Momentum anisotropies in the initial stages of heavy-ion collisions: the hunt is on

by

GIULIANO GIACALONE

13 / 04 / 2021





in collaboration with: Bjoern Schenke (BNL), Chun Shen (Wayne State)

Anisotropic flow is all over the place!



What is its origin?

Anisotropic flow from spatial anisotropies: $F = -\nabla P$

$$T^{\mu\nu}(\tau_0) \approx \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & P(\epsilon) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & P(\epsilon) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & P(\epsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

[Teaney, Yan, **1010.1876**]



Profile has non-vanishing multipole moments. They source anisotropic flow:

$$\mathcal{E}_n \propto \int r^n e^{in\phi} \epsilon(r,\phi)$$

$$V_n \propto \mathcal{E}_n$$

Relation is simple: $V_n \propto \mathcal{E}_n$

Verified in full hydrodynamic simulations ($arepsilon_n = |\mathcal{E}_n|$, $v_n = |V_n|$)



Explains experimental data in both large and small systems. **The importance of initial conditions.** [Giacalone, Noronha-Hostler, Ollitrault, **1702.01730**]

Going beyond $F = -\nabla P$. The primordial energy-momentum tensor contains more structures.



[Sousa, Luzum, Noronha, 2002.12735]

Off-diagonal terms are filled by pre-equilibrium phase over the first fm/c.

[Kurkela, Mazeliauskas, Paquet, Schlichting, Teaney, 1805.00961,1805.01604]

[Schlichting, Teaney, 1908.02113]

Only known theory that predicts these terms is the color glass condensate (CGC). Longstanding question in the field: <u>do we see initial-state CGC anisotropy in the data?</u>

[Altinoluk, Armesto, 2004.08185]

Evaluations in the IP-GLASMA+MUSIC+urQMD framework.

System is anisotropic (n=2) shortly after the collision.

$$\mathcal{E}_p \equiv \varepsilon_p e^{i2\Psi_2^p} \equiv \frac{\langle T^{xx} - T^{yy} \rangle + i \langle 2T^{xy} \rangle}{\langle T^{xx} + T^{yy} \rangle}$$

[Schenke, Shen, Tribedy, 1908.06212]

Salient property: system-size dependence.



 ε_p

1/Qs

0



Does the primordial anisotropy play a role?



- Q coefficient of linear correlation.
- E₂ is the dominant contribution to V₂ for $dN/d\eta \ge 20$.
- At low multiplicity, V_2 is instead in a stronger correlation with E_p .

[Schenke, Shen, Tribedy, 1908.06212]

What <u>observables</u> can reveal this transition and probe \mathcal{E}_p ?

7

I use two observables in small systems.

– Bożek's correlation between $< pt > and vn^2$:

$$\rho(v_n^2, [p_t]) = \frac{\langle \delta v_n^2 \delta[p_t] \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle (\delta v_n^2)^2 \rangle \langle (\delta[p_t])^2 \rangle}}$$

– ALICE's correlation between v_{2^2} and v_{3^2} :

[ALICE collaboration, 1604.07663]

[Bożek, 1601.04513]

2. Nsc(3,2) =
$$\frac{\langle \delta v_2^2 \delta v_3^2 \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle (\delta v_2^2)^2 \rangle \langle (\delta v_3^2)^2 \rangle}}$$

With $\delta o = o - \langle o \rangle$ at fixed multiplicity (entropy).

These are natural probes of the primordial momentum anisotropies.

1.

Select two **peripheral events** (69-70%) at the **same multiplicity** but very **different [pt]**. It is an "isentropic" transformation of the QGP which increases T and reduces R.



@large [pt]: hot spots clustered around one transverse point. Very round system.

Prediction. In small systems:

$$\rho(v_2^2, [p_t]) < 0$$

[Bożek, Mehrabpour, 2002.08832] [Schenke, Shen, Teaney, 2004.00690] Verified at LHC. Correlation is negative. Captured by hydrodynamic models.



10

What about the initial momentum anisotropy? Intuitive picture.



<u>@large [pt]:</u> hot spots clustered around one transverse point. Smaller size, more \mathcal{E}_p .

Prediction. In small systems:

$$\rho(\varepsilon_p^2, \langle p_t \rangle) > 0$$

Consider $V_2 = \kappa_2 \mathcal{E}_2 + \kappa_p \mathcal{E}_p$, we expect:

$$\rho(v_2^2, [p_t]) = \kappa_2^2 \rho(\varepsilon_2^2, [p_t]) + \kappa_p^2 \rho(\varepsilon_p^2, [p_t])$$



IP-Glasma+Hydro: full prediction.



[Giacalone, Schenke, Shen, 2006.15721]

- Sign change occurring as expected around dN/dη=10.
 A neat prediction (both AA and pA).
- No sign change if we set E_p=0.

Non-flow has to be addressed carefully in future measurements.

[Behera, Bhatta, Jia, Zhang, **2102.05200**] [Lim, Nagle, **2103.01348**]

2.

Select two peripheral events (69-70%) at the same multiplicity but very different [pt].



@large [pt]: hot spots clustered around one transverse point. Both E2 and E3 decrease!

Prediction. In small systems:

$$\rho(v_2^2, v_3^2) > 0$$

Confirmed in hydro...

...but data?



Consider now the correlation between V₂ and V₃. Very simple model:

$$V_2 = \kappa_2 \mathcal{E}_2 + \kappa_{2p} \mathcal{E}_{2p}$$
$$V_3 = \kappa_3 \mathcal{E}_3 + \kappa_{3p} \mathcal{E}_{3p}$$



Evaluate the symmetric cumulant. Expectations from system size dependence:

$$\langle V_2 V_2^* V_3 V_3^* \rangle - \langle V_2 V_2^* \rangle \langle V_3 V_3^* \rangle =$$



Prediction: initial momentum anisotropies yield a negative contribution to sc(3,2).

Prediction: initial momentum anisotropies yield a negative contribution to sc(3,2).





- "Geometry" paradigm explains anisotropic flow at large multiplicities.
- Beyond $F = -\nabla P$: initial momentum anisotropies at small multiplicity.
- Predicted by high-energy QCD.
- Natural handle: vary system size with <pt>.
- Natural handle: correlation between v2 and v3.
- <u>Hunt is ON</u>: more and more observables for a consistent picture that will lead to an unambiguous discovery.

THANK YOU!