# RG-B: J/W in e+ e- p channel **RICHARD TYSON**





University of Glasgow

# Experiment Overview

 $e p_{bound} \rightarrow (e')e^+e^-p$ 

- The electron beam produced by CEBAF scatters with a deuterium target through the exchange of a quasi-real photon  $Q^2 \sim 0$ .
- In the e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup> p channel the electron beam interacts with the proton inside the deuteron.

The proton and  $e^+e^-$  pair produced in J/ $\psi$  decay are detected in the FD.



J/ψ quasi-real photoproduction



Feynmann diagram of  $P_C^+$  pentaquark photoproduction.

# $P_C^+$ resonances at the LHCb (2019)

The e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>n final state further offers the possibility of looking for the isospin partners of the P<sub>c</sub><sup>+</sup> Pentaquarks.



The J/ $\psi$  p invariant mass distribution [1].

#### Goals

- Verify the LHCb results and look for isospin partners of the  $P_c^+$  Pentaquarks.
- Study the production mechanism of  $J/\psi$  near threshold by measuring the total cross section as a function of beam energy.
- Study the distribution of color charge in the nucleon by measuring the t-dependency of the differential cross section of  $J/\psi$  photoproduction.



The J/ $\psi$  total cross section as a function of beam energy, scaled to GlueX data [2].

# Initial Event Selection

We start with the Event Builder (EB) PID for our final state particles:

- For e+/e-, the EB requires 2 photoelectrons produced in the HTCC, 60 MeV energy deposition in PCAL and 5σ cut on the sampling fraction parametrization
- For protons, the EB cuts on the expected time of flight.
- As we're interested in the quasi-real photoproduction regime we want  $Q^2$  close to 0.
- Similarly, we want the missing mass close to the mass of the scattered electron (which is effectively 0).



# $|MM^2|$ and $Q^2$ Cuts

 $J/\psi$  and Background Yields vs  $MM^2/Q^2$  Cut Width





#### **Radiative Corrections**

- Radiated photons in ECAL are identified by looking at the difference in theta for the electrons and photons. Here we cut on |dTheta|<0.7 degrees.</p>
- The electron momentum is recovered by adding the momentum of the radiated photon.
- Some photons are mis-IDed as neutrons by the event builder. Their momentum is recalculated from the photon sampling fraction parametrization then added to the electron momentum.



## Radiative corrections

e+ e- Invariant Mass e+ e- Invariant Mass  $\chi^2$  / ndf 16.81 / 13  $\chi^2$  / ndf 5.832 / 13 160<sub>F</sub> 0.2082 0.9521 Prob Prob 160 Without With J/Psi Yield 124 ± 18.6 193.2 ± 25.3 J/Psi Yield 140 3.066 ± 0.005  $3.063 \pm 0.006$ Mean Mean 140 0.04017 ± 0.00707 Sigma  $0.04344 \pm 0.00574$ Sigma 120  $131.5 \pm 9.8$ 1st order coef 166.8 ± 11.8 1st order coef 120 -169.2 ± 16.7 2nd order coef 2nd order coef -171.1 ± 19.4 100 3rd order coef -43.57 ± 58.48 3rd order coef -82.47 ± 67.72 100 35.38 ± 2.84 24.15 ± 2.25 offset offset 80 80 60 60 40 40 20 20 2.5 2.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 2.6 2.7 2.8 2.9 3 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 Invariant Mass [GeV] Invariant Mass [GeV]

Require  $|MM^2| < 0.2$  GeV,  $Q^2 < 0.2$  GeV, and some additional PID (cf next few slides).

#### PID Refinement

- The RG-A Analysis Note defined a list of cuts to improve electron, positron and hadron identification:
  - Triangular cut on individual calorimeters sampling fraction (as shown).
  - 3.5σ cut on the sampling fraction parametrization.
  - 0.07 GeV minimum energy deposition in the PCAL cut.
  - Z-Vertex position cut.
  - >  $3.5\sigma$  chi2PID cut for the proton.



# PCAL Fiducial Cuts

- If the electron hits close to the edges of the PCAL, the shower may not be fully contained within the calorimeter volume.
- This can lead to a wrong sampling fraction and reduced identification power for electrons and positrons.
- A proper cluster formation requires at least 1 bar (4.5 cm) distance to the edge so we place our cut at 2 bars (9.0 cm).
- Additional work is being done on electron
  PID and fiducial cuts for RG-B by Dien
  Nguyen and Andrew Denniston

#### e- Sampling Fraction vs LV



# Effect of PID and Fiducial Cuts

e+ e- Invariant Mass

#### $J/\psi$ and Background Yields vs Cut Number



# Positron ID Refinement

- From MC we see pions being miss-IDed as positrons above 4.5 GeV, due to the HTCC firing for high momentum pions.
- Train a multivariate classifier on MC data. The training is done with the ROOT TMVA software package.
- Our positive and negative training samples are then:
  - MC positron as signal training sample.
  - MC pion IDed as positron as background training sample.



# Variables used for Al

High momentum pions are mis-identified as positrons as they produce photoelectrons in the HTCC.

- However, the distributions in, for example, the number of photoelectrons in the HTCC, or the PCAL sampling fraction, show notable differences.
- Our classifier will learn to recognise the distributions characteristic of pions and positrons in each of the following:
  - PCAL LU/LV/LW and M2U/M2V/M2W.
  - PCAL/ECIN/ECOUT energy depositions and individual calorimeter sampling fractions.
  - ▶ HTCC photoelectrons.



#### Response

- The classifier output is given as a probability of being a signal event. We call this probability the response.
- A perfect classifier would assign a response of 1 to all signal events and a response of 0 to all background events.
- The classifier effectively reduces the PID process down to a cut on the response.

TMVA overtraining check for classifier: BDT



#### Cutting on the Response

- As we vary the cut on the response, we start to reject signal and background events.
- We can use this to evaluate the systematic error introduced by our cut.

Here we chose to place this cut at 0.3. J/ $\psi$  and Background Yields vs Response Cut Value



#### e+ e- Invariant Mass

 $|MM^2| < 0.2 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

▶ Q<sup>2</sup> < 0.2GeV <sup>2</sup>

e+ PID response>0.3

e+/e- PCAL Fiducial Cuts

Proton and electron EB PID only



#### e+ e- Invariant Mass

#### **RG-A** has J/ $\psi$ yields:

- 200 ± 21 in the fall2018 dataset for an accumulated charge of 60 mC at 10.6 GeV.
- 58 ± 9 in the spring2019 for an accumulated charge of 54 mC at 10.2 GeV.
- The total accumulated charge of the spring2019 RG-B runs was 80 mC at 10.6 and 10.2 GeV.



#### Conclusion and Next Steps

The analysis for  $J/\psi$  photoproduction in the  $e^+e^-p$  final state with RG-B data is well advanced. Calculating the total and differential cross sections will provide a healthy cross check to RG-A measurements.

▶ This analysis needs to be repeated for the  $e n_{bound} \rightarrow (e')e^+e^-n$  channel. The main complications will be due to neutron efficiency and reconstruction.



[1] R. Aaij et al. (LHCb Collaboration), Observation of a narrow pentaquark state,  $P_c(4312)^+$ , and of two-peak structure of the  $P_c(4450)^+$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122** 22 (2019).

[2] A. Ali et al (GlueX Collaboration), First measurement of near-threshold  $J/\psi$  exclusive photoproduction off the proton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123** 072001 (2019).

# Backup Slides

## All training Variables I



# All training Variables II

