum-Space TMDs

Zhiquan Sun
Markus Ebert, Johannes Michel, Iain Stewart
arXiv: 2201.07237

Correlation in Partonic and Hadronic Interactions (CPHI)
March 10, 2022
Duke University

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

TMD Collaboration

Outline

- **Motivation** $$\int d^2\vec{k}_T \; f^{\mathrm{TMD}}(x, k_T) \stackrel{?}{=} f^{\mathrm{coll}}(x)$$
  - Challenging to move between position and momentum space
  - Challenging to separate perturbative and NP physics in TMD models
- **Mathematical Formalism**
  - Truncated functionals: model-independent perturbative baseline
  - Boundary terms: systematic corrections from NP region
- **Applications**
  - Compare cumulative TMDPDF to collinear PDF
  - Constrain OPE coefficients
- **Conclusions**

Cumulative TMDPDF

- What's the k_T -integral of the TMDPDFs?

$$\int d^2\vec{k}_T \, f^{\text{TMD}}(x, k_T, \mu, \zeta) \overset{?}{=} f^{\text{coll}}(x, \mu)$$

naively yes :)



Verified at bare level at 1-loop:
Echevarria, Idilbi, & Scimemi (1111.4996)

- Renormalization breaks the naive expectation

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \int d^2\vec{k}_T \, f^{\text{TMD}}(x, k_T, \mu, \zeta) \neq \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} f^{\text{coll}}(x, \mu)$$

renormalization says no :(

Observed to hold for certain NP models at NLO (also for g_1, h_1):
Bacchetta & Prokudin (1303.2129)

TMD Data



- Drell-Yan cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ\,dY\,d^2q_T} = H(Q,\mu) \sum_i \int d^2\vec{b}_T \, e^{i\vec{q}_T\cdot\vec{b}_T} f_i(x_a, b_T, \mu, \zeta_a)\, f_{\bar{i}}(x_b, b_T, \mu, \zeta_b) \times \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{q_T^2}{Q^2}\right)\right]$$

- Measurements are done in <u>momentum space</u> (q_T)

CMS: 1909.04133
ATLAS: 1912.02844





TMD Factorization



- TMD factorization of Drell-Yan:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ\,dY\,d^2q_T} = H(Q,\mu) \sum_i \int d^2\vec{b}_T\, e^{i\vec{q}_T\cdot\vec{b}_T}\, f_i(x_a, b_T, \mu, \zeta_a)\, f_{\bar{i}}(x_b, b_T, \mu, \zeta_b) \times \left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{q_T^2}{Q^2}\right)\right]$$

Hard virtual corrections

Describe transverse momentum of the partons

- Factorization is most easily written in <u>position space</u> (b_T)

μ = Renormalization scale

ζ = Collins-Soper parameter

$\zeta_a \zeta_b = Q^4$

TMD Evolution



Boundary condition

μ evolution

ζ evolution

$$f_i(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) = \boxed{f_i(x, b_T, \mu_0, \zeta_0)} \exp\left[\int_{\mu_0}^{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{d}\mu'}{\mu'} \gamma_\mu^i(\mu', \zeta_0)\right] \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\boxed{\gamma_\zeta^i(b_T, \mu)} \ln \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_0}\right]$$

OPE in the region $1/b_T, \mu_0, \mu \gg \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}$

$$\gamma_{\zeta,i}^{(0)}(b_T, \mu) + b_T^2 \gamma_{\zeta,i}^{(2)}(b_T) + \mathcal{O}\left[(\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} b_T)^4\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) &= f_i^{(0)}(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) + b_T^2 f_i^{(2)}(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) + \mathcal{O}\left[(\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} b_T)^4\right] \\ &= f_i^{(0)}(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta)\left(1 + \Lambda_i^{(2)}(x) b_T^2\right) + \mathcal{O}\left[(\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} b_T)^4\right] \end{aligned}$$

Modeling TMDPDFs

- TMDPDFs have both perturbative and nonperturbative parts, and usually:

$$f_i(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) = f_{\text{pert},\, i}(x, b^*(b_T), \mu, \zeta) \cdot f_{\text{NP}}(x, b_T, \zeta)$$

Calculated with expansion in $\alpha_s(1/b_T)$

Intrinsic + NP evolution

- The perturbative part can be computed by matching onto collinear PDFs:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{\text{TMD}}_{\text{pert},\, i}(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) &= \sum_j \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} C_{ij}\left(\frac{x}{z}, b_T, \mu, \zeta\right) f_j^{\text{coll}}(z, \mu) \\ &= f_i^{\text{coll}}(x, \mu) + \alpha_s C_{ij}^{(1)} \otimes f_j^{\text{coll}}(x, \mu) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \end{aligned}$$

- The NP part looks like: $f_{\text{NP}}(x, b_T, \zeta) = 1 + b_T^2 \left(\Lambda_i^{*(2)}(x) + \gamma_{\zeta,i}^{*(2)} L_\zeta\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(b_T^4\right)$

Modeling TMDPDFs

- TMDPDFs have both perturbative and nonperturbative parts, and usually:

$$f_i(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) = f_{\text{pert}, i}(x, b^*(b_T), \mu, \zeta) \cdot f_{\text{NP}}(x, b_T, \zeta)$$

Collins & Soper (Nucl. Phys. B 197 (1982) 446)
Bacchetta et al (1703.10157)
Scimemi & Vladimirov (1803.11089)



- $b^*(b_T)$ shields the Landau pole
- $b_T \ll 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$: $b^*(b_T) \to b_T$, $f_{\text{NP}} \to 1$

  f_{pert} dominates
- $b_T \gg 1/\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$: $b^*(b_T) \to$ constant

  f_{NP} dominates

Modeling TMDPDFs

- Different models of f_{NP} are used for fitting to data

- $b^*(b_T)$ shields the Landau pole and is coupled to f_{NP}

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathrm{TMD}}(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) &= f_{\mathrm{pert}}(x, b_A^*(b_T), \mu, \zeta) \cdot f_{\mathrm{NP}}^A(x, b_T, \zeta) \\ &= f_{\mathrm{pert}}(x, b_B^*(b_T), \mu, \zeta) \cdot f_{\mathrm{NP}}^B(x, b_T, \zeta) \end{aligned}$$

$$b_A^*(b_T) \neq b_B^*(b_T) \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_{\mathrm{NP}}^A(x, b_T) \text{ and } f_{\mathrm{NP}}^B(x, b_T) \text{ are not comparable!}$$

- The perturbative and nonperturbative effects are mixed up!

b^* known to be incompatible with leading renormalon in TMD PDF and γ_ζ :
Scimemi & Vladimirov (1609.06047)

Modeling TMDPDFs

- b^* prescriptions makes different $f_{\rm NP}$ not comparable
- For example, take the same $f_{\rm NP}(b_T) = e^{-(0.5{\rm GeV}\ b_T)^2}$,

  use either $b^*_{\rm CS}(b_T)$ or $b^*_{\rm Pavia}(b_T)$:



$$b^*_{\rm CS}(b_T) = \frac{b_T}{\sqrt{1+(b_T/b_{\max})^2}} = b_T\ (1+\mathcal{O}(b_T^2))$$

Collins & Soper (Nucl. Phys. B 197 (1982) 446)

$$b^*_{\rm Pavia}(b_T) = b_{\max}\left(1-\exp(-\frac{b_T^4}{b_{\max}^4})\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} = b_T\ (1+\mathcal{O}(b_T^4))$$

Bacchetta et al (1703.10157)

- Goal: extract nonperturbative physics without b^* contamination

Momentum Space

- Measurements are in q_T space: Fourier transform

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq_T} = 2\pi q_T \int_0^\infty \frac{d^2\vec{b}_T}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\vec{q}_T\cdot\vec{b}_T}\sigma(b_T)$$

q_T spectrum

$$= q_T \int_0^\infty db_T\, b_T \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2\pi}\, e^{iq_T b_T \cos\phi}\sigma(b_T) = q_T \int_0^\infty db_T\, b_T\, J_0(q_T b_T)\sigma(b_T)$$

  - For perturbative q_T, integral still includes nonperturbative b_T !

- Intuition: perturbative q_T should be dominated by perturbative $b_T \sim 1/q_T$

Momentum Space

- Intuition: perturbative q_T should be dominated by perturbative b_T
- Goal: make this intuition manifest
- Solution: introducing b_T^{cut}

Can use perturbative calculation

Nonperturbative physics

$$S[f](q_T) \equiv q_T \int_0^\infty db_T\, b_T J_0(q_T b_T) f(b_T) = S_<[f](q_T) + S_>[f](q_T)$$

full spectrum



$$S_<[f](q_T) \equiv q_T \int_0^{b_T^{\text{cut}}} db_T\, b_T J_0(q_T b_T) f(b_T),$$

$$S_>[f](q_T) \equiv q_T \int_{b_T^{\text{cut}}}^\infty db_T\, b_T J_0(q_T b_T) f(b_T)$$

Below b_T^{cut} term previously considered to check model results:
Qiu & Zhang (hep-ph/0012348)
Berger & Qiu (hep-ph/0210135)

Truncated Functionals

- Want to approximate $S[f]$ using perturbative $b_T \leq b_T^{\text{cut}}$
- Can use $S_<[f]$, but need to systematically account for $S_>[f]$

$$S_>[f](q_T, b_T^{\text{cut}}) = q_T \int_{b_T^{\text{cut}}}^{\infty} db_T\, b_T J_0(q_T b_T) f(b_T)$$

$$= -\underbrace{b_T^{\text{cut}} J_1(q_T b_T^{\text{cut}})}_{\text{asymptotic form}} f(b_T^{\text{cut}}) - \int_{b_T^{\text{cut}}}^{\infty} db_T\, b_T J_1(q_T b_T) f'(b_T)$$

$q_T \gg 1/b_T^{\text{cut}} > \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{2b_T^{\text{cut}}}{\pi q_T}} \cos\left(q_T b_T^{\text{cut}} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) f(b_T^{\text{cut}}) + \mathcal{O}[(b_T^{\text{cut}} q_T)^{-\frac{3}{2}}]$$

Assumption:

a) $|f(b_T \to \infty)| < b_T^{-\rho}$,

$|f'(b_T \to \infty)| < b_T^{-\rho-1}$, $\rho > \dfrac{1}{2}$

b) $f(b_T)$ differentiable at b_T^{cut}



$$J_0(x \to \infty) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos(x - \frac{\pi}{4}) + \mathcal{O}(x^{-\frac{3}{2}})$$

$$J_1(x \to \infty) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \cos(x + \frac{\pi}{4}) + \mathcal{O}(x^{-\frac{3}{2}})$$

Truncated Functionals

Perturbative region

- Define a systematic series to approximate $S[f]$ using $b_T \leq b_T^{\text{cut}}$

Leading order: $$S^{(0)}[f](q_T) \equiv S_<[f](q_T) = q_T \int_0^{b_T^{\text{cut}}} db_T\, b_T J_0(q_T b_T) f(b_T)$$

- Define $S^{(1)}[f]$ to include leading boundary contribution from $S_>[f]$

$$S^{(1)}[f](q_T) \equiv S^{(0)}[f] + \sqrt{\frac{2b_T^{\text{cut}}}{\pi q_T}} \cos\left(q_T b_T^{\text{cut}} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) f(b_T^{\text{cut}})$$ ← First correction!

$$\boxed{S[f](q_T) = S^{(1)}[f](q_T, b_T^{\text{cut}}) + \frac{1}{q_T}\mathcal{O}[(b_T^{\text{cut}} q_T)^{-\frac{1}{2}}]}$$

Truncated Functionals

- Systematically add on power corrections

so $S^{(n)}[f] \to S[f]$



$$S^{(0)}[f](q_T, b_T^{\rm cut}) = \int_0^{b_T^{\rm cut}} db_T\, b_T J_0(q_T b_T) f(b_T),$$

$$S^{(1)}[f](q_T, b_T^{\rm cut}) = S^{(0)}[f] + \sqrt{\frac{2b_T^{\rm cut}}{\pi q_T}} f(b_T^{\rm cut}) \cdot \cos(b_T^{\rm cut} q_T + \frac{\pi}{4})$$

$$S^{(2)}[f](q_T, b_T^{\rm cut}) = S^{(1)}[f] - \sqrt{\frac{2b_T^{\rm cut}}{\pi q_T}} \left(\frac{3\ f(b_T^{\rm cut})}{8\ b_T^{\rm cut} q_T} + \frac{f'(b_T^{\rm cut})}{q_T}\right) \cdot \cos(b_T^{\rm cut} q_T - \frac{\pi}{4})$$

$$S^{(3)}[f](q_T, b_T^{\rm cut}) = S^{(2)}[f] + \sqrt{\frac{2b_T^{\rm cut}}{\pi q_T}} \left(\frac{15\ f(b_T^{\rm cut})}{128\ b_T^{\rm cut\,2} q_T^2} - \frac{7\ f'(b_T^{\rm cut})}{8\ b_T^{\rm cut} q_T^2} - \frac{f''(b_T^{\rm cut})}{q_T^2}\right) \cdot \cos(b_T^{\rm cut} q_T + \frac{\pi}{4})$$

$$\boxed{S[f](q_T) = S^{(n)}[f] + \frac{1}{q_T}\mathcal{O}[(b_T^{\rm cut} q_T)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}]}$$

Power Correction to Functionals

- Toy function $f = \exp[-\lambda_1 \ln^2(b_T Q)] \exp[-\lambda_2 b_T^2]$
- Errors of truncated functionals follow expected power law

$$S[f](q_T) = S^{(n)}[f] + \frac{1}{q_T}\mathcal{O}[(b_T^{\rm cut} q_T)^{-n+\frac{1}{2}}]$$



Perturbative Input

- Power expand toy function and use only “perturbative” input $f^{(0)}$

$$f = \underbrace{\exp[-\,\lambda_1 \ln^2(b_T Q)]}_{f^{(0)}}(1 - \lambda_2\, b_T^2 + \mathcal{O}(b_T^4))$$

- “Errors" of truncated functionals identify missing quadratic term



$\sim q_T^{-2}$

$\sim q_T^{-2}$ $\Leftrightarrow$ $\lambda_2 b_T^2$

Cumulative Functionals

- Investigate $\int d^2\vec{k}_T \, f^{\rm TMD}(x, k_T, \mu, \zeta) \overset{?}{=} f^{\rm coll}(x, \mu)$
- Cumulative distribution with a UV cutoff:

$$\int_{|k_T| \le k_T^{\rm cut}} d^2\vec{k}_T \, f(k_T) = \int_{|k_T| \le k_T^{\rm cut}} d^2\vec{k}_T \int \frac{d^2 b_T}{(2\pi)^2} \, e^{+i\vec{k}_T \cdot \vec{b}_T} f(b_T)$$

$$= \int^{k_T^{\rm cut}} dk_T \, k_T \int_0^\infty db_T \, b_T J_0(b_T k_T) f(b_T)$$

$$= \underbrace{k_T^{\rm cut} \int_0^\infty db_T \, J_1(b_T k_T^{\rm cut}) \, f(b_T)}_{K[f](k_T^{\rm cut})}$$

- Approximate using perturbative region:

$$K^{(0)}[f](k_T^{\rm cut}, b_T^{\rm cut}) = k_T^{\rm cut} \int_0^{b_T^{\rm cut}} db_T \, J_1(b_T k_T^{\rm cut}) \, f(b_T)$$

Cumulative Functionals

- Systematically add on power corrections so $K^{(n)}[f] \to K[f]$

$$K^{(0)}[f](k_T^{\rm cut}, b_T^{\rm cut}) = k_T^{\rm cut} \int_0^{b_T^{\rm cut}} db_T\, J_1(b_T k_T^{\rm cut})\, f(b_T)$$

$$K^{(1)}[f](k_T^{\rm cut}, b_T^{\rm cut}) = K^{(0)}[f] + f(b_T^{\rm cut}) \cdot \frac{\cos(b_T^{\rm cut} k_T^{\rm cut} - \frac{\pi}{4})}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}(b_T^{\rm cut} k_T^{\rm cut})^{1/2}}$$

$$K^{(2)}[f](k_T^{\rm cut}, b_T^{\rm cut}) = K^{(1)}[f] - \left(\frac{f(b_T^{\rm cut})}{8\, b_T^{\rm cut} k_T^{\rm cut}} - \frac{f'(b_T^{\rm cut})}{k_T^{\rm cut}}\right) \cdot \frac{\cos(b_T^{\rm cut} k_T^{\rm cut} + \frac{\pi}{4})}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}(b_T^{\rm cut} k_T^{\rm cut})^{1/2}}$$

$$K^{(3)}[f](k_T^{\rm cut}, b_T^{\rm cut}) = K^{(2)}[f] - \left(\frac{9 f(b_T^{\rm cut})}{128\, b_T^{\rm cut\,2} k_T^{\rm cut\,2}} - \frac{5 f'(b_T^{\rm cut})}{8\, b_T^{\rm cut} k_T^{\rm cut\,2}} + \frac{f''(b_T^{\rm cut})}{k_T^{\rm cut\,2}}\right) \cdot \frac{\cos(b_T^{\rm cut} k_T^{\rm cut} - \frac{\pi}{4})}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}(b_T^{\rm cut} k_T^{\rm cut})^{1/2}}$$

$$\boxed{K[f](k_T^{\rm cut}) = K^{(n)}[f](k_T^{\rm cut}, b_T^{\rm cut}) + \mathcal{O}[(b_T^{\rm cut} k_T^{\rm cut})^{-n-\frac{1}{2}}]}$$

Perturbative Baseline

- Leading NP correction for TMDPDF:

$L_\zeta = \ln(b_T^2 \zeta / b_0^2)$

$$f_i(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta) = \underbrace{f_i^{(0)}(x, b_T, \mu, \zeta)}_{\text{Perturbative, use matching computed to N3LL}} \Big\{ 1 + b_T^2 \Big(\underbrace{\Lambda_i^{(2)}(x)}_{\text{Intrinsic}} + \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\gamma_{\zeta,i}^{(2)}}_{\text{From NP evolution}} L_\zeta \Big) \Big\} + \mathcal{O}[(\Lambda_{\rm QCD} b_T)^4]$$

- Pair with the $K[f]$ functionals to get the TMDPDF cumulant

$$\int^{k_T^{\rm cut}} d^2\vec{k}_T\, f_i(k_T) = \underbrace{\boxed{K^{(3)}[f_i^{(0)}](k_T^{\rm cut})}}_{\text{Model-independent, fully perturbative}} + \Lambda_i^{(2)} K^{(3)}[b_T^2 f_i^{(0)}] + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\zeta,i}^{(2)} K^{(3)}[b_T^2 L_\zeta f_i^{(0)}]$$
$$+ \mathcal{O}\Big[\underbrace{\big(k_T^{\rm cut} b_T^{\rm cut}\big)^{-\frac{7}{2}}}_{\text{Truncating functional}}, \underbrace{\Big(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm QCD}}{k_T^{\rm cut}}\Big)^4}_{\text{Truncating OPE}} \Big]$$

Cumulant of TMDPDFs

- Approximate the cumulant using $\boxed{K^{(3)}[f_{\mathrm{TMD}}^{(0)}]}$ and normalize to f^{coll}
- Deviation is small! $\int^{k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}} d^2\vec{k}_T\, f^{\mathrm{TMD}}(x, k_T, \mu = \sqrt{\zeta} = k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}) = f^{\mathrm{coll}}(x, \mu = k_T^{\mathrm{cut}})$ YES!



- Model-independent confirmation of previous results at $\mu = k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}$

Bacchetta & Prokudin (1303.2129)

- Central value consistent with intuitive result within percents
- Δ_{res} is perturbative uncertainties estimated by scale variations

Cumulant of TMDPDFs

- Deviation is small! $\int^{k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}} d^2\vec{k}_T\, f^{\mathrm{TMD}}(x, k_T, \mu = \sqrt{\zeta} = k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}) = f^{\mathrm{coll}}(x, \mu = k_T^{\mathrm{cut}})$ YES!
- NP uncertainty much smaller than perturbative uncertainty



- Δ_{cut} from varying b_T^{cut}
- Δ_{NP} from varying $\gamma_\zeta^{(2)}$ and $\Lambda^{(2)}$
- Small deviation supported by SV and Pavia model-based global fits

Scimemi & Vladimirov (1912.06532)
Bacchetta et al: 1912.07550

Cumulant of TMDPDFs

- Deviation is small! $\int^{k_T^{\rm cut}} d^2\vec{k}_T\, f^{\rm TMD}(x, k_T, \mu = \sqrt{\zeta} = k_T^{\rm cut}) = f^{\rm coll}(x, \mu = k_T^{\rm cut})$ YES!
- Test the observation as a function of x and keep $k_T^{\rm cut}$ fixed



- Central value can differ from zero by 2%
- Small deviation supported by model-based global fits

Scimemi & Vladimirov (1912.06532)
Bacchetta et al: 1912.07550

Impact of Evolution Effects

$$\int^{k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}} \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_T\, f_d(x,\vec{k}_T,\mu,\zeta)/f_d(x,\mu) - 1$$

$$x = 0.01,\, k_T^{\mathrm{cut}} = 10\,\mathrm{GeV}$$



- Intuitive expectation is robust in the vicinity of $\mu = \sqrt{\zeta} = k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}$
- For $\mu = k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}$, the ζ evolution is negligible*
- Sizable corrections from evolution away from these regions, due to the cusp anomalous dimension
- Evolution effect matters, but at the natural scale $\mu = k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}$ the intuition is valid

$$\boxed{\int^{k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}} d^2\vec{k}_T\, f^{\mathrm{TMD}}(x,k_T,\mu=k_T^{\mathrm{cut}},\zeta) = f^{\mathrm{coll}}(x,\mu=k_T^{\mathrm{cut}})}$$

Conclusions

- Perturbative and nonperturbative physics in TMDPDFs are usually hard to disentangle because of b^* prescriptions
- Systematically improvable truncated functionals let us directly compute linear impact of $\mathcal{O}(b_T^2)$ NP parameters without b* prescription
  - Model-independent estimate of NP uncertainties on integral of TMD PDF
  - Can also apply to cross section: extract NP parameters in linearized fit to experimental data in the future, complementary to model-based global fits (no time to cover — ask me if you want to know more!)
- Demonstrate that integrating the unpolarized TMDPDF over $[0,\, k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}]$ gives the collinear PDF to the percent level (when renormalization scale $\mu = k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}$)!

Thank you!

Back-up Slides

Drell-Yan Cross Section

- Leading NP correction for cross section in b_T space:

$$\sigma(b_T) = \sigma^{(0)}(b_T)\Big\{1 + b_T^2\Big(2\overline{\Lambda}^{(2)} + \gamma_{\zeta,q}^{(2)} L_{Q^2}\Big)\Big\} + \mathcal{O}\big[(\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}} b_T)^4\big]$$

Perturbative computed to N3LL

Intrinsic to TMDPDF

From evolution

- Pair with the $S[f]$ functionals to get momentum space spectrum

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}q_T} = S^{(3)}\big[\sigma^{(0)}(b_T)\big](q_T) + 2\overline{\Lambda}^{(2)} S^{(3)}\big[b_T^2\sigma^{(0)}(b_T)\big](q_T) + \gamma_{\zeta,q}^{(2)} S^{(3)}\big[b_T^2 L_{Q^2}\sigma^{(0)}(b_T)\big](q_T) + \frac{1}{q_T}\mathcal{O}\Big[(q_T b_T^{\mathrm{cut}})^{-\frac{5}{2}}, \Big(\frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}}{q_T}\Big)^4\Big]$$

Truncating functional

Truncating OPE

Linearity for the win!

$\Rightarrow$ Useful for estimating uncertainties or putting model-independent constraints on $\gamma_{\zeta,q}^{(2)}$ and $\overline{\Lambda}^{(2)}$

Interpret as Uncertainty

- Δ_{cut} is from varying the choice of b_T^{cut} in $\int_0^{b_T^{\text{cut}}} db_T$
- Evaluating impact from each NP parameter individually
- Compare with SV and Pavia global fits





Constraints on NP Parameters

- Solid line: mock data generated using b^* and f_{NP}
- Dashed line: signal template using our functionals
- Linear signal, easy to scale





Other Light Quarks





Other Light Quarks





Other Light Quarks





Other Light Quarks

$$\int^{k_T^{\mathrm{cut}}} \mathrm{d}^2\vec{k}_T\, f_s(x, \vec{k}_T, \mu, \zeta)/f_s(x,\mu) - 1$$

$x = 0.01,\, k_T^{\mathrm{cut}} = 10\,\mathrm{GeV}$





More on NP effects



Evolution effects + perturbative