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Bulk processing: what and why

“bulk data processing in ROOT, high-energy physics, abstract art”
A large R&D effort, for a large impact

**RDataFrame** (RDF) is ROOT’s modern analysis interface addressing most common use cases with one high-level programming model that performs well, scales well and enables HEP-specific ergonomics, in C++ and Python. See e.g. [E. Guiraud, ICHEP 2022](#).

Given RDF’s popularity, we decided to investigate the potential performance benefits of a large refactoring of its inner data processing loop.

What is presented here is current R&D that we plan to release as part of ROOT this year.
df.Filter("nMuon == 2 && charge[0]*charge[1] < 0")
  .Define("mass",
      InvariantMass<float>,
      {"pt", "eta", "phi", "mass"})
  .Histo1D("mass");

From the Dimuon RDF tutorial.

On my laptop, reading 61M events from warm cache: 2.5M events/s or 101 MiB/s (ZSTD-compressed data actually decompressed and processed, single-core).

This R&D does not speed up raw I/O and decompression, but see the RNTuple talk.
Previously: event by event processing

ROOT I/O loads data from storage in bulks, but RDF goes through it event-wise:

- **per-event overheads** related to preparing data for processing
- unfriendly to the CPU data/instruction caches
- prevents some optimization opportunities, e.g. **GPU offloading**
For each node of the comp. graph, RDF runs over a bulk of entries at a time:

- **overheads** related to data preparation are now per-bulk
- friendlier to CPU caches
- enables **GPU offloading** and specialized, vectorized operations
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Complication #1: unaligned baskets

User code

```
df.Filter(...) .Define("mass", ...) .Histo1D("mass");
```

Comp. graph

Each column’s data is compressed together in “baskets”. Different columns have **different basket boundaries**.

- RDF bulks (transversal to columns) cannot respect basket boundaries
- **we decompress values into RDF’s own storage** (may require +1 copy):
  - guarantees all column values in a bulk are contiguous in memory
  - avoids redundant decompressions due to basket hopping
Complication #2: event masks

Most operations on the bulk (e.g. histogram fills) are **conditional on the event mask**

→ very **hard for the compiler to auto-vectorize** operations

Different branches of the comp. graph require **same values with different masks**

→ care required to coordinate loads/computations of values across the graph
Enabling vectorized operations

**Event-wise**

```cpp
define ("x2", square, {"x"});
```

**Bulk**

```cpp
void bulkSquare(const REventMask &m, RVec<float> &results, const RVec<float> &xs);
```

define ("x2", bulkSquare, {"x"});

Event-wise operations remain the default, we add the **option to implement bulk versions** of heavy computations.

**bulkSquare** operates on many contiguous values, possibly **ignoring the event mask** in order to leverage **CPU vectorization**. It could also dispatch the computation to a **GPU kernel** (e.g. for ML inference).
“me running performance benchmarks on my code as an Edward Hopper painting”
A new free parameter: the bulk size

- **runtime plateaus in a sensible range**
  (256-4096, error bars are negligible)
- **no meaningful RAM usage increase**
  except for the largest bulk sizes
- plot shows trends for the dimuon analysis,
  but behavior has been consistent across different benchmarks, machines, TTree and RNTuple
- still, GPU kernels and specialized use cases will have different requirements
- can pick a **reasonable default** in the plateau range, **customizable at runtime**
Better runtimes on simple schemas

- Speed-up on simple column types (floats and C-style arrays thereof)
  - 2x for TTree
  - 1.6x for RNTuple

- + bulk API: a more CPU-friendly version of the invariant mass calculation provides a further 15% speed-up (can likely be improved)
- speed-up compounds with multi-threading (8 threads here)
- error bars are negligible

Benchmark source code
Smaller gains on non-trivial types

Speed-up with non-trivial column types (std::vector<float>, std::vector<bool>)

- **1.2x for TTree**
- **1.4x for RNTuple**

- cannot leverage low-level TTree bulk I/O; situation is better with RNTuple
- harder to “bulkify” the value preparation logic for complex types, even if it is just STL collections
- std::vector<bool> introduces extra complications because of bit-packing

Benchmark source code
Conclusions

“an impressionist painting of happy CPU cores”
Moving from R&D to production

We showed:

- there is **potential for a much faster RDF** for common analysis use cases (for TTree and RNTuple, for each core of a multi-core run)
- ...especially for simple schemas ("flat ntuples")
- **bulk-wise computation kernels** can speed up expensive computations

Remaining challenges before prime time:

- graceful degradation in case of files with bad clustering/basket sizes
- some RDF features still unsupported, e.g. callbacks and DefinePerSample

Let me know if you are interested in becoming a beta tester!
"isometric diorama of a library"
Code and benchmark setup

- development branch: github.com/eguiraud/root/tree/df-bulk
- benchmarks: github.com/eguiraud/rdf-benchmarks

- CPU: Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-10875H CPU @ 2.30GHz
- Intel turbo boost, hyper-threading and speedstep turned off
- CPU frequency governor set to “performance”
- no JIT-ed code, all code built with -O3 optimization with gcc 12.2.1
  (O2 vs O3 makes a huge difference for RNTuple!)
- all input files were ZSTD-compressed, read from warm filesystem cache
The algorithm in a nutshell

```
for e in entries:
    if eval_filter(e):
        x = eval_define(e)
        fill_histo(x)

max_size = get_max_bulk_size()
while not done:
    bulk = datasource.next_range(max_size)
    mask = event_mask(bulk)
    xs = eval_define(bulk, mask)
    fill_histo(bulk, mask, xs)
```

- Filter/Define evaluation and histogram filling now in a hot loop
- RDF talks to the I/O layer once per bulk, not once per entry
- Need to cache bulk.size() column values, filter/define results

```
event_mask
vs = load_col_values(bulk)
for e in bulk:
    m[e] = eval_filter(vs[e])
return m
```

```
eval_define
vs = load_col_values(bulk)
for e in bulk:
    if (mask[e])
        xs[e] = eval_expr(vs[e])
return xs
```

```
fill_histo
for e in bulk:
    if (mask[e])
        histo.fill(xs[e])
```
What about memory usage?

In our tests, the increase in memory usage due to having to store bulkSize results and cache bulkSize column values was negligible with respect to the baseline memory usage of ROOT I/O, the interpreter, the histograms.

The latter factors contribute to $O(100)$ MBs of allocations: that’s a lot of column values cached.
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