Probing the ³H and ³He in the QuasiElastic regime

Nathaly Santiesteban

On behalf of the E12-11-112 collaboration



Hall A Winter Collaboration Meeting January 22, 2021





E12-11-112 motivation

P. Solvignon, J.Arrington, D.B.Day, D. Higinbotham, Z. Ye (Spokepeople) On behalf of the E12-11-112 Collaboration



CLAS Collaboration. Phys.Rev.Lett. 102 (2009)

Data from:

- X Mainz [G. Kubon, et al., Phys. Lett. B 524, 26 (2002); H. Anklin et al., Phys. Lett. B 428, 248 (1998)]
- ☆ Jefferson Lab [B. Anderson et al. Phys. Lett. C 75, 034003 (2007); J. Lachniet, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, (2009).

E12-11-112 motivation

P. Solvignon, J.Arrington, D.B.Day, D. Higinbotham, Z. Ye (Spokepeople) On behalf of the E12-11-112 Collaboration



CLAS Collaboration. Phys.Rev.Lett. 102 (2009)

Data from:

- X Mainz [G. Kubon, et al., Phys. Lett. B 524, 26 (2002); H. Anklin et al., Phys. Lett. B 428, 248 (1998)]
- ☆ Jefferson Lab [B. Anderson et al. Phys. Lett. C 75, 034003 (2007); J. Lachniet, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, (2009).

Neutron case: no free neutron target

If measuring neutrons (no charge):
Energy information from time of flight
Requires precise measurement of neutron detection efficiencies

Measurement Corrections: • Reaction mechanisms FSI and MEC • Nuclear structure



Probing nucleons with electron scattering

Access nucleons in QE scattering of ³H and ³He



5

E12-11-112 motivation

P. Solvignon, J.Arrington, D.B.Day, D. Higinbotham, Z. Ye (Spokepeople) On behalf of the E12-11-112 Collaboration



This experiment: $Q^2 = 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, 1, 1.5,$ 2.3, 2.6 and 2.9 GeV².



Measure the neutron magnetic form factor using the ³H/³He cross-section ratios

Kinematics Coverage

P. Solvignon, J.Arrington, D.B.Day, D. Higinbotham, Z. Ye (Spokepeople) On behalf of the E12-11-112 Collaboration



The experiment was performed in 2018.

In the plot, L(R) is the spectrometer used in Hall A Left(Right), and the number is related with the scattered angle of the electron.

Nathaly Santiesteban

7

Hall A Configuration



Experimental setup



Target System

Target System



- Tritium cell was filled at Savanah River Site (SRS).
- The scattering chamber is vacuum with a pumping system directed to an exhaust stack, provided a layer of tritium confinement.
- Cells were machined from a single piece of aluminum. Due to machining tolerances, the wall thickness of each cell varies slightly over its length.
- Maximum current allowed on target was 22.5 μ A.

First Tritium experiment in Jefferson Lab



Endcap contamination



Endcaps background is addressed by:

- Cutting the end windows in the target cell data.
- Subtract the remaining background events from the electron sample using the empty cell runs.
- The contamination varied between 0.5 2 % in the analysis window depending on the kinematics.

Density study of the gas targets

The density of the gas targets decreases due to heating effects from the electron beam. **Goal of the study:** Find a functional form to measure the density reduction for any given current.



Charge Normalized Yield: Number of events measured per unit of charge.

Santiesteban S. N. et al. Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A940 (2019) 351-358

Density Reduction at the production current
$$22\mu A$$
:
 ${}^{3}H\sim 10\%$ ${}^{3}He\sim 6\%$

Particle Identification (PID)

Nathaly Santiesteban

Tritium considerations: ³**H decay**

Cell	Filling Date	E12-11-112 Running time	Purity (%)
Cell 1	10/23/2017	12/15/2017	98.83
Cell 1	10/23/2017	05/03/2018-05/06/2018	95.82-95.73
Cell 2	08/24/2018	09/26/2018-10/29/2018	99.27-98.55

 3 H in the cell at any time t:

 3 He in the cell at any time t:

$$n_{^{3}H}(t) = n_0 e^{-t/\tau}$$
 $n_{^{3}He}(t) = n_0(1 - e^{-t/\tau})$

Measured cross section:

$$\sigma^{measured} = \sigma^{^{3}H}e^{-t/\tau} + \sigma^{^{3}He}(1 - e^{-t/\tau})$$

³He contamination has to be removed from the ³H events:

- The correction is applied depending on the running time.
- ³He data is used to account for the contamination

 $\tau = 4500 \pm 8$ days

Lifetime

Tritium considerations:¹H contamination in the second ³H cell

Maximum 4.12% Hydrogen Contamination in the Second Tritium Cell, affecting the $Q^2 > 2 \text{ GeV}^2$ kinematics.

Proper estimation of the hydrogen contamination is expected after the mass spectrometer analysis is done at Savanah River Site (SRS)

From Data to cross sections

Hall A Collaboration Meeting

Nathaly Santiesteban

Data/MC comparison for the target variables

Sample

Data/MC comparison for x_{bi}

Cross section results

Systematic Uncertainties

	Cross Section		Cross Section Ratios	
Source	Normalization [%]	Point-to-Point [%]	Normalization [%]	Point-to-Point [%]
Beam Energy		0.5-2		
E'		2-3		
Scattering Angle	0.6	0.2		
Background Contamination	0.07	0.1-0.4	0.1	0.15-0.4
Target Thickness	0.3-1		1	
Target length cut	0.4			
Boiling	0.3-0.4		0.5	
Charge	0.5		0.1	
Efficiencies and live-time	0.01-0.1			
Tracking	0.2	0.1		
Coulomb correction		0.001		0.01
Bin Centering				
3He contamination		0.03-1.75		0.03-1.75
Hydrogen contamination	2		2	
Model Dependence	0.2			
Acceptance corrections	1.5			
Radiative corrections	1	1	0.3	0.4

Estimating nuclear effects

The error bars in the data correspond to the statistical and point-to-point uncertainties.

The normalization uncertainty in the data is 3.1% for all cross sections except for the ³H data at 2.9 GeV^2 , which is 3.7% because of the hydrogen contamination.

- ☆ PWIA Calculations from Rocco and Lovatto (QE only)
- \Leftrightarrow Comparisons above are a sanity check.
- FSI calculations being performed, expected to be negligible in ratio
- ☆ Calculations used to look at difference between naive "Stationary approximation: sum of nucleon" approach and full QE ratio.

Stationary approximation: From cross sections to G_M^n

Assuming no-nucleon interactions or medium effects:

$\sigma_{3H} \sim 2\sigma_n + 2\sigma_{3He} \sim \sigma_n + 2\sigma_n +$	$\sigma_p \ \sigma_p$
$R = \frac{\sigma_{3H}}{\sigma_{3He}} \sim \frac{2}{\sigma_{3He}}$	$\frac{\sigma_n + \sigma_p}{\sigma_n + 2\sigma_n}$

 ${}^{3}\text{H}/{}^{3}\text{He}$ Ratio: Integrals from Peak to 2 sigma from the peak .

Inelastic contribution to the integrals subtracted by using the Bosted and Christy Model.

Neglecting the nuclear effects in the A=3 targets: G_M^n up to 8% away from the real value. However, these results still reflect the expected experimental uncertainty (for the preliminary values used at the moment).

Cross section ratio ³**H**/³**He Comparison**

 3 H/ 3 He Ratio: Integrals from Peak to 2 sigma from the peak for PWIA .

 G_M^p , G_E^p and G_E^n form factors were taken from: Z. Ye, J. Arrington, R. J. Hill, and G. Lee, Phys. Lett. B **777**, 8 (2018).

Theory model input for the nucleon interactions and medium effects from Rocco and Lovatto.
Goal: Find a suitable input model that describes the nuclear effects, where the only correction to match the data is the magnetic form factor.

Cross section ratio ³**H**/³**He Comparison**

Stationary cross sections ratio vs PWIA

Adding E12-11-112 ratios

³H/³He Ratio: Integrals from Peak to 2 sigma from the peak for PWIA . ³H/³He Ratio: Integrals from Peak to 2 sigma from the peak same than PWIA. Inelastic contribution to the integrals subtracted by using the Bosted and Christy Model.

 G_M^p , G_E^p and G_E^n form factors were taken from: Z. Ye, J. Arrington, R. J. Hill, and G. Lee, Phys. Lett. B **777**, 8 (2018).

Theory model input for the nucleon interactions and medium effects from Rocco and Lovatto.
Goal: Find a suitable input model that describes the nuclear effects, where the only correction to match the data is the magnetic form factor.

Cross section ratio ³**H**/³**He Comparison**

 G_M^p , G_E^p and G_E^n form factors were taken from: Z. Ye, J. Arrington, R. J. Hill, and G. Lee, Phys. Lett. B **777**, 8 (2018).

Theory model input for the nucleon interactions and medium effects from Rocco and Lovatto.
Goal: Find a suitable input model that describes the nuclear effects, where the only correction to match the data is the magnetic form factor.

Implications of the E12-11-112 preliminary results

- ☆ Preliminary E12-11-112 show a high impact for the medium effects, and currently the contribution to the form factor is under study.
- The uncertainty of the higher Q^2 points will decrease once the mass spectrometer analysis from the tritium cell are received.
- ☆ The final uncertainty has a maximum 1.5% in the region of interest, which will be enough to claim a precise measurement.

Future Work

- ☆ We are in the process of cross checking the cross section results with other group member (Shujie Li).
- More systematic studies are being done to reduce the uncertainties.
- ☆ Check with other theory models, specially in the region of interest. We are contacting other theory physicists to help understanding the medium effects.
- \Rightarrow Publish the results.

Thank you !

Do you have any questions?

