

Generalizing mkFit and its Application to HL-LHC

The mkFit team, for the CMS collaboration CHEP-2023

A.R. Hall², A. Yagil¹, D.S. Riley⁵, E. Vourliotis¹, G. Cerati³, L. Giannini¹, M. Kortelainen³, M. Masciovecchio¹, <u>*M. Tadel*</u>¹, P. Gartung³, P. Elmer⁴, P. Wittich⁵, S. Krutelyov¹, S.R. Lantz⁵, T. Reid⁵

1. UCSD, 2. USNA Annapolis, 3. Fermilab, 4. Princeton, 5. Cornell

Overview:

- One-slide introduction to mkFit
- mkFit in CMS: usage & performance
- Code generalizations and improvements in support of iterative tracking and HL-LHC
- Planned future work

Introduction to mkFit ⇒ Matriplex Kalman trajectory Fitter

• Parallelized and vectorized track finding and fitting

- Parallelization through Intel TBB
- Vectorization via SIMD pragmas (mostly in propagation) and *Matriplex* (Kalman operations)
 - Made possible by generalizing detector geometry and its traversal so that sets of track candidates undergo the same operations

• *Matriplex*: classes for vectorized operations on a set of matrices / vectors

- Includes code generator for optimized matrix multiplication code:
 - fixed element 0 or 1 values can reduce number of operations by 50%
 - inline transpose
 - generates regular matrix calculation C++ code or intrinsics (FMA supported)

• A three line history

- \circ 2014 explore vectorized fitting (Xeon Phi) \rightarrow success \rightarrow track finding for high-PU environments
 - Goal: <u>Attempt to keep mkFit core experiment-independent</u>
- 2018 decent CMS prototype \rightarrow improve precision, low-p_T performance \rightarrow **configurability**
- \circ 2022 inclusion into CMSSW (CMS software) \rightarrow start preparing for HL-LHC / Phase-2
 - stand-alone mode of operation is still supported

mkFit in CMS - a brief introduction

- CMS uses iterative tracking:
 - 12 main tracking iterations, starting from central, pixel-based seeds, then swiping up the rest
 - mkFit is currently used for **5** of such iterations (**\approx90%** of all reconstructed tracks with **p_{\tau} > 0.5 GeV**)



M. Tadel et al. for the CMS collaboration, Generalizing mkFit and its Application to HL-LHC, CHEP 2023

mkFit in CMS - the tracking workflow

- In iterations using mkFit, the tracking workflow consists of the following tasks:
 - pre-mkFit: seed finding
 - mkFit: track building
 - Seed cleaning (if needed):
 - mkFit processes seeds in parallel
 - can not rely on claimed hits to discard seeds
 - Seed partitioning:
 - barrel / transition / endcap + sorting in { $\eta,\,\phi$ }
 - Forward search with quality filtering (optional)
 - Backward fit / search with quality filtering
 - Duplicate removal
 - post-mkFit: final-fit, final NN quality selection



mkFit in CMS - physics performance

From <u>CMS-DP-2022-018</u> (*where mkFit is also used in PixelLess iteration)

- Tracking efficiency comparable: Small gains in endcap (2.4 < $|\eta|$ < 2.8)
- Tracking fake rate better overall: Fake rate reduction with increasing $|\eta|$
- Tracking **duplicate rate slightly increased**: Mitigated by dedicated duplicate



M. Tadel et al. for the CMS collaboration, Generalizing mkFit and its Application to HL-LHC, CHEP 2023

mkFit in CMS - computational performance

From <u>CMS-DP-2022-018</u> (*where mkFit is also used in PixelLess iteration)

- Vectorization and threading scaling tests for <u>initial</u> <u>iteration</u> show (according to Amdahl's Law)
 - ~70% of operations effectively vectorized.
 - >95% of code effectively parallelized.
- Computational speedups when using mkFit:
 - Individual mkFit iterations: Up to 6.7x building time reduction
 - Sum of mkFit iterations: ~3.5x building time reduction
 - Track building with mkFit costs less than seeding, ≈ fitting
 - Sum of all iterations: ~1.7x building time reduction _
 - ⇒ 25% reduction of total tracking time

 \cap

⇒ Event throughput increase by 10-15% in Run-3



7

14 TeV

Generalizations for iterative tracking & HL-LHC:

- Geometry description & traversal
- Configuration classes / mechanisms
- Catalog approach to standard track-processing functions

Geometry description and traversal

- Detectors split into mkFit layers
 - Potentially finer granularity than readout / construction
 - E.g., mono/stereo treated as separate layers
- Layer is a mkFit tracking concept:
 - Track search proceeds through a sequence of layers \rightarrow called a *LayerPlan*
 - Plans differ for barrel / transition / endcap
 - This allows for parallel processing of multiple tracks as we do not deal with individual modules

• Changes

- On-the-fly extraction of layer envelopes/gaps
- Add module-id information to hits to allow for overlap hit collection
- CMS Phase-2 geometry has tilted modules
 ⇒ requires module position, normal and strip direction to be known to mkFit





mkFit Configuration system & classes

- Each tracking iteration needs to be separately configurable.
 - \circ class *IterationConfig* \rightarrow top-level configuration \rightarrow which tasks to perform
 - parameters for seed & duplicate cleaning
 - includes *LayerPlan* and the following classes
 - class *IterationParams* → tracking parameters, e.g., max # of holes, χ^2 cuts; quality filter params
 - can be different for forward / backward search
 - \circ class *IterationLayerConfig* \rightarrow parameters specific to layers, hit search windows; one per layer!
- In CMSSW (or any other multi-threaded framework) configuration is required to be completely separable → instantiated and managed independently
 - Tracking iterations are driven by the CMS module system, typically configured via Python scripts
- As a compromise, all mkFit configuration can be loaded (and saved) into JSON
 - Reading of partial JSON overrides is fully supported patch mode:
 - read full configuration from CMSSW release
 - override desired parameters with a simple additional JSON file
 - Frequently used parameters can also be set via Python (in particular, for heavy-ion operations)
 - Plugin-style configuration is still supported in stand-alone mode

M. Tadel et al. for the CMS collaboration, Generalizing mkFit and its Application to HL-LHC, CHEP 2023

"Standard" functions

- With support of multiple iterations and Phase-2 geometry it became obvious we need a more flexible configuration mechanism for the following tasks:
 - seed cleaning & partitioning per iteration
 - **candidate filters**: pre- and post-backward fit per iteration
 - duplicate cleaning per iteration
 - candidate scoring per iteration with possible per region override
 - Stuffing extra parameters into *IterationConfig* & friends can not scale
- Use *std::function<task_func_type*> catalogs with string keys
 - Populate the catalogs via static object initializers in source files that contain the task code
 - can all be hidden in anonymous namespaces
 - function templates can be used to inject compile-time parameters
 - can even be lambdas for simple cases
 - JSON files specify the names / strings for the functions to be picked
 - After configuration loading / setup is complete the names get resolved into *std::functions<>* and become available through *IterationConfig*

Binnor<>

• Fast 2D nearest neighbor search on a grid

- Generalization of algorithm initially developed for pre-selecting hits.
- Now also used for seed cleaning, seed partitioning, and duplicate removal.
- Specify two axes (like histogram: N_{bins}, min, max)
 - $\circ \quad U(1) \text{ type supported} \to \phi$
 - Uses bit packing to minimize memory usage (and cache misses)
- Lookup structures created by sorting of registered entries
 - { start, size } pairs are stored for each bin
 - Uses Radix sort

Single block memory allocation

- Memory for all track candidates, including hit-on-track information is acquired in a single allocation and distributed sequentially (dealloc is a no-op).
 - Reduce allocation and deallocation overhead while still using std::vectors.
 - Vector-gather (*vgather*) instruction, which is used to fill Matriplex's with input data, breaks if hit or track allocations are done from different threads (probably virtual memory segment)

Ongoing & Future work

- Use the described changes to further tune Phase-1 CMS iterations
 - Especially track scoring \Rightarrow use mkFit for more than 5 current iterations
- Final-fit now the most time-consuming tracking task in iterations using mkFit
 - $\circ \Rightarrow$ Explore how mkFit could be used effectively in this area
 - In parallel, this can also improve backward-fit and backward-search in mkFit

• For Phase-2 we have a proof-of-life minimal configuration

- Geometry, LayerPlan's and seed-partitioning are correct
 - Phase-1 functions still used for others
- $\circ \Rightarrow$ Continue Phase-2 developments, focus on the first (*Initial*) iteration
- Explore Line Segment Tracking mkFit hybrid
 - Highly parallelizable algorithm that can run efficiently on GPUs
 - Uses Alpaka
 - Already integrated into CMSSW

Conclusion

mkFit is in production mode since Run-3

- As drop-in replacement for CKF (*), used in 5 out of 12 iterations with equivalent physics
 - With time reduction for overall tracking of $\sim 25\% \rightarrow$ for full reconstruction of >10%
 - With event throughput increase by ~10-15%
 - (*) CKF = Combinatorial Kalman Filter, default for CMS track building when mkFit is not used

• Work has started to support Phase-2 tracking

- Done: generalizations of geometry description, configuration, and standard functions
- In progress: further modularization to support final fit.
- This will also help us in tuning mkFit for additional CMS iterations (already for Run-3) ...
- ... and makes mkFit easier to tune for potential other uses.

Related presentations:

- L. Giannini: A DNN for CMS track classification and selection
 - Poster
- P. Chang: *Line Segment Tracking in the High-luminosity LHC*
 - Track 2 (Online computing): Tue. May 9, 2pm