2021 HPS Trigger

Valery Kubarovsky Jefferson Lab

November 15, 2021



HPS 2021 Triggers 3.7 GeV and 1.9 GeV

Two beam energies suggest MonteCarlo simulation for all HPS processes under study

- Positron Trigger (with/without Hodoscope) for A' search, used in 2019
- Pair trigger for A' search, used in 2015 1nd 2016
- Elastic electron scattering, so called Full Energy Electron trigger, to study the energy calibration
- Moller Trigger for the invariant mass resolution study
- Muon Trigger

What was done

- Tongtong produced MC for 3.7 and 1.92 GeV
 - -Pure radiative tridents
 - -A' with different masses in the range 50-210 MeV
 - -Pure Moller sample
 - Tritrig-WAB-beam
 - -Moller-WAB-beam
- <u>Tongtong</u> developed a complete set of codes in HPS analysis platform to tune various triggers for HPS 2021 run, including A' pair triggers, FEE and Moller. This code was used to extract trigger conditions for 3.7 GeV and 1.92 GeV beam energy
- <u>Sam</u> is tuning trigger conditions using his own code
- Comparison shows that the results of two independent analysis generally consistent with each other.

e⁺e⁻ Invariant mass and acceptance



- The A' cross section is proportional to the radiative trident production
- 2021 HPS run will be sensitive to the mass range 50-200 MeV
- This interval determines the study of the HPS trigger for upcoming run
- The HPS acceptance is pretty flat for the A' mass >100 MeV

Elastic electron scattering: FEE trigger

- Elastic scattering cuts
 - -Ecluster>2.1 GeV
 - Nhits per cluster>2
 - -New prescale regions suggested



9

VII



FEE Cuts for FEE (Tongtong)





triggerParameterExtractionAna_energy_vs_n_hits_cluster_analyzable_hh



Suggested Cuts for FEE Triggers with Requirement of Track Association

- Cluster energy: [2.24, 3.84] GeV
- NHits: >=4

A' Position Dependent Energy Cut (DPEc)

- 4 different versions to choose from
 - -99% acceptance
 - -97% acceptance
 - -95% acceptance
 - -93% acceptance
- Trident events and A' events with masses 50-210 MeV were used for the tuning PDEC



Parameter	90%	93%	95%	97%	99%
p_0	2.394022	2.264997	2.137665	2.025302	1.738096
p_1	-0.309924	-0.306223	-0.311977	-0.336346	-0.339251
p_2	0.018050	0.018015	0.018942	0.020893	0.022323
p_3	-0.000367	-0.000369	-0.000392	-0.000429	-0.000472

• Beam energy dependent





A' Positron Trigger parameters

- Cluster Energy: [0.3,2.7] Nhits >=2 Xmin=5 PDE from rad
- PDE from rad

3rd pol.	C0 [GeV]	C1 [GeV]	C2 [GeV]	C3 [GeV]
93%	1.91675	-0.146853	0.00477729	-5.086E-05
95%	1.80138	-0.14849	0.00524208	-6.20168E-05
97%	97% 1.42235		0.00311637	-2.22483E-05
99%	0.805655	-0.0701922	0.00263551	-3.46273E-05

Moller Trigger



Moller: study of the PDE cut

Moller trigger study

Lognumber 3901607. Submitted by vpk on Mon, 09/06/2021 - 11:25.

Logbooks: HBLOG

Entry Makers: vpk

Moller trigger study https://logbooks.jlab.org/entry/3901580

Rates at 155 nA beam current and 8um target.

Cluster energy 660-1410:	361310 Hz.
Add iX=(-13-10)	110925 Hz 1.000
Add 4 sigma PDE cut	109041 Hz 0.983
Add 3 sigma PDE cut	106285 Hz 0.958

X=(-13,-10) gives a good suppression factor =3.25 in comparison with only cluster energy cut. The PDE (position dependent energy cut) even with 3 sigma decrees rate only by 4%. I suggest to take this cut out.

Moller 3.7 GeV









Moller 1.9 GeV



Momentum Sum



Cameron

Hodoscope and Calorimeter Trigger delays



Arrows indicate position of the calorimeter delay in respect with the hodocope signal in production runs

Trigger Commisioning Plan

- 1. Test the Moller trigger
 - Test the trigger cuts of the Moller trigger
 - DAQ: PROD77_NOSVT
 - Trigger file: moller_test.trg
 - Target 8 um
 - Beam current 300 nA
 - 5 minutes with beam. Record the trigger GUI!
- 2. Hodoscope only trigger
 - Test the single calorimeter trigger from positron side
 - DAQ: PROD77_NOSVT
 - Trigger file: hps2021_ValidatePDE_V0.trg
 - Target 8 um
 - Beam current 300 nA
 - Number of events 100M (around one our beam time)
 - Record the trigger GUI
- 3. Calorimeter only trigger
 - Test the production trigger logics: ECAL and Hodo
 - DAQ: PROD77_NOSVT
 - Trigger file: hps2021_Validate_Prod.trg
 - Target 8 um
 - Beam current 300 nA
 - Number of events 100M (around one our beam time)
 - Record the trigger GUI
- 4. Random trigger @ max current
 - Determine the absolute trigger efficiency
 - DAQ: PROD77
 - Trigger file: hps2021_v2_1_30kHz_random.
 - Production current
 - Production target
 - Take data when the SVT will be completely ready
 - 4 hours of **BEAM TIME**
 - Record the trigger GUI

Trigger Validation

Efficiency for Single2



Efficiency = 99.6%

Most of failed cases are located at the edge of Ecal.

Trigger Validation

Efficiency for Single3



Efficiency = 98.7%

Most of failed cases are located at the edge of Ecal.

Tongtong

9

Trigger Validation: Single 2

Hodoscope only trigger. Efficiency(single2, positron witgout hodoscope) >98.5%





2021 HPS Trigger Zoo

Removed

- Moller 1 cluster trigger (top, bottom)
- Positron trigger
 - 2 tops
 - 2 bottoms
- Pairs (top+bottom)
 - old 2016 trigger
 - Moller
 - 2 gamma
 - Muon trigger
- 2 gammas (anywhere in the calorimeter)
- 3 gammas (anywhere in the calorimeter
- FEE
 - Тор
 - Bottom
- Special triggers
 - Pulser
 - Hodoscope
 - Cosmic
 - LED
 - Faraday Cup

rigger:hps2021_v2_3.cnf Event Rate3.09e+04ata Rate (MB/s1.03e+02.ivetime (%) 84.10								
Total Events 5.55e+07 Total Data (MB/7.2be+05								
#	Description	Raw Rate (Hz)	Prescaled Rate (Hz)	z	Prescale			
00	Single-0 Top	2525191.0	109.0	0,2	20000			
01	Moller Top	228608.0	455.0	0.8	500			
02	Single-2 Top (e+)	13377.0	13457.0	23,2	1			
03	ingle−3 Top (e+/Hodo	13967.0	13989.0	24.1	1			
04	Single-0 Bottom	2941446.0	125.0	0.2	20000			
05	Moller Bottom	262416.0	521.0	0.9	500			
06	Single-2 Bottom (e+)	14110.0	13997.0	24.1	1			
07	gle-3 Bottom (e+/Hou	13706.0	13657.0	23.5	1			
08	Pair-0 (Old e+e-)	27265.0	261.0	0.4	100			
09		134963.0	0.0	0.0				
10		121854.0	0.0	0.0				
11	Pair-3 (mu+mu-)	780.0	718.0	1.2	1			
12	LED	0.0	0.0	0.0				
13	Cosmic	0.0	0.0	0.0				
14	Hodoscope	4036045.0	0.0	0.0				
15	Pulser	100.0	101.0	0,2	1			
16		250225.0	0,0	0.0	0			
17		13413.0	0.0	0.0				
18	FEE Top	344.0	361.0	0.6	1			
19	FEE Bottom	360,0	348.0	0.6	1			
		Sum:	58099.00					
			1126	~ ~ ~				

- I=125 nA
- DAQ rate 31 kHz
- Lifetime 84%
- Trigger version hps2021_2.3

Positron trigger



Pair Triggers, FEE and others

				E _{min}	E _{max}	Rate	Pre scale
	#08	Pair-0	Old e ⁺ e ⁻	300	2500	15K	100
Muons	#11	Pair-3	Muons	80	300	780	1.1
No prescale	#I8	FEE	Тор	2600	5200	170	1.1
FEE	#I9	FEE	Bot	2600	5200	161	1

			Rate	Prescale	Rates
#12	LED	Calorimeter	15K	0	
#13	Cosmic	Calorimeter	120K	0	
#14	Hodoscop e		4.0M	0	
#15	Pulser		100	1 - E	100
#16 Front Panel	Faraday Cup		4446	5(17)	250

Conclusion

- The 2021 triggers were simulated for 2 beam energies 1.9 and 3.7 GeV.
- All main triggers were analyzed and trigger conditions established
 - -Positron Trigger (with/without Hodoscope) for A' search
 - -Pair A' trigger
 - -Full Energy Electron trigger
 - Moller Trigger
 - -Muon trigger (stays the same)
- Trigger validation was done for all major production triggers
- Trigger efficiency was determined not less than ~99%
- The one cluster Moller trigger shows good results
- Many thanks to the team that supported this job that demands very high expert qualification: Ben, Nathan, Sergey, Sam, Tongtong and Rafo
- Special thanks to Norman and Cameron for providing us useful off-line analysis information

