

GNN for Deep Full Event Interpretation and hierarchical reconstruction of heavy-hadron decays in proton-proton collisions



Julián García Pardiñas^{1,2}, Marta Calvi¹, <u>Jonas Eschle³</u>, Andrea Mauri^{4,5} Simone Meloni¹, Martina Mozzanica¹, Nicola Serra³

1 University and INFN Milano-Bicocca (Italy) 2 CERN (Switzerland) 3 University of Zürich, Switzerland 4 Imperial College London (UK) 5 NIKHEF (The Netherlands)

CHEP 2023

Norfolk 11 May 2023

Outlook

Performance

The algorithm

Motivation

[DFEI-arXiv:2304.08610]

The LHCb detector

Single-arm forward spectrometer, studying the **<u>decays of beauty and charm hadrons</u>**.



Excellent vertexing capabilities, momentum resolution and PID performance.

Jonas Eschle



LHCb trigger



<u>Current trigger</u>

OR between decay modes

Store whole event*

*some efforts at reducing size exist:[JINST 14 (2019) 04, P04006] Jonas Eschle

DFEI for LHCb-like environment

CHEP 2023











Event information and size

Full event VS disk space

Crucial to have <u>all</u> tracks of decay (finding resonances...)



Facing the new era with machine learning



Facing the new era with machine learning



Similar developments in other experiments



Full Event Interpretation algorithm at an e+e- collider [Comput.Softw.Big Sci. 3 (2019) 1 6], BELLE2-MTHESIS-2020-006].



GNNs for trigger purposes [see e.g. <u>Eur.Phys.J.C 81 (2021) 5, 381</u>, <u>Frontiers in Big Data 3 (2021) 44</u>].

Facing the new era with machine learning



Outlook

Performance

The algorithm

First prototype of DFEI for LHCb, focused on b-hadron decays and charged stable particles.

Motivation

Decays and graph structures

Event

Global: event information *nTracks*, ...

Nodes: track variables *momentum*, (PID), ...

Edges (# nodes²!): track relations angle, DOCA, ...

*	

Graph structures

Representation of objects with relations

Arbitrary, sparse/dense relations



e'v'u'updated (by DNN) e, v, u

 \mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{e}'_k \mathbf{u}

BLUE updated by **BLACK** not utilizing **GREY**

e'v'u'updated (by DNN) e, v, u

BLUE updated by **BLACK** not utilizing **GREY**







Node Aggregation

Aggregating information from neighbors

e'v'u'updated (by DNN) e, v, u







BLUE updated by **BLACK** not utilizing **GREY**



Node Aggregation

Aggregating information from neighbors

e'v'u'updated (by DNN) e, v, u

BLUE updated by **BLACK** not utilizing **GREY**



Node/edge/global features

Different interpretations depending on application

Jonas Eschle

DFEI for LHCb-like environment

CHEP 2023

DFEI Overview



Jonas Eschle

Input graph construction



Jonas Eschle

DFEI for LHCb-like environment

CHEP 2023

8

1st module: node pruning



Jonas Eschle

DFEI for LHCb-like environment

CHEP 2023

2nd module: edge pruning





Jonas Eschle



DFEI for LHCb-like environment

Jonas Eschle

CHEP 2023



12

Overview and training

Dataset:

- PYTHIA-based simulation, <u>Run 3-like conditions</u>, approximated emulation of LHCb reconstruction.
- Events required to contain at least one <u>b-hadron (inclusive decay)</u>.



Dataset:

- PYTHIA-based simulation, <u>Run 3-like conditions</u>, approximated emulation of LHCb reconstruction.
- Events required to contain at least one <u>b-hadron (inclusive decay)</u>.



Dataset:

- PYTHIA-based simulation, <u>Run 3-like conditions</u>, approximated emulation of LHCb reconstruction.
- Events required to contain at least one <u>b-hadron (inclusive decay)</u>.



Dataset:

- PYTHIA-based simulation, <u>Run 3-like conditions</u>, approximated emulation of LHCb reconstruction.
- Events required to contain at least one <u>b-hadron (inclusive decay)</u>.





The algorithm

Motivation

Performance: final-state particle filtering

rue number of signal final-state particles

Confusion matrix, normalized per true class 9 10 11 12 13 14 8 Selection efficiency 0.9 12 11 10 8 12 12 12 10 9 9 0.8 20 0.7 12 10 13 12 12 10 0.6 12 12 15 13 11 9 15 0.5 15 12 14 13 11 8 2 0.4 1 2 16 13 15 12 10 9 3 17 13 16 13 11 7 2 % 0.3 2 3 18 13 16 12 10 7 6 10 0.2 4 19 14 17 12 10 6 3 0.1 4 19 13 16 2 3 20 14 14 12 5 100 200 300 5 21 15 14 # particles in event 1 3 4 23 15 6 21 16 - 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 2 1 2 3 Consistent performance with Number of selected final-state particles different number of signals "single-b-hadron-signal" approach performance comparable to the **DFEI capability #1** envisaged nominal LHCb strategy for Run 3 [JINST 14 (2019) 04, P04006] DFEI selects all of Powerful event size (~ x14) them simultaneously reduction in a multi-signal LHCb: 90% sig eff, 90% bkg rej. power environment. DFEI: 94% sig eff, 96% bkg rej. power

Jonas Eschle

DFEI for LHCb-like environment

CHEP 2023

Performance: event reconstruction



- Percent level, comparable to Belle II performance
- Can be easily extended for more target variables!

DFEI capability #2 Automatised and inclusive reconstruction of decay chains.

Outlook

Performance

The algorithm

Motivation

Outlook and Summary

Increased particle multiplicities for LHCb Upgrades I and II bring big challenges, both for trigger and offline analysis

Paradigm change in trigger: "which <u>events</u>?" \rightarrow "which <u>parts</u> of the event?" [DFEI-arXiv:2304.08610]

Online application:

- Safely discard rest of event, minimal loss for analyses
- Hierarchical reconstruction of heavy-hadron decay chains

Offline application:

• Tool for powerful background classification & suppression

First prototype of the DFEI algorithm based on GNN *focused on b-hadron decays and charged stable particles* **Very promising performance in realistic conditions!**

CHEP 2023



Backup slides

Jonas Eschle

DFEI for LHCb-like environment

CHEP 2023

Next steps

Algorithmic optimization and architectural choices

- Accuracy and useful information (separation, signal channels, ...)
- Expansion in functionality (neutrals, PID, ...)

Extensive performance studies, crucial for calibration

- In simulation
- In real data

Integration in LHCb trigger

- Export into ROOTs TMVA SOFIE, finishing GNN implementation
- Study usage of hardware accelerators for Upgrade II (FPGA, GPU, ...)



• Resonances with less than two charged descendants merged with the previous ancestor.

Dataset:

- PYTHIA-based simulation, <u>Run 3-like conditions</u>, approximated emulation of LHCb reconstruction.
- Events required to contain at least one <u>b-hadron (inclusive decay)</u>.



Jonas Eschle

Signal-based trigger vs Full Event Interpretation (FEI)

Signal based

The current LHCb trigger is an **OR between many decay-mode selection lines**.

Since Run2, to reduce the event size, some lines **store only parts of the event which are related** to the specific signal. [JINST 14 (2019) 04, P04006]

E.g.: store the signal + the tracks in the same primary vertex (PV).



FEI

New proposal: try to **reconstruct the band c- hadron decay chains in the event**, in a hierarchical-clustering manner (cluster → unstable particle), **and discard the rest**.

Advantages:

- **Exploit extra correlations** between objects in the event.

- **Bandwidth oriented**: focus on storing as much "useful" information as possible.

- Case of several signals per event as an integral part of the approach.

- Establishment of a basis for an expanded functionality of the trigger: inclusive selections, study of anomalous events ...

Training dataset: emulating Run3 conditions

Particle collision&decay

The training and performance studies are currently done using **PYTHIA**, with the following configuration:

- Proton-proton collisions at 13 TeV.
- Average number of collisions per event: 7.6.
- Selecting events with at least one b-hadron produced (inclusive decay).

"Detection and reconstruction"

We require all the tracks and the b-hadrons to be **inside the LHCb geometrical acceptance**.

In addition, we **emulate the reconstruction of the following quantities**, using publicly available expectations for the LHCb performance in Run3 (see backup):

- Origin point of the tracks (first measurement in the Vertex Locator).
- Three-momentum of the tracks.
- Position of the primary vertices.

Decay-level performance

Decay mode	Perfect $(\%)$	Wrong hierarchy $(\%)$	Not iso. $(\%)$	Part. reco. $(\%)$
Inclusive H_b decay	4.6 ± 0.1	5.9 ± 0.1	76.0 ± 0.2	13.4 ± 0.1
$ \frac{B^{0} \to K_{0}^{*}[K\pi]\mu^{+}\mu^{-}}{B^{0} \to K^{+}\pi^{-}} \\ \frac{B^{0} \to D_{s}^{-}[K^{-}K^{+}\pi^{-}]\pi^{+}}{B^{0} \to D^{-}[K^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}]D^{+}[K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}]} \\ \frac{B^{+} \to K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}}{\Lambda_{b}^{0} \to \Lambda_{c}^{+}[pK^{-}\pi^{+}]\pi^{-}} $	$\begin{array}{c} 35.8\pm0.7\ 38.0\pm0.7\ 32.8\pm0.7\ 22.7\pm0.6\ 35.7\pm0.7\ 21.7\pm1.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 19.2 \pm 0.6 \\ - \\ 7.1 \pm 0.4 \\ 22.4 \pm 0.6 \\ 10.2 \pm 0.4 \\ 8.9 \pm 0.7 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 44.9 \pm 0.7 \\ 54.7 \pm 0.7 \\ 53.7 \pm 0.8 \\ 54.9 \pm 0.8 \\ 46.4 \pm 0.7 \\ 36.8 \pm 1.2 \end{array}$	< 0.02 7.2 ± 0.4 6.4 ± 0.4 < 0.02 7.7 ± 0.4 32.6 ± 1.1
$B_{s}^{0} \to J/\psi[\mu^{+}\mu^{-}]\phi[K^{+}K^{-}]$	26.9 ± 0.6	20.5 ± 0.5	52.5 ± 0.6	< 0.02

Different types of decay reconstruction

- wrong hierarchy: correct tracks but wrong hirarchy
- Not isolated: additional tracks that do not belong to the decay
- missing tracks of the true decay

Fraction of perfect signal reconstruction is approximate the tag side efficiency for FEI at Belle (II) (order few per cent for semileptonic decays and few per mille for hadronic decays.)

Example of decay-tree simplification used in the prototype

Original chain of ancestors: $\pi^+ \leftarrow \rho(770)^0 \leftarrow \phi(1020) \leftarrow D^+ \leftarrow B^0 \leftarrow B^{*0}$



Simplified chain of ancestors (based on reconstructible vertices):

 $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \leftarrow \mathbf{D}^{\scriptscriptstyle +} \leftarrow \mathbf{B}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$

Jonas Eschle

Performance: timing

Simplistic study (no parallelisation, no hardware accelerators^{*}, algorithm to be further optimised), to **understand which are the slowest parts of the algorithm and how they scale with the total number of particles per event.**



The slowest part is the node pruning, which also has the strongest dependency on the number of particles. \rightarrow Many possible ways of optimisation.

The processing time of the subsequent algorithms is quite stable regarding changes in event complexity.

(*) Study done on a darwin-x86_64 architecture with a 2.8 GHz Intel Core i7 processor.

Timing studies



Implementation

Currently Python & TensorFlow flexible for experimenting

TMVA SOFIE implementation (WIP) Fast Inference System

Possible speed improvements

Simplification of layers, especially first *Approximate convolutions etc.*

Hardware accelerators such as FPGA, GPU,... WIP for GNNs in general