## **Extending Rucio with modern cloud storage support**

## Mario.Lassnig@cern.ch

with content from Martin Barisits, Bob Barnsley, Fernando Barreiro Megino, Johannes Elmsheuser, Mihai Patrascoiu, James Perry, Cedric Serfon, Alba Vendrell Moya, Tobias Wegner

CHEP'23 8 - 12 May 2023, Norfolk VA https://indico.jlab.org/event/459/



# **Cloud storage?**



### In recent years there has been significant work done integrating Rucio with cloud storage

### Two major angles to consider when discussing clouds

Technical	Access tools, transfer protocols, monitoring, authn/z, accounting, billing, storage,
Organisational	Deployed on-site or off-site Centralised or distributed Open or closed source software Public (institute, laboratory,) or commercial In-kind contribution or paid service

### It can get complicated quickly, e.g. ...

Self-hosted MinIO S3 server on a CERN data centre VM using a centrally managed CephFS volume WebDAV portal to self-hosted Nextcloud on a commercial hoster which points to free-tier AWS S3 storage Experiment collaborates with commercial cloud provider and gets free storage with S3v4 protocol support

### From a Rucio point of view, cloud storage is storage that requires URL-based signatures

Putting CephFS on top of RADOS	-> requires some sort of storage system on top (grid-style storage)
Putting Ceph Object Gateway S3 API on top of RADOS	-> cloud storage

## **Rucio credential mechanism**



## For namespace (listing replicas) and storage operations (rucio upload/download)

Generate URL signatures **at the time of execution** of the command URL signatures are **generated server-side** by the Rucio server

No deployment of secrets necessary to clients

The account must have **schema permission** (perm\_get\_signed\_url) and **account attribute** (sign\_url)

The Rucio Storage Element (RSE) must have several configurations applied

schemehttpsimplrucio.rse.protocols.gfal.NoRenameattributessign\_url: s3 | gcs | swiftverify\_checksum:Falseskip\_upload\_stat:Truestrict\_copy:True

## Credential secrets configuration

For S3 and SWIFT compatible interfaces (e.g. MinIO, Amazon, Ceph S3 Gateway), requires an entry in rse-account.cfg For Google Cloud Storage requires the JSON credential file from Google Cloud Console



## FTS credential mechanism

### When adding rules for third-party-copy, the URL signatures are generated by FTS when needed

CHEP'23 :: Rucio & Cloud Storage :: Mario Lassnig

We don't know how long transfer jobs will be in the **queue of FTS** URL signatures are time-limited

No universal TPC method for cloud storage to cloud storage

## Credentials need to be inserted in FTS configuration

Secrets

:8446/config/cloud storage Insert entry in specific format

**GFAL Configuration** :8449/fts3/ftsmon/#/config/gfal2 Cannot be edited directly, has to be set by FTS admin

**HTTP Configuration** :8449/fts3/ftsmon/#/config/http\_plugin.so Cannot be edited directly, has to be set by FTS admin



ALTERNATE=true

REGION=dummy

# GCloud related options [GCLOUD] JSON AUTH FILE=/etc/fts3/gcloud\_atlas.json

[S3:ATLAS-SEAL-CLOUD.CERN.CH]

4







## **Commercial clouds :: Google**

## **Google Cloud Storage**

 Long-term ATLAS R&D project to evaluate a grid site in the cloud Shoehorning X.509 certificates into commercial clouds
 Friendly administrators at sites were required CERN-provided certificate injected into new Google loadbalancer
 Custom proxy rules to accommodate our typical Tier-1 storage Didn't properly work out, had to return to legacy Google loadbalancer Running stable since then with jobs on Google Compute Engine
 Space occupancy model moved to greedy deletion









#### CHEP'23 :: Rucio & Cloud Storage :: Mario Lassnig

# **Commercial clouds :: SEAL**

#### SEAL Storage Technology

Distributed cloud storage backed by Interplanetary File system (IPFS) and Filecoin (FIS) Offered 10PB of storage to ATLAS for a long-term R&D project Sealing process of data for long-term archival and safe-keeping

#### **Rucio Integration**

Very smooth integration with standard URL signature mechanism

Same trick used: SEAL administrators injected CERN-provided certificate in their loadbalancer Gradual selection and transfer of datasets

#### SEAL is funding a full-time development position to improve cloud support in Rucio







# **Commercial clouds :: SEAL**





#### SEAL Storage Technology

Distributed cloud storage backed by Interplanetary File system (IPFS) and Filecoin (FIS) Offered 10PB of storage to ATLAS for a long-term R&D project

Sealing process of data for long-term archival and safe-keeping

#### **Rucio Integration**

Very smooth integration with standard URL signature mechanism

Same trick used: SEAL administrators injected CERN-provided certificate in their loadbalancer Gradual selection and transfer of datasets

#### SEAL is funding a full-time development position to improve cloud support in Rucio



Transfer Volume

Volume per datatype\_grouped

2023-05-09

#### CHEP'23 :: Rucio & Cloud Storage :: Mario Lassnig

## **Commercial clouds :: AWS**

## Now... Amazon was a different story

This is where it gets complicated

It worked out of the box for a year (thanks to DigiCert) until they changed to their own custom CA In ATLAS there's a US Tier-3 (FRESNO) with a considerably sized investment

Setting this up was... challenging: 6+ months of trial & error lead to this short document

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	<text><text><section-header><text><section-header><text><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></text></section-header></text></section-header></text></text>	<text><text><text><text><text><text><section-header></section-header></text></text></text></text></text></text>	<page-header></page-header>	Chatry chair charged   Charged



relief

342mg tablets Ibuprofen Lysine

ffective pain relie



## For interactive analysis and other stream processing cases: remote reads are used

The path returned from list-replicas usually can be fed straight into TFile::Open()

TFile::Open("https://mycloud:443/file.root?url\_signature=1234");

S3 protocol **does not provide multi-range** byte requests Amazon requires CloudFront CDN, which does **multi-range translation** Others, e.g., Google Cloud Storage or MinIO, do not have this translation layer

Workaround is simply to disable multi-range requests through Davix Have to append URL options to emulate: #multirange=false&nconnections=30

This is highly client dependent, one size fits all not really applicable We will have to investigate if we should simply make Rucio reply with these options Would require a potential hint to list-replicas (--use-for-direct-io=30) or similar solution

## **Future work**

### Configuration / Setup

Complicated, but grew organically from the ongoing Cloud R&D projects Needs a complete overhaul: esp. naming of attributes

### Already identified features that we will need for production-level integration

Access control right now is all-or-nothing, needs to be more fine grained Smarter peering mechanism

Static multihop distance config vs. dynamic cloud regions

The concept of cloud regions is missing completely

Security considerations

Right now completely dependent on X.509 with DNS-injection trick

Clouds typically support OpenID/OAuth2 flows, should be helpful for token migration work

Throughput and cost control not yet implemented, if you have the access rights you get the "full cloud power"

Bucket-copy transfer tools, no need to go through FTS for this

Cloud boosting option: Dynamically spend currency for extra throughput/storage Data lifetime considerations / different cloud QoS costs

#### Theoretical R&D studies: Simulation and evaluation of cloud storage caching (Tobias Wegner's PhD)

Temporary cloud bursting to improve workflows needing tape recalls Demonstrates 15% improvement in job times

Site-2 tane to dis

Site-1 GCS to disk Site-2 GCS to disk









