

Suppressing Beam Background and Fake Photons at Belle II using BDTs

Priyanka Cheema on behalf of the Belle II Collaboration

School of Physics, University of Sydney, Australia

pche3675@uni.sydney.edu.au




1. Introduction

The **residual energy** in the electromagnetic calorimeter (ECL), called E_{ECL} , is a key background-suppression tool for decays with missing energy (neutrinos). The power of E_{ECL} degrades when **beam background clusters** and **fake photons** are included in the residual energy calculation. To remove these photon contributions from E_{ECL} , and improve its signal-background separation, **two separate classifiers** have been built to identify beam background and fake photons. The framework used is **FastBDT** [1] - a stochastic gradient boosted decision tree (BDT). The two BDTs presented are useful for experiments using crystal calorimeters with near- 4π coverage such as BES-III and KLOE.

The BDTs are used in the Belle II analyses of: $B \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$, $B \rightarrow \pi \ell \nu$, $B \rightarrow K \ell \nu$, $B \rightarrow \mu \nu$, $B \rightarrow \tau \ell$, $B \rightarrow \tau \tau$, $\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow \eta h_b(1P)$ and inclusive $R(D)$

2. Belle II Detector

Belle II is located at the **SuperKEKB asymmetric e^+e^- collider** in Tsukuba, Japan. The collider operates at the CMS energy of $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV. This corresponds to the **$\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance**. The $\Upsilon(4S)$ meson decays almost exclusively to a **pair of B mesons** i.e. $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}$. Since operation began in 2018, Belle II has recorded an integrated luminosity of $\int L dt = 428 \text{ fb}^{-1}$. Belle II has a **near- 4π coverage** of the interaction point, so **full reconstruction** of the event can be achieved.



3. Background Photons

Beam background clusters originate from beam interactions like Touschek scattering, Bhabha scattering and beam-gas scattering. **Fake photons** are calorimeter energy deposits that are split into multiple clusters during the reconstruction process, for example due to hadronic split-offs. Photon samples for the BDT training were sourced from **Monte-Carlo simulated data**. True photons from the $\Upsilon(4S)$ decay are labelled **class 1** while beam background and fake photons are **class 0**.

4. BDT Features


Important features were determined using the **total information gain** of each feature in BDT.

Beam Background BDT Features

- **Energy, timing** and **polar angle** of the cluster
- Output of a separate MVA that characterises **cluster shapes**
- Output of a separate MVA that uses **pulse-shape information** from activated ECL crystals, where class 0 = hadronic showers and class 1 = electromagnetic showers [2]



Fake Photon BDT Features

- Distance between the cluster and its **nearest track**



6. Testing On $B^0 \rightarrow D^* \ell \nu$

Cuts used on the BDT outputs: beam background BDT > 0.6 and fake photon BDT > 0.7 . A **two-template fit** (signal and combined background) to $E_{ECL} < 0.8$ GeV can be used to get the signal yield S , with fit uncertainty $\sigma(S)$.




5. Classifier Performance

The optimal hyperparameters were chosen using **holdout** with results below:

	# Trees	Max Depth	Shrinkage	Test AUC Score
Beam Background BDT	100	3	0.1	0.998
Fake Photon BDT	300	3	0.1	0.944

Output of beam background/fake photon BDT gives **probability of being class 1** (signal photon)



7. References

- [1] T. Keck. FastBDT: A speed-optimized and cache-friendly implementation of stochastic gradient-boosted decision trees for multivariate classification. 2016.
- [2] S. Longo et al. CsI(Tl) pulse shape discrimination with the Belle II electromagnetic calorimeter as a novel method to improve particle identification at electron-positron colliders. *NIM A*, 982:164562, 2020.